

# **SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX**

## **Study Documentation**

February 10, 2021

# Metadata Production

Production Date	February 10, 2021
-----------------	-------------------

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a> .....	4
<a href="#">Files Description</a> .....	5
<a href="#">SA_Urban_Green_CEX</a> .....	5
<a href="#">Variables List</a> .....	6
<a href="#">SA_Urban_Green_CEX</a> .....	6
<a href="#">Variables Description</a> .....	8
<a href="#">SA_Urban_Green_CEX</a> .....	9

**SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX****Overview**

<b>Identification</b>	SA_Urban_Green_CEX
-----------------------	--------------------

**Countries****Depositor(s)**

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

SA_Urban_Green_CEX	
# Cases	7644
# Variable(s)	70

# Variables List

Dataset contains 70 variable(s)

File SA_Urban_Green_CEX							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">respid</a>	RespID	continuous	numeric-3.0	7644	0	-
2	<a href="#">repeat</a>	Repeat	discrete	numeric-2.0	7644	0	-
3	<a href="#">location</a>	Location	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
4	<a href="#">hhadults</a>	HH Adults	discrete	numeric-2.0	7608	36	-
5	<a href="#">hhchildr..</a>	HH Children<18	discrete	numeric-2.0	6612	1032	-
6	<a href="#">hhincome</a>	HH income	discrete	numeric-1.0	7416	228	-
7	<a href="#">highestedu</a>	Highest edu	discrete	numeric-1.0	7428	216	-
8	<a href="#">race</a>	Race	discrete	numeric-1.0	7536	108	-
9	<a href="#">gender</a>	Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	7068	576	-
10	<a href="#">agegroup</a>	AgeGroup	discrete	numeric-1.0	7452	192	-
11	<a href="#">intervqual</a>	IntervQual	discrete	numeric-1.0	7416	228	-
12	<a href="#">cpt</a>	CPT	discrete	numeric-1.0	7548	96	-
13	<a href="#">longterm</a>	Longterm	discrete	numeric-1.0	7548	96	-
14	<a href="#">responsi..</a>	Responsible	discrete	numeric-1.0	7500	144	-
15	<a href="#">suburb</a>	Suburb	discrete	numeric-3.0	7644	0	-
16	<a href="#">q4_notes</a>	Q4_Notes	discrete	character-42	480	0	-
17	<a href="#">subplace</a>	SubPlace	discrete	numeric-3.0	7644	0	-
18	<a href="#">yearslived</a>	YearsLived	continuous	numeric-5.2	7596	48	-
19	<a href="#">q51</a>	Q51	discrete	character-27	120	0	-
20	<a href="#">neighbsa..</a>	NeighbSatisf	discrete	numeric-2.0	7644	0	-
21	<a href="#">commpark</a>	CommPark	discrete	numeric-1.0	7620	24	-
22	<a href="#">cpmins</a>	CP mins	continuous	numeric-6.2	6204	1440	-
23	<a href="#">cpby</a>	CP by	discrete	character-13	6180	0	-
24	<a href="#">cpminswalk</a>	CP mins walk	continuous	numeric-6.2	7644	0	-
25	<a href="#">cpcond</a>	CP cond	discrete	numeric-1.0	6180	1464	-
26	<a href="#">cpsafe</a>	CP safe	discrete	numeric-1.0	6180	1464	-
27	<a href="#">sportsfi..</a>	Sportsfield	discrete	numeric-1.0	7608	36	-
28	<a href="#">sfmins</a>	SF mins	continuous	numeric-2.0	5208	2436	-
29	<a href="#">sfby</a>	SF by	discrete	numeric-1.0	5208	2436	-
30	<a href="#">sfminswalk</a>	SF mins walk	continuous	numeric-3.0	5208	2436	-
31	<a href="#">sfcond</a>	SF cond	discrete	numeric-1.0	5184	2460	-
32	<a href="#">sfsafe</a>	SF safe	discrete	numeric-1.0	5184	2460	-
33	<a href="#">wetland</a>	Wetland	discrete	numeric-1.0	7596	48	-
34	<a href="#">wetmins</a>	Wet mins	continuous	numeric-2.0	2724	4920	-
35	<a href="#">wetby</a>	Wet by	discrete	numeric-1.0	2724	4920	-
36	<a href="#">wetminsw..</a>	Wet mins walk	continuous	numeric-3.0	2724	4920	-

File SA_Urban_Green_CEX							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
37	<a href="#">wetcond</a>	Wet cond	discrete	numeric-1.0	2724	4920	-
38	<a href="#">wetsafe</a>	Wet safe	discrete	numeric-1.0	2712	4932	-
39	<a href="#">greenbelt</a>	Greenbelt	discrete	numeric-1.0	7584	60	-
40	<a href="#">gbmins</a>	GB mins	continuous	numeric-2.0	2232	5412	-
41	<a href="#">gbby</a>	GB by	discrete	character-13	2231	0	-
42	<a href="#">var39</a>	Wet mins walk	continuous	numeric-2.0	2231	5413	-
43	<a href="#">gbcond</a>	GB cond	discrete	character-4	2255	0	-
44	<a href="#">gbsafe</a>	GB safe	discrete	character-17	2255	0	-
45	<a href="#">obsid</a>	-	continuous	numeric-3.0	7644	0	-
46	<a href="#">choicese ..</a>	ChoicesetNo	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
47	<a href="#">ceoption</a>	CEoption	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
48	<a href="#">choice</a>	Choice	discrete	numeric-1.0	7635	9	-
49	<a href="#">var47</a>	-	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
50	<a href="#">cesmalp</a>	CEsmalP	continuous	numeric-5.2	7644	0	-
51	<a href="#">cesmatp</a>	CEsmatp	discrete	numeric-2.0	7644	0	-
52	<a href="#">cegreenb</a>	CEGreenb	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
53	<a href="#">cestreett</a>	CEStreetT	discrete	numeric-2.0	6703	941	-
54	<a href="#">celitter</a>	CElitter	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
55	<a href="#">cecost</a>	CEcost	continuous	numeric-3.0	7644	0	-
56	<a href="#">cegreen</a>	CEGreen+	discrete	numeric-1.0	7644	0	-
57	<a href="#">difficulty</a>	Difficulty	discrete	numeric-1.0	7608	36	-
58	<a href="#">cp</a>	CP	discrete	numeric-1.0	2292	5352	-
59	<a href="#">sp</a>	SP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1764	5880	-
60	<a href="#">gb</a>	GB	discrete	numeric-1.0	936	6708	-
61	<a href="#">trees</a>	Trees	discrete	numeric-1.0	1560	6084	-
62	<a href="#">litter</a>	Litter	discrete	numeric-1.0	2652	4992	-
63	<a href="#">cost</a>	Cost	discrete	numeric-1.0	2604	5040	-
64	<a href="#">confdeci ..</a>	ConfDecision	discrete	numeric-1.0	7584	60	-
65	<a href="#">confimpl</a>	ConfImpl	discrete	numeric-1.0	7536	108	-
66	<a href="#">whyopt3</a>	WhyOpt3?	discrete	character-35	1896	0	-
67	<a href="#">date</a>	Date	discrete	character-9	7644	0	-
68	<a href="#">ineligib ..</a>	IneligibleTally	discrete	numeric-1.0	169	7475	-
69	<a href="#">refusedt ..</a>	RefusedTally	discrete	numeric-1.0	1551	6093	-
70	<a href="#">comments</a>	-	discrete	character-30	878	0	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 70 variable(s)



## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # respid: RespID

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-637] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=319 /-] [StdDev=183.898 /-]

### # repeat: Repeat

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		637	8.3%
2		637	8.3%
3		637	8.3%
4		637	8.3%
5		637	8.3%
6		637	8.3%
7		637	8.3%
8		637	8.3%
9		637	8.3%
10		637	8.3%
11		637	8.3%
12		637	8.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # location: Location

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bellville DHA	1631	21.3%
2	Bellville Train Station	229	3.0%
3	Cape Town DHA	2376	31.1%
4	Cape Town Train Station	1428	18.7%
5	Wynberg DHA	1980	25.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hhadults: HH Adults

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7608 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		840	11.0%
2		3240	42.6%
3		1416	18.6%
4		1128	14.8%
5		576	7.6%
6		228	3.0%
7		108	1.4%
8		60	0.8%
15		12	0.2%

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # hhadults: HH Adults

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		36	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hhchildren18: HH Children<18

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6612 /-] [Invalid=1032 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1572	23.8%
1		1620	24.5%
2		1800	27.2%
3		912	13.8%
4		432	6.5%
5		144	2.2%
6		36	0.5%
7		36	0.5%
8		36	0.5%
9		12	0.2%
11		12	0.2%
Sysmiss		1032	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hhincome: HH income

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7416 /-] [Invalid=228 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-4100	1524	20.6%
2	132101-264200	144	1.9%
3	16501-33000	1524	20.6%
4	33001-66000	900	12.1%
5	4101-8200	1356	18.3%
6	66001-132100	468	6.3%
7	8201-16500	1380	18.6%
8	Above 264200	120	1.6%
Sysmiss		228	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # highestedu: Highest edu

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7428 /-] [Invalid=216 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Degree	1704	22.9%
2	Diploma	1512	20.4%
3	Matric	2316	31.2%
4	None	60	0.8%

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # highestedu: Highest edu

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Primary	264	3.6%
6	Secondary	1572	21.2%
Systemmiss		216	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # race: Race

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7536 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Asian	192	2.5%
2	Black	3108	41.2%
3	Coloured	2688	35.7%
4	White	1548	20.5%
Systemmiss		108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # gender: Gender

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7068 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Female	3588	50.8%
2	Male	3480	49.2%
Systemmiss		576	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # agegroup: AgeGroup

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7452 /-] [Invalid=192 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29	1776	23.8%
2	30-39	2352	31.6%
3	40-49	1800	24.2%
4	50-59	1044	14.0%
5	60-69	336	4.5%
6	70 plus	144	1.9%
Systemmiss		192	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # intervqual: IntervQual

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7416 /-] [Invalid=228 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High quality	5880	79.3%
2	Low quality	228	3.1%
3	Medium quality	1308	17.6%

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # intervqual: IntervQual

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		228	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cpt: CPT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7548 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7548	100.0%
Sysmiss		96	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # longterm: Longterm

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7548 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7548	100.0%
Sysmiss		96	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # responsible: Responsible

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7500 /-] [Invalid=144 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7500	100.0%
Sysmiss		144	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # suburb: Suburb

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-220] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Athlone	180	2.4%
2	Bangweni	12	0.2%
3	Banteheuvél	12	0.2%
4	Belgravia	12	0.2%
5	Belhar	84	1.1%
6	Bell Air	12	0.2%
7	Bellville	216	2.8%
8	Bellville south	24	0.3%
9	Bentcheuwel	12	0.2%
10	Bishop Lavis	24	0.3%
11	Bishop lavis	12	0.2%
12	Black Heath	12	0.2%
13	Black heath	12	0.2%
14	Blackheath	48	0.6%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # suburb: Suburb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Bloekombos	12	0.2%
16	Blue Downs CBD	12	0.2%
17	Blue downs	12	0.2%
18	Bo kaap	12	0.2%
19	Boinasig	12	0.2%
20	Bonteheuwel	36	0.5%
21	Bontehuwel	12	0.2%
22	Bontheuwel	12	0.2%
23	Bothasig	12	0.2%
24	Brackenfell	120	1.6%
25	Brackenfell North	12	0.2%
26	Brentwood park	12	0.2%
27	Brooklyn	36	0.5%
28	Burgundy Estate	12	0.2%
29	CBD city center	12	0.2%
30	Camps Bay	24	0.3%
31	Cape Town	24	0.3%
32	Cape Town city centre	12	0.2%
33	Cape town	12	0.2%
34	Capri Village	12	0.2%
35	Capricorn	12	0.2%
36	City Bowl	12	0.2%
37	Claremont	84	1.1%
38	Constantia	48	0.6%
39	Crawford	36	0.5%
40	Crossroads	36	0.5%
41	Danane	24	0.3%
42	Danoon	12	0.2%
43	Delf	36	0.5%
44	Delft	204	2.7%
45	Devil peak	12	0.2%
46	Diep river	12	0.2%
47	Diepriver	12	0.2%
48	Dunoon	36	0.5%
49	Durbanville	84	1.1%
50	East London	12	0.2%
51	Edgemead	12	0.2%
52	Eeesterivier	12	0.2%
53	Eersterivier	96	1.3%
54	Eesterivier	12	0.2%
55	Elsies River	48	0.6%
56	Elsies Rvier	12	0.2%
57	Elsies river	84	1.1%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # suburb: Suburb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58	Fairways	12	0.2%
59	Ferte River	12	0.2%
60	Fisantekraal	12	0.2%
61	Fish Hoek	96	1.3%
62	Fish hoek	12	0.2%
63	Fisntekraal	12	0.2%
64	Franschhoek	12	0.2%
65	Gardens	48	0.6%
66	Goodwood	108	1.4%
67	Grabow	12	0.2%
68	Grassy Park	48	0.6%
69	Grassy park	108	1.4%
70	Green Point	48	0.6%
71	Green point	24	0.3%
72	Gugulethu	156	2.0%
73	Hannover park	12	0.2%
74	Hanover Park	24	0.3%
75	Harare	24	0.3%
76	Haut bay	24	0.3%
77	Heathfield	12	0.2%
78	Heideveld	12	0.2%
79	Higgovale	12	0.2%
80	Hill view	12	0.2%
81	Hout Bay	36	0.5%
82	Hout bay	36	0.5%
83	Ilitha Park	36	0.5%
84	Kenilworth	60	0.8%
85	Kensington	12	0.2%
86	Kensington	48	0.6%
87	Kenwyn	12	0.2%
88	Kenyuyn	12	0.2%
89	Kewtown	12	0.2%
90	Khayelitsha	228	3.0%
91	Khayelitsha site B	12	0.2%
92	Kirstenbosch	12	0.2%
93	Kraaifontein	24	0.3%
94	Kraaifontein	216	2.8%
95	Kuils River	36	0.5%
96	Kuils Rivier	12	0.2%
97	Kuils river	132	1.7%
98	Kuils river common	12	0.2%
99	Kuilsriven	12	0.2%
100	Kuilsriver	12	0.2%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# suburb: Suburb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Kuyasa	36	0.5%
102	Labiance	12	0.2%
103	Lake sdie	12	0.2%
104	Lake side	12	0.2%
105	Lakeside	24	0.3%
106	Langa	168	2.2%
107	Lavender hill	12	0.2%
108	Leiden	12	0.2%
109	Lievenstein	12	0.2%
110	Litha Park	12	0.2%
111	Lotus river	24	0.3%
112	Luzuko	12	0.2%
113	Macasgavy	12	0.2%
114	Maitland	84	1.1%
115	Makalaza	12	0.2%
116	Makhanza	24	0.3%
117	Makhaya	24	0.3%
118	Makhaza	24	0.3%
119	Mandalay	24	0.3%
120	Manenberg	60	0.8%
121	Manenburg	12	0.2%
122	Meadow ridge	12	0.2%
123	Melkbosch Strand	12	0.2%
124	Mfuleni	84	1.1%
125	Milnerton	180	2.4%
126	Minerton	24	0.3%
127	Mitchells Plain	36	0.5%
128	Mitchells plain	96	1.3%
129	Montclair	12	0.2%
130	Monte Vista	12	0.2%
131	Monte vista	12	0.2%
132	Mowbray	12	0.2%
133	Muizenberg	180	2.4%
134	Muizenburg	12	0.2%
135	Nereg	12	0.2%
136	Netreg	12	0.2%
137	Newlands	12	0.2%
138	Nkanini	12	0.2%
139	Northern suburbs	12	0.2%
140	Nyanga	84	1.1%
141	Observatory	36	0.5%
142	Ocean view	24	0.3%
143	Oranjezicht	12	0.2%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# suburb: Suburb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
144	Ottery	24	0.3%
145	P-section	12	0.2%
146	Paarl	12	0.2%
147	Parklands	84	1.1%
148	Parkwood	12	0.2%
149	Parow	144	1.9%
150	Parow Valley	12	0.2%
151	Pelica heights	12	0.2%
152	Pelican Park	12	0.2%
153	Pelican park	24	0.3%
154	Pelican park CT	12	0.2%
155	Philipi	24	0.3%
156	Philippi	72	0.9%
157	Phillipi	36	0.5%
158	Phillippi	12	0.2%
159	Pinelands	96	1.3%
160	Plattekloof	12	0.2%
161	Plumstead	84	1.1%
162	Raithby	12	0.2%
163	Ravensmead	12	0.2%
164	Retreat	120	1.6%
165	Richwood	24	0.3%
166	Rondebosch	156	2.0%
167	Rose bank	12	0.2%
168	Rosebank	24	0.3%
169	Salt River	24	0.3%
170	Salt river	12	0.2%
171	Samora	36	0.5%
172	Samora Machel	12	0.2%
173	Sea Point	48	0.6%
174	Seapoint	12	0.2%
175	Simon town	12	0.2%
176	Simons Town	12	0.2%
177	Simons town	12	0.2%
178	Site B	60	0.8%
179	Site B(m-section)	12	0.2%
180	Site B(x-Section)	12	0.2%
181	Site C	36	0.5%
182	Sitec	12	0.2%
183	Somerset	12	0.2%
184	Somerset Victoria Park	12	0.2%
185	Somerset West	12	0.2%
186	South field	12	0.2%



# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # suburb: Suburb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
187	St James	12	0.2%
188	Steenberg	60	0.8%
189	Stellenbosch	24	0.3%
190	Straand	12	0.2%
191	Strand	72	0.9%
192	Strandfontein	24	0.3%
193	Summer Greens	24	0.3%
194	Surrey	12	0.2%
195	Sweet Home Farm	12	0.2%
196	Sybrand park	12	0.2%
197	Table View	48	0.6%
198	Table view	12	0.2%
199	Tokai	24	0.3%
200	Town 2	12	0.2%
201	Tygervalley	36	0.5%
202	Uitsig	12	0.2%
203	Uitzicht	12	0.2%
204	Victoria Park	24	0.3%
205	Vredehoek	48	0.6%
206	Walmer Estate	12	0.2%
207	Welgemoed	12	0.2%
208	Wellington	12	0.2%
209	West lake	12	0.2%
210	Wetton	24	0.3%
211	Woodstock	72	0.9%
212	Wynberg	96	1.3%
213	catle Court	12	0.2%
214	gape gate	12	0.2%
215	kommetjie	12	0.2%
216	ocean view	24	0.3%
217	salt river	12	0.2%
218	south field	12	0.2%
219	wetton	12	0.2%
220	wzuko (loyd)	12	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # q4\_notes: Q4\_Notes

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=480 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
( this is the only thing written)		12	2.5%
Bad handwriting but it resembles Parklands		12	2.5%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # q4\_notes: Q4\_Notes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bracken Heights??		12	2.5%
Bracken Heights????		12	2.5%
Brackenfell (NS)		12	2.5%
Cant find a match		12	2.5%
City Centre??		12	2.5%
Dunoon???		12	2.5%
I cant get a near similar suburb for this		12	2.5%
I suspect "Macassar Village"		12	2.5%
Maccasar????		12	2.5%
No corresponding name for this		12	2.5%
No matching name		12	2.5%
No matching suburb		24	5.0%
Victoria Park?		12	2.5%
cant find a matching suburb		12	2.5%
could be Kuilsrivier South Small holdings		12	2.5%
may be Montchere??		12	2.5%
may be this is Capri??		12	2.5%
no matching suburb		144	30.0%
not sure if this is Dunoon?		12	2.5%
only Maccassar resembles this name		12	2.5%
the suburb is not in the list		12	2.5%
written as "Lower Crossroad"		12	2.5%
written as 'Cape Twon'		12	2.5%
written as Bel Aar		12	2.5%
written as Eeersteriver by the interviewer		12	2.5%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # q4\_notes: Q4\_Notes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
written as Melkbos		12	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # subplace: SubPlace

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-259] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	#N/A	144	1.9%
2	Adriaanse	24	0.3%
3	Alphen	12	0.2%
4	Amandelsig	12	0.2%
5	Arauna	24	0.3%
6	Athlone SP	72	0.9%
7	Avalon Estate	12	0.2%
8	Avon	12	0.2%
9	Avondstil	12	0.2%
10	Avonwood SP	12	0.2%
11	Balvenie SP	24	0.3%
12	Barbarossa	24	0.3%
13	Barcelona	12	0.2%
14	Bay View	12	0.2%
15	Belgravia	36	0.5%
16	Belhar 14	12	0.2%
17	Belhar 2	12	0.2%
18	Belhar 21	12	0.2%
19	Belhar 23	12	0.2%
20	Belhar 3	48	0.6%
21	Bellville Ext 20	24	0.3%
22	Bellville Lot 6	12	0.2%
23	Belrail	24	0.3%
24	Belthorn Estate	12	0.2%
25	Bishop Lavis SP	24	0.3%
26	Blackheath SP	48	0.6%
27	Bloekombos	48	0.6%
28	Blomtuin	12	0.2%
29	Blomvlei	12	0.2%
30	Bongweni	12	0.2%
31	Bonnie Brae	12	0.2%
32	Bonteheuwel	84	1.1%
33	Boston	12	0.2%
34	Bothasig	60	0.8%
35	Bracken Heights	12	0.2%
36	Brackenfell South	36	0.5%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37	Brackenfell South B	12	0.2%
38	Bridgetown	12	0.2%
39	Brooklyn	36	0.5%
40	Browns Farms	24	0.3%
41	Camps Bay	24	0.3%
42	Cape Metro NU	60	0.8%
43	Cape Town CBD	48	0.6%
44	Capri	12	0.2%
45	Capricorn	36	0.5%
46	Century City	12	0.2%
47	Churchill Estate	12	0.2%
48	Claremont	96	1.3%
49	Colorado	12	0.2%
50	Coniston Park	12	0.2%
51	Connaught	12	0.2%
52	Constantia Heights	12	0.2%
53	Costa Da Gama	24	0.3%
54	Crossroads	24	0.3%
55	De Tijger	12	0.2%
56	Delft SP	48	0.6%
57	Delft South	60	0.8%
58	Denneremere	12	0.2%
59	Devil's Peak Estate	24	0.3%
60	Devon Park	24	0.3%
61	Devon Park Village	24	0.3%
62	Die Bos	36	0.5%
63	Diep River	12	0.2%
64	Dunoon	84	1.1%
65	Edgemead	12	0.2%
66	Eikendal	84	1.1%
67	Epping Forest SP	12	0.2%
68	Epping Industria SP	12	0.2%
69	Erica Township	12	0.2%
70	Eversdal Ext 21	12	0.2%
71	Fairfield Estate	36	0.5%
72	Fairways	12	0.2%
73	Fish Hoek SP	84	1.1%
74	Fistantkraal Informal	12	0.2%
75	Fistantkraal SP	36	0.5%
76	Florida	12	0.2%
77	Forest Glade	12	0.2%
78	Forest Village	12	0.2%
79	Frere Estate	12	0.2%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Gardens	48	0.6%
81	Gleemoor	12	0.2%
82	Glenhaven	12	0.2%
83	Glenlily	36	0.5%
84	Goodwood Estate	36	0.5%
85	Grassy Park SP	84	1.1%
86	Green Point	72	0.9%
87	Greenfield	12	0.2%
88	Griffiths Mxenge	12	0.2%
89	Gugulethu SP	144	1.9%
90	Hagley SP1	12	0.2%
91	Hanover Park	36	0.5%
92	Harare/Holimisa	36	0.5%
93	Hazendal	12	0.2%
94	Heathfield	12	0.2%
95	Heideveld	24	0.3%
96	Helgarda Estate	12	0.2%
97	Hill View	12	0.2%
98	Hillcrest Heights	36	0.5%
99	Hout Bay SP	24	0.3%
100	Ikwezi Park	84	1.1%
101	Imhofs Gift	12	0.2%
102	Imizamo Yethu SP	48	0.6%
103	Joe Slovo Park	12	0.2%
104	Kalkfontein	12	0.2%
105	Kalkfontein SP	24	0.3%
106	Kalksteenfontein	24	0.3%
107	Kenilworth	48	0.6%
108	Kenridge	12	0.2%
109	Kenridge A	12	0.2%
110	Kenridge Heights	12	0.2%
111	Kensington	36	0.5%
112	Kewtown	24	0.3%
113	Khayelitsha T3-V2	12	0.2%
114	Khayelitsha T3-V3	36	0.5%
115	Khayelitsha T3-V4	24	0.3%
116	Khayelitsha T3-V5	24	0.3%
117	Kleinbosch	12	0.2%
118	Klipkop	12	0.2%
119	Kosovo Informal	84	1.1%
120	Kraaifontein East Informal	12	0.2%
121	Kraaifontein Ext17	12	0.2%
122	Kuils River	12	0.2%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
123	Kuils River SH	72	0.9%
124	Labiance	12	0.2%
125	Lakeside	48	0.6%
126	Langa SP	156	2.0%
127	Lansdowne	12	0.2%
128	Lavender Hill	48	0.6%
129	Lentegeur	24	0.3%
130	Loevenstein	24	0.3%
131	Lotus River	108	1.4%
132	Lwandle	12	0.2%
133	Macassar SP	24	0.3%
134	Maitland	96	1.3%
135	Malibu Village	12	0.2%
136	Mandalay	36	0.5%
137	Mandela Park	12	0.2%
138	Manenberg	72	0.9%
139	Marconi Beam	24	0.3%
140	Marina Da Gama	12	0.2%
141	Masiphumelele	24	0.3%
142	Matroosfontein SP	24	0.3%
143	Meadowridge	12	0.2%
144	Melkbosstrand SP	12	0.2%
145	Mfuleni SP	84	1.1%
146	Milnerton SP	60	0.8%
147	Monte Vista	12	0.2%
148	Mountview	12	0.2%
149	Mowbray	12	0.2%
150	Muizenberg SP	48	0.6%
151	New Crossroads	36	0.5%
152	New Rest	12	0.2%
153	Newlands	24	0.3%
154	Nomzamo SP	24	0.3%
155	Northpine	12	0.2%
156	Norwood	36	0.5%
157	Nyanga SP	36	0.5%
158	Oakdale	48	0.6%
159	Observatory	48	0.6%
160	Ocean View	48	0.6%
161	Onverwacht	12	0.2%
162	Oranjezicht	12	0.2%
163	Ottery	12	0.2%
164	Palm Park	12	0.2%
165	Parklands	24	0.3%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
166	Parklands Ext	36	0.5%
167	Parkwood	24	0.3%
168	Parow Gholf Course	12	0.2%
169	Parow Industrial	12	0.2%
170	Parow SP	12	0.2%
171	Parow Valley	24	0.3%
172	Peerless Park North	12	0.2%
173	Peerless Park West	12	0.2%
174	Pelikan Park	60	0.8%
175	Penlyn Estate	12	0.2%
176	Philippi East	24	0.3%
177	Philippi SP	24	0.3%
178	Phoenix	12	0.2%
179	Phola Park	12	0.2%
180	Pinelands	96	1.3%
181	Plattekloof 3	12	0.2%
182	Plumstead	96	1.3%
183	Protea Village	12	0.2%
184	Ravensmead	12	0.2%
185	Retreat	60	0.8%
186	Richmond	12	0.2%
187	Richmond Estate	12	0.2%
188	Richwood	24	0.3%
189	Ridgeworth	12	0.2%
190	Rocklands	12	0.2%
191	Romp Vlei	12	0.2%
192	Rondebosch	144	1.9%
193	Roosendal	108	1.4%
194	Rosebank	48	0.6%
195	Royal Cape	12	0.2%
196	Rugby	24	0.3%
197	Ruyterwacht	12	0.2%
198	Saint James	12	0.2%
199	Salberau	24	0.3%
200	Salt River	48	0.6%
201	Sand Industria	12	0.2%
202	Sanddrift	12	0.2%
203	Schotschekloof	24	0.3%
204	Scottsdene	12	0.2%
205	Sea Point	60	0.8%
206	Seawinds	48	0.6%
207	Sillwood Heights	12	0.2%
208	Simon's Town SP1	36	0.5%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	Soneike	36	0.5%
210	Sonstraal Heights	12	0.2%
211	Southfield	24	0.3%
212	St Michaels	24	0.3%
213	Steenberg	36	0.5%
214	Stellenberg	12	0.2%
215	Strandfontein Village	12	0.2%
216	Summer Greens	24	0.3%
217	Sunningdale	12	0.2%
218	Surrey	24	0.3%
219	Sybrandpark	12	0.2%
220	Table View	24	0.3%
221	The Conifers	12	0.2%
222	Tijgerhof	12	0.2%
223	Tokai	24	0.3%
224	Town 3	36	0.5%
225	Turf Hall Estate	12	0.2%
226	Tuscany Glen	12	0.2%
227	Tygerdal	24	0.3%
228	Uitsig	24	0.3%
229	Valhalla	12	0.2%
230	Van Der Stel	12	0.2%
231	Vanguard	12	0.2%
232	Vasco Estate	12	0.2%
233	Vergesig	12	0.2%
234	Victoria Merge	48	0.6%
235	Victoria Park	36	0.5%
236	Vierlanden Heights	12	0.2%
237	Village V1 North	12	0.2%
238	Village V1 South	48	0.6%
239	Village V2 North	132	1.7%
240	Village V3 North	84	1.1%
241	Village V4 North	12	0.2%
242	Vogelvlei	12	0.2%
243	Vredehoek	48	0.6%
244	Vrygrond	72	0.9%
245	Wallacedene	24	0.3%
246	Walmer Estate	12	0.2%
247	Welgemoed	24	0.3%
248	Weltevreden Valley	12	0.2%
249	Weltevreden Valley North	36	0.5%
250	Wembley Park	12	0.2%
251	West Riding	12	0.2%



## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # subplace: SubPlace

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
252	Westlake	12	0.2%
253	Westridge	48	0.6%
254	Wetton	24	0.3%
255	Wimbledon Estate	24	0.3%
256	Woodlands	12	0.2%
257	Woodstock	60	0.8%
258	Wynberg	72	0.9%
259	Zonnebloem	12	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # yearslived: YearsLived

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.200000002980232-70] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7596 /-] [Invalid=48 /-] [Mean=13.946 /-] [StdDev=13.406 /-]

### # q51: Q51

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=120 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1 month		12	10.0%
19 years in mitchells plain		12	10.0%
6 months		24	20.0%
6months		12	10.0%
9 months		12	10.0%
less than 1 yr		12	10.0%
less than a year		12	10.0%
lived for 2 mths		12	10.0%
used to live in woodstock		12	10.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # neighbsatisf: NeighbSatisf

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	12	0.2%
2	10	1584	20.7%
3	16	24	0.3%
4	18	12	0.2%
5	3	360	4.7%
6	4	372	4.9%
7	5	1164	15.2%
8	5.5	12	0.2%
9	6	636	8.3%
10	7	924	12.1%

# File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

## # neighbsatisf: NeighbSatisf

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	8	1548	20.3%
12	9	612	8.0%
13	Not satisfied	264	3.5%
14	Slightly Satisfied	120	1.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # commpark: CommPark

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7620 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10	12	0.2%
2	No	1404	18.4%
3	Yes	6204	81.4%
Systemmiss		24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cpmins: CP mins

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-120] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6204 /-] [Invalid=1440 /-] [Mean=8.281 /-] [StdDev=11.733 /-]

## # cpby: CP by

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6180 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bicycle		48	0.8%
Foot		5820	94.2%
Motor vehicle		312	5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cpminswalk: CP mins walk

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-240] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=19.268 /-] [StdDev=24.618 /-]

## # cpcond: CP cond

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6180 /-] [Invalid=1464 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	3072	49.7%
2	Ok	1812	29.3%
3	Poor	1296	21.0%
Systemmiss		1464	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # cpsafe: CP safe

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6180 /-] [Invalid=1464 /-]

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # cpsafe: CP safe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderately safe	2472	40.0%
2	Quite safe	2640	42.7%
3	Too unsafe to use	1068	17.3%
Sysmiss		1464	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sportsfield: Sportsfield

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7608 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2376	31.2%
2	Yes	5232	68.8%
Sysmiss		36	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sfmins: SF mins

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-90] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5208 /-] [Invalid=2436 /-] [Mean=11.627 /-] [StdDev=9.852 /-]

### # sfby: SF by

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5208 /-] [Invalid=2436 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bicycle	48	0.9%
2	Foot	4452	85.5%
3	Motor vehicle	708	13.6%
Sysmiss		2436	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sfminswalk: SF mins walk

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-120] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5208 /-] [Invalid=2436 /-] [Mean=15.286 /-] [StdDev=15.392 /-]

### # sfcond: SF cond

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=2460 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	3120	60.2%
2	Ok	1596	30.8%
3	Poor	468	9.0%
Sysmiss		2460	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sfsafe: SF safe

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # sfsafe: SF safe

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5184 /-] [Invalid=2460 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderately safe	1920	37.0%
2	Quite safe	2532	48.8%
3	Too unsafe to use	732	14.1%
Sysmiss		2460	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # wetland: Wetland

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7596 /-] [Invalid=48 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	4824	63.5%
2	Yes	2772	36.5%
Sysmiss		48	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # wetmins: Wet mins

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-90] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2724 /-] [Invalid=4920 /-] [Mean=10.767 /-] [StdDev=9.59 /-]

### # wetby: Wet by

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2724 /-] [Invalid=4920 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bicycle	12	0.4%
2	Foot	2196	80.6%
3	Motor vehicle	516	18.9%
Sysmiss		4920	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # wetminswalk: Wet mins walk

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-120] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2724 /-] [Invalid=4920 /-] [Mean=18.044 /-] [StdDev=22.557 /-]

### # wetcond: Wet cond

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2724 /-] [Invalid=4920 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good	1080	39.6%
2	Ok	828	30.4%
3	Poor	816	30.0%
Sysmiss		4920	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # wetsafe: Wet safe

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2712 /-] [Invalid=4932 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Moderately safe	996	36.7%
2	Quite safe	768	28.3%
3	Too unsafe to use	948	35.0%
Sysmiss		4932	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # greenbelt: Greenbelt

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=7584 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5328	70.3%
1		2256	29.7%
Sysmiss		60	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # gbmins: GB mins

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-60] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2232 /-] [Invalid=5412 /-] [Mean=9.151 /-] [StdDev=7.824 /-]

### # gbby: GB by

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2231 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bicycle		24	1.1%
Foot		1775	79.6%
Motor vehicle		432	19.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # var39: Wet mins walk

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-80] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2231 /-] [Invalid=5413 /-] [Mean=14.571 /-] [StdDev=15.814 /-]

### # gbcond: GB cond

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2255 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Good		1344	59.6%
Ok		600	26.6%
Poor		311	13.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # gbsafe: GB safe

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

### # gbsafe: GB safe

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2255 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Moderately safe		996	44.2%
Quite safe		924	41.0%
Too unsafe to use		335	14.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # obsid

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-637] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=319.003 /-] [StdDev=183.902 /-]

### # choicestno: ChoicestNo

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1911	25.0%
2		1911	25.0%
3		1911	25.0%
4		1911	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ceoption: CEoption

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Option 1	2548	33.3%
2	Option 2	2548	33.3%
3	Option 3 (No programme)	2548	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # choice: Choice

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7635 /-] [Invalid=9 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		5090	66.7%
1		2545	33.3%
Sysmiss		9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # var47

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3	0.0%
1		7641	100.0%

## File : SA\_Urban\_Green\_CEX

# var47

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cesmalp: CEsmalP
















<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-60] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=15.851 /-] [StdDev=16.354 /-]
---------------------------	--

<b># cesmatp: CESmatp</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-15] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2		1368	17.9%
4		1261	16.5%
6		1180	15.4%
15		3835	50.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cegreenb: CEGreenb</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		5096	66.7%
1		2548	33.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cestreett: CESTreetT</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6703 /-] [Invalid=941 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		3320	49.5%
5		1670	24.9%
20		1713	25.6%
Systemmiss		941	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># celitter: CELitter</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		5096	66.7%
1		2548	33.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cecost: CECost</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-270] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=116.667 /-] [StdDev=100.041 /-]		
<b># cegreen: CEGreen+</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		3592	47.0%
1		3300	43.2%
2		752	9.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



# difficulty: Difficulty			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=7608 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	12	0.2%
2	Fairly easy	2268	29.8%
3	Quite difficult	216	2.8%
4	Very clear	4932	64.8%
5	Very difficult	180	2.4%
Sysmiss		36	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cp: CP			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2292 /-] [Invalid=5352 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2292	100.0%
Sysmiss		5352	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sp: SP			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1764 /-] [Invalid=5880 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1764	100.0%
Sysmiss		5880	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# gb: GB			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=936 /-] [Invalid=6708 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		936	100.0%
Sysmiss		6708	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# trees: Trees			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1560 /-] [Invalid=6084 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1560	100.0%
Sysmiss		6084	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# litter: Litter			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2652 /-] [Invalid=4992 /-]	

<b># litter: Litter</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2652	 100.0%
Sysmiss		4992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cost: Cost</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2604 /-] [Invalid=5040 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2604	 100.0%
Sysmiss		5040	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># confdecision: ConfDecision</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7584 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all confident	864	 11.4%
2	Not very confident	1332	 17.6%
3	Somewhat confident	3048	 40.2%
4	Very confident	2340	 30.9%
Sysmiss		60	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># confimpl: ConfImpl</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7536 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all confident	900	 11.9%
2	Not very confident	1248	 16.6%
3	Somewhat confident	3132	 41.6%
4	Very confident	2256	 29.9%
Sysmiss		108	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># whyopt3: WhyOpt3?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1896 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		12	 0.6%
Area is beautiful dont need this se		12	 0.6%
Government should take care of eve		12	 0.6%
I cannot afford it		12	 0.6%
They are building new parks already		12	 0.6%

### # whyopt3: WhyOpt3?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
access to some parks restricted		12	0.6%
affordability		12	0.6%
all combinations for choice 4 not g		12	0.6%
already happy with status quo		12	0.6%
already has parks		12	0.6%
already have a good community park		12	0.6%
already have all these		12	0.6%
already have the facilities and pay		12	0.6%
already paying alot but no servicee		12	0.6%
already paying alot of tax		12	0.6%
already paying enough rates		12	0.6%
already paying lots of rates to cit		12	0.6%
already satisfied		12	0.6%
alreay have enough and satisfied		12	0.6%
always at work hence no time		12	0.6%
amount too high		12	0.6%
because of crime in the area		12	0.6%
because of time and distance		12	0.6%
brother makes financial decisions		12	0.6%
can only afford to pay little		12	0.6%
cannot afford		48	2.5%
cannot afford the money		12	0.6%
cannot afford to pay		12	0.6%
cant afford		36	1.9%
cant afford but would love a commun		12	0.6%
cant afford since unemployed		12	0.6%

# whyopt3: WhyOpt3?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
cant afford to pay		12	0.6%
cant afford; always working; unsafe		12	0.6%
cant afford; no space for parks		12	0.6%
cant work too much gangs and vandal		12	0.6%
combination did not have my prefere		12	0.6%
cost		24	1.3%
costly		12	0.6%
current parks are not safe		12	0.6%
did not choose 3		12	0.6%
do not have money		12	0.6%
do not have time to go to parks		12	0.6%
does not intend to stay long in cap		12	0.6%
does not value parks due to cost		12	0.6%
does not want to pay		12	0.6%
does not want to pay extra fees		12	0.6%
dont trust the council		12	0.6%
dont use these facilities		12	0.6%
dont want any new parks		12	0.6%
empty promises		12	0.6%
even the ones they have arent manag		12	0.6%
everything is already in place		12	0.6%
expensive		12	0.6%
expensive; no interest		12	0.6%
feels satisfied		12	0.6%
feels satisfied with the neighborho		12	0.6%
feels satisfied with what is there		12	0.6%
finance problems		12	0.6%

# whyopt3: WhyOpt3?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
financial constraint		12	0.6%
financial constraints		12	0.6%
financial problems		12	0.6%
fine with status quo		12	0.6%
gansterism, well maintained, vandal		12	0.6%
got parks already		12	0.6%
government does not care abt citize		12	0.6%
government must pay not us		12	0.6%
government should pay		12	0.6%
government should pay everything		12	0.6%
government should pay; cant afford		12	0.6%
happy with status quo		24	1.3%
happy with the status quo; impresse		12	0.6%
happy with the way things are		12	0.6%
happy with what is already there		24	1.3%
has all the ammenities they want		12	0.6%
has all these in the neighborhood		12	0.6%
have all these facilities in good o		12	0.6%
have everything		12	0.6%
have good parks		12	0.6%
have parks and trees		12	0.6%
high rates		12	0.6%
i cant afford		12	0.6%
it is already provided and maintain		12	0.6%
it will be vandalised by others		12	0.6%

### # whyopt3: WhyOpt3?
































Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
it will still be vandalised		12	0.6%
its expensive, dont know much		12	0.6%
local community's responsibility		12	0.6%
many empty promises		12	0.6%
might relocate		12	0.6%
more sports facilities will help		12	0.6%
mostly at work		12	0.6%
neighborhood already makes contribu		12	0.6%
no issues with what is already ther		12	0.6%
no reason		12	0.6%
no space for parks		24	1.3%
no space for parks wont work for hi		12	0.6%
no space; will never use them		12	0.6%
not financially stable		12	0.6%
not interested		36	1.9%
not safe &no interest		12	0.6%
not sure city has many empty promi		12	0.6%
not sure about implementation		12	0.6%
not sure if we will provide		12	0.6%
not willing to pay extra		12	0.6%
not working at the moment		12	0.6%
parks not a financial priority		12	0.6%
parks will be unsafe		12	0.6%
pay alot of tax to warrant any paym		12	0.6%
personal financial commitments		12	0.6%

### # whyopt3: WhyOpt3?





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
poor maintenance		12	0.6%
poor service adn discrimination		12	0.6%
poverty is the biggest problem		12	0.6%
safety		12	0.6%
satisfied		12	0.6%
satisfied with everything		24	1.3%
satisfied with neighborhood		12	0.6%
satisfied with status quo		48	2.5%
satisfied with what he has		12	0.6%
satisfied with what is there		12	0.6%
satisfied with what they have		24	1.3%
the cost		36	1.9%
the government should pay for all t		12	0.6%
there are already good services		12	0.6%
there are more parks being built		12	0.6%
there is already enough green space		12	0.6%
there is no litter removal in optio		12	0.6%
there is no space		12	0.6%
they already have parks cant pay fo		12	0.6%
they already pay levies		12	0.6%
too costly cannot afford		12	0.6%
unpredictable results from city		12	0.6%
unsafe neighbourhood, theft		12	0.6%
very happy with the area		12	0.6%
violence cases		12	0.6%
want something closer home		12	0.6%
we already have some of these		12	0.6%

<b># whyopt3: WhyOpt3?</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
we dont need the facilities		12	0.6%
wealthy suburbs dont need this		12	0.6%
well maintained		12	0.6%
will never use those facilities		12	0.6%
will not benefit from these		12	0.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># date: Date</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7644 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
27-sep-16		1992	26.1%
28-sep-16		1692	22.1%
29-sep-16		2028	26.5%
30-sep-16		1932	25.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ineligibletally: IneligibleTally</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=169 /-] [Invalid=7475 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		109	64.5%
2		48	28.4%
3		12	7.1%
Sysmiss		7475	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># refusedtally: RefusedTally</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1551 /-] [Invalid=6093 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		710	45.8%
2		397	25.6%
3		156	10.1%
4		84	5.4%
5		72	4.6%
6		60	3.9%
7		48	3.1%
8		24	1.5%
Sysmiss		6093	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># comments</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]		



# comments			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=878 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1,2,3,23 not checked,		12	 1.4%
11 not checked		24	 2.7%
12, 24-27 not marked		12	 1.4%
16, 20 and 23 not checked		12	 1.4%
183 &20 not checked		12	 1.4%
183 , 20b and 25 not checked		12	 1.4%
19 ??		12	 1.4%
19 has inconsistencies		12	 1.4%
19 has incosnsitent answers		12	 1.4%
19 is inconsistent		12	 1.4%
20 a is badly written		12	 1.4%
20 no consistent with 20b		12	 1.4%
20 not checked		12	 1.4%
20b not given		12	 1.4%
21 a not quite legible		12	 1.4%
21 has nothing		12	 1.4%
22, 25 20a not checked		12	 1.4%
23 not checked		24	 2.7%
24 not checked		12	 1.4%
24, 25, 27 not checked		24	 2.7%
24. 25. 26, 27 not checked		12	 1.4%
25 not checked		24	 2.7%
26 not checked		12	 1.4%
27 not checked		12	 1.4%
85a, 23 25 not checked		12	 1.4%
9 not checked		12	 1.4%
91 not checked		12	 1.4%
Ends after Q10		12	 1.4%
Gender is not specified		12	 1.4%
Jane corrected the rent		12	 1.4%
Q 12 and 17 have no answers		1	 0.1%

# comments			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Q 16 has nothing marked on it		12	1.4%
Q18 nothing is ticked		12	1.4%
demographics not checked		36	4.1%
education not checked		12	1.4%
gender not checked		60	6.8%
gender not indicated		24	2.7%
house belongs to late wife; 8a		12	1.4%
income not given		12	1.4%
no explanation for status quo!		12	1.4%
no property value		12	1.4%
no value of property		12	1.4%
not comfortable disclosing inc		12	1.4%
not ready to disclose income		12	1.4%
not sure exact income		12	1.4%
not sure what to do with 8b "o		12	1.4%
not willing to share income		12	1.4%
nothing is checked in 12		12	1.4%
only first choice set is compl		12	1.4%
pensioner		24	2.7%
refused to disclose income		24	2.7%
rent is just for 1 bedroom		12	1.4%
rent may not be accurate		12	1.4%
rent unknown		1	0.1%
street name not clear		12	1.4%
street name not legible		12	1.4%
street names are no very clear		12	1.4%
street names not legible		12	1.4%

# comments			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
street roads are poorly writte		12	 1.4%
type of house and condition no		12	 1.4%
unsure about exact house price		12	 1.4%
value of propoerty not clearly		12	 1.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*