

Institutional Trust 2010

Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	April 2, 2014
Identification	SND0960-001

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage	<u>4</u>
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling	4
Data Collection.	
Accessibility.	
File Description(s)	
Förtroendebarometer 2010.	
Variable Group(s)	
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables.	
Questions in web survey	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2010.	

Institutional Trust 2010

Institutional Trust 2010

Overview	
Identification	SND0960-001

Abstract

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Sifo and involved 1000 individuals who answered a web survey between October 15 and 19, 2010. The survey comprised 38 institutions/ companies/media companies and political parties. The 2010 survey also included questions about how people perceive and would like the modern city to be, and where they would like to live.

Kind of Data Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar	
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia	
Topics	opics massmedia, POLITIK	
Time Period(s)	me Period(s) 2010	
Countries	<u>Countries</u> Sverige	
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation	
Other Producer(s) Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen MedieAkademin TNS Sifo		

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection	
Data Collection start 2010-10-15 Dates end 2010-10-19	
Data CollectionSjälvadministrerat frågeformulär: Webb-baseratMode	

Data Collector(s)	TNS Sifo
Data Concetor(s)	110 510

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

$File\ Description(s)$

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2010	
Cases	1000
Variable(s)	77

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0960	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0960-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 2.1	-
4	RESPONSE	responseid	-

Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	WEIGHT	Weight	-
2	GENDER	Sex	-
3	ACTUALAGE	Age	-
4	REGION	Region	-
5	Q5C	Political parties (merged)	-

#	Name	Label	Question
1	Q1A_10	F.1AA Confidence in: The Government	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Government
2	Q1A_7	F.1AB Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press
3	Q1A_1	F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Parliament
4	Q1A_11	F.1AD Confidence in: The banks	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Banks
5	Q1A_6	F.1AE Confidence in: Radio/TV	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Radio/TV
6	Q1A_5	F.1AF Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Big business
7	Q1A_4	F.1AG Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Universities
8	Q1A_8	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The trade unions
9	Q1A_3	F.1AI Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties
10	Q1A_9	F.1AJ Confidence in: The Church of Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden
11	Q1A_2	F.1AK Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission
12	Q1A_12	F.1AL Confidence in: The Swedish Central Bank	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank

#	Name	Label	Question
13	Q1A_13	F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal family
14	Q1B_1	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
15	Q1B_2	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Moderate Party
16	Q1B_3	F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats
17	Q1B_4	F.1BD Confidence in: Pirate Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Pirate party
18	Q1C_1	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Radio Sweden
19	Q1C_2	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television
20	Q1C_3	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV4
21	Q1C_4	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3
22	Q1C_5	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Dagens Nyheter
23	Q1C_6	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet
24	Q1C2_1	F.1DA Confidence in: Aftonbladet.se	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - aftonbladet.se
25	Q1C2_2	F.1DB Confidence in: Newsmill.se	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - Newsmill.se
26	Q1C2_3	F.1DC Confidence in: Sourze.se	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - Sourze.se
27	Q1D_1	F.1EA Confidence in: IKEA	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - IKEA
28	Q1D_2	F.1EB Confidence in: Volvo	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volvo
29	Q1D_3	F.1EC Confidence in: Ericsson	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Ericsson
30	Q1D_4	F.1ED Confidence in: Coca-Cola	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Coca-Cola

#	Name	Label	Question
31	QID_5	F.1EE Confidence in: Skandia	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Skandia
32	Q1D_6	F.1EF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Astra Zeneca
33	Q1D_7	F.1EG Confidence in: SAS	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS
34	Q1D_8	F.1EH Confidence in: H&M	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M
35	Q1D_9	F.1EI Confidence in: Saab	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Saab
36	Q1D_10	F.1EJ Confidence in: Vattenfall	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Vattenfall
37	Q1D_11	F.1EK Confidence in: SJ	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ
38	Q1D_12	F.1EL Confidence in: TeliaSonera	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera
39	STAD1	F.2 Where you would like to live	If you could choose freely, where would you like to live?
40	STAD2_1	F.3A Opinion on: Norrköping	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Norrköping
41	STAD2_2	F.3B Opinion on: Gothenburg	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Gothenburg
42	STAD2_3	F.3C Opinion on: Halmstad	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Halmstad
43	STAD2_4	F.3D Opinion on: Jönköping	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Jönköping
44	STAD2_5	F.3E Opinion on: Kalmar	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Kalmar
45	STAD2_6	F.3F Opinion on: Linköping	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Linköping
46	STAD2_7	F.3G Opinion on: Malmö	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Malmö
47	STAD2_8	F.3H Opinion on: Stockholm	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Stockholm
48	STAD2_9	F.3I Opinion on: Umeå	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Umeå
49	STAD2_10	F.3J Opinion on: Örebro	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Örebro
50	STAD2_11	F.3K Opinion on: Östersund	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Östersund
51	STAD2_12	F.3L Opinion on: Luleå	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Luleå
52	STAD2_13	F.3M Opinion on: Helsingborg	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Helsingborg

#	Name	Label	Question
53	STAD2_14	F.3N Opinion on: Karlskrona	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Karlskrona
54	STAD2_15	F.3O Opinion on: Karlstad	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Karlstad
55	STAD3_1	F.4A Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: A rich social life	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - A rich social life
56	STAD3_2	F.4B Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Involvement in local issues	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Involvement in local issues
57	STAD3_3	F.4C Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Openness for new ideas	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Openness for new ideas
58	STAD3_4	F.4G Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Compassion	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Compassion
59	STAD3_5	F.4H Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Entrepreneurship	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Entrepreneurship
60	STAD3_6	F.4I Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Work ethics	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Work ethics
61	STAD3_7	F.4J Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: High quality of life	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - High quality of life
62	Q5A	F.5A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?
63	Q5B	F.5B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
64	Q6	F.6 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?
65	PNR	F.7 ZIP code	What is your ZIP code?
66	UTB	F.8 Education level	What is your highest level of completed education?
67	PERSINKOMST	F.9 Income	What is your personal income per month?
68	HHINKOMST	F.10 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 77 variable(s)

File: F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2010					
# SND_stu	# SND_studie: SND-studie 0960					
	SND-studie 0960: Förtroendebarometer 2010					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
960	SND 0960		1000		100.0%	
Warning: these f	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 960- 960] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

#SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0960-001					
	SND-dataset 0960-001: Förtroendebarometer 2010				
Value	Value Label Cases Percentage			Percentage	
1	SND 0960-	001	1000		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

#SND_version: SND version 2.1					
	SND version 2.0, april 2014				
Value Label Cases Percentage			Percentage		
2	Version 2.1		1000	100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as st	ımmary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# RESPO	#RESPONSE: responseid			
		Respondent-ID		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
2			1	0.1%
3			1	0.1%
4			1	0.1%
6			1	0.1%
7			1	0.1%
8			1	0.1%
9			1	0.1%
10			1	0.1%
11			1	0.1%
13			1	0.1%
15			1	0.1%
16			1	0.1%
17			1	0.1%
18			1	0.1%
19			1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
.2		1	0.1%
.3		1	0.1%
.4		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
.7		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
.0		1	0.1%
1 1		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
-6		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
.9		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
i6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53 54		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10 11		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
112		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54			0.1%
55 55		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39			0.1%
90		1	0.1%
		1	
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
200		1	0.1%
201		1	0.1%
202		1	0.1%
203		1	0.1%
204		1	0.1%
205		1	0.1%
206		1	0.1%
207		1	0.1%
208		1	0.1%
209		1	0.1%
210		1	0.1%
211		1	0.1%
212		1	0.1%
214		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
:17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
222		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
229		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
.32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
235		1	0.1%
236		1	0.1%
237		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
240		1	0.1%
241		1	0.1%
242		1	0.1%
244 245		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
246		1	0.1%
.47		1	0.1%
248		1	0.1%
249		1	0.1%
250		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
252		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
255		1	0.1%
256		1	0.1%
257		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
259		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
.62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
82		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
83 84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
290		1	0.1%
.91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
.93		1	0.1%
.94		1	0.1%
.95		1	0.1%
.96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
.98		1	0.1%
.99		1	0.1%
000		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34			0.1%
35 35		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
336		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
82		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
383		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
23 24			0.1%
		1	
25 26		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
67			0.1%
68 68		1	
		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
70 71		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
172		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
82		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
)5		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10			0.1%
		1	
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15 17		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
519		1	0.1%
520		1	0.1%
521		1	0.1%
522		1	0.1%
523		1	0.1%
524		1	0.1%
525		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
527		1	0.1%
528		1	0.1%
529		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
i60		1	0.1%
			0.1%
62		1	
663 664		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
565		1	0.1%
666		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
82		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
)2			0.1%
03		1	0.1%
		1	
04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
515		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
524		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
562		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
)5		1	0.1%
)6		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
707		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
1 9 50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
752		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
			0.1%
96 97		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
798		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
12 12		1	0.1%
+2 43		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
344		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36 36		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
387		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
23 24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
			0.1%
26 27		1	
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30 31		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
032		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
59			0.1%
70		1	
		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74 75		1	0.1% 0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
976		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
982		1	0.1%
183		1	0.1%
984		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
986		1	0.1%
987		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
000		1	0.1%
001		1	0.1%
002		1	0.1%
003		1	0.1%
004		1	0.1%
005		1	0.1%
006		1	0.1%
007		1	0.1%
008		1	0.1%
009		1	0.1%
010		1	0.1%
011		1	0.1%
012		1	0.1%
013		1	0.1%
014		1	0.1%
015		1	0.1%
016		1	0.1%
017		1	0.1%
018		1	0.1%
019		1	0.1%
020		1	0.1%
020		1	0.1%
021		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010

# RESPONSE:	responseid
-------------	------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1023		1	0.1%
1024		1	0.1%
026		1	0.1%
027		1	0.1%
028		1	0.1%
1029		1	0.1%
030		1	0.1%
1031		1	0.1%
032		1	0.1%
1033		1	0.1%
034		1	0.1%
.035		1	0.1%
036		1	0.1%
038		1	0.1%
039		1	0.1%
040		1	0.1%
042		1	0.1%
.043		1	0.1%
044		1	0.1%
.045		1	0.1%
046		1	0.1%
047		1	0.1%
048		1	0.1%
050		1	0.1%
051		1	0.1%
052		1	0.1%
053		1	0.1%
054		1	0.1%
055		1	0.1%
056			0.1%
		1	
057		1	0.1%
058		1	0.1%
059		1	0.1%
060		1	0.1%
061		1	0.1%
.062		1	0.1%
1063		1	0.1%
064		1	0.1%
.065		1	0.1%

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 1065] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

*WEIGHT: Weight			
	Viktvariabel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.40858		14	1.4%
0.44612		11	1.1%
.47623		19	1.9%
.50015		21	2.1%
.50346		6	0.6%
.51774		26	2.6%
.54971		15	1.5%
.57593		12	1.2%
.58681		21	2.1%
.60825		31	3.1%
.61629		15	1.5%
.62243		20	2.0%
.63796		21	2.1%
.66133		18	1.8%
.66413		15	1.5%
.70896		24	2.4%
.70967		3	0.3%
.72208		16	1.6%
.74458		29	2.9%
.76696		23	2.3%
.77076		30	3.0%
.77082		24	2.4%
.80955		28	2.8%
.83801		25	2.5%
.85739		18	1.8%
.90507		12	1.2%
.92034		11	1.1%
.92661		27	2.7%
.93221		12	1.2%
.98822		9	0.9%
.0049		12	1.2%
.00746		32	3.29
.05492		22	2.2%
.07272		28	2.8%
.10792		22	2.2%
.12662		16	1.6%
.13142		7	0.7%
.14688		29	2.9%
.16623		22	2.2%
.23536		6	0.6%
.27579		8	0.8%

6

0.6%

1.29093

File : Förtr	oendebarometer 201	10		
# WEIGHT: We	eight			
Value La	bel	Cases	Per	centage
1.29731		15	1.5	%
1.31873		9	0.9%	
1.36371		6	0.6%	
1.37878		19		1.9%
1.38499		8	0.8%	
1.40205		19		1.9%
1.40952		6	0.6%	
1.43369		13	1.3%	
1.48899		4	0.4%	
1.50465		6	0.6%	
1.58025		5	0.5%	
1.58948		12	1.2%	
1.59484		6	0.6%	
1.61107		6	0.6%	
1.63582		12	1.2%	
1.66935		10	1.0%	
1.72359		9	0.9%	
1.72805		9	0.9%	
1.75908		6	0.6%	
1.81968		2	0.2%	
1.8778		9	0.9%	
1.92228		1	0.1%	
1.96659		12	1.2%	
1.97215		3	0.3%	
2.04149		8	0.8%	
2.07747		8	0.8%	
2.27096		2	0.2%	
2.45429 Warning: these figures indi	cate the number of cases found in the data file.	9 They cannot be interpreted as summary statist	0.9% tics of the population of interest.	
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: nu	umeric] [Range: 0.409- 2.454] [Miss	sing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-1 [Invalid: 0	/-] [Mean: 1 /-] [StdDev: 0.423 /-]		

# GENDE	ER: Sex			
		Kön		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Man		513	51.3%
2	Woman		487	48.7%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	stics of the population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2]	Missing: *]	
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010

#	A	CT	ľU	\mathbf{A}	LA	GI	Ξ:	A	ge

Ålder

Value Label Cases Percent 16 4 0.4% 17 6 0.6%	tage
17 6 0.6%	
0.070	
18 12 1.2%	
9 0.9%	
20 9 0.9%	
21 14 1.4%	
22 13 1.3%	
23 15 1.5%	
24 17 1.7%	
25 3 0.3%	
26 8 0.8%	
27 8 0.8%	
28 15 1.5%	
29 15 1.5%	
30 8 0.8%	
31 10 1.0%	
32 11 1.1%	
33 16 1.6%	
34 7 0.7%	
35 13 1.3%	
36 17 1.7%	
37 11 1.1%	
38 12 1.2%	
39 23	2.3%
40 14 1.4%	
41 16 1.6%	
42 21 2	2.1%
43 16 1.6%	
44 20 2.0	0%
45 21 2	2.1%
46 16 1.6%	
47 15 1.5%	
48 9 0.9%	
	0%
50 18 1.8%	
51 21 2	2.1%
52 19 1.99	
53 25	2.5%
	2.2%
55 27	2.7%
56 33	3.3%
57 15 1.5%	

# ACTUAI	LAGE: Ag	e			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
58			25	2.	5%
59			18	1.8%	
60			19	1.9%	
61			24	2.4	%
62			18	1.8%	
63			19	1.9%	
64			26	2	2.6%
65			27		2.7%
66			27		2.7%
67			31		3.1%
68			35		3.5%
69			33		3.3%
70			22	2.2%	
71			10	1.0%	
72			20	2.0%	
73			16	1.6%	
74			6	0.6%	
larning: these fig	gures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. Th	ey cannot be interpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.	

# REGIO	N: Region			
		Region		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm	urban area	178	17.8%
2	Eastern mi	dst of Sweden	174	17.4%
3	Småland (i	slands included)	79	7.9%
4	Southern S	weden	157	15.7%
5	Western S	weden	195	19.59
6	Northern r	nidst of Sweden	100	10.0%
7	Middle and	l northern Sweden	117	11.7%
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	inge: 1- 7] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# Q5C: Political parties (merged)						
	Konstruerad variabel: Sammanslagning av variablerna Partisympati och Närmaste parti					
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage	
1	Social Den	nocrats	219		21.9%	
2	Moderate I	Party	354			35.4%
3	Center Par	ty	36	3.6%		

File: F	Förtroend	lebarometer 2010		
# Q5C: Po	olitical parti	es (merged)		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
4	Liberal Par	ty	100	10.0%
5	Christian I	Democrats	33	3.3%
6	Left Party		54	5.4%
7	Green Part	Green Party		10.4%
8	Sweden De	emocrats	53	5.3%
9	Other party	,	11	1.1%
10	None of the	e above	27	2.7%
11	Pirate Party	y .	9	0.9%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# Q1A_10:	F.1AA Cor	nfidence in: The Government			
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Government				nt	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	171	17.1%	
2	Quite high	trust	454		45.4%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	206	20.6%	
4	Quite low t	rust	119	11.9%	
5	Very low to	rust	50	5.0%	
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistic	es of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW	V/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# Q1A_7:]	F.1AB Conf	idence in: The daily press			
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press					b? - The daily press
Value Label			Cases		Percentage
1	Very high t	rust	12	1.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	279		27.9%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	417		41.7%
4	Quite low t	rust	237		23.7%
5	Very low to	rust	55	5.5%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary stat	tistics of the population of in	nterest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_1: F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Parliament					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	79	7.9%	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010 #Q1A_1: F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament Value Label Cases Percentage Quite high trust 475 47.5% 306 30.6% Neither high nor low trust 4 104 10.4% Quite low trust 5 Very low trust 36 3.6% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way th	e following bu	sinesses do their jo	b? - The Banks	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	40	4.0%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				33.4%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	372			37.2%
4	Quite low	rust	196		19.6%	
5	Very low t	rust	58	5.8%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statisti	ics of the population of in	terest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:			[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Radio/TV				
Value	Label	abel Cases		Percenta	ge	
1	Very high	rust	34	3.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	451		45.1%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	384		38.4%	
4	Quite low t	rust	108	10.8%		
5	Very low to	rust	23	2.3%		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question How mu		How much confidence do you have is	n the way the following bu	usinesses do their job? - Bi	g business	
Value Label			Cases	Perce	entage	
1	Very high	trust	21	2.1%		
2	Quite high	trust	285		28.5%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	459			45.9%
4	Quite low	trust	188	18.8%		
5	Very low t	rust	47	4.7%		

File: Förtroend	File: Förtroendebarometer 2010					
# Q1A_5: F.1AF Conf	[‡] Q1A_5: F.1AF Confidence in: Big business					
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Universities				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	97	9.7%		
2	Quite high	trust	547			54.7%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	315		31.5%	
4	Quite low	trust	34	3.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	7	0.7%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	oreted as summary stati	stics of the population of in	terest.	
nformatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The trade unions				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	44	4.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	250		25.0%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	362		36.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	243		24.3%	
5	Very low t	rust	101	10.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	stics of the population of inter	rest.	
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties				
Value	Label				Percentage	
1	Very high	rust	10	1.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	212		21.2%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	503			50.3%
4	Quite low	rust	222		22.2%	
5	Very low to	rust	53	5.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary stat	istics of the population	of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1A_9: F.1AJ Conf	idence in: The Church of Sweden
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010 #Q1A_9: F.1AJ Confidence in: The Church of Sweden Value Label Cases Percentage Very high trust 52 5.2% Quite high trust 26.7% 267 3 Neither high nor low trust 414 41.4% 4 Quite low trust 162 16.2% 5 105 10.5% Very low trust Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]

[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Information

Statistics [NW/ W]

			How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission				
Label		Cases		es Percentage			
Very high to	rust	25	2.5%				
Quite high t	rust	227		22.7%			
Neither high nor low trust		472			47.2%		
Quite low tr	ust	189	18.9%				
Very low tru	ıst	87	8.7%				
s indicate the nu	mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statis	tics of the population of	interest.			
	Very high tr Quite high t Neither high Quite low tr Very low tru	Very high trust Quite high trust Neither high nor low trust Quite low trust Very low trust	Very high trust 25 Quite high trust 227 Neither high nor low trust 472 Quite low trust 189 Very low trust 87	Very high trust 25 2.5% Quite high trust 227 Neither high nor low trust 472 Quite low trust 189 Very low trust 87 8.7%	Very high trust 25 2.5% Quite high trust 227 22.7% Neither high nor low trust 472 Quite low trust 189 18.9%		

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank					
Value	Label		Cases	s Percentage			
1	Very high trust		146		14.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	482				48.2%
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	303			30.3%	
4	Quite low	trust	47	4.7%			
5	Very low to	rust	22	2.2%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statis	tics of the popul	ation of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_13:	# Q1A_13: F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal fa							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high trust		153	15.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	345	34.5	5%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	306	30.6%			
4	Quite low t	rust	104	10.4%			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010						
# Q1A_13	3: F.1AM Co	nfidence in: The Royal family				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
5	Very low to	rust	92	9.2%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party				
Value	Label Case	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	64	6.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	262		26.2%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	291		29.1%	
4	Quite low	trust	234		23.4%	
5	Very low t	rust	149	14.	9%	
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statistics of	f the population of interest.		
nformation	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Moderate Party			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	136	13.6%	
2	Quite high	trust	413		41.3%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	234	23.49	6
4	Quite low	rrust	119	11.9%	
5	Very low t	rust	98	9.8%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Q12_0.	Tilbe com	fidence in: Sweden Democrats	,		
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	15	1.5%	
2	Quite high	trust	40	4.0%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	132	13.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	123	12.3%	
5	Very low trust		690		69.0%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010				
# Q1B_3: F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Pirate party				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	11	1.1%		
2	Quite high trust		33	3.3%		
3	Neither high nor low trust		158	15.8%		
4	Quite low	trust	224	22.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	574		57.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Radio Sweden				
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	ge	
1	Very high	rust	233	23.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	533		53.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	193	19.3%		
4	Quite low	rust	30	3.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	11	1.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	185	18.5%		
2	Quite high trust		557		55.7%	
3	Neither high nor low trust		206	20.6%		
4	Quite low	trust	35	3.5%		
5	Very low trust		17	1.7%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010					
# Q1C_3:	F.1CC Conf	fidence in: TV4			
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assemedia corporations? - TV4			ne other phenome	ena in Sweden, how would y	ou place the following
Value	Label		Cases	Percen	ntage
1	Very high	trust	39	3.9%	
2	Quite high	trust	347		34.7%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	430		43.0%
4	Quite low t	rust	134	13.4%	
5	Very low to	rust	50	5.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3				
Value	Label		Cases	Per	centage	
1	Very high	trust	8	0.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	124	12.4%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	446		44.6%	
4	Quite low	rust	319		31.9%	
5	Very low to	rust	103	10.3%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Dagens Nyheter				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	86	8.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	430		43.0%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	361		36.1%	
4	Quite low	trust	88	8.8%		
5	Very low t	rust	35	3.5%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1C_6: F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet				
Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010

O1C 6: F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet

Q10_v11101 communic in 1110mmmut					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.3%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		13.2%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		39.5	5%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		29.7%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		16.3%	
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		Missing: *]]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Q1C2_1: F.1DA Confidence in: Aftonbladet.se

Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - aftonbladet.se

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	trust	21	2.1%
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		13.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust		362	36.2%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		18.0%
5	Very low to	Very low trust		15.8%
9	No opinion	ı	145	14.5%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-9]	[Missing: *]	
Statistics []	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1			

Q1C2_2: F.1DB Confidence in: Newsmill.se

If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - Newsmill se Literal question

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust		2	0.2%	
2	Quite high trust		25	2.5%	
3	Neither high nor lo	v trust	128	12.8%	
4	Quite low trust	Quite low trust		6.0%	
5	Very low trust		45	4.5%	
9	No opinion		740		74.0%
Narning: these	figures indicate the number of c	ases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary state	istics of the population of interest.	

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Q1C2_3: F.1DC Confidence in: Sourze.se

Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online news and discussion sites? - Sourze.se

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010

#Q1C2_3: F.1DC Confidence in: Sourze.se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high trust	1	0.1%		
2	Quite high trust	6	0.6%		
3	Neither high nor low trust	111	11.1%		
4	Quite low trust	54	5.4%		
5	Very low trust	39	3.9%		
9	No opinion	789		78.9%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They can	nnot be interpreted as summary state	tistics of the population of interest.		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Q1D_1: F.1EA Confidence in: IKEA

Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following
	corporations? - IKEA

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	209	20.9%
2	Quite high trust	567	56.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	193	19.3%
4	Quite low trust	26	2.6%
5	Very low trust	5	0.5%
Warning: these figu	res indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.

 Information
 [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

#Q1D_2: F.1EB Confidence in: Volvo

Literal questionIf you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volvo

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	120	12.0%	
2	Quite high trust	540		54.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	300	30.0%	
4	Quite low trust	33	3.3%	
5	Very low trust	7	0.7%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

#Q1D_3: F.1EC Confidence in: Ericsson

Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following
	corporations? - Ericsson

Ī	Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
	1	Very high trust	88	8.8%

File: F	'örtroend	lebarometer 2010				
# Q1D_3:	F.1EC Conf	idence in: Ericsson				
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage	
2	Quite high	trust	499			49.9%
3	Neither hig	either high nor low trust			35.2%	
4	Quite low t	rust	51	5.1%		
5	Very low to	rust	10	1.0%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of intere	est.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	l		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal que	stion	If you were to use the same scale to assess som corporations? - Coca-Cola	e other phenome	na in Sweden, how wo	ould you place the following
Value Label			Cases Percer		ercentage
1	Very high	trust	44	4.4%	
2	Quite high	trust	180	18.0%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	429		
4	Quite low	rust	241		24.1%
5	Very low to	rust	106	10.6%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statisti	cs of the population of intere	st.
Informatio	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal que	stion	If you were to use the same scale to assess so corporations? - Skandia	ome other phenome	ena in Sweden, how would you pla	ce the following
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	rust	22	2.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	194	19.4%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	485		48.5%
4	Quite low t	rust	236	23.6%	
5	Very low to	rust	63	6.3%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# Q1D_6: F	.1EF Conf	idence in: Astra Zeneca			
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the foll corporations? - Astra Zeneca				ou place the following	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	44	4.4%	
2	Quite high	trust	320		32.0%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	482		48.2%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010							
# Q1D_6:	F.1EF Conf	idence in: Astra Zeneca					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
4	Quite low t	ow trust		11.5%			
5	Very low to	rust	39	3.9%			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	37	3.7%			
2	Quite high trust		344		34.4%		
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	454		45.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	138	13.8%)		
5	Very low	rust	27	2.7%			
Narning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary stati	stics of the population of in	terest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M						
Value	Label	Cases		Percentage				
1	Very high	trust	87	8.7%				
2	Quite high	trust	392		39.2%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	405		40.5%			
4	Quite low	rust	96	9.6%				
5	Very low to	rust	20	2.0%				
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary sta	tistics of the population of interest.				
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Format: numeric]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W1	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1				

# Q1D_9:	F.IEI Confi	dence in: Saab				
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to corporations? - Saab		If you were to use the same scale to asses corporations? - Saab	s some other phenom	nena in Sweden, how w	ould you place the	following
Value	Label		Cases	ses Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	60	6.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	307		30.7%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	459			45.9%
4	Quite low	rust	141	14.1%		
5	Very low to	rust	33	3.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of inter	rest.	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2010					
# Q1D_9: F.1EI Confi	#Q1D_9: F.1EI Confidence in: Saab				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Vattenfall				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	29	2.9%		
2	Quite high	trust	227		22.7%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	415			41.5%
4	Quite low	trust	230		23.0%	
5	Very low t	rust	99	9.9%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary statis	stics of the population of inter	est.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	15	1.5%		
2	Quite high	trust	190	19.0%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	361		36.1%	
4	Quite low	rust	317		31.7%	
5	Very low to	rust	117	11.7%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	is summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera					
Value	Label	Label Cases		P	ercentage		
1	Very high	trust	36	3.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	293		29.3%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	444		44.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	171	17.19	%		
5	Very low t	rust	56	5.6%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statisi	tics of the population of intere	st.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: I	Förtroend	debarometer 2010							
# STAD1:	# STAD1: F.2 Where you would like to live								
Literal question									
Value	Label	Label Cases	Cases	Percentage					
1	Central me	etropolitan district	145	14.5%					
2	Metropolit	an suburb	138	13.8%					
3	Central dis	trict of city or urban center	157	15.7%					
4	Suburb of	city or urban center	175	17.5%					
5	Minor urba	an center	195	19.5%					
6	Pure rural	area	190	19.0%					
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.					
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 6] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	(W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

Literal question		What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Norrköping				
Value	Label	Label		Percent	tage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	40	4.0%		
2	2		140	14.0%		
3	3		517		51.7%	
4	4		230	23.0%		
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	73	7.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	erpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: disc		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question What is y		What is your opinion of the following Swe	That is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Gothenburg				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	55	5.5%			
2	2		70	7.0%			
3	3		235		23.5%		
4	4		401			40.1%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	239		23.9%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistic	es of the population of inter	rest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics []	NW/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal que	stion	What is your opinion of the following Sw	edish cities? - Halms	tad		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	34	3.4%		
2	2		110	11.0%		
3	3		410		41.0%	
4	4		321		32.1%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	125	12.5%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# STAD2_	_4: F.3D Opi	inion on: Jönköping			
Literal question What is your opinion of the following Swe			edish cities? - Jönköpir	ng	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	50	5.0%	
2	2		199	19.9%	
3	3		475		47.5%
4	4		211	21.1%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	65	6.5%	
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Kalmar						
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			ercentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	45	4.5%		
2	2		158	15.8%		
3	3		460			46.0%
4	4		261		26.1%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	76	7.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statisti	ics of the population of inter	est.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range			e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

#STAD2_6: F.3F Opinion on: Linköping						
Literal question What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Linköping						
Value	Label Cases Percentage					
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	41	4.1%		
2	2		119	11.9%		
3	3	3				45.7%
4	4	4			29.9%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	84	8.4%		

#STAD2_6: F.3F Opinion on: Linköping					
Warning: these figures indicate the n	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

		I			
Literal question What is your opinion of		What is your opinion of the following Sw	redish cities? - Malmö		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	185	18.5%	
2	2		280		28.0%
3	3		267	26	5.7%
4	4		193	19.3%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	75	7.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistics of t	he population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# STAD2_	8: F.3H Op	inion on: Stockholm		
Literal quest	tion	What is your opinion of the following Sw	edish cities? - Stockholm	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	102	10.2%
2	2		191	19.1%
3	3		289	28.9%
4	4		247	24.7%
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	171	17.1%
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics of th	e population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Literal question What is your opinion of the following			edish cities? - Umeå		
Value	Label	Cases		Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	57	5.7%	
2	2		169	16.9%)
3	3		379		37.9%
4	4		295		29.5%
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	100	10.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# STAD2_10: F.3J Op	#STAD2_10: F.3J Opinion on: Örebro		
Literal question	What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Örebro		

# STAD2	2_10: F.3J Op	inion on: Örebro			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	74	7.4%	
2	2		198	19.8%	
3	3	3			48.3%
4	4		203	20.3%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	42	4.2%	
Warning: thes	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	reted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		What is your opinion of the following Sw	edish cities? - Östersun	d		
Value	Label		Cases P		Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	77	7.7%		
2	2		179	17.9%		
3	3		424		42.4%	
4	4		245	24.5%	6	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	75	7.5%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# STAD2_	12: F.3L Op	oinion on: Luleå			
Literal quest	ion	What is your opinion of the following Sw	edish cities? - Luleå		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	ge
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	84	8.4%	
2	2		205	20.5%	
3	3		432		43.2%
4	4		222	22.2%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	57	5.7%	
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang			e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Inva		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question What is your opinion of the following Swee		edish cities? - Helsingbo	org			
Value Label		lbel Ca	Cases	S Percentage		
1	1 Does not	t seem to be a good city to live in	71	7.1%		
2	2		161	16.1%		
3	3		366		36.6	
4	4		296		29.6%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	106	10.6%		

# STAD2_13: F.3M O	#STAD2_13: F.3M Opinion on: Helsingborg			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Karlskrona			
Value	Label	pel		Percentage	
1	1 Does not	seem to be a good city to live in	95	9.5%	
2	2		216	21.6%	
3	3		462		46.2%
4	4		180	18.0%	
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	47	4.7%	
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question W		What is your opinion of the following Swedish cities? - Karlstad					
Value	Label	Label		Label Cases		Percentage 6.3%	
1	1 Does not	t seem to be a good city to live in	63				
2	2		164	16.4%			
3	3		436		43.6%		
4	4		269	26.9%			
5	5 Seems to	be a good city to live in	68	6.8%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.			
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal que	stion	To what extent do you think the follow	ng is characteristic of life i	n Swedish cities? - A rich socia	l life
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Most cha	aracteristic of metropolitans	152	15.2%	
2	2		179	17.9%	
3	3 As much	metropolitan as rural area	456		45.6%
4	4		114	11.4%	
5	5 Most cha	racteristic of rural areas	99	9.9%	
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of	f the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rat	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# STAD3_2: F.4B Cha	nracteristic of life in Swedish cities: Involvement in local issues
Literal question	To what extent do you think the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Involvement in local issues

# STAD3	_2: F.4B Cha	racteristic of life in Swedish cities: I	nvolvement	t in local issues		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	1 Most cha	racteristic of metropolitans	36	3.6%		
2	2		44	4.4%		
3	3 As much	3 As much metropolitan as rural area		19.6%		
4	4		373	37.3%		
5	5 Most cha	5 Most characteristic of rural areas		35.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ted as summary statis	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal que	stion	To what extent do you think the follow	hink the following is characteristic of life in Swedish cities? - Openness for new ideas			
Value	Label		Cases		age	
1	1 Most cha	aracteristic of metropolitans	223	22.3%		
2	2		343		34.3%	
3	3 As much	metropolitan as rural area	327		32.7%	
4	4		69	6.9%		
5	5 Most cha	aracteristic of rural areas	38	3.8%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot l	be interpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	ange: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# STAD3_	4: F.4G Ch	aracteristic of life in Swedish cit	ies: Compassion			
Literal ques	tion	To what extent do you think the following	g is characteristic of li	fe in Swedish cities?	- Compassion	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	1 Most cha	racteristic of metropolitans	21	2.1%		
2	2		50	5.0%		
3	3 As much	metropolitan as rural area	341			34.1%
4	4		364			36.4%
5	5 Most cha	racteristic of rural areas	224		22.4%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	ge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	To what extent do you think the following		ing is characteristic of lif	fe in Swedish cities? - Entreprene	urship
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Most ch	aracteristic of metropolitans	98	9.8%	
2	2		222	22.2%	
3	3 As much	n metropolitan as rural area	456		45.6%
4	4		178	17.8%	
5	5 Most ch	aracteristic of rural areas	46	4.6%	

#STAD3_5: F.4H Characteristic of life in Swedish cities: Entrepreneurship			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Literal ques	tion	To what extent do you think the follow	ing is characteristic of lif	fe in Swedish cities? - Work	ethics
Value	ne Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	1 Most cha	racteristic of metropolitans	43	4.3%	
2	2		88	8.8%	
3	3 As much	metropolitan as rural area	483		48.3%
4	4		261	26.1	%
5	5 Most cha	racteristic of rural areas	125	12.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statisti	ics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question To what		To what extent do you think the following is c	haracteristic of lif	fe in Swedish cities? -	High quality of life	
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	1 Most cha	racteristic of metropolitans	41	4.1%		
2	2		81	8.1%		
3	3 As much	metropolitan as rural area	389		38	8.9%
4	4	4			25.0%	
5	5 Most cha	racteristic of rural areas	239		23.9%	
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary statisti	ics of the population of inter	rest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 1000		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question Which party do you like best today?		7?				
Value	Label		Cases	Cases Percentag		
1	Social Democrats		199	19.99	19.9%	
2	Moderate I	Party	346		34.6%	
3	Center Par	ty	35	3.5%		
4	Liberal Par	ty	96	9.6%		
5	Christian I	Democrats	32	3.2%		
6	Left Party		52	5.2%		
7	Green Part	у	101	10.1%		
8	Sweden De	emocrats	52	5.2%		
9	Other party	7	8	0.8%		
10	None of th	e above	70	7.0%		
11	Pirate Party		9	0.9%		

Q5A: F.5A Political party sympathy

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Q5B: F.5B Closest political party

Literal question Which political party do you lean towards?

Literal question		which political party do you lean towards?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Social Den	nocrats	20	28.6%		
2	Moderate I	Party	8	11.4%		
3	Center Part	Center Party		1.4%		
4	Liberal Par	Liberal Party		5.7%		
5	Christian D	emocrats	1	1.4%		
6	Left Party		2	2.9%		
7	Green Part	y	3	4.3%		
8	Sweden De	mocrats	1	1.4%		
9	Other party		3	4.3%		
10	None of the	e above	27	38.6%		
Sysmiss			930			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	10] [Missing: *	*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 70 /-] [Invalid: 930 /-]				

Q6: F.6 Current family category

Literal ques	tion	If you had to describe your current family, which	family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?			
Value	Label		Cases		ıtage	
1	Working-c	lass family	304		30.4%	
2	Agricultural family		16	1.6%		
3	Civil serva	nt's family	460		46.0%	
4	Higher civi	il servant's family	135	13.5%		
5	Industrialis	t family	85	8.5%		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# PNR: F.7	ZIP code			
		Respondentens svarsalternativ anges ej på grund av ri	isk för ba	kvägsidentifikation.
Literal question What is your ZIP code?		What is your ZIP code?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
0	No informa	tion		
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sur	mmary stati	stics of the population of interest.
Information [Ty		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 0] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Inva		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# UTB: F	.8 Education	level				
Literal que	stion	What is your highest level of completed education	?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Primary/El	ementary school	67	6.7%		
2	Primary/Elementary school - training		49	4.9%		
3	Junior secondary school/Girls' school		21	2.1%		
4	Junior secondary school/Girls' school - aiming at upper secondary school		24	2.4%		
5	2 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		122	12.2%		
6	3-4 year up degree	oper secondary school education/High school	249	24.9%		
7	Post-secon	dary education/university/college	464		46.4%	
8	No educati	on	4	0.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-8] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	stion	What is your personal income per mo	onth?			
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percentage		
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	94		9.4%	
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	86		8.6%	
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	99		9.9%	
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	171			17.1%
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	175			17.5%
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	117	11.7%		
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	79		7.9%	
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	44	4.4%		
9	45001-50000 SEK per month		19	1.9%		
10	more than	50000 SEK per month	38	3.8%		
11			78		7.8%	
99	Do not kno	ow/do not want to state	0			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of in	terest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	stion	What is your household's income po	er month?			
Value Label			Cases		Percentage	
1	up to 10000 SEK per month		26	2.6%		
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	30	3.0%		
3	15001-20000 SEK per month		36	3.6%		
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	69	6	5.9%	
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	89		8.9%	
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	68	6	.8%	

¥7. 1				D 4	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
7	35001-400	000 SEK per month	76		7.6%
8	40001-450	000 SEK per month	98		9.8%
9	45001-500	000 SEK per month	89		8.9%
10	50001-550	000 SEK per month	72		7.2%
11	55001-600	000 SEK per month	59	5.9%	
12	60001-650	000 SEK per month	60	6.0%	ó
13	65001-700	000 SEK per month	43	4.3%	
14	more than	70000 SEK per month	76		7.6%
15			109		10.9%
99	Do not kn	ow/do not want to state	0		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	lange: 1- 15] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			