

Institutional Trust 2007

Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	April 1, 2014
Identification	SND0957-002

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage.	<u>4</u>
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling.	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility	
File Description(s)	
Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät.	
Variable Group(s)	
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables	
Interview questions.	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät	

Institutional Trust 2007

Overview	
Identification	SND0957-002

Abstract

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Gallup and involved 250 individuals who were interviewed by telephone and 750 individuals randomly selected from TNS Gallup's Online Panel who answered a web survey between October 15 and 29, 2007. The survey comprised 27 institutions/ companies/media companies and political parties. The 2007 survey also included questions about morality in different groups of people and how it has changed over time, as well as what responsibilities large corporations have and to what extent they live up to these responsibilities.

Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage				
Keywords	s förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia			
Topics	cs massmedia, POLITIK			
Time Period(s) 2007				
Countries	Countries Sverige			
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år				

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation	
Other Producer(s) Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen MedieAkademin TNS Gallup		

Sampling Sampling Procedure

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection	
Data Collection	start 2007-10-15
Dates	end 2007-10-29 end 2007-10-29

Data Collection Mode	Intervju: Telefon Självadministrerat frågeformulär: Webb-baserat
Data Collector(s) TNS Gallup TNS Gallup	

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät		
Cases	750	
Variable(s)	66	

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0957	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0957-002	-
3	SND_version	SND version 1.1	-
4	respnr	SERIAL ID	-

Backg	Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question	
1	vikt	Weight	-	
2	gender	Sex	-	
3	age	Age	-	
4	region	Region	-	
5	utbildning	Education	-	
6	parti3	Political parties (merged)	-	

#	Name	Label	Question
1	riksdagen	F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament
2	eukommissionen	F.1AB Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission
3	politiska_partierna	F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The political parties
4	universitet_hgskolor	F.1AD Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities
5	storfretagen	F.1AE Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business
6	radiotv	F.1AF Confidence in: Radio and television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television
7	dagspressen	F.1AG Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press
8	fackliga_organisationerna	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions
9	socialdemokraterna	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
10	moderaterna	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party
11	folkpartiet	F.1BC Confidence in: Liberal Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party

#	Name	Label	Question
12	sverigedemokraterna	F.1BD Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats
13	sveriges_radio	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio Sweden
14	svt	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television
15	tv4	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4
16	tv3	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3
17	dagens_nyheter	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter
18	aftonbladet	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet
19	ikea	F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA
20	volvo	F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo
21	ericsson	F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson
22	cocacola	F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Coca-Cola
23	skandia	F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia
24	astrazeneca	F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Astra Zeneca
25	sas	F.1DG Confidence in: SAS	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - SAS
26	hm	F.1DH Confidence in: H&M	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M
27	stockholmsbrsen	F.1DI Confidence in: Stockholm stock exchange	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Stockholm stock exchange
28	politikernas_moral	F.2AA Moral assessment: Politicians	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Politicians
29	fretagsledarnas_moral	F.2AB Moral assessment: Corporate leaders	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Corporate leaders
30	journalisternas_moral	F.2AC Moral assessment: Journalists	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Journalists
31	forskarnas_moral	F.2AD Moral assessment: Researchers	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Researchers
32	svenskarnas_moral	F.2AE Moral assessment: Swedes	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Swedes
33	politikernas_moral_bakt	F.2BA Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Politicians	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Politicians
34	fretagsledarnas_moral_bak	t F.2BB Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Corporate leaders	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Corporate leaders
35	journalisternas_moral_bakt	F.2BC Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Journalists	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Journalists

#	Name	Label	Question
36	forskarnas_moral_bakt	F.2BD Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Researchers	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Researchers
37	svenskarnas_moral_bakt	F.2BE Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Swedes	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Swedes
38	hg_produktivitet	F.3AA Importance for big business to: Have a high productivity	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they have a high productivity
39	fljer_lagar	F.3AB Importance for big business to: Follow laws and regulations	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they follow laws and regulations
40	tar_samhllsansvar	F.3AC Importance for big business to: Take social responsibility	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they take social responsibility
41	ppna_fr_insyn	F.3AD Importance for big business to: Be transparent to the public	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they are transparent to the public
42	vlgrande_ndaml	F.3AE Importance for big business to: Contribute to charity	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they contribute to charity
43	maximerar_vinst	F.3AF Viktigt för storföretagen: Maximize its profits	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they maximize its profits
44	ansvarsfullt_volvo	F.3BA Responsible businesses: Volvo	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Volvo
45	ansvarsfullt_ericsson	F.3BB Responsible businesses: Ericsson	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Ericsson
46	ansvarsfullt_sas	F.3BC Responsible businesses: SAS	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - SAS
47	ansvarsfullt_hm	F.3BD Responsible businesses: H&M	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - H&M
48	ansvarsfullt_aftonbladet	F.3BE Responsible businesses: Aftonbladet	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Aftonbladet
49	ansvarsfullt_dagens_nyhet	etF.3BF Responsible businesses: Dagens Nyheter	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Dagens Nyheter
50	ansvarsfullt_svt	F.3BG Responsible businesses: Swedish Television	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Swedish Television

#	Name	Label	Question
51	ansvarsfullt_tv4	F.3BH Responsible businesses: TV4	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - TV4
52	parti1	F.4A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?
53	parti2	F.4B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
54	familj	F.5 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?
55	individuell_inkomst	F.6 Income	What is your personal income per month?
56	hushllets_inkomst	F.7 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 66 variable(s)

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät #SND_studie: SND-studie 0957 SND 0957: Förtroendebarometer 2007 Value Label Cases Percentage 957 SND 0957 750 100.0% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 957- 957] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

#SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0957-002					
	SND-dataset 0957-002: Förtroendebarometer 2007				
Value Label Cases Percentage			Percentage		
2	SND 0957-	0957-002		100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# SND_version: SND version 1.1				
		SND version 1.0, mars 2014		
Notes	Notes Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english			
Value	Label	Cases Percentage		
1	Version 1.1		750	100.0%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	ummary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# respnr: S	# respnr: SERIAL ID				
		Respondent-ID			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
12047592007	742		1	0.1%	
12048062007	743		1	0.1%	
12048202007	742		1	0.1%	
12048792007	743		1	0.1%	
12048962007	743		1	0.1%	
12049152007	743		1	0.1%	
12050392007	743		1	0.1%	
12050782007	742		1	0.1%	
12050842007	742		1	0.1%	
12052492007	743		1	0.1%	
12055572007	743		1	0.1%	
12055732007	742		1	0.1%	
12055882007	743		1	0.1%	
12056492007	743		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID		
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1205652200743	1	0.1%
1205836200742	1	0.1%
1205874200742	1	0.1%
1205900200742	1	0.1%
1205926200743	1	0.1%
1205928200743	1	0.1%
1205933200743	1	0.1%
1206168200742	1	0.1%
1206179200742	1	0.1%
1206349200742	1	0.1%
1206377200743	1	0.1%
1206425200742	1	0.1%
1206465200742	1	0.1%
1206482200743	1	0.1%
1206552200742	1	0.1%
1206584200743	1	0.1%
1206646200742	1	0.1%
1206841200742	1	0.1%
1206971200742	1	0.1%
1206996200743	1	0.1%
1207052200742	1	0.1%
1207076200742	1	0.1%
1207078200743	1	0.1%
1207176200743	1	0.1%
1207254200743	1	0.1%
1207273200742	1	0.1%
1207402200743	1	0.1%
1207416200743	1	0.1%
1207519200742	1	0.1%
1207621200743	1	0.1%
1207776200742	1	0.1%
1207789200743	1	0.1%
1207/8/2500743	1	0.1%
1208192200742	1	0.1%
1208268200743	1	0.1%
1208256200743		
	1	0.1%
1208353200743	1	0.1%
1208378200743	1	0.1%
1208538200743	1	0.1%
1208548200743	1	0.1%
1208554200743	1	0.1%
1208612200743	1	0.1%
1208617200743	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1208620200742	1	0.1%
1208665200743	1	0.1%
1208669200742	1	0.1%
1208736200742	1	0.1%
1208801200743	1	0.1%
1208940200743	1	0.1%
1209028200743	1	0.1%
209041200742	1	0.1%
209053200743	1	0.1%
1209114200742	1	0.1%
1209196200743	1	0.1%
209230200742	1	0.1%
209307200743	1	0.1%
1209321200743	1	0.1%
209343200742	1	0.1%
209484200742	1	0.1%
209593200743	1	0.1%
209614200742	1	0.1%
209642200743	1	0.1%
209656200743	1	0.1%
209668200742	1	0.1%
209788200742	1	0.1%
209892200743	1	0.1%
210004200743	1	0.1%
210069200743	1	0.1%
210180200743	1	0.1%
210248200742	1	0.1%
210538200743	1	0.1%
210544200742	1	0.1%
210764200743	1	0.1%
210794200743	1	0.1%
210805200743	1	0.1%
210966200742	1	0.1%
211070200743	1	0.1%
211356200742	1	0.1%
211392200742	1	0.1%
211461200743	1	0.1%
211482200742	1	0.1%
211497200743	1	0.1%
211504200743	1	0.1%
211532200742	1	0.1%
211671200743	1	0.1%
1211684200743	1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID		
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1211693200743	1	0.1%
1211816200742	1	0.1%
1211888200742	1	0.1%
1211907200742	1	0.1%
1211937200742	1	0.1%
1211975200742	1	0.1%
1212023200743	1	0.1%
1212076200743	1	0.1%
1212077200742	1	0.1%
1212126200742	1	0.1%
1212137200743	1	0.1%
1212140200743	1	0.1%
1212162200743	1	0.1%
1212173200743	1	0.1%
1212358200742	1	0.1%
1212455200742	1	0.1%
1212570200743	1	0.1%
1212634200742	1	0.1%
1212642200742	1	0.1%
1212740200743	1	0.1%
1212816200742	1	0.1%
1212837200742	1	0.1%
1212859200743	1	0.1%
1212903200743	1	0.1%
1212905200743	1	0.1%
1212926200743	1	0.1%
1212963200743	1	0.1%
1212992200742	1	0.1%
1213009200743	1	0.1%
1213118200742	1	0.1%
1213223200742	1	0.1%
1213226200742	1	0.1%
1213251200742	1	0.1%
1213423200742	1	0.1%
1213533200743	1	0.1%
1213541200742	1	0.1%
1213588200742	1	0.1%
1213595200742	1	0.1%
1213712200743	1	0.1%
1213714200742	1	0.1%
1213794200743	1	0.1%
1213828200742	1	0.1%
1213912200743	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1214057200742	1	0.1%
1214315200743	1	0.1%
1214344200742	1	0.1%
1214399200742	1	0.1%
1214439200742	1	0.1%
1214454200743	1	0.1%
1214529200743	1	0.1%
1214568200743	1	0.1%
1214605200742	1	0.1%
1214701200742	1	0.1%
1214847200743	1	0.1%
1214885200742	1	0.1%
1214910200742	1	0.1%
1215065200742	1	0.1%
1215100200743	1	0.1%
1215191200743	1	0.1%
1215238200743	1	0.1%
1215277200743	1	0.1%
1215379200743	1	0.1%
1215460200742	1	0.1%
1215500200742	1	0.1%
1215597200742	1	0.1%
1215756200742	1	0.1%
1215761200742	1	0.1%
1215811200742	1	0.1%
1215955200742	1	0.1%
1215958200743	1	0.1%
1215963200743	1	0.1%
1216080200742	1	0.1%
1216099200742	1	0.1%
1216101200743	1	0.1%
1216158200742	1	0.1%
1216172200743	1	0.1%
1216173200743	1	0.1%
1216200200742	1	0.1%
1216222200742	1	0.1%
1216295200743	1	0.1%
1216347200742	1	0.1%
1216475200743	1	0.1%
1216492200743	1	0.1%
1216621200742	1	0.1%
1216883200743	1	0.1%
1216895200743	1	0.1%

respnr: SERIAL ID	~	
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1216965200743	1	0.1%
1217167200743	1	0.1%
1217219200743	1	0.1%
1217244200742	1	0.1%
1217339200743	1	0.1%
1217374200742	1	0.1%
1217375200742	1	0.1%
1217481200742	1	0.1%
1217494200743	1	0.1%
1217658200742	1	0.1%
1217689200743	1	0.1%
1217822200742	1	0.1%
1217855200743	1	0.1%
1217862200742	1	0.1%
1217949200742	1	0.1%
1217975200742	1	0.1%
1217978200743	1	0.1%
1218015200743	1	0.1%
1218090200743	1	0.1%
1218093200743	1	0.1%
1218101200742	1	0.1%
1218111200742	1	0.1%
1218263200742	1	0.1%
1218307200742	1	0.1%
1218311200742	1	0.1%
1218393200743	1	0.1%
1218542200742	1	0.1%
1218578200743	1	0.1%
1218579200742	1	0.1%
1218596200743	1	0.1%
1218634200742	1	0.1%
1218656200742	1	0.1%
1218725200742	1	0.1%
1218791200742	1	0.1%
1218810200742	1	0.1%
1218818200743	1	0.1%
1218892200743	1	0.1%
1218913200742	1	0.1%
1218984200742	1	0.1%
1219021200743	1	0.1%
1219188200743	1	0.1%
1219188200743	1	
1219192200743	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1219517200742	1	0.1%
1219625200743	1	0.1%
1219664200742	1	0.1%
1219841200742	1	0.1%
1219852200742	1	0.1%
1219876200742	1	0.1%
1219881200743	1	0.1%
1219917200743	1	0.1%
1219962200742	1	0.1%
1219999200742	1	0.1%
1220019200742	1	0.1%
1220091200742	1	0.1%
1220207200742	1	0.1%
1220290200742	1	0.1%
1220324200742	1	0.1%
1220335200742	1	0.1%
1220357200742	1	0.1%
220608200742	1	0.1%
220712200742	1	0.1%
220745200743	1	0.1%
1220807200742	1	0.1%
1220847200742	1	0.1%
1220884200743	1	0.1%
220906200742	1	0.1%
1220908200742	1	0.1%
1221132200743	1	0.1%
1221185200743	1	0.1%
1221212200743	1	0.1%
1221339200743	1	0.1%
1221387200742	1	0.1%
1221411200743	1	0.1%
221577200743	1	0.1%
221617200742	1	0.1%
221638200742	1	0.1%
1221810200742	1	0.1%
1221847200743	1	0.1%
221849200742	1	0.1%
221946200742	1	0.1%
1221984200743	1	0.1%
1222010200742	1	0.1%
1222017200743	1	0.1%
1222043200742	1	0.1%
1222057200742	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1222074200743	1	0.1%
1222078200743	1	0.1%
1222277200742	1	0.1%
1222378200742	1	0.1%
1222544200743	1	0.1%
1222736200743	1	0.1%
1222767200743	1	0.1%
1222828200742	1	0.1%
1222911200742	1	0.1%
1222921200742	1	0.1%
1222940200742	1	0.1%
1222945200742	1	0.1%
1223087200743	1	0.1%
1223129200742	1	0.1%
223198200742	1	0.1%
223204200742	1	0.1%
223213200742	1	0.1%
223229200743	1	0.1%
223318200742	1	0.1%
223332200742	1	0.1%
223405200743	1	0.1%
223432200743	1	0.1%
223477200743	1	0.1%
223542200743	1	0.1%
223815200742	1	0.1%
223825200743	1	0.1%
223849200742	1	0.1%
223908200742	1	0.1%
223913200742	1	0.1%
223928200743	1	0.1%
223991200742	1	0.1%
224004200743	1	0.1%
224016200743	1	0.1%
224086200743	1	0.1%
224149200742	1	0.1%
224176200742	1	0.1%
224235200743	1	0.1%
224260200742	1	0.1%
224392200742	1	0.1%
224412200743	1	0.1%
1224423200743	1	0.1%
1224641200743	1	0.1%
1224655200743	1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät

respnr: SERIAL ID		
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1224661200743	1	0.1%
1224693200742	1	0.1%
1224731200742	1	0.1%
1224764200743	1	0.1%
1224822200743	1	0.1%
1224863200742	1	0.1%
1224878200742	1	0.1%
1224900200743	1	0.1%
1224916200742	1	0.1%
1224934200742	1	0.1%
1225015200743	1	0.1%
1225034200742	1	0.1%
1225051200742	1	0.1%
1225058200742	1	0.1%
1225138200743	1	0.1%
1225350200742	1	0.1%
1225359200742	1	0.1%
1225468200743	1	0.1%
1225825200742	1	0.1%
1225989200743	1	0.1%
1226069200742	1	0.1%
1226144200743	1	0.1%
1226166200743	1	0.1%
1226169200743	1	0.1%
1226215200742	1	0.1%
1226321200743	1	0.1%
1226347200743	1	0.1%
1226421200742	1	0.1%
1226448200743	1	0.1%
1226461200742	1	0.1%
1226481200742	1	0.1%
1226497200742	1	0.1%
1226568200742	1	0.1%
1226602200743	1	0.1%
1226785200742	1	0.1%
1226883200743	1	0.1%
1227123200743	1	0.1%
1227169200742	1	0.1%
1227287200743	1	0.1%
1227383200743	1	0.1%
1227475200743	1	0.1%
1227625200743	1	0.1%
122772200743	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1227852200742	1	0.1%
1227941200743	1	0.1%
1228151200743	1	0.1%
1228255200742	1	0.1%
1228359200743	1	0.1%
1228517200743	1	0.1%
1228552200742	1	0.1%
1228587200742	1	0.1%
1228669200743	1	0.1%
1228773200742	1	0.1%
1228895200742	1	0.1%
1228986200743	1	0.1%
1229089200742	1	0.1%
1229275200743	1	0.1%
1229292200742	1	0.1%
1229327200742	1	0.1%
1230068200743	1	0.1%
1230223200743	1	0.1%
1230446200743	1	0.1%
1230672200743	1	0.1%
1230679200743	1	0.1%
1230688200742	1	0.1%
1230797200742	1	0.1%
230801200743	1	0.1%
1230836200742	1	0.1%
1230905200743	1	0.1%
1231152200742	1	0.1%
1231173200742	1	0.1%
1231312200743	1	0.1%
1231442200743	1	0.1%
1231607200743	1	0.1%
231748200742	1	0.1%
232017200742	1	0.1%
232190200742	1	0.1%
1232404200742	1	0.1%
1232488200743	1	0.1%
1232563200742	1	0.1%
1232667200743	1	0.1%
1232756200743	1	0.1%
1232998200743	1	0.1%
1233421200743	1	0.1%
1233483200742	1	0.1%
1233722200743	1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID		
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1233836200742	1	0.1%
1233930200742	1	0.1%
1234012200742	1	0.1%
1234191200743	1	0.1%
1234251200742	1	0.1%
1234431200742	1	0.1%
1234471200743	1	0.1%
1234798200743	1	0.1%
1234860200743	1	0.1%
1234872200742	1	0.1%
1234911200743	1	0.1%
1235003200742	1	0.1%
1235082200743	1	0.1%
1235116200743	1	0.1%
1235126200742	1	0.1%
1235283200742	1	0.1%
1235315200743	1	0.1%
1235735200743	1	0.1%
1235890200743	1	0.1%
1236074200743	1	0.1%
1236256200743	1	0.1%
1236333200742	1	0.1%
1236463200742	1	0.1%
1236768200742	1	0.1%
1236807200742	1	0.1%
1236857200742	1	0.1%
1236924200742	1	0.1%
1236977200742	1	0.1%
1237034200743	1	0.1%
1237051200743	1	0.1%
1237157200743	1	0.1%
1237206200742	1	0.1%
1237296200743	1	0.1%
237325200743	1	0.1%
1237355200742	1	0.1%
1237480200742	1	0.1%
1237890200742	1	0.1%
1237976200742	1	0.1%
1238128200742	1	0.1%
1238552200743	1	0.1%
1238748200742	1	0.1%
1238893200743	1	0.1%
1239245200743	1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID		
Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1239540200742	1	0.1%
1239589200743	1	0.1%
1239653200743	1	0.1%
1240013200742	1	0.1%
1240082200743	1	0.1%
1240093200743	1	0.1%
1240266200743	1	0.1%
1240349200742	1	0.1%
1240401200742	1	0.1%
1240845200742	1	0.1%
1240909200743	1	0.1%
1240945200742	1	0.1%
1240988200743	1	0.1%
1241058200742	1	0.1%
1241345200743	1	0.1%
1241449200743	1	0.1%
1241484200742	1	0.1%
1241713200743	1	0.1%
1241799200743	1	0.1%
1241812200742	1	0.1%
1241817200742	1	0.1%
1241979200742	1	0.1%
1242069200742	1	0.1%
1242102200743	1	0.1%
1242180200742	1	0.1%
1242402200743	1	0.1%
1242508200743	1	0.1%
1242564200743	1	0.1%
1242571200743	1	0.1%
1242632200742	1	0.1%
1242724200742	1	0.1%
1242799200742	1	0.1%
1243445200743	1	0.1%
1243484200742	1	0.1%
1243547200743	1	0.1%
1243666200742	1	0.1%
1243756200742	1	0.1%
1243878200743	1	0.1%
1243985200743	1	0.1%
1244226200742	1	0.1%
1244350200743	1	0.1%
1244494200743	1	0.1%
1244600200743	1	0.1%

Cases	
	Percentage
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
1	0.1%
	0.1%
1	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%
	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1249984200742	1	0.1%
1250036200743	1	0.1%
1250066200742	1	0.1%
1250129200742	1	0.1%
1250258200743	1	0.1%
1250638200742	1	0.1%
1250653200743	1	0.1%
1250698200742	1	0.1%
1250889200742	1	0.1%
1251012200742	1	0.1%
1251037200742	1	0.1%
1251207200743	1	0.1%
1251425200742	1	0.1%
1251499200743	1	0.1%
1251540200742	1	0.1%
1251697200742	1	0.1%
1251790200742	1	0.1%
1251833200743	1	0.1%
1251980200742	1	0.1%
252055200743	1	0.1%
1252094200742	1	0.1%
1252102200743	1	0.1%
1252211200742	1	0.1%
1252275200742	1	0.1%
1252301200742	1	0.1%
1252372200742	1	0.1%
1252442200743	1	0.1%
1252684200742	1	0.1%
1252852200743	1	0.1%
1253221200743	1	0.1%
1253324200742	1	0.1%
253326200742	1	0.1%
253365200742	1	0.1%
253557200743	1	0.1%
1253562200742	1	0.1%
1253830200743	1	0.1%
1253997200742	1	0.1%
1254021200743	1	0.1%
1254031200742	1	0.1%
1254208200742	1	0.1%
1254345200742	1	0.1%
1254530200743	1	0.1%
1254558200742	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1254561200742	1	0.1%
1254856200743	1	0.1%
1254864200743	1	0.1%
1254907200742	1	0.1%
1254995200742	1	0.1%
1255156200742	1	0.1%
1255191200743	1	0.1%
1255243200742	1	0.1%
1255536200742	1	0.1%
1255638200742	1	0.1%
1255665200742	1	0.1%
1255671200742	1	0.1%
1255706200743	1	0.1%
1255713200743	1	0.1%
1256258200743	1	0.1%
1256260200742	1	0.1%
1256678200742	1	0.1%
1256684200743	1	0.1%
256706200742	1	0.1%
257009200743	1	0.1%
1257119200742	1	0.1%
1257176200743	1	0.1%
1257233200743	1	0.1%
1257743200743	1	0.1%
1257751200743	1	0.1%
1257857200743	1	0.1%
1258206200742	1	0.1%
1258227200742	1	0.1%
1258235200742	1	0.1%
1258316200742	1	0.1%
1258325200742	1	0.1%
258400200743	1	0.1%
1258995200743	1	0.1%
1603559200742	1	0.1%
1603616200742	1	0.1%
1603729200742	1	0.1%
603866200743	1	0.1%
1603900200743	1	0.1%
1603908200742	1	0.1%
1604036200743	1	0.1%
1604054200742	1	0.1%
1604085200742	1	0.1%
1604090200743	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1604272200743	1	0.1%
1604437200742	1	0.1%
1604626200742	1	0.1%
1604685200742	1	0.1%
1604734200743	1	0.1%
1604856200742	1	0.1%
1605338200743	1	0.1%
1605490200743	1	0.1%
1607979200742	1	0.1%
1629011200742	1	0.1%
1629013200743	1	0.1%
1629068200742	1	0.1%
1629100200743	1	0.1%
1629140200743	1	0.1%
1629147200742	1	0.1%
1629158200743	1	0.1%
1629277200742	1	0.1%
629305200743	1	0.1%
629328200742	1	0.1%
629337200743	1	0.1%
1629703200743	1	0.1%
1633828200743	1	0.1%
1633877200743	1	0.1%
1633967200742	1	0.1%
1634159200743	1	0.1%
1634891200742	1	0.1%
1635042200742	1	0.1%
1635547200742	1	0.1%
1636690200743	1	0.1%
1636855200743	1	0.1%
1640901200743	1	0.1%
644355200742	1	0.1%
1669192200742	1	0.1%
1669248200743	1	0.1%
1669258200743	1	0.1%
1669274200743	1	0.1%
1669292200742	1	0.1%
1669432200742	1	0.1%
1669490200742	1	0.1%
1669590200743	1	0.1%
1669592200743	1	0.1%
1669634200743	1	0.1%
1669678200743	1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1669746200742	1	0.1%
1669800200743	1	0.1%
1669804200742	1	0.1%
1669900200742	1	0.1%
1670042200742	1	0.1%
1670044200742	1	0.1%
1670046200743	1	0.1%
1670176200743	1	0.1%
1670258200743	1	0.1%
1670414200743	1	0.1%
1670432200742	1	0.1%
1670574200743	1	0.1%
1670667200742	1	0.1%
1670677200742	1	0.1%
1670847200743	1	0.1%
1671266200743	1	0.1%
1675152200742	1	0.1%
1678848200742		0.1%
	1	
1678856200743	1	0.1%
1693589200742	1	0.1%
1694181200742	1	0.1%
1694205200743	1	0.1%
1694325200742	1	0.1%
1694379200743	1	0.1%
1694447200742	1	0.1%
1694481200743	1	0.1%
1694525200743	1	0.1%
1694561200742	1	0.1%
1694629200743	1	0.1%
1694645200742	1	0.1%
1694671200742	1	0.1%
1694822200742	1	0.1%
1694992200743	1	0.1%
1695030200743	1	0.1%
1695138200743	1	0.1%
1695140200742	1	0.1%
1695307200742	1	0.1%
1695323200742	1	0.1%
1704149200742	1	0.1%
1705464200743	1	0.1%
1709737200742	1	0.1%
1713037200742	1	0.1%
1713142200742	1	0.1%

Value Label	Cases	Percentage
1716757200742	1	0.1%
1721549200742	1	0.1%
1736138200742	1	0.1%
1736223200742	1	0.1%
1736235200742	1	0.1%
1736240200743	1	0.1%
1736277200742	1	0.1%
1738838200743	1	0.1%
1738867200743	1	0.1%
1738902200743	1	0.1%
1752535200743	1	0.1%
1761083200743	1	0.1%
1810607200742	1	0.1%
1852944200743	1	0.1%
1862848200742	1	0.1%
1878136200742	1	0.1%
1878141200742	1	0.1%
1974863200742	1	0.1%
2004377200743	1	0.1%
2105977200743	1	0.1%
2105989200743	1	0.1%
2106125200742	1	0.1%
2120867200743	1	0.1%
2121600200743	1	0.1%
2121637200743	1	0.1%
2121651200742	1	0.1%
2121662200743	1	0.1%
2218111200742	1	0.1%
2246494200742	1	0.1%
2260537200742	1	0.1%
2498739200742	1	0.1%
2509770200743	1	0.1%
2509814200742	1	0.1%
2574845200743	1	0.1%
2752978200743	1	0.1%
2752982200742	1	0.1%
2753031200742	1	0.1%
2762381200743	1	0.1%
2763803200743	1	0.1%
2771703200743	1	0.1%
2773956200743	1	0.1%
2794717200743	1	0.1%
2815715200743 2815715200743	1	0.1%

File : För	File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät				
# respnr: SERIAL ID					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
2820549200743		1	0.1%		
2826902200743		1	0.1%		
2829129200743		1	0.1%		
2829482200742		1	0.1%		
2829497200742		1	0.1%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1204759200742- 2829497200742] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ V	V] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

	Viktvariabel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.5983		20	2.7%
0.6445		24	3.2%
0.6608		12	1.6%
0.6766		27	3.69
0.7117		17	2.3%
0.7472		16	2.1%
0.7575		15	2.0%
0.7604		24	3.2%
0.7828		11	1.5%
0.7929		14	1.9%
0.817		21	2.8%
0.8211		22	2.9%
0.8357		5	0.7%
0.8365		5	0.7%
0.8397		13	1.7%
0.8432		23	3.1%
0.854		17	2.3%
0.88		24	3.2%
0.8852		23	3.1%
0.8966		16	2.1%
0.8972		6	0.8%
0.9068		10	1.3%
0.9202		6	0.8%
0.9229		4	0.5%
0.9239		24	3.2%
0.9663		10	1.3%
0.991		13	1.7%
0.9911		8	1.1%
0.9948		25	3.3%
1.0037		10	1.3%

# vikt: We	ight			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1.0076		15	2.0%	
1.0145		6	0.8%	
1.0231		10	1.3%	
1.0343		14	1.9%	
1.0383		18	2.4%	
1.0406		8	1.1%	
1.0743		24		3.2%
1.088		12	1.6%	
1.0934		7	0.9%	
1.1073		13	1.7%	
1.1212		21	2.8%	
1.1298		7	0.9%	
1.1358		10	1.3%	
1.1401		8	1.1%	
1.1411		5	0.7%	
1.165		5	0.7%	
1.1694		7	0.9%	
1.2312		10	1.3%	
1.2337		6	0.8%	
1.253		2	0.3%	
1.2628		6	0.8%	
1.2852		2	0.3%	
1.3385		7	0.9%	
1.3557		5	0.7%	
1.3623		3	0.4%	
1.397		7	0.9%	
1.534		2	0.3%	
1.5734		4	0.5%	
1.614		6	0.8%	
1.6347		4	0.5%	
1.6845		3	0.4%	
1.8497		5	0.7%	
1.8973		2	0.3%	
2.0995		5	0.7%	
2.3185		1	0.1%	
2.7468		7	0.9%	
2.782		1	0.1%	
2.8667		4	0.5%	
3.2289		3	0.4%	
	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data			
nformation	[Type: discrete] [Forma	t: numeric] [Range: 0.598- 3.229] [Mis	sing: *1	

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# gender: Sex					
		Kön			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Man		356		47.5%
2	Woman		394		52.5%
Warning: these J	igures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# age: Age			
	Ålder		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17		8	1.1%
18		5	0.7%
19		6	0.8%
20		5	0.7%
21		11	1.5%
22		7	0.9%
23		11	1.5%
24		10	1.3%
25		17	2.3%
26		21	2.8%
27		17	2.3%
28		13	1.7%
29		19	2.5%
30		18	2.4%
31		13	1.7%
32		13	1.7%
33		18	2.4%
34		13	1.7%
35		16	2.1%
36		9	1.2%
37		18	2.4%
38		14	1.9%
39		21	2.8%
40		9	1.2%
41		21	2.8%
42		14	1.9%
43		10	1.3%
44		19	2.5%
45		13	1.7%
46		11	1.5%
47		18	2.4%
48		11	1.5%

age: Ag	e				
Value	Label		Cases	P	Percentage
49			11	1.	5%
50			13		1.7%
51			15		2.0%
52			7	0.9%	
53			13		1.7%
54			7	0.9%	
55			16		2.1%
56			19		2.5%
57			18		2.4%
58			25		3.3%
59			17		2.3%
60			14		1.9%
61			24		3.2%
62			24		3.2%
63			19		2.5%
64			12		1.6%
65			13		1.7%
56			8	1.1%	
67			12		1.6%
68			3	0.4%	
69			10	1.39	%
70			7	0.9%	
71			7	0.9%	
72			3	0.4%	
73			3	0.4%	
74			1	0.1%	
arning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file.	They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic	es of the population of intere	est.

# region:	# region: Region				
	Region				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
1	Stockholm urban area	146	19.5%		
2	Eastern midst of Sweden	158	21.1%		
3	Småland (islands included)	51	6.8%		
4	Southern Sweden	107	14.3%		
5	Western Sweden	141	18.8%		
6	Northern midst of Sweden	59	7.9%		
7 Middle and northern Sweden		88	11.7%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They co	annot be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: *]					

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät				
# region: Region	region: Region			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# utbildni	ing: Educati	on		
		Utbildningsnivå		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Primary/E	lementary school	41	5.5%
2	Primary/E	lementary school - training	35	4.7%
3	Junior secondary school/Girls' school		10	1.3%
4	Junior seco	Junior secondary school/Girls' school - training		1.5%
5	2 year upp	er secondary school education/High school degree	75	10.1%
6	3-4 year uj degree	oper secondary school education/High school	187	25.1%
7	Post-secon	dary education	387	51.9%
9	Ej svar		4	
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stat	atistics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: *]	[
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 746 /-] [Invalid: 4 /-]				

# parti3:]	Political part	ties (merged)		
		Konstruerad variabel: Sammanslagning av l	Partisympati samt l	Närmaste parti.
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Social Den	nocrats	262	34.9%
2	Moderate I	Party	184	24.5%
3	Center Part	у	33	4.4%
4	Liberal Par	ty	81	10.8%
5	Christian D	Democrats	23	3.1%
6	Left Party		46	6.1%
7	Green Part	y	55	7.3%
8	Sweden De	emocrats	26	3.5%
9	Other party	,	5	0.7%
10	10 Inget av ovantstående		35	4.7%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 10] [Missing: *	1
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# riksdagen: F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliame					do their job? - the Parliament
Value	Label	ı		Pe	rcentage
1	Very high t	nigh trust		4.9%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			38.4%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			36.0%
4	Quite low t	rust	113	15.1%	ó

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# riksdagen: F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament					
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			
5	Very low to	rust	42	5.6%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	utistics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high	trust	14	1.9%				
2	Quite hig	n trust	204		27.2%			
3	Neither high nor low trust		328			43.7%		
4	Quite low trust		144		19.2%			
5	Very low trust		60	8.0%				
Varning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	rpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of	f interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: nun		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 750 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1					

# politiska_	_partierna:	F.1AC Confidence in: The political	parties				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The political parties					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	9	1.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	139		18.5%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	334			44.5%	
4	Quite low trust		206		27.5%		
5	Very low trust		62	8.3%			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary stat	tistics of the population of	f interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question How much co		How much confidence do you have in the	th confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	72	9.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	390		:	52.0%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	248	33.1%			
4	Quite low	trust	36	4.8%			
5	Very low trust 4 0.5%						
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of inte	erest.		

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# universitet_hgskolor: F.1AD Confidence in: Universities					
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# storfret	agen: F.1AE	Confidence in: Big business					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high trust		13	1.7%			
2	Quite high trust		256		34.1%		
3	Neither high nor low trust		349		46.5%		
4	Quite low trust		105	14.0%			
5	Very low trust		27	3.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

43.5%
43.5%
43.5%
13.57
40.5%

# dagspre	ssen: F.1AG	Confidence in: The daily press						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high	rust	21	2.8%				
2	Quite high	trust	244		32.5%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	304		40.5%			
4	Quite low t	rust	141	18.89	%			
5	Very low trust		40	5.3%				
Warning: these J	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.				
Information [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät						
# fackliga	_organisatio	nerna: F.1AH Confidence in: The trac	de unions			
Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way th unions	e following ir	nstitutions and businesses do th	eir job? - The trade	
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	age	
1	Very high t	rust	27	3.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	212		28.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	246		32.8%	
4	Quite low t	rust	168		22.4%	
5	Very low to	rust	97	12.9%		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high trust		40	5.3%		
2	Quite high trust		203		27.1%	
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	250		33.3%	
4	Quite low	trust	154		20.5%	
5	Very low	rust	103	13.7%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid:		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# moderaterna: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	32	4.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	160	21.3%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	244	32.5%		
4	Quite low t	rust	174	23.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	140	18.7%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	preted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# folkpartiet: F.1BC Confidence in: Liberal Party					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	12	1.6%	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät						
# folkpar	tiet: F.1BC C	Confidence in: Liberal Party				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
2	Quite high trust		132	17.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	272	36.3%		
4	Quite low t	rust	202	26.9%		
5	Very low to	rust	132	17.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statistics of the p	population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

_		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden				
		Democrats				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	7	0.9%		
2	Quite high	trust	27	3.6%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	68	9.1%		
4	Quite low	rust	90	12.0%		
5	Very low t	rust	558	74.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: di		Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# sveriges_	_radio: F.1C	CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio Sweden				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	160	21.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	409		54.5%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	153	20.4%		
4	Quite low t	rust	24	3.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	4	0.5%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]	·		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# svt: F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses Television			institutions and businesses do their job? - S	wedish		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	118	15.7%		
2	Quite high	trust	405		54.0%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	188	25.1%		
4	Quite low t	rust	35	4.7%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät						
# svt: F.10	CB Confiden	ce in: Swedish Television				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
5	Very low to	rust	4	0.5%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [pe: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4				
Value Label		C	Cases	s Percentage		
1	Very high trust		25	3.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	310		41.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	309		41.2%	
4	Quite low	rust	92	12.3%		
5	Very low to	rust	14	1.9%		
Narning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# tv3: F.1	CD Confider	nce in: TV3				
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the wa			the following in	nstitutions and businesses do	o their job? - TV3	
Value Label		C		Percentage		
1	Very high trust		6	0.8%		
2	Quite high trust		87	11.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	317		42.3%	
4	Quite low t	rust	248		33.1%	
5	Very low to	rust	92	12.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter					
Value Label			Cases	Percen	tage		
1	Very high	trust	81	10.8%			
2	Quite high	trust	347		46.3%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	267		35.6%		
4	Quite low	trust	44	5.9%			
5	Very low t	rust	11	1.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät

dagens_nyheter: F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet					
Value	Label		Cases	Perc	entage		
1	Very high	trust	12	1.6%			
2	Quite high trust		105	14.0%			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	258		34.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	228		30.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	147		19.6%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question How much confidence do you have in		How much confidence do you have in the w	the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		24.0%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			59.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	111	14.8%		
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		1.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	5	0.7%		
Warning: these	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# volvo: F.	# volvo: F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo							
Literal quest	tion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	e way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high trust		114	15.2%				
2	Quite high trust		426		56.8%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	185	24.7%				
4	Quite low t	rust	21	2.8%				
5	Very low to	rust	4	0.5%				
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary state	istics of the population of interest.				
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		Missing: *]					
Statistics [NV	w/ w]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# ericsson: F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the			following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	55	7.3%		

# ericsson	: F.1DC Cor	afidence in: Ericsson				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
2	Quite high trust		354		47.2%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			33.5%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		10.1%		
5	Very low to	rust	14	1.9%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary stat	istics of the population of interes	st.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Coca-Cola					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	rust	35	4.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	124	16.5%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	314		41.9%		
4	Quite low t	rust	193	25.7%			
5	Very low to	rust	84	11.2%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high t	rust	13	1.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	84	11.2%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	292		38.9%		
4	Quite low t	rust	234		31.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	127	16.9%			
Varning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary state	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: nume		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question How much confidence do you have		the way the following in	nstitutions and business	ses do their job? - Astra Zene	
Value	Label	Cases Perc		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	46	6.1%	
2	Quite high	trust	229		30.5%
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	353		47.1
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		13.7%	
5	Very low trust		19	2.5%	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# astrazeneca: F.1DF	# astrazeneca: F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - SAS					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	32	4.3%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			30.1%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	342			45.6%	
4	Quite low	rrust	123	16	.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	27	3.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of in	iterest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# hm: F.1	DH Confide	nce in: H&M					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M					
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage		
1	Very high	rust	46	6.1%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			41.1%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	327		43.6%		
4	Quite low	rust	55	7.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	14	1.9%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: nu] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# stockholmsbrsen: F.1DI Confidence in: Stockholm stock exchange							
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Stockholm stock exchange					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	32	4.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	211		28.1%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	412			54.9%	
4	Quite low t	rust	74	9.9%			
5	Very low to	rust	21	2.8%			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statis	tics of the population of	finterest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 7:		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# politike	rnas_moral:	F.2AA Moral assessment: Politi	cians		
Literal question In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Politicians					Politicians
Value	Label		Cases	ases Percentage	
1	Very good		6	0.8%	
2	Quite good		97	12.9%	
3	Neither go	od nor poor	269		35.9%
4	Quite poor		238		31.7%
5	Very poor		124	16.5%	
6	No opinion		16	2.1%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		e: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# fretagsl	edarnas_mo	ral: F.2AB Moral assessment: Corpora	ate leader	rs		
Literal question		In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Corporate leaders				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very good		4	0.5%		
2	Quite good		109	14.5%		
3	Neither go	od nor poor	310	41.3%		
4	Quite poor		205	27.3%		
5	Very poor		100	13.3%		
6	No opinior	ı	22	2.9%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-6]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid:		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# journal	isternas_mor	al: F.2AC Moral assessment: Jour	nalists			
Literal que	stion	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Journalists				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very good		6	0.8%		
2	Quite good		112	14.9%		
3	Neither goo	od nor poor	268		35.7%	
4	Quite poor		237		31.6%	
5	Very poor		108	14.4%		
6	No opinion		19	2.5%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# forskarnas_moral: F.2AD Moral assessment: Researchers	
Literal question	In general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Researchers

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät					
# forskarnas_moral: F.2AD Moral assessment: Researchers					
Value	lue Label Cases Percentage				
1	Very good		81	10.8%	
2	Quite good		409		54.5%
3	Neither goo	od nor poor	202	26.9%	
4	Quite poor		33	4.4%	
5	Very poor		6	0.8%	
6	No opinion		19	2.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [H		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]	Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# svenskar	# svenskarnas_moral: F.2AE Moral assessment: Swedes					
Literal quest	tion	In general, how would you like to judge the moral	n general, how would you like to judge the morality of the following groups in society? - Swedes			
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage	
1	Very good		10	1.3%		
2	Quite good		246		32.8%	
3	Neither goo	od nor poor	380			50.7%
4	Quite poor		93	12.4%		
5	Very poor		10	1.3%		
6	No opinion		11	1.5%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# politikernas_moral_bakt: F.2BA Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Politicians						
Literal ques	tion	If you look 10-15 years back, do you thi	nk the morality has go	ot better or worse in the	following groups? - Politicians	
Value	Label	Label		1	Percentage	
1	Much bette	r	2	0.3%		
2	Somewhat	better	46	6.1%		
3	Neither bet	ter nor worse	222		29.6%	
4	Somewhat	worse	268		35.7%	
5	Much wors	e	167		22.3%	
6	No opinion	No opinion		6.0%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	ype: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# fretagsledarnas_moral_bakt: F.2BB Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Corporate leaders	
Literal question	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Corporate leaders

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät

fretagsledarnas_moral_bakt: F.2BB Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Corporate leaders

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Much bette	т	5	0.7%	
2	Somewhat	better	60	8.0%	
3	Neither better nor worse		241		32.1%
4	Somewhat	worse	219		29.2%
5	Much wors	e	169	22.5	5%
6	No opinion		56	7.5%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [J	Missing: *]]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

journalisternas_moral_bakt: F.2BC Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Journalists

If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Journalists Literal question

Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage
1	Much bette	r	2	0.3%	
2	Somewhat	Somewhat better		4.4%	
3	Neither better nor worse		240		32.0%
4	Somewhat	worse	234		31.2%
5	Much wors	Much worse			25.9%
6	No opinion		47	6.3%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 6] [Missing: *]		

Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

forskarnas_moral_bakt: F.2BD Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Researchers

Literal question If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Researchers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	8	1.1%
2	Somewhat better	81	10.8%
3	Neither better nor worse	497	66.3%
4	Somewhat worse	57	7.6%
5	Much worse	20	2.7%
6	No opinion	87	11.6%
Warning: these J	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

svenskarnas_moral_bakt: F.2BE Moral assessment compared to 10-15 years ago: Swedes

If you look 10-15 years back, do you think the morality has got better or worse in the following groups? - Swedes Literal question

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	2	0.3%

File : F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät									
# svenska	rnas_moral_	bakt: F.2BE Moral assessment co	ompared to 10-15	years ago: Swedes						
Value Label Cases Percentage										
2	Somewhat	better	45	6.0%						
3	Neither bet	ter nor worse	308		41.1%					
4	Somewhat	Somewhat worse			37.2%					
5	Much wors	e	73	9.7%						
6	No opinion		43	5.7%						
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	erpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.						
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 6] [Missing: *]							
Statistics [NW/ W] [Vali		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]								

Literal que	stion	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they have a high productivity					
Value	Label			Cases Percentage			
1	0 Not at al	l important	9	1.2%			
2	1		3	0.4%			
3	2		5	0.7%			
4	3		16	2.1%			
5	4		31	4.1%			
6	5		131			17.5%	
7	6		83	11.1%			
8	7		153			20.4%	
9	8		153			20.4%	
10	9		58	7.7%			
11	10 Very in	nportant	108		14.49	%	
Narning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. The	hey cannot be interpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of intere	st.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: nun	neric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: 3	*]			
Statistics [N	NW/WI	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 7.98 /-] [StdDev: 2.058 /-]				

#fljer_lagar: F.3AB Importance for big business to: Follow laws and regulations							
do you consider the		1	bate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How importa- ider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very - That they follow laws and regulations				
Value Label				Percentage			
1	0 Not at all	important	2	0.3%			
2	1		0				
3	2		1	0.1%			
4	3		7	0.9%			
5	4		10	1.3%			
6	5		23	3.1%			
7	6		15	2.0%			
8	7		53	7.1%			
9	8		98	13.1%			

File : Fö	File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - webbenkät									
# fljer_lagar: F.3AB Importance for big business to: Follow laws and regulations										
Value Label			Cases	Percentage						
10	9		110	14.7%						
11	10 Very im	portant	431		57.5%					
Warning: these fig.	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.						
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-11]	[Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 9.964 /-] [StdDev: 1.616				/-]						

Literal que	stion	In public debate, the issue of big business' responsibilities in the following areas has been discussed. How important do you consider the following requirements if you had to use a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very important)? - That they take social responsibility				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	0 Not at al	l important	4	0.5%		
2	1		2	0.3%		
3	2		2	0.3%		
4	3		6	0.8%		
5	4		12	1.6%		
6	5		46	6.1%		
7	6		29	3.9%		
8	7		88	11.79	%	
9	8		142		18.9%	
10	9		120		16.0%	
11	10 Very in	nportant	299			39.9%
Varning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary state	istics of the population of i	nterest.	
nformatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: nume	ric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]		
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [N	Mean: 9.397 /-1 [StdDev: 1.857	/-1		

			g business' responsibilities in t equirements if you had to use sparent to the public	_		
Value Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	0 Not at all	important	8	1.1%		
2	1		4	0.5%		
3	2		8	1.1%		
4	3		17	2.3%		
5	4		22	2.9%		
6	5		71	9.59	%	
7	6		61	8.1%		
8	7		96		12.8%	
9	8		144			19.2%
10	9		103		13.7%	
11	10 Very im	portant	216			28.8%

[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 8.721 /-] [StdDev: 2.211 /-]

Statistics [NW/ W]

# vlgrand	le_ndaml: F.	3AE Importance for big	business to: Contribute t	to charity	
			big business' responsibilities in the requirements if you had to use a subute to charity		
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percer	ntage
1	0 Not at al	l important	33	4.4%	
2	1		10	1.3%	
3	2		25	3.3%	
4	3		37	4.9%	
5	4		50	6.7%	
6	5		158		21.1%
7	6		92	1	2.3%
8	7		110		14.7%
9	8		82	10.9	9%
10	9		73	9.7%	
11	10 Very in	nportant	80	10.7	%
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. Th	ney cannot be interpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: num	neric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 7.128 /-] [StdDev: 2.556 /-	-]	

# maxime	# maximerar_vinst: F.3AF Viktigt för storföretagen: Maximize its profits										
Literal question		In public debate, the issue of big business' respondo you consider the following requirements if yo important)? - That they maximize its profits		the following areas has been discussed. How important a scale from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (very							
Value Label			Cases	Percentage							
1	1 0 Not at all important		25	3.3%							

# maxime	erar_vinst: F	.3AF Viktigt för storföret	agen: Maximize its profit	cs	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
2	1		23	3.1%	
3	2		41	5.5%	
4	3		52	6.9%	
5	4		61	8.1%	
6	5		158		21.1%
7	6		113		15.1%
8	7		106		14.1%
9	8		88	1	1.7%
10	9		42	5.6%	
11	10 Very in	nportant	41	5.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numer	ic] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [M	ean: 6.609 /-] [StdDev: 2.418 /-]		

Literal question To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Volvo					uirements to be
Value	Label		Cases	Percent	age
1	Very poor		4	0.5%	
2	1		3	0.4%	
3	2		14	1.9%	
4	3		19	2.5%	
5	4		31	4.1%	
6	5		181		24.1%
7	6		94	12.59	%
8	7		157		20.9%
9	8		153		20.4%
10	9		56	7.5%	
11	Very good		38	5.1%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]	
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 7.51	7 /-] [StdDev: 1.863	/-]	

Literal question To what extent do you think the following responsible businesses? - Ericsson			ring companies (and me	edia corporations) meet the requ	irements to be
Value Label			Cases	Percenta	ge
1	Very poor		9	1.2%	
2	1		8	1.1%	
3	2		29	3.9%	
4	3		35	4.7%	
5	4		56	7.5%	
6	5		194		25.9%
7	6		114	15.	2%

# ansvarsfullt_ericsson: F.3BB Responsible businesses: Ericsson							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
8	7		149		19.9%		
9	8			12.9%			
10	9		37	4.9%			
11	Very good		22	2.9%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-11]		[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 6.895 /-]			/-]			

Literal question		To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - SAS				
Value	Label			Percentage		
1	Very poor		11	1.5%		
2	1		13	1.7%		
3	2		37	4.9%		
4	3		49	6.5%		
5	4		75	10.0%		
6	5		200		26.7%	
7	6		123		16.4%	
8	7		130		17.3%	
9	8		71	9.5%		
10	9		23	3.1%		
11	Very good		18	2.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	ge: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 6.516 /-] [StdDev: 1.997 /-]				

# ansvars	fullt_hm: F	BBD Responsible busine	sses: H&M			
Literal question		To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - H&M				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very poor		10	1.3%		
2	1		11	1.5%		
3	2		22	2.9%		
4	3		32	4.3%		
5	4		71	9.5%		
6	5		201		26.8%	
7	6		108	14.	4%	
8	7		133		17.7%	
9	8		95	12.7%		
10	9		46	6.1%		
11	Very good		21	2.8%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. Th	ey cannot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]						

# ansvarsfullt_hm: F.3	BBD Responsible businesses: H&M
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 6.871 /-] [StdDev: 2.005 /-]

Literal question		To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Aftonbladet					
Value	Label		Cases		Pe	rcentage	
1	Very poor		86			11.5%	
2	1		50		6.7%		
3	2		96			12.8%	
4	3		117			15.6	%
5	4		77		10	0.3%	
6	5		161				21.5%
7	6		69		9.29	6	
8	7		49		6.5%		
9	8		24	3.2%			
10	9		10	1.3%			
11	Very good	l	11	1.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They c	annot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the populat	ion of interesi	t.	
Informatio	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeri	c] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Me	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 4.807 /-] [StdDev: 2.37 /-]				

# ansvarsi	fullt_dagens	_nyheter: F.3BF Responsible busin	nesses: Dagens	Nyheter			
Literal question		To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Dagens Nyheter					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very poor		8	1.1%			
2	1		7	0.9%			
3	2		11	1.5%			
4	3		44	5.9%			
5	4		67	8.9%			
6	5		170			22.7%	
7	6		106		14.1%		
8	7		164			21.9%	
9	8		106		14.1%		
10	9		49	6.5%			
11	Very good		18	2.4%			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 750 /-]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 7.043 /	-] [StdDev: 1.93 /-]			

# ansvarsfullt_svt: F.	# ansvarsfullt_svt: F.3BG Responsible businesses: Swedish Television				
Literal question	To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corporations) meet the requirements to be responsible businesses? - Swedish Television				

# ansvars	fullt_svt: F.3	BBG Responsible businesses: Swedis	h Television			
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very poor		5	0.7%		
2	1		3	0.4%		
3	2		12	1.6%		
4	3	3		3.3%		
5	4		39	5.2%		
6	5		126		16.3	8%
7	6		87		11.6%	
8	7		172			22.9%
9	8		155			20.7%
10	9		95		12.7%	
11	Very good		31	4.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary stati	istics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	- 11] [Missing: *	·]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 7.692 /-]	[StdDev: 1.912	/-]		

# ansvars	fullt_tv4: F.	3BH Responsible business	es: TV4			
Literal question To what extent do you think the following companies (and media corresponsible businesses? - TV4			lia corporations) meet the rec	quirements to be		
Value	Label		Cases Pe		tage	
1	Very poor		11	1.5%		
2	1		13	1.7%		
3	2		33	4.4%		
4	3		54	7.2%		
5	4		103	1	13.7%	
6	5		172		22.9%	
7	6		133		17.7%	
8	7		119		15.9%	
9	8		75	10.0%		
10	9		25	3.3%		
11	Very good		12	1.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They o	cannot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numer	ic] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mo	ean: 6.452 /-] [StdDev: 1.978 /-	-]		

# parti1: F.4A Political party sympathy						
Literal question Which party do you like best today?						
Value Label			Cases		centage	
1	Social Demo	ocrats	234		31.2%	
2	Moderate Pa	rty	172		22.9%	
3	Center Party		31	4.1%		
4	Liberal Party		69	9.2%		
5	Christian Democrats		18	2.4%		
6	Left Party		42	5.6%		

# parti1: F.4A Political party sympathy						
Value	Label	Label			Percentage	
7	Green Party		49	6.5%		
8	Sweden Democrats		24	3.2%		
9	Other party	ther party		0.7%		
10	None of the	None of the above			14.1%	
Warning: these figur	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of in	terest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 10]	Missing: *	*]		
Statistics [NW/	' W]	[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		Which political party do you lean towards?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Social Den	nocrats	28		26.4%	
2	Moderate F	arty	12	11.3%		
3	Center Party		2	1.9%		
4	Liberal Par	ty	12	11.3%		
5	Christian D	emocrats	5	4.7%		
6	Left Party		4	3.8%		
7	Green Party	у	6	5.7%		
8	Sweden De	mocrats	2	1.9%		
10	None of the	e above	35		33.0%	
Sysmiss			644			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 10] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 106 /-] [Invalid: 644 /-]				

# familj: F.	5 Current	family category					
Literal question		If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Working-c	lass family	225		30.0%		
2	Agricultura	Agricultural family		0.3%			
3	Civil serva	nt's family	273		36.4%		
4	Higher civi	Higher civil servant's family/academic family			28.3%		
5	Industrialist family		38	5.1%			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interes	t.		
Information [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# individuell_inkomst: F.6 Income					
Literal question		What is your personal income per month?			
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	
1	up to 10000	up to 10000 SEK per month		10.8%	
2	10001-150	10001-15000 SEK per month		8.0%	

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	136		18.1%		
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	171		22.8%		
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	129		17.2%		
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	62	8.39	%		
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	36	4.8%			
8	40001-450	40001-45000 SEK per month		2.1%			
9	45001-500	45001-50000 SEK per month		1.1%			
10	more than 50000 SEK per month		9	1.2%			
99	Do not kno	Do not know/do not want to state		5.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	be interpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of inte	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		ange: 1- 99] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question What is your household's income per month?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	22	2.9%		
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	21	2.8%		
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	65		8.7	7%
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	55		7.3%	
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	79			10.5%
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	53		7.1%	
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	67		8.	.9%
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	79			10.5%
9	45001-500	00 SEK per month	78			10.4%
10	50001-550	00 SEK per month	49		6.5%	
11	55001-600	00 SEK per month	49		6.5%	
12	60001-650	00 SEK per month	28	3.7%		
13	65001-700	00 SEK per month	21	2.8%		
14	more than	70000 SEK per month	34	4.5%		
99	Do not kno	ow/do not want to state	50		6.7%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They canno	t be interpreted as summary statistics of	the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 99] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 750 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				