

# **Institutional Trust 2006**

# Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

### Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

# **Metadata Production**

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
<b>Production Date</b>	April 1, 2014
Identification	SND0956-001

# **Table of Contents**

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage	<u>4</u>
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling.	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility.	
File Description(s)	
Förtroendebarometer 2006.	
Variable Group(s)	
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables.	
Interview questions.	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2006.	

#### **Institutional Trust 2006**

#### Institutional Trust 2006

Overview	
Identification	SND0956-001

#### **Abstract**

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Gallup and involved 778 individuals who were interviewed by telephone between October 17 and 26, 2006. The 2006 survey also included questions about morality in different groups of people, the changes in how people live, and the relation between the daily press and the Internet.

Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia	
Topics	ics massmedia, POLITIK	
Time Period(s) 2006		
Countries	<u>Countries</u> Sverige	
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation	
Other Producer(s)	Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen TNS Gallup MedieAkademin	

### **Sampling**

#### **Sampling Procedure**

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2006-10-17 end 2006-10-26
Data Collection Mode	Intervju: Telefon

Data Collector(s)	TNS Gallup

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

# **File Description(s)**

### Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2006	
Cases	778
Variable(s)	55

# Variable Group(s)

### Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0956	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0956-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 1.1	-
4	respnr	SERIAL ID	-

Backg	Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question	
1	vikt	Weight	-	
2	sex	Sex	-	
3	utbild	Education	-	
4	region	Region	-	
5	age	Age	-	
6	inkmonth	Household's income/month	-	
7	inkyear	Household's income/year	-	
8	parti3	Political parties (merged)	-	

nterview questions			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	riksbank	F.1AA Confidence in: The Swedish Central Bank	How much confidence do you have in the way the followin institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
2	riksdag	F.1AB Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament
3	eukomm	F.1AC Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the followir institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission
4	polpart	F.1AD Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the followir institutions and businesses do their job? - The political parties
5	univer	F.1AE Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities
6	storftg	F.1AF Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the followir institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business
7	fack	F.1AG Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions
8	dagspr	F.1AH Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press
9	radiotv	F.1AI Confidence in: Radio and television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television

#	Name	Label	Question
10	smftg	F.1AJ Confidence in: Small business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Small business
11	kyrkan	F.1AK Confidence in: The Church of Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden
12	social	F.1AL Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
13	moderat	F.1AM Confidence in: Moderate Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party
14	folkpart	F.1AN Confidence in: Liberal Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party
15	svedem	F.1AO Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats
16	sr	F.1BA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Radio Sweden
17	svt	F.1BB Confidence in: Swedish Television	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Swedish Television
18	tv4	F.1BC Confidence in: TV4	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - TV4
19	tv3	F.1BD Confidence in: TV3	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - TV3
20	kanal5	F.1BE Confidence in: Channel 5	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Channel 5
21	dn	F.1BF Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - DN (Dagens Nyheter)
22	afton	F.1BG Confidence in: Aftonbladet	How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Aftonbladet
23	ikea	F.1CA Confidence in: IKEA	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - IKEA
24	volvo	F.1CB Confidence in: Volvo	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Volvo
25	saab	F.1CC Confidence in: Saab	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Saab
26	ericsson	F.1CD Confidence in: Ericsson	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Ericsson
27	posten	F.1CE Confidence in: Posten AB	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Posten AB
28	telia	F.1CF Confidence in: TeliaSonera	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - TeliaSonera
29	coca	F.1CG Confidence in: Coca-Cola	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Coca-Cola
30	skandia	F.1CH Confidence in: Skandia	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Skandia
31	astraz	F.1CI Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Astra Zeneca

#	Name	Label	Question
32	past1	F.2A Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Internet prerequisite for staying informed	The Internet is a prerequisite for staying informed about current events
33	past2	F.2B Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Reading the local paper magazine - no need to visit the newspaper's online site	People who read the local paper magazine have no need to visit the newspaper's online site
34	past3	F.2C Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Online newspaper content more difficult to grasp	The content of online newspapers is more difficult to grasp than the content of the paper magazines
35	egenliv	F.3A Changes last 10-15 years: Your own life situation	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of your own life situation, and most Swedes life situation? - Your own life situation
36	svelivs	F.3B Changes last 10-15 years: Most Swedes' life situation	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of your own life situation, and most Swedes life situation? - Most Swedes' life situation
37	journ	F.4A Changes last 10-15 years: Journalistic ethics	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - Journalistic ethics
38	politik	F.4B Changes last 10-15 years: The morality of politicians	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of politicians
39	ftgled	F.4C Changes last 10-15 years: The morality of business leaders	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of business leaders
40	svefolk	F.4D Changes last 10-15 years: The morality of the Swedish people	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of the Swedish people
41	parti1	F.5A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?
42	parti2	F.5B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
43	familj	F.6 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?

# **Variables Description**

Dataset contains 55 variable(s)

File : F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2006					
# SND_st	# SND_studie: SND-studie 0956					
		SND-studie 0956: Förtroendebarometer 2006				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
956	SND 0956		778		100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 956- 956] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0956-001					
		SND-dataset 0956-001: Förtroendebarometer 2006	i		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	SND 0956-	-001	778	100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:			Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# SND_version: SND version 1.1					
		ND version 1.0, mars 2014			
Notes Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english					
Value	Label	bel Cases		Percentage	
1	Version 1.1		778		100.0%
Warning: these f	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [M	dissing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# respnr:	respnr: SERIAL ID			
	Respondent-ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
87754		1	0.1%	
88611		1	0.1%	
88630		1	0.1%	
88682		1	0.1%	
88709		1	0.1%	
88710		1	0.1%	
88711		1	0.1%	
88713		1	0.1%	
88714		1	0.1%	
88716		1	0.1%	
88717		1	0.1%	
88718		1	0.1%	
88719		1	0.1%	
88720		1	0.1%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8721		1	0.1%
8722		1	0.1%
8723		1	0.1%
8724		1	0.1%
8725		1	0.1%
8726		1	0.1%
8727		1	0.1%
8728		1	0.1%
8729		1	0.1%
8730		1	0.1%
8731		1	0.1%
8732		1	0.1%
8733		1	0.1%
8734		1	0.1%
8735		1	0.1%
8736		1	0.1%
3737		1	0.1%
8739		1	0.1%
3740		1	0.1%
3741		1	0.1%
8742		1	0.1%
3743		1	0.1%
3744		1	0.1%
3747		1	0.1%
3748		1	0.1%
3749		1	0.1%
3750		1	0.1%
8751		1	0.1%
3752		1	0.1%
3753		1	0.1%
3754		1	0.1%
3755		1	0.1%
3756		1	0.1%
3757		1	0.1%
8758		1	0.1%
3750 3759		1	0.1%
37 <i>59</i> 3760		1	0.1%
8761		1	0.1%
3762		1	0.1%
8762 8763		1	0.1%
3764		1	0.1%
8765 8766		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88767		1	0.1%
88768		1	0.1%
88769		1	0.1%
8770		1	0.1%
8771		1	0.1%
8772		1	0.1%
8773		1	0.1%
8774		1	0.1%
88775		1	0.1%
88776		1	0.1%
88777		1	0.1%
8779		1	0.1%
8780		1	0.1%
8781		1	0.1%
8782		1	0.1%
8783		1	0.1%
8784		1	0.1%
8785		1	0.1%
8786		1	0.1%
8787		1	0.1%
8788		1	0.1%
8789		1	0.1%
8790		1	0.1%
8791		1	0.1%
8792		1	0.1%
8793		1	0.1%
8794		1	0.1%
8795		1	0.1%
8796		1	0.1%
8797		1	0.1%
8798		1	0.1%
8799		1	0.1%
8800		1	0.1%
8801		1	0.1%
8802		1	0.1%
8803		1	0.1%
8804		1	0.1%
8805		1	0.1%
8806		1	0.1%
8807		1	0.1%
8808		1	0.1%
8809		1	0.1%
8810		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88811		1	0.1%
88812		1	0.1%
88813		1	0.1%
8814		1	0.1%
8815		1	0.1%
8816		1	0.1%
8817		1	0.1%
8818		1	0.1%
8819		1	0.1%
8820		1	0.1%
8821		1	0.1%
8822		1	0.1%
8823		1	0.1%
8824		1	0.1%
8825		1	0.1%
8826		1	0.1%
8827		1	0.1%
8828		1	0.1%
8829		1	0.1%
8832		1	0.1%
8833		1	0.1%
8834		1	0.1%
8835		1	0.1%
8836		1	0.1%
8837		1	0.1%
8838		1	0.1%
8839		1	0.1%
8840		1	0.1%
8841		1	0.1%
8842		1	0.1%
8843		1	0.1%
8844		1	0.1%
8845		1	0.1%
8846		1	0.1%
8847		1	0.1%
8848		1	0.1%
8849		1	0.1%
8850		1	0.1%
8851		1	0.1%
8852		1	0.1%
8853		1	0.1%
8855		1	0.1%
8856		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38857		1	0.1%
38858		1	0.1%
88859		1	0.1%
8860		1	0.1%
8861		1	0.1%
8862		1	0.1%
8863		1	0.1%
8864		1	0.1%
8865		1	0.1%
8866		1	0.1%
8867		1	0.1%
8868		1	0.1%
8870		1	0.1%
8871		1	0.1%
8872		1	0.1%
8873		1	0.1%
8874		1	0.1%
8875		1	0.1%
8876		1	0.1%
8877		1	0.1%
8878		1	0.1%
8879		1	0.1%
8880		1	0.1%
8881		1	0.1%
8882		1	0.1%
8883		1	0.1%
8884		1	0.1%
8885		1	0.1%
8886		1	0.1%
8887		1	0.1%
8888		1	0.1%
8889		1	0.1%
8890		1	0.1%
8891		1	0.1%
8892		1	0.1%
8893		1	0.1%
8894		1	0.1%
8895		1	0.1%
8896		1	0.1%
8897		1	0.1%
8898		1	0.1%
8899			
8899 8900		1	0.1% 0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88901		1	0.1%
88902		1	0.1%
88903		1	0.1%
8904		1	0.1%
8905		1	0.1%
8906		1	0.1%
8907		1	0.1%
8908		1	0.1%
88909		1	0.1%
88910		1	0.1%
88911		1	0.1%
88912		1	0.1%
88913		1	0.1%
8914		1	0.1%
8915		1	0.1%
8916		1	0.1%
8917		1	0.1%
8918		1	0.1%
8919		1	0.1%
8920		1	0.1%
8921		1	0.1%
8923		1	0.1%
8924		1	0.1%
8925		1	0.1%
8926		1	0.1%
8927		1	0.1%
8928		1	0.1%
8929		1	0.1%
8930		1	0.1%
8931		1	0.1%
8932		1	0.1%
8933		1	0.1%
8934		1	0.1%
8935		1	0.1%
8937		1	0.1%
8938		1	0.1%
8939		1	0.1%
8941		1	0.1%
8942		1	0.1%
8943		1	0.1%
8944		1	0.1%
8945		1	0.1%
8946		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88947		1	0.1%
88948		1	0.1%
88949		1	0.1%
88950		1	0.1%
88951		1	0.1%
88952		1	0.1%
88953		1	0.1%
88954		1	0.1%
88955		1	0.1%
38956		1	0.1%
88957		1	0.1%
88958		1	0.1%
88959		1	0.1%
8960		1	0.1%
8961		1	0.1%
8962		1	0.1%
8963		1	0.1%
8965		1	0.1%
8966		1	0.1%
8967		1	0.1%
8968		1	0.1%
8969		1	0.1%
8970		1	0.1%
8971		1	0.1%
8972		1	0.1%
8973		1	0.1%
8974		1	0.1%
8975		1	0.1%
8976		1	0.1%
8977		1	0.1%
8978		1	0.1%
8979		1	0.1%
8980		1	0.1%
8981		1	0.1%
8982		1	0.1%
8983		1	0.1%
8984		1	0.1%
8985		1	0.1%
8986		1	0.1%
8987		1	0.1%
8988		1	0.1%
8989		1	0.1%
88990		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88991		1	0.1%
88992		1	0.1%
88993		1	0.1%
8994		1	0.1%
8995		1	0.1%
8996		1	0.1%
8997		1	0.1%
8998		1	0.1%
8999		1	0.1%
9000		1	0.1%
9001		1	0.1%
9002		1	0.1%
9003		1	0.1%
9004		1	0.1%
9005		1	0.1%
9006		1	0.1%
9007		1	0.1%
9008		1	0.1%
9009		1	0.1%
9010		1	0.1%
9011		1	0.1%
9012		1	0.1%
9013		1	0.1%
9014		1	0.1%
9015		1	0.1%
9016		1	0.1%
9017		1	0.1%
9018		1	0.1%
9019		1	0.1%
9020		1	0.1%
9021		1	0.1%
9022		1	0.1%
9023		1	0.1%
9024		1	0.1%
9025		1	0.1%
9026		1	0.1%
9027		1	0.1%
9028		1	0.1%
9029		1	0.1%
9030		1	0.1%
9031		1	0.1%
9032		1	0.1%
9032		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89034		1	0.1%
39035		1	0.1%
39036		1	0.1%
9037		1	0.1%
9038		1	0.1%
9039		1	0.1%
9040		1	0.1%
9041		1	0.1%
39042		1	0.1%
39043		1	0.1%
9044		1	0.1%
9045		1	0.1%
9046		1	0.1%
9047		1	0.1%
9048		1	0.1%
9049		1	0.1%
9050		1	0.1%
9051		1	0.1%
9052		1	0.1%
9053		1	0.1%
9054		1	0.1%
9055		1	0.1%
9056		1	0.1%
9057		1	0.1%
9058		1	0.1%
9059		1	0.1%
9060		1	0.1%
9061		1	0.1%
9062		1	0.1%
9064		1	0.1%
9065		1	0.1%
9066		1	0.1%
9067		1	0.1%
9068		1	0.1%
9069		1	0.1%
9070		1	0.1%
9071		1	0.1%
9072		1	0.1%
9072		1	0.1%
9073		1	0.1%
9074			0.1%
		1	
9076 9077		1	0.1% 0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
39078		1	0.1%
39079		1	0.1%
39080		1	0.1%
9081		1	0.1%
9082		1	0.1%
9083		1	0.1%
9084		1	0.1%
9085		1	0.1%
39086		1	0.1%
39087		1	0.1%
9088		1	0.1%
9090		1	0.1%
9091		1	0.1%
9092		1	0.1%
9093		1	0.1%
9094		1	0.1%
9095		1	0.1%
9096		1	0.1%
9098		1	0.1%
9099		1	0.1%
9100		1	0.1%
9101		1	0.1%
9103		1	0.1%
9104		1	0.1%
9105		1	0.1%
9106		1	0.1%
9107		1	0.1%
9108		1	0.1%
9109		1	0.1%
9110		1	0.1%
9111		1	0.1%
9112		1	0.1%
9113		1	0.1%
9114		1	0.1%
9115		1	0.1%
9116		1	0.1%
9117		1	0.1%
9117		1	0.1%
9118		1	0.1%
9119			0.1%
		1	
9121		1	0.1%
9122 9123		1	0.1% 0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89124		1	0.1%
39125		1	0.1%
89126		1	0.1%
39127		1	0.1%
39128		1	0.1%
39129		1	0.1%
89130		1	0.1%
89131		1	0.1%
89132		1	0.1%
89133		1	0.1%
89134		1	0.1%
89135		1	0.1%
39136		1	0.1%
89137		1	0.1%
39138		1	0.1%
39140		1	0.1%
39141		1	0.1%
39142		1	0.1%
39143		1	0.1%
39144		1	0.1%
89145		1	0.1%
39146		1	0.1%
39147		1	0.1%
39148		1	0.1%
39149		1	0.1%
39150		1	0.1%
39151		1	0.1%
39152		1	0.1%
39153		1	0.1%
39154		1	0.1%
39155		1	0.1%
39156		1	0.1%
39157		1	0.1%
39158		1	0.1%
39159		1	0.1%
39160		1	0.1%
39161		1	0.1%
39162		1	0.1%
39163		1	0.1%
39164		1	0.1%
39165		1	0.1%
39166		1	0.1%
89167		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

# respnr:	<b>SERIAL</b>	ID
-----------	---------------	----

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89168		1	0.1%
39169		1	0.1%
89170		1	0.1%
9171		1	0.1%
9172		1	0.1%
9173		1	0.1%
9174		1	0.1%
9175		1	0.1%
9176		1	0.1%
9177		1	0.1%
9178		1	0.1%
9179		1	0.1%
9180		1	0.1%
9181		1	0.1%
9182		1	0.1%
9184		1	0.1%
9185		1	0.1%
9186		1	0.1%
9187		1	0.1%
9188		1	0.1%
9189		1	0.1%
9190		1	0.1%
9191		1	0.1%
9192		1	0.1%
9193		1	0.1%
9194		1	0.1%
9195		1	0.1%
9196		1	0.1%
9197		1	0.1%
9198		1	0.1%
9199		1	0.1%
9200		1	0.1%
9201		1	0.1%
9202		1	0.1%
9203		1	0.1%
9204		1	0.1%
9205		1	0.1%
9206		1	0.1%
9207		1	0.1%
9208		1	0.1%
9209		1	0.1%
9210		1	0.1%
9210		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89212		1	0.1%
89213		1	0.1%
9214		1	0.1%
9216		1	0.1%
9217		1	0.1%
9218		1	0.1%
9219		1	0.1%
9220		1	0.1%
9221		1	0.1%
9222		1	0.1%
9224		1	0.1%
9225		1	0.1%
9226		1	0.1%
9227		1	0.1%
9228		1	0.1%
9229		1	0.1%
9230		1	0.1%
9231		1	0.1%
9232		1	0.1%
9233		1	0.1%
9234		1	0.1%
9235		1	0.1%
9236		1	0.1%
9237		1	0.1%
9238		1	0.1%
9240		1	0.1%
9240		1	0.1%
9242		1	0.1%
9242			
9243		1	0.1%
		1	
9245		1	0.1%
9246		1	0.1%
9247		1	0.1%
9248		1	0.1%
9249		1	0.1%
9250		1	0.1%
9251		1	0.1%
9252		1	0.1%
9254		1	0.1%
9255		1	0.1%
9256		1	0.1%
9257		1	0.1%
9258		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

# respnr:	<b>SERIAL</b>	ID
-----------	---------------	----

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89259		1	0.1%
39260		1	0.1%
39261		1	0.1%
9262		1	0.1%
9263		1	0.1%
9264		1	0.1%
9265		1	0.1%
9266		1	0.1%
9267		1	0.1%
9268		1	0.1%
9269		1	0.1%
9270		1	0.1%
9271		1	0.1%
9272		1	0.1%
9273		1	0.1%
9274		1	0.1%
9275		1	0.1%
9276		1	0.1%
9277		1	0.1%
9278		1	0.1%
9279		1	0.1%
9280		1	0.1%
9281		1	0.1%
9282		1	0.1%
9283		1	0.1%
9284		1	0.1%
9285		1	0.1%
9286		1	0.1%
9287		1	0.1%
9288		1	0.1%
9289		1	0.1%
9290		1	0.1%
9291		1	0.1%
9292		1	0.1%
9293		1	0.1%
9294		1	0.1%
9295		1	0.1%
9296		1	0.1%
9297		1	0.1%
9298		1	0.1%
9299		1	0.1%
9300		1	0.1%
9301		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89302		1	0.1%
39303		1	0.1%
39304		1	0.1%
9305		1	0.1%
39306		1	0.1%
39307		1	0.1%
39308		1	0.1%
39309		1	0.1%
39310		1	0.1%
39311		1	0.1%
39312		1	0.1%
39313		1	0.1%
39314		1	0.1%
9315		1	0.1%
9316		1	0.1%
9317		1	0.1%
9318		1	0.1%
9319		1	0.1%
9320		1	0.1%
9321		1	0.1%
9322		1	0.1%
9323		1	0.1%
9324		1	0.1%
9325		1	0.1%
9326		1	0.1%
9328		1	0.1%
9329		1	0.1%
9330		1	0.1%
9331		1	0.1%
9332		1	0.1%
9333		1	0.1%
9334		1	0.1%
9335		1	0.1%
9336		1	0.1%
9337		1	0.1%
9338		1	0.1%
9339		1	0.1%
9340		1	0.1%
9341		1	0.1%
9342		1	0.1%
9342		1	0.1%
9343			
9344 9346		1 1	0.1% 0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89347		1	0.1%
39348		1	0.1%
9349		1	0.1%
9350		1	0.1%
9351		1	0.1%
9352		1	0.1%
9353		1	0.1%
9354		1	0.1%
9355		1	0.1%
9356		1	0.1%
9357		1	0.1%
9360		1	0.1%
9361		1	0.1%
9362		1	0.1%
9363		1	0.1%
9364		1	0.1%
9366		1	0.1%
9367		1	0.1%
9368		1	0.1%
9369		1	0.1%
9370		1	0.1%
9371		1	0.1%
9372		1	0.1%
9373		1	0.1%
9374		1	0.1%
9375		1	0.1%
9376		1	0.1%
9377		1	0.1%
9378		1	0.1%
9379		1	0.1%
9380		1	0.1%
9381		1	0.1%
9382		1	0.1%
9383		1	0.1%
9384		1	0.1%
9385		1	0.1%
9386		1	0.1%
9387		1	0.1%
9388		1	0.1%
9389		1	0.1%
9390		1	0.1%
9391		1	0.1%
9391		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89393		1	0.1%
39394		1	0.1%
39395		1	0.1%
89396		1	0.1%
9397		1	0.1%
39398		1	0.1%
9399		1	0.1%
9400		1	0.1%
39401		1	0.1%
39402		1	0.1%
39403		1	0.1%
39404		1	0.1%
39406		1	0.1%
9407		1	0.1%
9408		1	0.1%
9409		1	0.1%
9410		1	0.1%
9411		1	0.1%
9412		1	0.1%
9413		1	0.1%
9414		1	0.1%
9415		1	0.1%
9417		1	0.1%
9418		1	0.1%
9419		1	0.1%
9420		1	0.1%
9421		1	0.1%
9422		1	0.1%
9423		1	0.1%
9426		1	0.1%
9427		1	0.1%
9428		1	0.1%
9429		1	0.1%
9430		1	0.1%
9431		1	0.1%
9432		1	0.1%
9433		1	0.1%
9434		1	0.1%
9435		1	0.1%
9436		1	0.1%
9437		1	0.1%
9438		1	0.1%
9438		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89440		1	0.1%
39442		1	0.1%
39444		1	0.1%
39445		1	0.1%
9446		1	0.1%
39447		1	0.1%
9448		1	0.1%
9449		1	0.1%
39451		1	0.1%
39452		1	0.1%
39453		1	0.1%
39454		1	0.1%
39457		1	0.1%
9458		1	0.1%
9459		1	0.1%
9460		1	0.1%
9461		1	0.1%
9462		1	0.1%
9463		1	0.1%
9464		1	0.1%
9465		1	0.1%
9466		1	0.1%
9467		1	0.1%
9468		1	0.1%
9469		1	0.1%
9470		1	0.1%
9471		1	0.1%
9472		1	0.1%
9473		1	0.1%
9474		1	0.1%
9475		1	0.1%
9476		1	0.1%
9477		1	0.1%
9478		1	0.1%
9479		1	0.1%
9480		1	0.1%
9481		1	0.1%
9482		1	0.1%
9483		1	0.1%
9484		1	0.1%
9486		1	0.1%
9487		1	0.1%
9488		1	0.1%

# respnr:	<b>SERIAL ID</b>
-----------	------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89489		1	0.1%
89490		1	0.1%
89491		1	0.1%
89492		1	0.1%
89494		1	0.1%
89496		1	0.1%
89497		1	0.1%
89498		1	0.1%
89499		1	0.1%
89500		1	0.1%
89502		1	0.1%
89503		1	0.1%
89504		1	0.1%
89505		1	0.1%
89506		1	0.1%
89507		1	0.1%
89509		1	0.1%
89510		1	0.1%
89511		1	0.1%
89512		1	0.1%
89513		1	0.1%
89514		1	0.1%
89515		1	0.1%
89516		1	0.1%
89517		1	0.1%
89518		1	0.1%
89519		1	0.1%
89520		1	0.1%
89521		1	0.1%
89522		1	0.1%
89523		1	0.1%
89524		1	0.1%
89525		1	0.1%

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 87754- 89525] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Viktvariabel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.3433		1	0.1%
0.3733		1	0.1%

# vikt:	Weight
---------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.394		1	0.1%
0.3952		1	0.1%
0.4088		1	0.1%
0.4106		1	0.1%
0.426		2	0.3%
0.4287		1	0.1%
0.4343		2	0.3%
0.438		1	0.1%
0.4417		1	0.1%
0.4466		1	0.1%
0.4479		1	0.1%
0.4537		2	0.3%
0.4546		1	0.1%
0.458		2	0.3%
0.4596		1	0.1%
0.4590		1	0.1%
0.4707		1	0.1%
0.4796			0.1%
0.4796		1	
		1	0.1%
0.4863		1	0.1%
0.4873		1	0.1%
0.4927		1	0.1%
0.4968		1	0.1%
0.4974		1	0.1%
0.5012		1	0.1%
0.5024		1	0.1%
0.5079		1	0.1%
0.5106		1	0.1%
0.512		1	0.1%
0.5133		1	0.1%
0.5182		1	0.1%
0.5266		1	0.1%
0.528		1	0.1%
0.5299		1	0.1%
0.5324		2	0.3%
0.5346		2	0.3%
0.5372		1	0.1%
0.5383		2	0.3%
0.5413		2	0.3%
0.5416		1	0.1%
0.543		2	0.3%
0.55		2	0.3%
0.5516		1	0.1%

	• T:		m dah		neter	2006
8114		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		14 14 11 1	14141	/

# vikt: Weight				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0.5524		1	0.1%	
0.5544		1	0.1%	
.5557		1	0.1%	
.5586		1	0.1%	
.5708		1	0.1%	
.573		1	0.1%	
.5754		1	0.1%	
.576		1	0.1%	
.5766		1	0.1%	
5798		1	0.1%	
5802		1	0.1%	
584		1	0.1%	
.5893		1	0.1%	
.591		1	0.1%	
.5913		1	0.1%	
.5916		1	0.1%	
5929		1	0.1%	
593		1	0.1%	
5951		3	0.4%	
.596		1	0.1%	
5964		2	0.3%	
5973		3	0.4%	
598		1	0.1%	
5991		1	0.1%	
603		1	0.1%	
6046		1	0.1%	
6071		1	0.1%	
6074		1	0.1%	
.6083		1	0.1%	
6112		1	0.1%	
.6117		1	0.1%	
6118		1	0.1%	
.6164		1	0.1%	
.6189		4	0.5%	
619		1	0.1%	
.6212		1	0.1%	
.6225		1	0.1%	
6234		3	0.4%	
6246		2	0.3%	
6248		1	0.1%	
.6253		1	0.1%	
.632		1	0.1%	
.6322		1	0.1%	

# ***17.4.	Weight
TT VIKI:	vv eiviii

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.633		1	0.1%
0.6343		1	0.1%
0.6365		1	0.1%
0.6367		2	0.3%
0.6371		2	0.3%
0.6408		3	0.4%
0.6412		1	0.1%
0.6414		1	0.1%
0.6417		1	0.1%
0.6423		1	0.1%
0.6424		1	0.1%
0.6426		1	0.1%
0.6435		2	0.3%
0.6441		1	0.1%
0.6457		1	0.1%
0.6464		1	0.1%
0.648		2	0.3%
0.6488		1	0.1%
0.6494		1	0.1%
0.6509		3	0.4%
0.6519		1	0.1%
0.6534		2	0.3%
).6566		1	0.1%
).6576		1	0.1%
).6677		3	0.4%
0.6705		1	0.1%
0.6708		2	0.3%
0.6711		1	0.1%
0.6749		2	0.3%
).6757		1	0.1%
).676		1	0.1%
).6788		2	0.3%
0.6807		1	0.1%
0.6824		2	0.3%
0.6825		1	0.1%
).683		1	0.1%
0.6847		1	0.1%
).6873		2	0.3%
0.6884		3	0.4%
0.6903		1	0.1%
).6904		1	0.1%
0.6929		3	0.4%
0.6933		3	0.4%

# ***17.4.	Weight
TT VIKI:	vv eiviii

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.6938		2	0.3%
0.6941		1	0.1%
).6956		1	0.1%
0.6998		1	0.1%
0.7008		2	0.3%
0.7013		1	0.1%
).7016		2	0.3%
0.7019		1	0.1%
0.7033		3	0.4%
0.7037		2	0.3%
).7046		1	0.1%
).707		2	0.3%
0.7074		1	0.1%
.712		1	0.1%
0.7131		1	0.1%
).7169		1	0.1%
).7171		2	0.3%
0.7174		1	0.1%
).7177		1	0.1%
0.7184		1	0.1%
).7198		1	0.1%
0.7209		1	0.1%
).7215		2	0.3%
0.7218		1	0.1%
.7236		1	0.1%
0.7244		1	0.1%
).7256		1	0.1%
).7258		1	0.1%
).729		1	0.1%
0.7301		1	0.1%
0.7302		2	0.3%
.7349		1	0.370
.7364		1	0.1%
).7366		2	0.3%
1.7379		1	0.3%
1.7399		1	0.1%
0.7434		1	0.1%
0.7462			0.1%
0.7462		3	0.4%
		1	
).7496		1	0.1%
0.7508		1	0.1%
0.751		2	0.3%
).7539		1	0.1%

# wilst.	Weight

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.755		1	0.1%
0.7553		1	0.1%
0.7557		1	0.1%
0.7558		1	0.1%
0.7559		2	0.3%
0.7569		1	0.1%
0.757		1	0.1%
0.7571		1	0.1%
0.7587		2	0.3%
0.7593		1	0.1%
0.7595		1	0.1%
0.7648		1	0.1%
0.7666		1	0.1%
0.7711		2	0.3%
0.7718		1	0.1%
0.7719		1	0.1%
0.7733		1	0.1%
0.7743		1	0.1%
0.7744		1	0.1%
0.7754		1	0.1%
0.7771		1	0.1%
0.7785		1	0.1%
0.781		1	0.1%
0.782		1	0.1%
0.7825		1	0.1%
0.7829		1	0.1%
0.7847		1	0.1%
0.7856		1	0.1%
0.7857		1	0.1%
0.7872		2	0.3%
0.7877		1	0.1%
0.7882		1	0.1%
0.7884		2	0.3%
0.7886		2	0.3%
0.7891		2	0.3%
0.7895		2	0.3%
0.7901		1	0.1%
0.7927		1	0.1%
0.794		3	0.4%
0.7978		2	0.3%
0.7988		5	0.6%
0.7992		3	0.4%
0.7999		1	0.1%

Tile.	Färtraandaharamatar	2006
rne:	Förtroendebarometer	<b>4</b> 000

#1-4-	Weight
# VIKI	WEIGHT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.8004		1	0.1%
0.8012		1	0.1%
.8023		1	0.1%
0.8028		2	0.3%
.8035		5	0.6%
.8043		1	0.1%
.8057		2	0.3%
.8063		1	0.1%
.8076		1	0.1%
.8094		1	0.1%
.8095		1	0.1%
.8103		1	0.1%
.8104		1	0.1%
.8106		1	0.1%
.8125		2	0.3%
.8126		1	0.1%
.8134		1	0.1%
.8159		1	0.1%
.8209		1	0.1%
.8225		1	0.1%
.8228		1	0.1%
.8234		2	0.3%
.8239		1	0.1%
.8257		2	0.3%
.8284		2	0.3%
.8309		1	0.1%
.8311		1	0.1%
.8341		1	0.1%
.8342		1	0.1%
.8348		2	0.3%
.8354		1	0.1%
.8389		1	0.1%
.8397		1	0.1%
.8399		1	0.1%
.8402		1	0.1%
.8404		1	0.1%
.8448		1	0.1%
.845		1	0.1%
.8452		1	0.1%
.8458		1	0.1%
.8466		1	0.1%
.8471		1	0.1%
.8506		1	0.1%

#	vikt:	Weight

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.851		4	0.5%
0.8519		1	0.1%
0.8527		3	0.4%
0.8529		1	0.1%
0.8533		3	0.4%
0.8539		3	0.4%
0.8569		1	0.1%
0.8595		1	0.1%
0.8602		1	0.1%
0.8619		1	0.1%
0.8625		1	0.1%
0.8632		2	0.3%
0.8633		1	0.1%
0.8643		1	0.1%
0.8663		4	0.5%
).8669		1	0.1%
0.8698		1	0.1%
).8753		1	0.1%
0.877		1	0.1%
).8788		2	0.3%
0.8802		1	0.1%
).8844		1	0.1%
0.8861		1	0.1%
).8865		1	0.1%
).8866		1	0.1%
0.8883		1	0.1%
).8886		1	0.1%
).8914		1	0.1%
0.8919		1	0.1%
0.8924		1	0.1%
).8926		1	0.1%
).8948		1	0.1%
).8957		1	0.1%
0.8989		1	0.1%
0.8994		1	0.1%
).9024		1	0.1%
).9053		2	0.3%
).9059		1	0.1%
).911		1	0.1%
0.9119		2	0.3%
0.9139		1	0.1%
0.9143		1	0.1%
0.9162		1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	F	Percentage
0.9181		1	0.1%	
0.9186		1	0.1%	
0.9191		1	0.1%	
0.9195		2	0.39	%
0.9249		1	0.1%	
0.9251		1	0.1%	
0.9256		2	0.39	%
0.9266		1	0.1%	
0.9288		1	0.1%	
0.9305		1	0.1%	
0.932		1	0.1%	
0.9328		1	0.1%	
0.933		2	0.39	%
0.9339		1	0.1%	
0.9342		1	0.1%	
0.9361		3		0.4%
0.9362		2	0.39	%
0.94		1	0.1%	
0.9411		1	0.1%	
0.9427		1	0.1%	
0.945		1	0.1%	
0.9458		1	0.1%	
0.9542		2	0.39	%
0.9556		1	0.1%	
0.9558		2	0.39	%
0.9599		1	0.1%	
0.9601		1	0.1%	
0.9615		1	0.1%	
0.9635		3		0.4%
0.9648		1	0.1%	
0.9651		1	0.1%	
0.9662		2	0.39	%
0.9669		1	0.1%	
0.9673		2	0.39	%
0.9678		1	0.1%	
0.9722		2	0.39	%
0.973		1	0.1%	
0.9778		2	0.39	%
0.9797		1	0.1%	
0.9798		1	0.1%	
0.9819		1	0.1%	
0.9822		1	0.1%	
0.9832		1	0.1%	

# vikt:	Weight
---------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0.9835		2	0.3%	
0.9859		1	0.1%	
0.986		1	0.1%	
0.9899		1	0.1%	
0.991		1	0.1%	
0.9926		1	0.1%	
0.9927		1	0.1%	
0.998		1	0.1%	
0.9985		1	0.1%	
1.003		1	0.1%	
1.0035		2	0.3%	
1.0038		2	0.3%	
1.006		1	0.1%	
1.0062		1	0.1%	
1.0092		1	0.1%	
1.01		1	0.1%	
1.0108		2	0.3%	
1.0111		1	0.1%	
1.0155		1	0.1%	
1.0186		1	0.1%	
1.0188		1	0.1%	
1.0212		1	0.1%	
1.0216		1	0.1%	
1.0225		1	0.1%	
1.0236		2	0.3%	
1.0261		1	0.1%	
1.0299		1	0.1%	
1.03		1	0.1%	
1.0349		1	0.1%	
1.035		1	0.1%	
1.0353		3	0.4%	
1.0386		1	0.1%	
1.0436		2	0.3%	
1.0454		2	0.3%	
1.0472		1	0.1%	
1.0485		1	0.1%	
1.0492		1	0.1%	
1.0534		1	0.1%	
1.0552		1	0.1%	
1.0577		2	0.3%	
1.0605		1	0.1%	
1.0617		3	0.4%	
1.062		1	0.1%	

#	vikt:	Weight	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1.065		1	0.1%	
1.0652		1	0.1%	
1.0658		1	0.1%	
1.0661		1	0.1%	
1.0687		1	0.1%	
1.0698		1	0.1%	
1.0716		2	0.3	%
1.0738		2	0.3	%
1.0754		1	0.1%	
1.0757		1	0.1%	
1.0766		1	0.1%	
1.077		1	0.1%	
1.0777		2	0.3	%
1.078		1	0.1%	
1.0785		1	0.1%	
1.0832		1	0.1%	
1.0839		2	0.3	%
1.0842		1	0.1%	
1.0875		1	0.1%	
1.0883		1	0.1%	
1.0891		1	0.1%	
1.09		3		0.4%
1.0915		1	0.1%	
1.0918		2	0.3	%
1.0926		4		0.5%
1.098		1	0.1%	
1.1004		1	0.1%	
1.1035		2	0.3	%
1.105		1	0.1%	
1.1073		1	0.1%	
1.1077		1	0.1%	
1.1094		1	0.1%	
1.1142		1	0.1%	
1.116		1	0.1%	
1.1173		1	0.1%	
1.1176		1	0.1%	
1.1177		1	0.1%	
1.1201		1	0.1%	
1.1218		1	0.1%	
1.1226		1	0.1%	
1.131		1	0.1%	
1.1334		1	0.1%	
1.1355		1	0.1%	

File •	Förtro	endehai	rometer	2006
TILL .	1 1/11 1/1 1/1/1		I WILLULE	4000

#1-4-	Weight
# VIKT	weignt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.1359		1	0.1%
1.136		2	0.3%
1.139		2	0.3%
1.1396		1	0.1%
1.1448		1	0.1%
1.1456		1	0.1%
1.1462		1	0.1%
1.15		1	0.1%
1.1527		1	0.1%
1.156		1	0.1%
1.1577		2	0.3%
1.1589		1	0.1%
1.1593		2	0.3%
1.1605		3	0.4%
1.1611		1	0.1%
1.1613		1	0.1%
1.1619		4	0.5%
1.1626		1	0.1%
1.1636		1	0.1%
1.1712		2	0.3%
1.1715		1	0.1%
1.1748		1	0.1%
1.1773		1	0.1%
1.1778		1	0.1%
1.1832		1	0.1%
1.1861		1	0.1%
1.1862		2	0.3%
1.1875		2	0.3%
1.1879		1	0.1%
1.1901		1	0.1%
1.1952		2	0.3%
1.2006		1	0.1%
1.2019		1	0.1%
1.2022		2	0.3%
1.2138		1	0.1%
1.216		1	0.1%
1.2172		1	0.1%
1.2224		1	0.1%
1.224		1	0.1%
1.2273		2	0.3%
1.2284		1	0.1%
1.2305		2	0.1%
1.2305		1	0.3%

# vikt:	Weight
---------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.232		1	0.1%
1.2337		2	0.3%
1.2346		1	0.1%
1.2396		1	0.1%
1.2429		1	0.1%
1.2477		2	0.3%
1.2575		1	0.1%
1.2595		1	0.1%
1.2602		1	0.1%
1.2608		1	0.1%
1.2643		2	0.3%
1.2648		1	0.1%
1.266		2	0.3%
1.2661		1	0.1%
1.2674		1	0.1%
1.2676		1	0.1%
1.2861		1	0.1%
1.293		1	0.1%
1.2932		1	0.1%
1.2934		1	0.1%
1.2954		2	0.3%
1.2957		1	0.1%
1.2962		1	0.1%
1.3		1	0.1%
1.306		2	0.3%
1.3087		1	0.1%
1.3089		2	0.3%
1.3208		1	0.1%
1.3373		3	0.4%
1.3433		1	0.1%
1.3542		2	0.3%
1.3567		1	0.1%
1.3578		1	0.1%
1.3588		1	0.1%
1.3607		1	0.1%
1.3651		1	0.1%
1.3692		2	0.3%
1.3721		1	0.1%
1.3881		2	0.3%
1.4001		1	0.1%
1.402		1	0.1%
1.4029		1	0.1%
1.4147		1	0.1%

# vikt:	Weight

# vikt: Wo			Powerst
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.4194		1	0.1%
1.4216		1	0.1%
1.4306		1	0.1%
1.4346		1	0.1%
1.44		1	0.1%
1.4453		1	0.1%
1.4595		2	0.3%
1.4597		1	0.1%
1.4629		1	0.1%
1.472		1	0.1%
1.4748		1	0.1%
1.4813		1	0.1%
1.4837		1	0.1%
1.4884		1	0.1%
1.4928		2	0.3%
1.4995		1	0.1%
1.5042		1	0.1%
1.5088		1	0.1%
1.5101		1	0.1%
1.5141		1	0.1%
1.5289		1	0.1%
1.5435		1	0.1%
1.5465		1	0.1%
1.5516		1	0.1%
1.5523		1	0.1%
1.5569		1	0.1%
1.5639		1	0.1%
1.5658		1	0.1%
1.5781		1	0.1%
1.5854		1	0.1%
1.5889		2	0.3%
1.5899		1	0.1%
1.6101		1	0.1%
1.6153		1	0.1%
1.6288		1	0.1%
1.6316		1	0.1%
1.6321		1	0.1%
1.6354		1	0.1%
1.6427		1	0.1%
1.6439		1	0.1%
1.6448		1	0.1%
1.6482		1	0.1%
1.6634		1	0.1%

# vikt:	Weight
---------	--------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
.6755		1	0.1%
.6836		1	0.1%
.6917		1	0.1%
.6979		1	0.1%
.7109		2	0.3%
.753		1	0.1%
.7581		1	0.1%
.764		1	0.1%
.7657		1	0.1%
.7834		2	0.3%
.7968		1	0.1%
.8176		1	0.1%
.8254		1	0.1%
.8963		1	0.1%
.8989		1	0.1%
.9505		1	0.1%
.9514		1	0.1%
.9579		1	0.1%
.9634		1	0.1%
.0246		1	0.1%
.0697		1	0.1%
.1055		1	0.1%
.1274		1	0.1%
.1514		1	0.1%
.16		1	0.1%
.2412		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
.3447		1	0.1%
.4873		1	0.1%
.4882		1	0.1%
.4974		1	0.1%
.5494		1	0.1%
.5588		1	0.1%
.6221		2	0.3%
.9014		1	0.1%
.9015		1	0.1%
.9916		1	0.1%
.0986		1	0.1%
.1204		1	0.1%
.3316		1	0.1%
.3782		1	0.1%
.3833		1	0.1%
		1	0.1%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006						
# vikt: Weight						
Value	Label Cases H			Percentage		
5.7049			1	0.1%		
6.6505				0.1%		
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0.343-	6.651] [Mi	ssing: *]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 1.00		[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 1.008 /-] [StdI	Dev: 0.512	/-]		

# sex: Sex						
		Kön				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Man		366	47.0%		
2	Woman		412	53.0%		
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NV	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# utbild: ]	Education				
		Utbildning			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
0	School refu	usal	1	0.1%	
1	Primary/El	ementary school	135	17.4%	
2	Primary/El	ementary school - training	59	7.6%	
3	Junior secondary school/Girls' school		10	1.3%	
4	Junior seco	ondary school/Girls' school - training	12	1.5%	
5	2 year uppe	er secondary school education/High school degree	94	12.1%	
6	3-4 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		174	22.4%	
7	Post-secon	dary education/university/college	293		37.7%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-7] [	Missing: *]	]	
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# region:	region: Region					
	Region					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Stockholm urban area	133	17.1%			
2	Eastern midst of Sweden	136	17.5%			
3	Småland (islands included)	72	9.3%			
4	Southern Sweden	120	15.4%			
5	Western Sweden	161	20.7%			
6	Northern midst of Sweden	80	10.3%			
7	Middle and northern Sweden	76	9.8%			

File : Förtroendebarometer 2006				
# region: Region				
Warning: these figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# age: Age					
		Ålder			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1			170	21.9%	
2			281	36.	.1%
3			228	29.3%	
4			99	12.7%	
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	eted as summary statistics of t	he population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 2.329 /-] [StdDev: 0.956 /-]					

# inkmon	inkmonth: Household's income/month				
	Hushållsinkomst/månad				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
0		9	1.4%		
4000		1	0.2%		
5000		2	0.3%		
6000		3	0.5%		
6500		1	0.2%		
7000		2	0.3%		
7200		2	0.3%		
7500		1	0.2%		
8000		1	0.2%		
9000		2	0.3%		
10000		9	1.4%		
10386		1	0.2%		
11000		1	0.2%		
11500		1	0.2%		
12000		12	1.9%		
12500		1	0.2%		
13000		1	0.2%		
14000		2	0.3%		
14500		1	0.2%		
15000		12	1.9%		
16000		7	1.1%		
17000		8	1.3%		
17500		3	0.5%		
18000		8	1.3%		

# inkmon	th: Household's income/month	
Value	Label	Cases Percentage
18500		1 0.2%
19000		6 0.9%
20000		23 3.6%
22000		11 1.7%
23000		8 1.3%
24000		5 0.8%
25000		23 3.6%
25500		1 0.2%
26000		5 0.8%
27000		7 1.1%
27500		1 0.2%
27800		1 0.2%
28000		11 1.7%
30000		51 8.0%
30500		1 0.2%
31440		1 0.2%
32000		8 1.3%
32500		1 0.2%
33000		6 0.9%
34000		2 0.3%
35000		42 6.6%
36000		4 0.6%
37000		4 0.6%
37500		1 0.2%
38000		3 0.5%
39000		1 0.2%
40000		72
42000		4 0.6%
43000		2 0.3%
44000		4 0.6%
45000		38 6.0%
46000		6 0.9%
47000		3 0.5%
47500		1 0.2%
48000		8 1.3%
50000		57 8.9%
51000		1 0.2%
52000		3 0.5%
53000		1 0.2%
55000		15 2.4%
56000		2 0.3%
58000		1 0.2%
60000		32 5.0%

# inkmon	inkmonth: Household's income/month					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
62000		3	0.5%			
63000		1	0.2%			
65000		9	1.4%			
66000		1	0.2%			
67000		1	0.2%			
70000		13	2.0%			
73000		1	0.2%			
75000		6	0.9%			
76000		1	0.2%			
76200		1	0.2%			
80000		7	1.1%			
81000		1	0.2%			
85000		3	0.5%			
90000		4	0.6%			
93000		1	0.2%			
100000		5	0.8%			
105000		1	0.2%			
110000		2	0.3%			
120000		1	0.2%			
125000		1	0.2%			
140000		2	0.3%			
150000		1	0.2%			
225000		1	0.2%			
350000		1	0.2%			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

700000

Sysmiss

 Information
 [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-700000] [Missing: \*]

 Statistics [NW/ W]
 [Valid: 638 /-] [Invalid: 140 /-] [Mean: 40987.031 /-] [StdDev: 36400.106 /-]

0.2%

140

# inkyear: Household's income/year					
		Hushållsinkomst/år			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage
0			9	1.4%	
25			1	0.2%	
48			1	0.2%	
60			2	0.3%	
72			3	0.5%	
78			1	0.2%	
84			2	0.3%	
86			2	0.3%	
90			1	0.2%	

File •	Förtroen	deharoi	meter 2006
			1111/11/11 2/1/1/11

#inkyear:	House	hold's	income	vear
" IIIKytai.	HUUSC	noiu s	IIICOIIIC/	veai

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96		1	0.2%
108		2	0.3%
120		9	1.4%
125		1	0.2%
132		1	0.2%
138		1	0.2%
144		12	1.8%
150		1	0.2%
156		1	0.2%
168		2	0.3%
174		1	0.2%
80		12	1.8%
192		7	1.1%
200		3	0.5%
204		8	1.2%
210		3	0.5%
216		8	1.2%
22		1	0.2%
28		6	0.9%
40		23	3.5%
50		1	0.2%
.64		11	1.7%
75		1	0.2%
76		8	1.2%
88		5	0.8%
00		23	3.5%
06		1	0.2%
12		5	0.8%
24		7	1.1%
30		1	0.2%
34		1	0.2%
36		11	1.7%
50		2	0.3%
60		51	7.8%
66		1	0.2%
77		1	0.2%
84		8	1.2%
90		1	0.2%
96		6	0.9%
100		2	0.3%
.08		2	0.3%
120		42	6.4%
132		4	0.6%

inkyear inkyear	: Household's income/year		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
144		4	0.6%
150		3	0.5%
56		3	0.5%
168		1	0.2%
80		72	11.09
500		3	0.5%
504		4	0.6%
16		2	0.3%
28		4	0.6%
40		38	5.8%
52		6	0.9%
64		3	0.5%
70		1	0.2%
76		8	1.2%
00		57	8.7%
12		1	0.2%
24		3	0.5%
25		1	0.2%
36		1	0.2%
60		15	2.3%
572		2	0.3%
96		1	0.2%
20		32	4.9%
44		32	
			0.5%
56		1	0.2%
80		9	1.4%
92		1	0.2%
04		1	0.2%
40		13	2.0%
76		1	0.2%
00		6	0.9%
12		1	0.2%
14		1	0.2%
60		7	1.1%
72		1	0.2%
020		3	0.5%
080		4	0.6%
116		1	0.2%
200		5	0.8%
260		1	0.2%
320		2	0.3%
440		1	0.2%

1500

0.2%

File : Förtroendebarometer 2006						
# inkyear: Household's income/year						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1680			2	0.3%		
1800			1	0.2%		
2700			1	0.2%		
4200			1	0.2%		
8400			1	0.2%		
Sysmiss			124			
Warning: these figu	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as su	mmary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 8400]	[Missing	g: *]		
Statistics [NW	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 654 /-] [Invalid: 124 /-] [Mean: 488.488 /-] [StdDev: 432.569 /-]					

		Konstruerad variabel: Sammanslagn	ning av Partisympati samt N	Järmaste parti.	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Left Party		27	3.5%	
2	Social Der	nocrats	248		31.9%
3	Centern		48	6.2%	
4	Liberal Par	rty	56	7.2%	
5	Moderate Party		175		22.5%
6	Christian I	Democrats	42	5.4%	
7	Green Part	у	48	6.2%	
8	Annat Part	i	14	1.8%	
9	None		57	7.3%	
10	Sweden Do	emocrats	18	2.3%	
11	Vet ej		45	5.8%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cann	ot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric]	[Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		$How \ much \ confidence \ do \ you \ have \ in \ the \ way \ the \ following \ institutions \ and \ businesses \ do \ their \ job? \ - \ The \ Swedish \ Central \ Bank$				
Value	Label		Cases		rcentage	
1	Very high	trust	91	12.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	358		48.8%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	234		31.9%	
4	Quite low	trust	32	4.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	19	2.6%		
Sysmiss			44			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	be interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interes	t.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		ange: 1- 5] [Missing: */6	1			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 734 /-] [Invalid: 44 /-]				

## # riksbank: F.1AA Confidence in: The Swedish Central Bank

# riksdag:	F.1AB Con	fidence in: The Parliament			
Literal ques	tion	How much confidence do you have in	the way the following insti	tutions and businesses do	their job? - the Parliament
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	crust	33	4.3%	
2	Quite high	trust	233		30.7%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	243		32.0%
4	Quite low t	rust	170		22.4%
5	Very low to	rust	80	10.5%	
Sysmiss			19		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran			Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 759 /-] [Invalid		[Valid: 759 /-] [Invalid: 19 /-]			

# eukomm: F.1AC Confidence in: EU commission						
Literal questi	on	How much confidence do you have in the way t commission	he following in	stitutions and busine	esses do their job? - EU	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	16	2.2%		
2	Quite high	trust	136		18.5%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	282		38.4%	ó
4	Quite low t	rust	194		26.4%	
5	Very low to	rust	107	14	6%	
Sysmiss			43			
Warning: these fig.	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statis	tics of the population of in	terest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang			]		
Statistics [NW	V/ <b>W</b> ]	[Valid: 735 /-] [Invalid: 43 /-]				

# polpart: F.1AD Confidence in: The political parties					
Literal question	on	How much confidence do you have in the way the parties	e following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - The political	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	14	1.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	111	14.6%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	292	38.4%	
4	Quite low t	rust	216	28.4%	
5	Very low to	rust	128	16.8%	
Sysmiss			17		
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5			/6]	
Statistics [NW/ W]         [Valid: 761 /-] [Invalid: 17 /-]					

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006							
# univer: F.1AE Confidence in: Universities							
Literal quest	ion	How much confidence do you have in the way	the following in	nstitutions and businesses do their job?	? - Universities		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	123	17.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	406		57.4%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	150	21.2%			
4	Quite low	trust	24	3.4%			
5	Very low to	rust	4	0.6%			
Sysmiss			71				
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			5]			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 707 /-] [Invalid: 71 /-]							

# storftg: F.1AF Confidence in: Big business							
Literal ques	tion	How much confidence do you have in the way t	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	rust	40	5.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	247		32.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	289		38	3.2%	
4	Quite low t	rust	145		19.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	36	4.8%			
Sysmiss			21				
Warning: these J	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statistic	cs of the population of int	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 757 /-] [Invalid: 21 /-]					

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions				
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	26	3.5%		
2	Quite high	trust	213		28.7%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	231		31.1%	
4	Quite low	rust	192		25.8%	
5	Very low t	rust	81	10.9%		
Sysmiss			35			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	l as summary statisti	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 743 /-] [Invalid: 35 /-]	[Valid: 743 /-1 [Invalid: 35 /-]			

# dagspr: F.1AH Confidence in: The daily press			
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press		

#### # dagspr: F.1AH Confidence in: The daily press

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	31	4.0%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			27.2%	
3	3 Neither high nor low trust		254		33.0	0%
4	Quite low t	rust	207		26.9%	
5	Very low to	rust	68	8.8%		
Sysmiss			9			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 769 /-] [Invalid: 9 /-]				

#### # radioty: F.1AI Confidence in: Radio and television

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	rust	51	6.6%	
2	Quite high	trust	349		45.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust		260		33.8%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		11.7%	
5	Very low to	rust	19	2.5%	
Sysmiss			9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 76		[Valid: 769 /-] [Invalid: 9 /-]			

#### # smftg: F.1AJ Confidence in: Small business

**Literal question** How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Small business

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Small business			
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percent	age
1	Very high t	trust	69	9.2%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			52.4%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			33.0%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		4.7%	
5	Very low to	rust	5	0.7%	
Sysmiss			30		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	is summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
<b>Information</b> [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: */	6]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 748 /-] [Invalid: 30 /-]		[Valid: 748 /-] [Invalid: 30 /-]			

# kvrkan•	F 1AK	Confidence	in. The	Church	of Sweden
" KVI Kali.	T.IAIX	Commutative	m. The	CHUICH	or Sweden

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden

#### # kyrkan: F.1AK Confidence in: The Church of Sweden

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	61	8.3%	
2	Quite high trust		277		37.5%
3 Neither high		h nor low trust	256		34.6%
4	Quite low t	rust	83	11.2%	
5	Very low to	rust	62	8.4%	
Sysmiss			39		
Warning: these figur	es indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
<b>Information</b> [Typ		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/	W]	[Valid: 739 /-] [Invalid: 39 /-]			

#### # social: F.1AL Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party

Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage
1	Very high	trust	35	4.6%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			27.1%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			33.1%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			22.4%
5	Very low t	rust	98	12.8%	
Sysmiss			11		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	] [Missing: */6	5]	

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 767 /-] [Invalid: 11 /-]

#### # moderat: F.1AM Confidence in: Moderate Party

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	e	
1	Very high trust	35	4.6%		
2	Quite high trust	197		25.8%	
3	Neither high nor low trust	197		25.8%	
4	Quite low trust	216		28.2%	
5	Very low trust	120	15.7%		
Sysmiss		13			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 765 /-1 [Invalid: 13 /-1

#### # folkpart: F.1AN Confidence in: Liberal Party

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party

#### # folkpart: F.1AN Confidence in: Liberal Party

Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust		12	1.6%	
2	Quite high trust		144	19.0%	
3	Neither high nor low trust		281	37.1%	
4	Quite low t	rust	209	27.6%	
5	Very low to	rust	112	14.8%	
Sysmiss			20		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 758 /-] [Invalid: 20 /-]			

#### # svedem: F.1AO Confidence in: Sweden Democrats

**Literal question**How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Very high t	Very high trust		0.7%
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		3.6%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		11.3%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		14.6%
5	Very low to	Very low trust		69.8%
Sysmiss	S		26	
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:			6]

Information[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: \*/6]Statistics [NW/ W][Valid: 752 /-] [Invalid: 26 /-]

#### # sr: F.1BA Confidence in: Radio Sweden

Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Radio Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	143	19.0%	
2	Quite high trust	429		57.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	135	17.9%	
4	Quite low trust	36	4.8%	
5	Very low trust	10	1.3%	
Sysmiss		25		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 753 /-1 [Invalid: 25 /-1

#### # svt: F.1BB Confidence in: Swedish Television

**Literal question**How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Swedish Television

#### # svt: F.1BB Confidence in: Swedish Television

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	trust	113	14.8%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			57.5%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		20.8%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		4.8%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		2.1%	
Sysmiss			13		
Warning: these figure	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [	Missing: */	[6]	
Statistics [NW/	' <b>W</b> ]	[Valid: 765 /-] [Invalid: 13 /-]			

#### # tv4: F.1BC Confidence in: TV4

Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - TV4

Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		8.4%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				49.8%
3	Neither hi	Neither high nor low trust			30.2%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		10.0%		
5	Very low	Very low trust		1.6%		
Sysmiss			17			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	interpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	ge: 1- 5] [Missing: */	/6]		
Statistics (NW/W) [Volid: 761 / 1 [Involid: 17 / 1						

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 761 /-] [Invalid: 17 /-]

#### # tv3: F.1BD Confidence in: TV3

Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - TV3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	29	4.4%
2	Quite high trust	159	23.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	281	42.3%
4	Quite low trust	143	21.5%
5	Very low trust	52	7.8%
Sysmiss		114	
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 664 /-] [Invalid: 114 /-]

#### # kanal5: F.1BE Confidence in: Channel 5

Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Channel 5

•		•	•	,	1 1	3
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high trust		26	4.1%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006						
# kanal5: ]	F.1BE Conf	idence in: Channel 5				
Value Label Cases Percentage						
2	Quite high	trust	148		23.4%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				44.6%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		19.	6%	
5	Very low to	rust	52	8.2%		
Sysmiss			146			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ted as summary statistic	s of the population of interes	t.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 632 /-] [Invalid: 146 /-]					

Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - DN (Dagens Nyheter)				
Value Label		Ca		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	64	10.1%		
2	Quite high	trust	290		45.6%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	213		33.5%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		8.5%		
5	Very low t	rust	15	2.4%		
Sysmiss			142			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.		
Information	!	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 6:		[Valid: 636 /-] [Invalid: 142 /-]	/alid: 636 /-1 [Invalid: 142 /-]			

Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which radio, TV stations and newspapers do their job? - Aftonbladet				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	30	4.1%		
2	Quite high trust		151	20.7%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	208	28.5%		
4	Quite low	rust	223	30.5%		
5	Very low t	rust	119	16.3%		
Sysmiss			47			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.		
•		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int  [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	erpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 731 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]				

#ikea: F.1CA Confidence in: IKEA						
Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Ik						
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		24.8%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006							
# ikea: F.1C	A Confide	ence in: IKEA					
Value	Label	Label Cases Percentage					
2	Quite high	trust	422			55.5%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		1	5.1%		
4	Quite low t	rust	33	4.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	2	0.3%			
Sysmiss			17				
Warning: these figur	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the populati	ion of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6]			6]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 761 /-] [Invalid: 17 /-]							

# volvo: F.	1CB Confid	lence in: Volvo			
Literal question How much confidence do you have for			or the way in which the f	following companies a	and brands do their job? - Volvo
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage
1	Very high t	rust	123	16.2%	)
2	Quite high	trust	401		53.0%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			25.2%
4	Quite low t	rust	34	4.5%	
5	Very low to	rust	8	1.1%	
Sysmiss			21		
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of in	terest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			ange: 1- 5] [Missing: */	[6]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 757 /-] [Invalid: 21 /-]					

# saab: F.1CC Confidence in: Saab						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Saab				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	72	9.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	346		46.9%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	244		33.1%	
4	Quite low t	rust	58	7.9%		
5	Very low to	rust	18	2.4%		
Sysmiss			40			
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	<b>Information</b> [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]			[6]		
Statistics [NW	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 738 /-] [Invalid: 40 /-]					

# ericsson: F.1CD Confidence in: Ericsson						
Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Eric						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		9.9%		
2	Quite high	trust	367	48.6%		

File : Förtroendebarometer 2006							
# ericsson:	F.1CD Con	fidence in: Ericsson					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		31.3%			
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		8.6%			
5	Very low tr	rust	12	1.6%			
Sysmiss			23				
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]			Missing: */	[6]			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b> [Valid: 755 /-] [Invalid: 23 /-]							

Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Posten AB					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	56	7.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	237		30.8%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	204		26.5%		
4	Quite low	trust	183		23.8%		
5	Very low t	rust	90	11.7%			
Sysmiss			8				
Narning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 770 /-] [Invalid: 8 /-]							

Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - TeliaSonera					
Value	Label	Label		Cases Per			
1	Very high	trust	25	3.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	215		29.4%		
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	320			43.8%	
4	Quite low	trust	127	17	'.4%		
5	Very low	rrust	44	6.0%			
Sysmiss			47				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statisti	ics of the population of int	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		- 5] [Missing: */6]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 731 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]		[Valid: 731 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]					

# coca: F.1CG Confidence in: Coca-Cola							
Literal question How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job?				following companies and brands do their job? - Coca-			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	42	5.7%			

File : Fö	File : Förtroendebarometer 2006							
# coca: F.1CG Confidence in: Coca-Cola								
Value	Label Cases Percentage							
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		16.7%				
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	260	35.5%				
4	Quite low t	rust	181	24.7%				
5	Very low to	rust	127	17.3%				
Sysmiss			46					
Warning: these figu	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics of the	population of interest.				
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]			[Missing: */6]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 732 /-] [Invalid: 46 /-]								

Literal question Ho		How much confidence do you have for	How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Skandia				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	15	2.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	114		15.6%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	254			34.7%	
4	Quite low	trust	208			28.4%	
5	Very low t	rust	141		19.3%		
Sysmiss			46				
Warning: these j	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	tics of the populati	on of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */6	]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 732 /-] [Invalid:		[Valid: 732 /-] [Invalid: 46 /-]					

# astraz: F	.1CI Confid	lence in: Astra Zeneca					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have for the way in which the following companies and brands do their job? - Astra Zeneca					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	51	7.5%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			39.0%		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			41.8%		
4	Quite low t	rust	62	9.2%			
5	Very low to	rust	17	2.5%			
Sysmiss			101				
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			inge: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]	]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 677 /-] [Invalid: 101 /-]							

# past1: F.2A Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Internet prerequisite for staying informed				
Pre-question	To what extent do you or do you not agree with the following statements contained in the debate about new media?			
Literal question	The Internet is a prerequisite for staying informed about current events			

# # past1: F.2A Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Internet prerequisite for staying informed

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	Agree			47.4%
2	Do not agre	Do not agree			50.8%
3	No opinion	No opinion		1.8%	
Warning: the	se figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1			Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# # past2: F.2B Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Reading the local paper magazine - no need to visit the newspaper's online site

		• •		
Pre-question To what extent do you or do you not agree			the following st	statements contained in the debate about new media?
Literal question People who read the local paper magazine have no need to visit the newspaper's online site				t the newspaper's online site
Value Label			Cases	Percentage
1	Agree		277	35.6%
2	Do not agr	ee	457	58.7%
3	No opinion	1	44	5.7%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statisti	tics of the population of interest.
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# # past3: F.2C Assessment of statements in the debate about new media: Online newspaper content more difficult to grasp

Pre-question To what extent do you or do you not agree v			e following state	ements contained in the	e debate about r	iew media?
Literal question The content of online newspapers is more difficult to grasp than the content of the paper magazines						
Value Label			Cases Percentage		centage	
1	Agree		422			54.2%
2	Do not agr	ee	260		33.4%	
3	No opinion	ı	96	12.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics o	of the population of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3]	Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

#### # egenliv: F.3A Changes last 10-15 years: Your own life situation

Literal question

If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of your own life situation, and most Swedes life situation? - Your own life situation

Value	Label	Cases	I	Percentage	
1	Much better	290			37.5%
2	Somewhat better	216		27.9%	
3	Neither better nor worse	137		17.7%	
4	Somewhat worse	54	7.0%		
5	Much worse	31	4.0%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006						
# egenliv: F	3A Chang	ges last 10-15 years: Your own life situa	tion			
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
6	No opinion		46	5.9%		
Sysmiss			4			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [l	Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 774 /-] [Invalid: 4 /-]						

Literal question		If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of your own life situation, and most Swedes life situation? - Most Swedes' life situation				
Value Label			Cases	s Percentage		
1	Much bett	ter	79	10.3%		
2	Somewha	t better	313		40.8%	
3	Neither be	etter nor worse	144	18.8%		
4	Somewha	t worse	123	16.0%		
5	Much wor	rse	29	3.8%		
6	No opinio	n	80	10.4%		
Sysmiss			10			
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They co	annot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric	c] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 768 /-] [Invalid: 10 /-]						

# journ: F.	4A Change	s last 10-15 years: Journalistic et	nics			
Literal question		If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - Journalistic ethics				
Value Label		Cases		Cases Percentage		
1	Got much l	petter	10	1.3%		
2	Got somew	hat better	31	4.0%		
3	Much like	today	122	15.7%		
4	Got somew	hat worse	321		41.3%	
5	Got much	worse	196	25.2%		
6	No opinion	ı	98	12.6%		
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# politik: F.4B Changes last 10-15 years: The morality of politicians						
<b>Literal question</b> If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of politicians						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Got much l	oetter	4	0.5%		
2	Got somew	hat better	26	3.3%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2006						
# politik:	F.4B Change	es last 10-15 years: The morality o	f politicians			
Value Label Cases Percentage				age		
3	Much like	today	160	20.6	%	
4	Got somew	rhat worse	296		38.0%	
5	Got much	worse	227		29.2%	
6	No opinion	ı	65	8.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range			1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of business leaders				
Value Label			Cases	Cases Percentage		
1	Got much l	better	7	0.9%		
2	Got somewhat better		43	5.5%		
3	Much like	today	191		24.6%	
4	Got somew	hat worse	256		32.9%	
5	Got much	worse	175		22.5%	
6	No opinion	ı	106	13.6%	6	
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
nformation	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	e: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	stion	If you look 10-15 years back, do you think it has got better or worse in terms of journalistic ethics, and morality of politicians, business leaders and the Swedish people? - The morality of the Swedish people				
Value Label		Cases		Cases Percentage		
1	Got much	better	11	1.4%		
2	Got somewhat better		54	6.9%		
3	Much like	today	209		26.9%	
4	Got somew	vhat worse	332		42.7%	
5	Got much	worse	110	14.1%		
6	No opinior	1	62	8.0%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary state	istics of the population of intere	st.	
nformation	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	:: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 778 /-]		[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# parti1: ]	F.5A Politic	al party sympathy					
Literal question		Which party do you like best today?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Left Party	,	24	3.1%			
2	Social De	mocrats	195		25.1%		
3	The Centre Party		36	4.6%			
4	Liberal Party		41	5.3%			
5	Moderate Party		154		19.8%		
6	Christian	Democrats	40	5.1%			
7	Green Party		42	5.4%			
8	Other part	ty	10	1.3%			
9	None		133		17.1%		
10	Sweden D	Democrats	13	1.7%			
11	Do not know		90	11.69	%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They c	cannot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Form		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeri	c] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 778 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

## # parti1: F.5A Political party sympathy

Literal question		Which political party do you lean towards?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Left Party		3	1.3%			
2	Social Der	nocrats	53		23.8%		
3	The Centre	e Party	12	5.4%			
4	Liberal Pa	rty	15	6.7%			
5	Moderate Party		21	9.4%			
6	Christian I	Democrats	2	0.9%			
7	Green Part	у	6	2.7%			
8	Other party	y	4	1.8%			
9	None		57		25.6%		
10	Sweden D	emocrats	5	2.2%			
11	Do not know		45		20.2%		
Sysmiss			555				
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 223 /-] [Invalid: 555 /-]					

# familj:	F.6 Current	family category					
Literal question		If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Working-	Working-class family			45.6%		
2	Agricultural family		22	2.9%			
3	Civil servant's family		157	20.4%			
4	Higher ci	Higher civil servant's family/academic family		17.9%			
5	Industrialist family		76	9.9%			
6	Other		25	3.3%			
0	Vet ej/Ej svar		9				
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 769 /-] [Invalid: 9 /-]					