

Data för: Public preferences on policies for climate, local pollution, and health - a survey in seven large Global South countries

SND-ID: 2024-624. **Version:** 1. **DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5878/jy7v-5k80>

Ingår i samling hos SND: [Environment for Development](#)

Tillhörande dokumentation

codebook_wave1.pdf (209.41 KB)

codebook_wave2.pdf (268.33 KB)

variables_grouped_as_article_figures.txt (2.97 KB)

Citering

Carson, R. T., Lu, J., Khossravi, E. A., Köhlin, G., Sterner, E., Sterner, T., & Whittington, D. (2025) Data för: Public preferences on policies for climate, local pollution, and health - a survey in seven large Global South countries (Version 1) [Dataset]. Göteborgs universitet. Tillgänglig via: <https://doi.org/10.5878/jy7v-5k80>

Skapare/primärforskare

Richard T. Carson - University of California, San Diego

Jiajun Lu - Zhejiang University

Emily A. Khossravi - Harvard University

Gunnar Köhlin - Göteborgs universitet

Erik Sterner - Göteborgs universitet, Göteborgs universitet

Thomas Sterner - Göteborgs universitet

Dale Whittington - University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Forskningshuvudman

[Göteborgs universitet](#) - Environment for Development, Handelshögskolan

Beskrivning

The current dataset is a subset of a large data collection based on a purpose-built survey conducted in seven middle-income countries in the Global South: Chile, Colombia, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania, South Africa and Vietnam. The purpose of the collected variables in the present dataset aims to understanding public preferences as a critical way to any effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. There are many studies of public preferences regarding climate change in the Global North. However, survey work in low and middle-income countries is limited. Survey work facilitating cross-country comparisons not using the major omnibus surveys is relatively rare.

We designed the Environment for Development (EfD) Seven-country Global South Climate Survey (the EfD Survey) which collected information on respondents' knowledge about climate change, the information sources that respondents rely on, and opinions on climate policy. The EfD survey contains a battery of well-known climate knowledge questions and questions concerning the attention to and degree of trust in various sources for climate information. Respondents faced several ranking tasks using a best-worst elicitation format. This approach offers greater robustness to cultural differences in

how questions are answered than the Likert-scale questions commonly asked in omnibus surveys. We examine: (a) priorities for spending in thirteen policy areas including climate and COVID-19, (b) how respiratory diseases due to air pollution rank relative to six other health problems, (c) agreement with ten statements characterizing various aspects of climate policies, and (d) prioritization of uses for carbon tax revenue. The company YouGov collected data for the EfD Survey in 2023 from 8400 respondents, 1200 in each country. It supplements an earlier survey wave (administered a year earlier) that focused on COVID-19. Respondents were drawn from YouGov's online panels. During the COVID-19 pandemic almost all surveys were conducted online. This has advantages and disadvantages. Online survey administration reduces costs and data collection times and allows for experimental designs assigning different survey stimuli. With substantial incentive payments, high response rates within the sampling frame are achievable and such incentivized respondents are hopefully motivated to carefully answer the questions posed. The main disadvantage is that the sampling frame is comprised of the internet-enabled portion of the population in each country (e.g., with computers, mobile phones, and tablets). This sample systematically underrepresents those with lower incomes and living in rural areas. This large segment of the population is, however, of considerable interest in its own right due to its exposure to online media and outsized influence on public opinion.

The data includes respondents' preferences for climate change mitigation policies and competing policy issues like health. The data also includes questions such as how respondents think revenues from carbon taxes should be used. The outcome provide important information for policymakers to understand, evaluate, and shape national climate policies. It is worth noting that the data from Tanzania is only present in Wave 1 and that the data from Chile is only present in Wave 2.

Data innefattar personuppgifter

Ja

Typ av personuppgifter

age, gender, education, city, district

Språk

[Engelska](#)

Analysenhet

[Hushåll](#)

[Individ](#)

Population

Individuals 18 years or older with internet access from the following countries: Chile, Colombia, India, Kenya, Nigeria, South Africa and Vietnam.

Tidsdimension

[Tvärsnitt](#)

Urvalsmetod

[Sannolikhetsurval](#)

The data were collected from interviews administered online via computers, mobile phones or tablets by YouGov in each country. Countries selected had local research centers within the Environment for Development network and an additional purpose of this study was to provide survey data to them for

research projects.

Respondents were selected from YouGov's country-specific panels covering individuals 18 years or older with internet access. Respondents received YouGov's standard incentive for participation.

Tidsperiod(er) som undersökts

2022-02-02 – 2023-05-07

Antal individer/objekt

8400

Dataformat / datastruktur

[Numeriska](#)

[Text](#)

Datainsamling 1

- Insamlingsmetod: Intervju
- Datakälla: Händelser/interaktioner

Geografisk utbredning

Geografisk plats: [Chile](#), [Colombia](#), [Indien](#), [Kenya](#), [Nigeria](#), [Sydafrika](#), [Tanzania](#), [Vietnam](#)

Lägsta geografiska enhet

Kommun

Högsta geografiska enhet

Land

Ansvarig institution/enhet

Environment for Development, Handelshögskolan

Etikprövning

Etikprövningsmyndigheten - dnr 2021-00980

Forskningsområde

[Ekonomisk politik, offentliga utgifter och intäkter](#) (CESSDA Topic Classification)

[Nationalekonomi](#) (Standard för svensk indelning av forskningsämnen 2011)

[Ekonomi](#) (CESSDA Topic Classification)

[Ekonomiska system och ekonomisk utveckling](#) (CESSDA Topic Classification)

[Ekonomiska förhållanden och indikatorer](#) (CESSDA Topic Classification)

Nyckelord

[Allmän opinion](#), [Klimatförändringar](#), [Climate policy](#), [Global south](#), [Carbon taxes](#)

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

39.429932, -4.124546

33.804932, -0.788244
30.701294, -1.185066
30.613403, -2.327088
31.052856, -3.380453
29.646606, -4.520297
29.470825, -6.008093
30.261841, -6.881437
31.49231, -8.796868
34.216919, -9.491054
34.920044, -11.736958
36.414185, -11.650891
38.347778, -11.392533
40.632935, -10.616068
39.226685, -8.101379
39.429932, -4.124546

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

39.429932, -4.124546
41.715088, -1.139756
41.539307, 3.7793
35.211182, 5.00613
35.211182, 1.672431
33.804932, -0.788244
39.429932, -4.124546

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

104.855305, 8.567985
106.702113, 9.479405
107.361687, 10.215463
109.032609, 11.467104
109.34041, 11.854449
109.516296, 13.09874
108.856722, 15.694971
106.526226, 17.798904
105.866652, 18.966558
106.218425, 20.291052
107.493602, 21.072198
107.933318, 21.645175
106.526226, 22.622124
106.658141, 23.108028

106.08651, 23.148442
105.338992, 23.430993
104.503532, 23.067603
103.756014, 22.824795
103.09644, 22.622124
102.524809, 22.905779
102.173036, 22.500377
103.536156, 20.455837
104.239702, 21.113199
104.679418, 20.743785
105.119134, 20.043547
104.72339, 19.630171
104.283674, 19.712932
104.063815, 19.340172
105.295021, 18.508782
107.405659, 15.948653
107.537573, 12.713224
106.790056, 11.768419
106.438283, 11.725394
105.998567, 11.725394
106.306368, 10.949821
106.130482, 10.647651
105.690765, 10.949821
105.163106, 10.734017
104.151759, 10.215463
104.943248, 10.042421
104.855305, 8.567985

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

77.750244, 7.278017
79.683838, 10.922315
80.0354, 15.202037
84.25415, 18.064924
88.121338, 22.189948
88.824463, 24.609566
86.363525, 26.66955
81.44165, 28.074404
78.62915, 30.678078
79.332275, 33.799691
77.926025, 34.816058

74.586182, 34.381979
74.761963, 32.029035
74.234619, 30.526779
69.840088, 26.826522
71.773682, 25.72321
69.136963, 24.44965
68.7854, 22.515094
72.301025, 20.3884
74.761963, 13.328158
77.750244, 7.278017

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

2.69165, 7.103618
4.421997, 6.098225
5.828247, 4.084823
8.640747, 4.435413
9.898682, 6.754624
12.008057, 6.754624
12.711182, 9.191581
14.996338, 11.956036
13.238525, 13.840747
7.613525, 13.157051
4.801025, 14.181849
3.746338, 12.985824
2.69165, 7.103618

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

-73.070068, 9.884981
-77.4646, 7.452348
-78.167725, 1.672431
-72.894287, -1.491226
-70.43335, -2.72084
-68.499756, 0.442195
-66.917725, 4.480595
-73.070068, 9.884981

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

20.445557, -25.718261
20.093994, -29.149763
16.929932, -28.22455
17.984619, -30.370506

17.984619, -32.470378
19.039307, -34.522399
20.9729, -34.811548
23.258057, -34.086787
25.718994, -34.086787
28.179932, -32.470378
31.168213, -29.914472
33.62915, -27.134924
31.695557, -24.284523
29.937744, -22.672313
27.301025, -24.444649
25.543213, -26.350037
20.445557, -25.718261

Polygon (Lon/Lat)

-68.851318, -18.059701
-70.257568, -22.184862
-71.136475, -28.379319
-73.597412, -36.525088
-75.003662, -46.617375
-75.003662, -52.212656
-69.0271, -55.327582
-69.202881, -52.212656
-72.542725, -52.641397
-72.718506, -50.901301
-71.663818, -43.259206
-70.609131, -34.6671
-67.796631, -24.284523
-68.851318, -18.059701

Tillgänglighetsnivå

Åtkomst till data via SND

Tillgång till data är begränsad

Användning av data

[Att tänka på vid användning av data som delas via SND](#)

Versioner

Version 1. 2025-02-11

Kontakt för frågor om data

Agustin Petroni

data@efd.gu.se

Ingår i samling hos SND

[Environment for Development](#)

Ladda ner metadata

[DataCite](#)

[DDI 2.5](#)

[DDI 3.3](#)

[DCAT-AP-SE 2.0](#)

[JSON-LD](#)

[PDF](#)

[Citation \(CSL\)](#)

Publicerad: 2025-02-11