

#### **Institutional Trust 2011**

#### Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

#### Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

#### **Metadata Production**

Metadata Swedish national data service Producer(s)	
<b>Production Date</b>	April 2, 2014
Identification	SND0961-001

#### **Table of Contents**

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling.	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility.	
File Description(s)	
Förtroendebarometer 2011.	
Variable Group(s)	
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables.	
Web survey	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2011	

#### **Institutional Trust 2011**

#### Institutional Trust 2011

Overview	
Identification	SND0961-001

#### **Abstract**

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Sifo and involved 1021 individuals who answered a web survey between November 3 and 7, 2011. The 2011 survey also included questions about the media coverage of various institutions and groups, and how the Swedish people view the future of Public Service.

Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	förtroende, politisk åsikt, massmedia, förtroende för regeringen	
Topics	massmedia, POLITIK	
Time Period(s) 2011		
Countries	Sverige	
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation	
Other Producer(s)	Oducer(s)  Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen  MedieAkademin  TNS Sifo	

#### **Sampling**

#### **Sampling Procedure**

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU) Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection	
Data Collection	start 2011-11-03
Dates	end 2011-11-07

Data Collection Mode	Självadministrerat frågeformulär: Webb-baserat
Data Collector(s)	TNS Sifo

Accessibility	
Distributor(s) Svensk nationell datatjänst	

#### **File Description(s)**

#### Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2011		
Cases	1021	
Variable(s)	76	

#### Variable Group(s)

#### Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND_studie 0961	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0961-001	-
3	SND_verison	SND version 2.1	-

Back	Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question	
1	RESPONSEID	Responseid	-	
2	RESPID	Respid	-	
3	IND_ID	Ind_id	-	
4	STATUS	Status	-	
5	WEIGHT	Weight	-	
6	GENDER	Sex	-	
7	ACTUALAGE	Age	-	
8	KOMMUN	Municipality	-	

Webs	survey		
#	Name	Label	Question
1	Q5C	Political parties (merged)	-
2	Q1A_1	F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Parliament
3	Q1A_2	F.1AB Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission
4	Q1A_3	F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties
5	Q1A_4	F.1AD Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Universities
6	Q1A_5	F.1AE Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Big business
7	Q1A_6	F.1AF Confidence in: Radio/TV	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Radio/TV
8	Q1A_7	F.1AG Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press
9	Q1A_8	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The trade unions
10	Q1A_9	F.1AI Confidence in: The church of Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden
11	Q1A_10	F.1AJ Confidence in: The Government	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Government
12	Q1A_11	F.1AK Confidence in: The banks	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Banks

#	Name	Label	Question
13	Q1A_12	F.1AL Confidence in: The Swedish Central Bank	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
14	Q1A_13	F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal family
15	Q1A_14	F.1AN Confidence in: Health care	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Health care
16	Q1A_15	F.1AO Confidence in: The local morning paper where you live	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The local morning paper where you live
17	Q1B_1	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
18	Q1B_2	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Moderate Party
19	Q1B_3	F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats
20	Q1C_1	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Radio Sweden
21	Q1C_2	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television
22	Q1C_3	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV4
23	Q1C_4	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3
24	Q1C_5	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Dagens Nyheter
25	Q1C_6	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet
26	QIC_7	F.1CG Confidence in: The local morning paper where you live	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - The local morning paper where you live
27	Q1D_1	F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - IKEA
28	Q1D_2	F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volvo
29	Q1D_3	F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Ericsson
30	Q1D_4	F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Coca-Cola
31	Q1D_5	F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Skandia

#	Name	Label	Question
32	Q1D_6	F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Astra Zeneca
33	QID_7	F.1DG Confidence in: SAS	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS
34	Q1D_8	F.1DH Confidence in: H&M	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M
35	Q1D_9	F.1DI Confidence in: Saab	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Saab
36	Q1D_10	F.1DJ Confidence in: Vattenfall	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Vattenfall
37	Q1D_11	F.1DK Confidence in: SJ	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ
38	Q1D_12	F.1DL Confidence in: TeliaSonera	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera
39	Q1E_1	F.1EA Confidence in: The Swedish Public Employment Service	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Public Employment Service
40	Q1E_2	F.1EB Confidence in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency
41	Q1E_3	F.1EC Confidence in: The tax authorities	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The tax authorities
42	Q1C2_1	F.1FA Confidence in: aftonbladet.se	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - aftonbladet.se
43	Q1C2_2	F.1FB Confidence in: Google	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Google
44	Q1C2_3	F.1FC Confidence in: Facebook	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Facebook
45	Q1C2_4	F.1FD Confidence in: Wikipedia	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Wikipedia
46	Q2_1	F.2A Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The Government	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on the Government?
47	Q2_2	F.2B Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The Royal family	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on The Royal family?
48	Q2_3	F.2C Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The banks	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on The Banks?
49	Q2_4	F.2D Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Immigrants	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Immigrants?
50	Q2_5	F.2E Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Health care	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Health care?
51	Q2_6	F.2F Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The trade unions	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on The trade unions?

#	Name	Label	Question
52	Q2_7	F.2G Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Homosexuals	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Homosexuals?
53	Q2_8	F.2H Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The EU	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on the EU?
54	Q2_9	F.2I Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Islam	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Islam?
55	Q2_10	F.2J Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Christianity	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Christianity?
56	Q3_1	F.3A Opinion on futre radio and television: Fund Swedish Television with taxes, not with the TV License Fee	What is your opinion on the following proposals regarding the future of radio and television? - Fund Swedish Television with taxes, not with the TV License Fee
57	Q3_2	F.3B Opinion on futre radio and television: Let Swedish Television send only narrow programs	What is your opinion on the following proposals regarding the future of radio and television? - Let Swedish Television send only narrow programs, and not compete with commercial entertainment and sports channels
58	Q3_3	F.3C Opinion on futre radio and television: Retain existing orientation of Swedish Television	What is your opinion on the following proposals regarding the future of radio and television? - Retain existing orientation of Swedish Television
59	Q5A	F.4A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?
60	Q5B	F.4B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
61	Q6	F.5 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?
62	PNR	F.6 ZIP code	What is your ZIP code?
63	UTB	F.7 Education level	What is your highest level of completed education?
64	PERSINKOMST	F.8 Income	What is your personal income per month?
65	HHINKOMST	F.9 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

#### **Variables Description**

Dataset contains 76 variable(s)

File: F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2011					
# SND_st	udie: SND_s	tudie 0961				
		SND-studie 0961: Förtroendebarometer 2011				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
961	SND 0961		1021		100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 961- 961] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0961-001					
		SND-dataset 0961-001: Förtroendebarometer 2011			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	SND 0961-	-001	1021	100.0%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 1] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# SND_verison: SND version 2.1					
		SND version 2.0 april 2014			
Notes Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
2	Version 2.	l .	1021		100.0%
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# RESPONSEID: Responseid			
	Respondent-ID		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 1097] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 534.915 /-] [StdDev: 311.769 /-]		

# RESPID: Respid			
	Respondent-ID		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3498] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 1659.863 /-] [StdDev: 949.492 /-]		

# IND_ID: Ind_id	# IND_ID: Ind_id		
	Respondent-ID		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

File: F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2011						
# STATUS	: Status						
		Status					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
complete	complete		1021		100.0%		
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	ummary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: *]							
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

WEIGH	T: Weight			
	Viktvariabel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0.52902		27		2.6%
.56149		8	0.8%	
.59302		23	2.3%	6
.60562		5	0.5%	
.61067		19	1.9%	
.62815		23	2.3%	6
.62941		11	1.1%	
.6667		13	1.3%	
0.67888		5	0.5%	
.68379		19	1.9%	
0.68454		16	1.6%	
.69036		33		3.2%
.71909		22	2.2%	
.72509		33		3.2%
.73274		13	1.3%	
.73905		15	1.5%	
.76651		25	2.	4%
.78798		7	0.7%	
.79032		19	1.9%	
.79691		33		3.2%
.79908		15	1.5%	
.81192		36		3.5%
.82845		8	0.8%	
.84812		11	1.1%	
.86169		18	1.8%	
0.87753		25	2.	4%
.8833		6	0.6%	
.89233		29		2.8%
.91458		7	0.7%	
.91477		7	0.7%	
.9224		17	1.7%	
.93563		16	1.6%	
.94567		26		2.5%

# WEIGH	T: Weight		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.95362		28	2.7%
0.96445		22	2.2%
0.98645		10	1.0%
0.99468		18	1.8%
1.00371		11	1.1%
1.01216		17	1.7%
1.0283		10	1.0%
1.03285		18	1.8%
1.08258		10	1.0%
1.09161		34	3.3%
1.09169		9	0.9%
1.1008		14	1.4%
1.11379		11	1.1%
1.11632		14	1.4%
1.19023		2	0.2%
1.2038		13	1.3%
1.22233		29	2.8%
1.23262		24	2.4%
1.2835		5	0.5%
1.32111		11	1.1%
1.33223		17	1.7%
1.3852		11	1.1%
1.40858		6	0.6%
1.42043		11	1.1%
1.47023		4	0.4%
1.58576		4	0.4%
1.59898		13	1.3%
1.79046		11	1.1%
1.93515		4	0.4%
1.9561		4	0.4%
2.06327		5	0.5%
2.07616		7	0.7%
2.23931		2	0.2%
2.25799		9	0.9%
2.52838		6	0.6%
2.73271		4	0.4%
2.91362		3	0.3%
Varning: these f	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data	file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.
nformation	[Type: discrete] [Format	: numeric] [Range: 0.529- 2.914] [Miss	sing: *]

File : Förtroendebarometer 2011				
# GENDER: Sex				
		Kön		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Man		520	50.9%
2	Woman		501	49.1%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics of the	e population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Re		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2]	[Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

	Ålder		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17		11	1.1%
18		16	1.6%
19		16	1.6%
20		24	2.4%
21		20	2.0%
22		24	2.4%
23		26	2.5%
24		29	2.8%
25		12	1.2%
26		2	0.2%
27		4	0.4%
28		9	0.9%
29		5	0.5%
30		13	1.3%
31		9	0.9%
32		12	1.2%
33		10	1.0%
34		11	1.1%
35		14	1.4%
36		14	1.4%
37		21	2.1%
38		10	1.0%
39		13	1.3%
40		12	1.2%
41		18	1.8%
42		19	1.9%
43		17	1.7%
14		11	1.1%
45		18	1.8%
16		22	2.2%
47		19	1.9%
48		22	2.2%

# ACTUA	LAGE: A	ge			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
49			17	1.7%	
50			18	1.8%	
51			18	1.8%	
52			13	1.3%	
53			21	2.19	6
54			21	2.19	6
55			22	2.2	2%
56			24		2.4%
57			26		2.5%
58			22	2.2	2%
59			24		2.4%
60			17	1.7%	
61			27		2.6%
62			22	2.2	2%
63			26		2.5%
64			26		2.5%
65			31		3.0%
66			27		2.6%
67			24		2.4%
68			28		2.7%
69			17	1.7%	
70			15	1.5%	
71			12	1.2%	
72			18	1.8%	
73			16	1.6%	
74			6	0.6%	
Varning: these j	figures indicate t	he number of cases found in the data file. T	hey cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the meric] [Range: 17- 74] [Missing: *]	ne population of interest.	

# KOMMUN: Municipality			
	Kommun		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0114	Upplands Väsby	5	0.5%
0115	Vallentuna	6	0.6%
0117	Österåker	3	0.3%
0120	Värmdö	2	0.2%
0123	Järfälla	9	0.9%
0125	Ekerö	4	0.4%
0126	Huddinge	5	0.5%
0127	Botkyrka	4	0.4%
0128	Salem	4	0.4%

# KOM	MUN:	Munici	nality
170111	141011		vant

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0136	Haninge	10	1.0%
0138	Tyresö	4	0.4%
0139	Upplands-Bro	4	0.4%
0160	Täby	9	0.9%
0162	Danderyd	6	0.6%
0163	Sollentuna	7	0.7%
0180	Stockholm	70	6.9%
0181	Södertälje	5	0.5%
0182	Nacka	17	1.7%
0183	Sundbyberg	2	0.2%
0184	Solna	6	0.6%
0186	Lidingö	3	0.3%
0187	Vaxholm	2	0.2%
0188	Norrtälje	6	0.6%
0191	Sigtuna	3	0.3%
0192	Nynäshamn	5	0.5%
0305	Håbo	3	0.3%
0330	Knivsta	2	0.2%
)331	Heby	2	0.2%
)360	Tierp	2	0.2%
380	Uppsala	30	3.0%
381	Enköping	3	0.3%
0382	Östhammar	3	0.3%
0428	Vingåker	2	0.2%
)461	Gnesta	1	0.1%
0480	Nyköping	6	0.6%
)481	Oxelösund	3	0.3%
0482	Flen	3	0.3%
0483	Katrineholm	4	0.4%
0484	Eskilstuna	24	2.4%
)486	Strängnäs	5	0.5%
)488	Trosa	4	0.4%
)509	Ödeshög	1	0.1%
0560	Boxholm	2	0.2%
)561	Åtvidaberg	2	0.2%
)562	Finspång	3	0.3%
)563	Valdemarsvik	1	0.1%
)580	Linköping	15	1.5%
)581	Norrköping	26	2.6%
)582	Söderköping	1	0.1%
0583	Motala	3	0.3%
0584	Vadstena	1	0.1%
)586	Mjölby	4	0.4%

#### # KOMMUN: Municipality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0604	Aneby	3 0.	3%
0642	Mullsjö	1   0.1	%
0643	Habo	3 0.	3%
0665	Vaggeryd	4 0	.4%
0680	Jönköping	17	1.7%
0682	Nässjö	3 0.	3%
0683	Värnamo	1 0.1	%
0684	Sävsjö	2 0.2	2%
0685	Vetlanda	3 0.	3%
0686	Eksjö	2 0.2	2%
0687	Tranås	1 0.1	%
0760	Uppvidinge	1 0.1	%
0763	Tingsryd	1 0.1	%
0764	Alvesta	3 0.	3%
0765	Älmhult	1 0.1	%
0780	Växjö	10	1.0%
0781	Ljungby	4 0	.4%
0834	Torsås	1 0.1	%
0840	Mörbylånga	2 0.2	2%
0860	Hultsfred	1 0.1	%
0862	Emmaboda	1 0.1	%
0880	Kalmar	8	0.8%
0882	Oskarshamn	4 0	.4%
0883	Västervik	2 0.2	2%
0884	Vimmerby	2 0.2	2%
0885	Borgholm	1 0.1	%
0980	Gotland	14	1.4%
1060	Olofström	2 0.2	2%
1080	Karlskrona	9	0.9%
1081	Ronneby	2 0.2	2%
1082	Karlshamn	3 0.	3%
1083	Sölvesborg	2 0.2	2%
1214	Svalöv	2 0.2	2%
1233	Vellinge	5	0.5%
1256	Östra Göinge	1 0.1	%
1257	Örkelljunga	1 0.1	%
1260	Bjuv	1 0.1	%
1261	Kävlinge	1 0.1	%
1262	Lomma		.4%
1263	Svedala	2 0.2	
1264	Skurup	2 0.2	
1265	Sjöbo	2 0.2	
		1 0.1	

#### # KOMMUN: Municipality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1270	Tomelilla	1	0.1%
1273	Osby	1	0.1%
1276	Klippan	1	0.1%
1278	Båstad	1	0.1%
1280	Malmö	17	1.7%
1281	Lund	18	1.8%
1282	Landskrona	3	0.3%
1283	Helsingborg	12	1.2%
1284	Höganäs	1	0.1%
1285	Eslöv	1	0.1%
1286	Ystad	4	0.4%
1287	Trelleborg	4	0.4%
1290	Kristianstad	16	1.6%
1291	Simrishamn	4	0.4%
1292	Ängelholm	5	0.5%
1293	Hässleholm	2	0.2%
1315	Hylte	1	0.1%
1380	Halmstad	12	1.2%
1381	Laholm	3	0.3%
1382	Falkenberg	3	0.3%
1383	Varberg	22	2.2%
1384	Kungsbacka	3	0.3%
1401	Härryda	4	0.4%
1402	Partille	7	0.7%
1415	Stenungsund	1	0.1%
1419	Tjörn	3	0.3%
1421	Orust	2	0.2%
1427	Sotenäs	2	0.2%
1430	Munkedal	2	0.2%
1435	Tanum	3	0.3%
1438	Dals-Ed	2	0.2%
1439	Färgelanda	1	0.1%
1440	Ale	2	0.2%
1441	Lerum	2	0.2%
1442	Vårgårda	1	0.1%
1443	Bollebygd	2	0.2%
1444	Grästorp	1	0.1%
1446	Karlsborg	2	0.2%
1447	Gullspång	1	0.1%
1461	Mellerud	1	0.1%
1462	Lilla Edet	1	0.1%
1463	Mark	4	0.4%
1470	Vara	1	0.1%

<b># KOMMUN:</b>	Municipality
" IZOMIMIOIA.	Municipanty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1472	Tibro	1	0.1%
1473	Töreboda	1	0.1%
1480	Göteborg	48	4.7%
1481	Mölndal	9	0.9%
1484	Lysekil	1	0.1%
1485	Uddevalla	3	0.3%
1486	Strömstad	1	0.1%
1487	Vänersborg	5	0.5%
1488	Trollhättan	4	0.4%
1489	Alingsås	6	0.6%
1490	Borås	11	1.1%
1491	Ulricehamn	2	0.2%
1492	Åmål	1	0.1%
1493	Mariestad	6	0.6%
1494	Lidköping	5	0.5%
1495	Skara	1	0.1%
1496	Skövde	10	1.0%
1498	Tidaholm	1	0.1%
1499	Falköping	4	0.4%
1715	Kil	1	0.1%
1761	Hammarö	2	0.2%
1763	Forshaga	2	0.2%
1766	Sunne	2	0.2%
1780	Karlstad	10	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	1	0.1%
1782	Filipstad	1	0.1%
1784	Arvika	2	0.2%
1785	Säffle	1	0.1%
1814	Lekeberg	1	0.1%
1880	Örebro	13	1.3%
1881	Kumla	1	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	1	0.1%
1884	Nora	4	0.4%
1960	Kungsör	2	0.2%
1961	Hallstahammar	1	0.1%
1980	Västerås	14	1.4%
1981	Sala	1	0.1%
1983	Köping	4	0.4%
1984	Arboga	3	0.3%
2026	Gagnef	1	0.1%
2029	Leksand	3	0.3%
2034	Orsa	1	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	1	0.1%

#### File: Förtroendebarometer 2011 # KOMMUN: Municipality Label Cases Percentage 2062 0.4% Mora 4 2080 Falun 9 0.9% 2081 Borlänge 7 0.7% 2083 Hedemora 2 0.2% 2085 Ludvika 0.1% 0.1% 2121 Ovanåker 2132 0.1% Nordanstig 2161 0.1% Ljusdal 2180 Gävle 7 0.7% 2181 Sandviken 5 0.5% 2182 Söderhamn 3 0.3% 2183 0.3% Bollnäs 3 2184 Hudiksvall 0.3% 3 2280 Härnösand 3 0.3% 2281 Sundsvall 5 0.5% 2282 Kramfors 2 0.2% 2283 Sollefteå 3 0.3% 2284 Örnsköldsvik 2309 0.1% Krokom 2313 Strömsund 0.1% 2321 0.1% Åre 2361 Härjedalen 0.1% 2380 Östersund 11 2460 Vännäs 3 0.3% 2462 Vilhelmina 2 0.2% 2480 Umeå 24 2.4% 2481 Lycksele 3 0.3% 2482 Skellefteå 13 1.3% 2510 Jokkmokk 1 0.1% 2514 Kalix 2 0.2% 2523 Gällivare 0.1% 2560 Älvsbyn 1 0.1% 2580 Luleå 11 1.1% 2581 Piteå 2 0.2% 2582 Boden 3 0.3% 2 2584 Kiruna 0.2% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# Q5C: Political parties (merged)		
	Konstruerad variabel: Sammanslagning av varriablerna Parisympati och Närmaste parti	

[Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: \*]

[Valid: 1016 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Information

Statistics [NW/ W]

#### #Q5C: Political parties (merged)

Value	Label	Label		Percentage
1	Social Den	nocrats	190	18.6%
2	Moderate I	Party	339	33.2%
3	Center Part	Center Party		3.9%
4	Liberal Party		63	6.2%
5	Christian Democrats		24	2.4%
6	Left Party	Left Party		7.6%
7	Green Part	Green Party		13.3%
8	Sweden De	emocrats	61	6.0%
9	Other party	,	9	0.9%
10	None of the	e above	69	6.8%
11	11 Pirate Party		12	1.2%
Warning: these figu	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Information	·	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-11]	[Missing: *	[]
Statistics [NW	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

#### #Q1A\_1: F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament

Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	following b	usinesses do their job? - the Parlia	nment
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	ery high trust		5.9%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			39.6%
3	Neither hig	either high nor low trust			37.1%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		12.8%	
5	Very low to	rust	47	4.6%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary statis	tics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [J	Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1A_2: F.1AI	Confidence in	: EU commission
----------------	---------------	-----------------

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	22	2.2%	
2	Quite high trust		169	16.6%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	481		47.1%
4	Quite low trust		229	22.4%	
5	Very low trust		120	11.8%	
Warning: these figur	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]		

[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] Statistics [NW/ W]

#### File: Förtroendebarometer 2011 # Q1A\_3: F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties Value Label Cases Percentage Very high trust 12 1.2% 2 Quite high trust 164 16.1% 3 Neither high nor low trust 478 46.8% Quite low trust 282 27.6% Very low trust 85 8.3% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: \*] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] Statistics [NW/ W]

# Q1A_4:	F.1AD Conf	fidence in: Universities				
Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	following b	ousinesses do their job? - U	Jniversities	
Value Label			Cases	ses Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	105	10.3%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				52.5%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	330		32.3%	
4	Quite low t	rust	41	4.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	9	0.9%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_5:	F.1AE Conf	idence in: Big business				
Literal ques	Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following busing				b? - Big business	
Value	Label		Cases	es Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	20	2.0%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			22.4%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	480			47.0%
4	Quite low t	rust	224		21.9%	
5	Very low to	rust	68	6.7%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statis	tics of the population of in	iterest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_6: F.1AF Confidence in: Radio/TV						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesse				isinesses do their job?	- Radio/TV	
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage	
1	Very high	y high trust		5.3%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			41.7%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	422		41.3%	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2011						
# Q1A_6: F.	1AF Conf	idence in: Radio/TV				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
4	Quite low t	ow trust		9.3%		
5	Very low to	rust	24	2.4%		
Warning: these figur	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rrust	16	1.6%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			24.2%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				43.1%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			24.0%	
5	Very low to	rust	73	7.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of	interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question How 1		How much confidence do you have in the way the	ne following bu	sinesses do their job?	- The trade unions
Value Label			Cases Percentage		Percentage
1	Very high	rust	41	4.0%	
2	Quite high	trust	287		28.1%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	395		38.7%
4	Quite low	rust	219		21.4%
5	Very low to	rust	79	7.7%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statisti	ics of the population of inte	rest.
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [1	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

		7 10110 111111 0 401	nesses do their job? - The Church	or Sweden
Label		Cases	Percentage	
Very high t	rust	60	5.9%	
Quite high	trust	285	27.9%	
Neither high nor low trust		405		39.7%
Quite low t	rust	164	16.1%	
Very low trust		107	10.5%	
gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	s summary statistics	of the population of interest.	
3	Quite high Neither hig Quite low to	Quite low trust  Very low trust	Quite high trust 285  Neither high nor low trust 405  Quite low trust 164  Very low trust 107  ures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics	Quite high trust  Quite high nor low trust  Quite low trust  405  Quite low trust  Very low trust  107  10.5%  ures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File: Förtroendebarometer 2011 #Q1A\_9: F.1AI Confidence in: The church of Sweden Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question How much confidence do you h		How much confidence do you have in the	way the following busine	sses do their job? - the Governi	ment
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	143	14.0%	
2	Quite high	trust	379		37.1%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	265	26.	0%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		13.7%	
5	Very low to	rust	94	9.2%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistics of	the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rar		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0				

# Q1A_11: F.1AK Confidence in: The banks						
Literal quest	tion	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Banks				
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage	
1	Very high t	Very high trust		2.7%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			22.5%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	339		33.2%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust			28.4%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		13.1	%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stati	stics of the population of intere	est.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		11.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	453		44.4%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			34.1%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		7.4%		
5	Very low to	Very low trust		2.8%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Information	ı	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1A_13: F.1AM Co	nfidence in: The Royal family
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal family

Statistics [NW/ W]

#### #Q1A\_13: F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family

[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Carallana and an analysis and					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	89	8.7%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		26.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	367	35.9%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		11.9%	
5	Very low to	rust	175	17.1%	
Warning: these	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Health care				
Value Label			Cases	es Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	107	10.5%		
2	Quite high	trust	507			49.7%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	248		24.3%	
4	Quite low t	rust	122	11.9%		
5	Very low to	rust	37	3.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary stati	stics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The local morning paper where you live				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	ge	
1	Very high t	rust	61	6.0%		
2	Quite high trust		419		41.0%	
3	Neither high nor low trust		407		39.9%	
4	Quite low trust		106	10.4%		
5	Very low trust		28	2.7%		
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		

# Q1B_1: F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party						
Literal question  If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high	Very high trust		3.9%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			17.0%	
2	Naither hi	Neither high nor low trust				27.2%

# # Q1B\_1: F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party Value Label Cases Percentage 4 Quite low trust 296 29.0% 5 Very low trust 233 22.8% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Kange: 1-5] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	
# Q1B_2: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party		
Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following	

		political parties? - Moderate Party			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	112	11.0%	
2	Quite high trust		353	34.6%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	236	23.1%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		17.3%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		14.0%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	17	1.7%	
2	Quite high	trust	38	3.7%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	137	13.4%	
4	Quite low	trust	134	13.1%	
5	Very low t	rust	695		68.1%
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
nformatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place media corporations? - Radio Sweden			nena in Sweden, how would you place	e the following	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	237	23.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	550		53.9%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		19.0%	
4	Quite low	trust	29	2.8%	
5	Very low t	rust	11	1.1%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2011		
#Q1C_1: F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden		
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	183		17.9%	
2	Quite hig	n trust	569			55.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust		218		21.4%	
4	Quite low	trust	38	3.7%		
5	Very low	trust	13	1.3%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary stat	istics of the population	on of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1C_3:	F.1CC Conf	fidence in: TV4					
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV4					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	37	3.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	328		32.1%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	464		45.4%		
4	Quite low t	rust	141	13.8%			
5	Very low to	rust	51	5.0%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary statis	tics of the population of inte	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3					
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage		
1	Very high	trust	8	0.8%			
2	Quite high trust		137	13.4%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	439		43.0%		
4	Quite low	trust	309		30.3%		
5	Very low t	rust	128	12.5%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: Förtroendebarometer 2011							
#Q1C_5: F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter							
Literal question  If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you phenomena in					ı place the following		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	79	7.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	431		42.2%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	400		39.2%		
4	Quite low	trust	88	8.6%			
5	Very low to	rust	23	2.3%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	13	1.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	122 11.9%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	358			35.1%
4	Quite low	trust	327			32.0%
5	Very low t	rust	201		19.7%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary stat	istics of the population of inte	rest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1C_7:	F.1CG Conf	fidence in: The local morning paper v	vhere you li	ve			
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - The local morning paper where you live					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	rust	75	7.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	446		43.7%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	380		37.2%		
4	Quite low t	rust	84	8.2%			
5	Very low to	rust	36	3.5%			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Val		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1D_1: F.1DA Cont	# Q1D_1: F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA			
Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - IKEA			

#### #Q1D\_1: F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA

Value         Label         Cases         Percentage           1         Very high trust         113         11.1%           2         Quite high trust         516         50.5%           3         Neither high nor low trust         317         31.0%           4         Quite low trust         56         5.5%           5         Very low trust         19         1.9%           Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.           Information           [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]           Statistics [NW/W]           [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]								
2 Quite high trust 516 50.59 3 Neither high nor low trust 317 31.0% 4 Quite low trust 56 5.5% 5 Very low trust 19 1.9% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.  Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]	Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3 Neither high nor low trust  4 Quite low trust  56 5.5%  Very low trust  19 1.9%  Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.  Information  [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]	1	Very high t	Very high trust		11.1%			
4 Quite low trust 56 5.5%  5 Very low trust 19 1.9%  Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.  Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]	2	Quite high trust		516		50.5%		
5 Very low trust 19 1.9% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.  Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		31.0%			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.  Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]	4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		5.5%			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	5	Very low to	rust	19	1.9%			
	Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.			
Statistics [NW/ W]         [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]				
	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volvo				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high trust		100	9.8%		
2	Quite high trust		487		47.7%	
3	Neither high nor low trust		353		34.6%	
4	Quite low	trust	64	6.3%		
5	Very low t	rust	17	1.7%		
Varning: these fi	igures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interes	t.	

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Ericsson				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	71	7.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	395		38.7%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	481		47.1%	
4	Quite low	rust	56	5.5%		
5	Very low to	rust	18	1.8%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1D_4: F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola						
Literal question					u place the following	
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	age	
1	Very high	Very high trust		4.3%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		17.2%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2011							
# Q1D_4:	F.1DD Conf	fidence in: Coca-Cola					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	445	43.6%			
4	Quite low t	rust	233	22.8%			
5	Very low to	rust	123	12.0%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

		idence in: Skandia						
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Skandia						
Value	Label		Cases	ses Percentage				
1	Very high trust		18	1.8%				
2	Quite high	trust	165	16.2%				
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	543		53.2%			
4	Quite low	rust	227	22.2%				
5	Very low to	rust	68	6.7%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.				
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Astra Zeneca						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high	rust	32	3.1%				
2	Quite high	trust	266		26.1%			
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				54.8%		
4	Quite low	rust	127	12.4%				
5	Very low to	rust	36	3.5%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population o	f interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1D_7: F.1DG Confidence in: SAS							
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	38	3.7%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		28.4%			
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			52.9%		
4	Quite low trust		121	11.9%			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2011							
# Q1D_7:	F.1DG Conf	fidence in: SAS					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
5	Very low to	rust	32	3.1%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stai	tistics of the population of interest.			
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [N	Missing: *]	]			
Statistics [N	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	49	4.8%				
2	Quite high	trust	312			30.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	492				48.2%	
4	Quite low	rust	141		13.8%			
5	Very low t	rust	27	2.6%				
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary stat	istics of the populati	on of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Saab					
Value	Label		Cases	Percent	age		
1	Very high	rust	14	1.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	97	9.5%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	332		32.5%		
4	Quite low	rust	292		28.6%		
5	Very low t	rust	286		28.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question If you were to use the same scal corporations? - Vattenfall		If you were to use the same scale to corporations? - Vattenfall	assess some other phenom	nena in Sweden, how would y	ou place the following
Value	Label	Cases		Percen	tage
1	Very high	trust	22	2.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	134	13.1%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	410		40.2%
4	Quite low	trust	304		29.8%
5	Very low t	rust	151	14.8%	

File: Förtroend	debarometer 2011					
# Q1D_10: F.1DJ Con	# Q1D_10: F.1DJ Confidence in: Vattenfall					
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high	trust	10	1.0%				
2	Quite high	trust	112	11.0%				
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	304		29.8%			
4	Quite low	trust	353		34.6%			
5	Very low t	rust	242		23.7%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.				
Information [Type: discrete] [Fo		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1D_12:	F.1DL Cor	nfidence in: TeliaSonera					
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high t	rust	34	3.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	228		22.3%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	508			49.8%	
4	Quite low t	rust	194	1	9.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	57	5.6%			
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	tics of the population of	interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

-   '		If you were to use the same scale to assess authorities? - The Swedish Public Emplo		na in Sweden, how would you pl	lace the following	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	20	2.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	119	11.7%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	373		36.5%	
4	Quite low	trust	309		30.3%	
5	Very low t	rust	200	19.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary statistic	es of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rar		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1E_2: F	.1EB Conf	idence in: The Swedish Social Insurance	ce Agency	7			
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high t	trust	23	2.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	162	15.9%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	355	34.5		34.8%	
4	Quite low t	rust	282			27.6%	
5	Very low to	rust	199		19.5%		
Warning: these figu	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of in	terest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The tax authorities				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	115	11.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	471		46.1%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	349		34.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	62	6.1%		
5	Very low to	rust	24	2.4%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - aftonbladet.se				
Value Label		Cases		Cases Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	11	1.1%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		11.7%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	350		34.3%	
4	Quite low	rust	209		20.5%	
5	Very low t	rust	210		20.6%	
9	No opinion	ı	122	11.9%	)	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of inter	est.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 9] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1C2_2: F.1FB Confidence in: Google						
Literal question			ther phenon	nena in Sweden, how would you place the following		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	93	9.1%		

# Q1C2_2	2: F.1FB Con	fidence in: Google			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	e
2	Quite high	trust	392		38.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust		374		36.6%
4	Quite low t	rust	77	7.5%	
5	Very low to	rust	29	2.8%	
9	No opinion	1	56	5.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-9] [	Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Facebook					
Value Label			Cases	Cases Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	15	1.5%			
2	Quite high trust		124		12.1%		
3	Neither high nor low trust		331			32.4%	
4	Quite low	trust	211		20.7%		
5	Very low t	rust	157		15.4%		
9	No opinior	1	183		17.9%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of	interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rat		ange: 1- 9] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [I		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Wikipedia						
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percentage				
1	Very high	trust	45	4.4%				
2	Quite high	trust	271		26.5%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	384		37.6%			
4	Quite low	trust	130	12.7%				
5	Very low t	rust	65	6.4%				
9	No opinion	1	126	12.3%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of intere	st.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	ange: 1- 9] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1				

# Q2_1: F.2A Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The Government						
Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on the Government?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Reporting t	far too negative	14	1.4%		
2	-4		26	2.5%		
3	-3		60	5.9%		

# Q2_1: F	F.2A Opinion	on Swedish mass media reporting: Th	e Govern	ment	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
4	-2		71	7.0%	
5	-1	-1		9.6%	
6	0 points	0 points			44.2%
7	+1		79	7.7%	
8	+2		81	7.9%	
9	+3		67	6.6%	
10	+4		29	2.8%	
11	Reporting	far too positive	45	4.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11]	[Missing: *	]	
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q2_2: F	7.2B Opinion	on Swedish mass media r	eporting: The Royal fami	ily		
Literal que	stion	In general, what do you think of	the Swedish mass media reporting	g on The Royal family?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Reporting	far too negative	57	5.6%		
2	-4		61	6.0%		
3	-3		112	11.0%		
4	-2		122	11.9%		
5	-1		116	11.4%		
6	0 points		268	26.2%		
7	+1		65	6.4%		
8	+2		64	6.3%		
9	+3		47	4.6%		
10	+4		37	3.6%		
11	Reporting	far too positive	72	7.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They c	annot be interpreted as summary statistics of	of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeri	c] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	(W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q2_3: F	# Q2_3: F.2C Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The banks						
Literal ques	stion	In general, what do you think of	of the Swedish mass media repo	orting on The Banks?			
Value	ue Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Reporting t	far too negative	6	0.6%			
2	-4		17	1.7%			
3	-3		32	3.1%			
4	-2		45	4.4%			
5	-1		76	7.4%			
6	0 points		478		46.8%		
7	+1		133	13.0%			
8	+2		116	11.4%			
9	+3		66	6.5%			
10	+4		27	2.6%			

# Q2_3: F.2C Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The banks						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
11	Reporting t	Reporting far too positive		2.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11]	[Missing: *	·]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Immigrants?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Reporting	far too negative	38	3.7%		
2	-4		32	3.1%		
3	-3		59	5.8%		
4	-2		107	10.5%		
5	-1		99	9.7%		
6	0 points		438		42.9%	
7	+1		70	6.9%		
8	+2		68	6.7%		
9	+3		38	3.7%		
10	+4		31	3.0%		
11	Reporting	far too positive	41	4.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	ange: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid:		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Health care?				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Reporting	far too negative	29		2.8%	
2	-4		44		4.3%	
3	-3		93	9.1%		
4	-2		153	15.0%		
5	-1		156		15.3%	
6	0 points		387			37.9%
7	+1		66		6.5%	
8	+2		53		5.2%	
9	+3		24	2.4%		
10	+4		8	0.8%		
11 Reporting far too positive		8	0.8	3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They can	not be interpreted as summary stati	istics of	the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-11] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q2_6: F	7.2F Opinion	on Swedish mass media reporting: T	he trade u	nions
Literal que	Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swedish r		ss media repo	orting on The trade unions?
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Reporting far too negative		19	1.9%
2	-4		22	2.2%
3	-3		39	3.8%
4	-2		65	6.4%
5	-1		82	8.0%
6	0 points		563	55.1%
7	+1		92	9.0%
8	+2		70	6.9%
9	+3		34	3.3%
10	+4		23	2.3%
11 Reporting far too positive		12	1.2%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1	1] [Missing: *	*]
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Literal question In general, what do you think of		In general, what do you think of the	Swedish mass media repo	orting on Homosexuals?	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Reporting	g far too negative	20	2.0%	
2	-4		20	2.0%	
3	-3		36	3.5%	
4	-2		45	4.4%	
5	-1		59	5.8%	
6	0 points		646	63.3	
7	+1		55	5.4%	
8	+2		52	5.1%	
9	+3		43	4.2%	
10	+4		20	2.0%	
11	Reporting far too positive		25	2.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cann	ot be interpreted as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [	Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question In general, what do you think of the		of the Swedish mass media reporting on the EU?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Reporting	far too negative	10	1.0%	
2	-4		10	1.0%	
3	-3		22	2.2%	
4	-2		61	6.0%	
5	-1		84	8.2%	
6	0 points		508		49.8%

# Q2_8: F	.2H Opinion	on Swedish mass media reporting: Th	e EU		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
7	+1	+1		10.0%	
8	+2		104	10.2%	
9	+3		52	5.1%	
10	+4		27	2.6%	
11	Reporting t	far too positive	41	4.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [T		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# Q2_9: F	# Q2_9: F.2I Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Islam						
Literal que	Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swed			rting on Islam?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Reporting	far too negative	26	2.5%			
2	-4		28	2.7%			
3	-3		56	5.5%			
4	-2		68	6.7%			
5	-1		103	10.1%			
6	0 points		489	47.9%			
7	+1		59	5.8%			
8	+2		67	6.6%			
9	+3		48	4.7%			
10	+4		32	3.1%			
11	11 Reporting far too positive		45	4.4%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreter	d as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1	1] [Missing: *]	1			
Statistics [N	NW/W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on Christianity?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Reporting	far too negative	26	2.5%		
2	-4		22	2.2%		
3	-3		40	3.9%		
4	-2		53	5.2%		
5	-1		75	7.3%		
6	0 points		638		62.5%	
7	+1		54	5.3%		
8	+2		45	4.4%		
9	+3		32	3.1%		
10	+4		19	1.9%		
11	Reporting far too positive		17	1.7%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They ca	nnot be interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		

### # Q2\_10: F.2J Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Christianity Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

# Q3_1: F License F	-	on futre radio and television:	Fund Swedish Tele	evision with taxes, not	with the TV	
Literal que	stion	What is your opinion on the following Television with taxes, not with the TV		future of radio and television?	- Fund Swedish	
Value	Label	Label Cas		Percentage		
1	Very good	proposal	378		37.0%	
2	Rather goo	od proposal	302		29.6%	
3	Neither good nor bad proposal		135	13.2%		
4	Rather bac	l proposal	91	8.9%		
5	Very bad 1	proposal	82	8.0%		
9	No opinion		33	3.2%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		nnge: 1- 9] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		What is your opinion on the following proposals regarding the future of radio and television? - Let Swedish Television send only narrow programs, and not compete with commercial entertainment and sports channels				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very good proposal		56	5.5%		
2	Rather good proposal		64	6.3%		
3	Neither go	od nor bad proposal	152	14.9%		
4	Rather bad	proposal	233	22.8%		
5	Very bad p	proposal	493		48.3%	
9	No opinion	1	23	2.3%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rat		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 9] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		What is your opinion on the following proposals regarding the future of radio and television? - Retain existing orientation of Swedish Television				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very good	proposal	268		26.2%	
2	Rather goo	d proposal	383		37.5%	
3	Neither go	od nor bad proposal	232		22.7%	
4	Rather bad	proposal	66	6.5%		
5	Very bad p	roposal	51	5.0%		
9	No opinion		21	2.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of intere	est.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rans		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 9] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

#### #Q3\_3: F.3C Opinion on futre radio and television: Retain existing orientation of Swedish Television

Literal que	stion	Which party do you like best today?				
Value	Label		Cases	Domontogo		
vaiue	Labei		Cases	Percentage		
1	Social Der	nocrats	169	16.6%		
2	Moderate	Party	331		32.4%	
3	Center Party		38	3.7%		
4	Liberal Party		62	6.1%		
5	Christian Democrats		21	2.1%		
6	Left Party		67	6.6%		
7	Green Part	y	129	12.6%		
8	Sweden D	emocrats	56	5.5%		
9	Other part	1	7	0.7%		
10	None of th	e above	131	12.8%		
11	Pirate Party		10	1.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	- 11] [Missing: *	[]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q5B: F.4	4B Closest p	olitical party				
Literal ques	tion	Which political party do you lean towards?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Social Den	nocrats	21	16.0%		
2	Moderate I	Party	8	6.1%		
3	Center Par	y	2	1.5%		
4	Liberal Party		1	0.8%		
5	Christian D	Democrats	3	2.3%		
6	Left Party		11	8.4%		
7	Green Part	y	7	5.3%		
8	Sweden De	emocrats	5	3.8%		
9	Other party	7	2	1.5%		
10	None of the	e above	69	52.7%		
11	Pirate Part	y	2	1.5%		
Sysmiss	Sysmiss		890			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11	] [Missing: *	*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 131 /-] [Invalid: 890 /-]				

# Q6: F.5 Current family category						
Literal question If you had to describe your current family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Working-c	Working-class family		35.2%		
2	Agricultural family		20	2.0%		

# Q6: F.5 Current family category							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3	Civil servant's family		441		43.2%		
4	Higher civi	Higher civil servant's family		11.1%			
5	Industrialis	dustrialist family		8.6%			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [N	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

#PNR: F.6 ZIP code							
		Respondentens svarsalternativ anges ej på grund av risk för bakvägsidentifikation.					
Literal ques	tion	What is your ZIP code?					
Value	Label		Cases Percentage				
0	No informa	ation	1021		100.0%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 0] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# UTB: F.7 Education level								
Literal ques	stion	What is your highest level of completed education	?					
Value	Label	Cases Percentage		Percentage				
1	Primary/El	ementary school	71	7.0%				
2	Primary/El	ementary school - training	53	5.2%				
3	Junior seco	ondary school/Girls' school	6	0.6%				
4		Junior secondary school/Girls' school - aiming at upper secondary school		2.3%				
5	2 year uppo	er secondary school education/High school degree	123	12.0%				
6	3-4 year up degree	3-4 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		25.7%				
7	Post-secon	dary education/university/college	481		47.1%			
8	No educati	on	2	0.2%				
9	No answer		0					
Warning: these j	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-8]		Missing: *]	]				
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# PERSINKOMST: F.8 Income						
Literal question What is your personal income per month?						
Value	Label Cases Percentage					
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	114	11.2%		
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	90	8.8%		
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	95	9.3%		
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	167	16.4%		
5	25001-300	25001-30000 SEK per month 162				
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	130	12.7%		

# PERSIN	KOMST: F	.8 Income					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
7	35001-4000	00 SEK per month	67	6.6%			
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	33	3.2%			
9	45001-500	45001-50000 SEK per month		2.3%			
10	more than 5	more than 50000 SEK per month		4.7%			
11				9.0%			
99	Do not kno	w/do not want to state	0				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discr		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# HHINK	COMST: F.9	Household's income			
Literal question What is your household's income per mo			month?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	44	4.3%	
2	10001-150	000 SEK per month	35	3.4%	
3	15001-200	000 SEK per month	44	4.3%	
4	20001-250	000 SEK per month	82		8.0%
5	25001-300	000 SEK per month	73		7.1%
6	30001-350	000 SEK per month	74		7.2%
7	35001-400	000 SEK per month	85	8.3%	
8	40001-450	000 SEK per month	78	7.6%	
9	45001-500	000 SEK per month	68		6.7%
10	50001-550	000 SEK per month	70		6.9%
11	55001-600	000 SEK per month	62	6	5.1%
12	60001-650	000 SEK per month	48	4.7%	
13	65001-700	000 SEK per month	48	4.7%	
14	more than	70000 SEK per month	91		8.9%
15			119		11.7%
99	Do not kno	ow/do not want to state	0		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot i	be interpreted as summary statistics of	the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		ange: 1- 15] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 1021 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				