

Institutional Trust 2013

Holmberg, Sören

University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science

Weibull, Lennart

University of Gothenburg, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	April 2, 2014
Identification	SND0963-001

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling	4
Data Collection.	
Accessibility	
File Description(s).	<u>6</u>
Förtroendebarometer 2013.	
Variable Group(s)	<u>7</u>
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables.	7
Questions in web survey	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2013.	

Institutional Trust 2013

Förtroendebarometer 2013

Overview	
Type Institutional Trust	
Identification	SND0963-001
Version	2.0

Abstract

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Sifo and involved 1220 individuals who answered a web survey between February 7 and 17, 2013. The survey comprised 60 institutions/ companies/media companies and political parties. The 2013 survey also included questions about the most valued individuals of the Swedish media landscape.

Kind of Data Survey data: Independent surveys	
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	trust, trust in government, political attitudes, mass media	
Topics	mass media, POLITICS	
Time Period(s) 2013		
Countries	Sweden	
Universe Individuals aged 16-74 years		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science Weibull, Lennart, University of Gothenburg, Department of Journalism and Mass Communication	
Other Producer(s)	University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science MedieAkademin TNS Sifo	

Sampling	
Sampling Procedure Probability sample: Simple random sample	

Data Collection		
Data Collection Dates	start 2013-02-07 end 2013-02-17	
Data Collection Mode	Self-completed questionnaire: Web-based	
Data Collector(s)	TNS Sifo	

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Swedish National Data Service

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2013	
Cases	1220
Variable(s)	84

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0963	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-datatset 0963-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 2.1	-

Backg	Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question	
1	RESPONSEID	responseid	-	
2	RESPID	respid	-	
3	IND_ID	ind_id	-	
4	STATUS	Status	-	
5	WEIGHT	Weight	-	
6	GENDER	Sex	-	
7	ACTUALAGE	Age	-	
8	KOMMUN	Municipality	-	

Questions in web survey				
#	Name	Label	Question	
1	Q1A_10	F.1AA Confidence in: The Government	How much confidence do you have in the way the followin businesses do their job? - the Government	
2	Q1A_7	F.1AB Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press	
3	Q1A_1	F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the followir businesses do their job? - the Parliament	
4	Q1A_11	F.1AD Confidence in: The banks	How much confidence do you have in the way the followir businesses do their job? - The Banks	
5	Q1A_6	F.1AE Confidence in: Radio/TV	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Radio/TV	
6	Q1A_5	F.1AF Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Big business	
7	Q1A_4	F.1AG Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Universities	
8	Q1A_8	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The trade unions	
9	Q1A_3	F.1AI Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties	
10	Q1A_9	F.1AJ Confidence in: The Church of Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden	
11	Q1A_2	F.1AK Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission	

#	Name	Label	Question
12	Q1A_12	F.1AL Confidence in: The Swedish Central Bank	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
13	Q1A_13	F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal family
14	Q1A_14	F.1AN Confidence in: Health care	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Health care
15	Q1B_1	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
16	Q1B_2	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Moderate Party
17	Q1B_3	F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats
18	Q1B_4	F.1BD Confidence in: Christian Democrats	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Christian Democrats
19	Q1B_5	F.1BE Confidence in: The Centre Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Centre Party
20	Q1B_6	F.1BF Confidence in: The Left Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Left Party
21	Q1B_7	F.1BG Confidence in: Swedish Green Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Green Party
22	Q1B_8	F.1BH Confidence in: Liberal Party	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Liberal Party
23	Q1C_1	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Radio Sweden
24	Q1C_2	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television
25	Q1C_3	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV4
26	Q1C_4	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3
27	Q1C_5	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Dagens Nyheter
28	Q1C_6	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet
29	Q1C_8	F.1CG Confidence in: Expressen	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Expressen
30	Q1C_7	F.1CH Confidence in: The local morning paper where you live	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - The local morning paper where you live

#	Name	Label	Question
31	QID_1	F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - IKEA
32	Q1D_2	F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volvo
33	Q1D_3	F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Ericsson
34	Q1D_4	F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Coca-Cola
35	Q1D_5	F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Skandia
36	Q1D_6	F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Astra Zeneca
37	Q1D_7	F.1DG Confidence in: SAS	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS
38	Q1D_8	F.1DH Confidence in: H&M	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M
39	Q1D_9	F.1DI Confidence in: Saab	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Saab
40	Q1D_10	F.1DJ Confidence in: Vattenfall	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Vattenfall
41	Q1D_11	F.1DK Confidence in: SJ	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ
42	Q1D_12	F.1DL Confidence in: TeliaSonera	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera
43	Q1D_13	F.1DM Confidence in: Volkswagen	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volkswagen
44	Q1D_14	F.1DN Confidence in: The state-controlled company for the sale of alcoholic beverages	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - The state-controlled company for the sale of alcoholic beverages
45	Q1D_15	F.1DO Confidence in: Posten AB	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Posten AB
46	Q1D_16	F.1DP Confidence in: HSB's housing co- operatives	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - HSB's housing co-operatives
47	Q1D_17	F.1DQ Confidence in: COOP Cooperationen	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - COOP Cooperation
48	Q1D_18	F.1DR Confidence in: ICA	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - ICA

#	Name	Label	Question	
49	Q1D_19	F.1DS Confidence in: Salvation army	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Salvation army	
50	Q1D_20	F.1DT Confidence in: The red cross	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - The Red Cross	
51	Q1D_21	F.1DU Confidence in: Amnesty International	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Amnesty International	
52	Q1E_1	F.1EA Confidence in: The Swedish Public Employment Service	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Public Employment Service	
53	Q1E_2	F.1EB Confidence in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency	
54	Q1E_3	F.1EC Confidence in: The tax authorities	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The tax authorities	
55	Q1E_4	F.1ED Confidence in: The Migration Board	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Migration Board	
56	Q1C2_1	F.1FA Confidence in: aftonbladet.se	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - aftonbladet.se	
57	Q1C2_2	F.1FB Confidence in: Google	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Google	
58	Q1C2_3	F.1FC Confidence in: Facebook	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Facebook	
59	Q1C2_4	F.1FD Confidence in: Wikipedia	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Wikipedia	
60	Q1C2_5	F.1FE Confidence in: Twitter	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Twitter	
61	Q2	F.2A Appreciated person active in the Swedish media	Is there any person active in the Swedish press, radio, television or digital media that you particularly appreciate?	
62	Q2_1_OTHER	F.2B Appreciated person active in the Swedish media: Who	Who?	
63	TW1	F.3A Know of Twitter	How well do you know the social media tool Twitter?	
64	TW2	F.3B Interested in what is happening on Twitter	How interested are you in what is happening on Twitter?	
65	TW3	F.3C Opinion on the influence of Twitter on what is discussed in the media and public debate	Do you think that what is happening on Twitter can influence what is discussed in the media and public debate?	
66	TW4	F.3D Opinion on the influence of Twitter on democracy	How do you think Twitter influences democracy? Do you think it will be strengthened, weakened, or not influenced?	
67	Q5A	F.4A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?	
68	Q5B	F.4B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?	
69	Q6	F.5 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?	
70	PNR	F.6 ZIP code	What is your ZIP code?	

Institutional Trust 2013 - Variable Group(s)

#	Name	Label	Question
71	UTB	F.7 Education level	What is your highest level of completed education?
72	PERSINKOMST	F.8 Income	What is your personal income per month?
73	HHINKOMST	F.9 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 84 variable(s)

File : Förtroendebarometer 2013						
# SND_stu	#SND_studie: SND-studie 0963					
	SND-studie 0963: Förtroendebarometer 2013					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
963	SND 0963		1220		100.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 963- 963] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

#SND_dataset: SND-datatset 0963-001					
	SND-dataset 0963-001: Förtroendebarometer 2013				
Value	Value Label Cases Percentage			Percentage	
1	SND 0963-	-001	1220	100.0%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 1] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# SND_version: SND version 2.1					
		SND version 2.0 april 2014			
Notes Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english				glish	
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			
2	Version 2.1	Version 2.1		100.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [M	/lissing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# RESPONSEID: responseid		
Respondent-ID		
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 3- 1329] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 654.17 /-] [StdDev: 379.458 /-]	

# RESPID: respid		
	Respondent-ID	
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 3- 3499] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 1709.04 /-] [StdDev: 1038.877 /-]	

# IND_ID: ind_id		
	Respondent-ID	
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	

File : Förtroendebarometer 2013					
#STATUS: Status					
		Status			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
complete	complete		1220		100.0%
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	ummary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: character] [M		[Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: *]		_	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

WEIGH	WEIGHT: Weight				
	Viktvariabel				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
.53241		12	1.0%		
.53719		13	1.1%		
.57984		36	3.0%		
.60151		27	2.2%		
.60194		20	1.6%		
.60735		15	1.2%		
.6115		26	2.1%		
.61699		16	1.3%		
.62633		9	0.7%		
.63196		13	1.1%		
.65557		31	2.5%		
0.66052		22	1.8%		
.66598		29	2.4%		
.66645		25	2.0%		
.67345		25	2.0%		
.6795		29	2.4%		
.68007		35	2.9%		
.68213		24	2.0%		
.69086		27	2.2%		
.70762		17	1.4%		
.71532		11	0.9%		
.71936		48	3.9%		
.72175		7	0.6%		
.73345		38	3.1%		
.74624		42	3.4%		
.76086		47	3.9%		
.77905		23	1.9%		
.80816		21	1.7%		
.88256		8	0.7%		
.99782		33	2.7%		
.01366		24	2.0%		
.03825		21	1.7%		
.05147		19	1.6%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013 # WEIGHT: Weight Label Value Cases Percentage 1.09492 26 2.1% 1.11637 33 2.7% 1.18577 16 1.3% 1.18879 27 2.2% 1.20766 19 1.6% 1.0% 1.23696 12 1.30447 27 2.2% 1.33002 1.6% 20 1.37079 7 0.6% 1.37973 7 0.6% 1.40415 0.4% 5 1.41271 0.7% 9 1.49983 0.5% 6 1.54981 1.1% 14 1.55992 0.9% 11 1.57441 1.0% 12 1.58468 10 0.8% 1.58753 0.7% 9 1.6126 0.5% 6 1.61273 0.7% 8 1.62313 2 0.2% 1.65185 3 0.2% 1.6957 0.8% 10 1.70062 0.8% 10 1.71172 9 0.7% 1.72262 11 0.9% 1.73393 1.0% 12 1.74201 1.1% 13 1.74525 17 1.4% 1.76441 5 0.4% 1.77613 15 1.2% 0.2% 1.84173 3 1.85375 4 0.3% 1.86071 13 1.1% 1.88655 4 0.3% 0.9% 1.89716 11 0.1% 2.01511 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0.53241- 2.01511] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

File : Förtroendebarometer 2013						
# GENDE	CR: Sex					
		Kön				
Value	Label	Label Cases Percentage				
1	Man		629	51.6%		
2	Woman 591		591	48.4%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics of	f the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

	Ålder		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	17	3	0.2%
18	18	10	0.8%
19	19	10	0.8%
20	20	11	0.9%
21	21	14	1.1%
22	22	13	1.1%
23	23	24	2.0%
24	24	29	2.4%
25	25	17	1.4%
26	26	6	0.5%
27	27	5	0.4%
28	28	7	0.6%
29	29	9	0.7%
30	30	11	0.9%
31	31	13	1.1%
32	32	18	1.5%
33	33	23	1.9%
34	34	15	1.2%
35	35	7	0.6%
36	36 points	16	1.3%
37	37	9	0.7%
38	38	13	1.1%
39	39	20	1.6%
40	40	26	2.1%
41	41	22	1.8%
42	42	23	1.9%
43	43	20	1.6%
44	44	23	1.9%
45	45	36	3.0%
46	46	12	1.0%
47	47	22	1.8%
48	48	19	1.6%

File : Förtroendebarometer 2013				
# ACTUA	LAGE: Ag	e		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
49	49		26	2.1%
50	50		27	2.2%
51	51		25	2.0%
52	52		37	3.0%
53	53		25	2.0%
54	54		27	2.2%
55	55		31	2.5%
56	56		26	2.1%
57	57		30	2.5%
58	58		29	2.4%
59	59		24	2.0%
60	60		22	1.8%
61	61		30	2.5%
62	62		38	3.1%
63	63		32	2.6%
64	64		42	3.4%
65	65		22	1.8%
66	66		31	2.5%
67	67		30	2.5%
68	68		27	2.2%
69	69		23	1.9%
70	70		32	2.6%
71	71		29	2.4%
72	72		19	1.6%
73	73		18	1.5%
74	74		12	1.0%
	-	number of cases found in the data file. They can	not be interpreted as summary statistics of th	ne population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric]	[Range: 17- 74] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# KOMM	*KOMMUN: Municipality					
	Kommunkod					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
0000	0000	8	0.7%			
0114	Upplands Väsby	7	0.6%			
0115	Vallentuna	8	0.7%			
0117	Österåker	3	0.2%			
0120	Värmdö	5	0.4%			
0123	Järfälla	10	0.8%			
0125	Ekerö	1	0.1%			
0126	Huddinge	12	1.0%			
0127	Botkyrka	6	0.5%			

#KOMMIIN.	N/
# K	VIIINITINALIIV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0128	Salem	3	0.2%
0136	Haninge	7	0.6%
0138	Tyresö	2	0.2%
0139	Upplands-Bro	1	0.1%
0140	Nykvarn	3	0.2%
0160	Täby	6	0.5%
0162	Danderyd	3	0.2%
0163	Sollentuna	10	0.8%
0180	Stockholm	107	8.8%
0181	Södertälje	9	0.7%
0182	Nacka	15	1.2%
0183	Sundbyberg	3	0.2%
0184	Solna	5	0.4%
0186	Lidingö	4	0.3%
0187	Vaxholm	1	0.1%
0188	Norrtälje	2	0.2%
0191	Sigtuna	3	0.2%
0192	Nynäshamn	4	0.3%
0305	Håbo	3	0.2%
0331	Heby	1	0.1%
0360	Tierp	1	0.1%
0380	Uppsala	35	2.9%
0381	Enköping	9	0.7%
0382	Östhammar	2	0.2%
0428	Vingåker	2	0.2%
0461	Gnesta	1	0.1%
0480	Nyköping	6	0.5%
0481	Oxelösund	2	0.2%
0482	Flen	5	0.4%
)483	Katrineholm	4	0.3%
0484	Eskilstuna	12	1.0%
0486	Strängnäs	2	0.2%
0488	Trosa	1	0.1%
0512	Ydre	1	0.1%
)513	Kinda	2	0.2%
)561	Åtvidaberg	2	0.2%
)562	Finspång	3	0.2%
)563	Valdemarsvik	1	0.1%
0580	Linköping	29	2.4%
)581	Norrköping	15	1.2%
0582	Söderköping	3	0.2%
0583	Motala	8	0.7%
)584	Vadstena	1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases Percentage	
0586	Mjölby	2 0.2%	
0604	Aneby	1 0.1%	
0642	Mullsjö	3 0.2%	
0643	Habo	3 0.2%	
0662	Gislaved	3 0.2%	
0665	Vaggeryd	3 0.2%	
0680	Jönköping	12 1.0%	
0682	Nässjö	3 0.2%	
0683	Värnamo	2 0.2%	
0684	Sävsjö	1 0.1%	
0685	Vetlanda	5 0.4%	
0686	Eksjö	5 0.4%	
0687	Tranås	1 0.1%	
0760	Uppvidinge	1 0.1%	
0761	Lessebo	1 0.1%	
0764	Alvesta	5 0.4%	
0765	Älmhult	1 0.1%	
0767	Markaryd	1 0.1%	
0780	Växjö	11 0.9%	
0781	Ljungby	2 0.2%	
0821	Högsby	1 0.1%	
0834	Torsås	1 0.1%	
0840	Mörbylånga	1 0.1%	
0861	Mönsterås	1 0.1%	
0880	Kalmar	8 0.7%	
0881	Nybro	5 0.4%	
0882	Oskarshamn	6 0.5%	
0883	Västervik	5 0.4%	
0884	Vimmerby	1 0.1%	
0885	Borgholm	1 0.1%	
0980	Gotland	8 0.7%	
1060	Olofström	2 0.2%	
1080	Karlskrona	7 0.6%	
1081	Ronneby	3 0.2%	
1082	Karlshamn	1 0.1%	
1214	Svalöv	4 0.3%	
1230	Staffanstorp	5 0.4%	
1233	Vellinge	4 0.3%	
1256	Östra Göinge	2 0.2%	
1257	Örkelljunga	1 0.1%	
1261	Kävlinge	4 0.3%	
1262	Lomma	4 0.3%	
1263	Svedala	3 0.2%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1264	Skurup	4	0.3%
1265	Sjöbo	1	0.1%
1266	Hörby	2	0.2%
1267	Höör	3	0.2%
1270	Tomelilla	1	0.1%
1272	Bromölla	1	0.1%
1273	Osby	1	0.1%
1275	Perstorp	1	0.1%
1276	Klippan	2	0.2%
1277	Åstorp	1	0.1%
1278	Båstad	2	0.2%
1280	Malmö	29	2.4%
1281	Lund	25	2.0%
1282	Landskrona	6	0.5%
1283	Helsingborg	13	1.1%
1284	Höganäs	7	0.6%
1285	Eslöv	4	0.3%
1286	Ystad	5	0.4%
1287	Trelleborg	2	0.2%
1290	Kristianstad	17	1.4%
1291	Simrishamn	3	0.2%
1292	Ängelholm	5	0.4%
1293	Hässleholm	6	0.5%
1380	Halmstad	12	1.0%
1381	Laholm	3	0.2%
1382	Falkenberg	4	0.3%
1383	Varberg	12	1.0%
1384	Kungsbacka	7	0.6%
1401	Härryda	5	0.4%
1402	Partille	10	0.8%
1407	Öckerö	2	0.2%
1415	Stenungsund	4	0.3%
1421	Orust	1	0.1%
1427	Sotenäs	3	0.2%
1430	Munkedal	1	0.1%
1440	Ale	3	0.2%
1441	Lerum	8	0.7%
1442	Vårgårda	3	0.2%
1445	Essunga	2	0.2%
1452	Tranemo	3	0.2%
1461	Mellerud	1	0.1%
1462	Lilla Edet	1	0.1%
1463	Mark	4	0.3%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1465	Svenljunga	1	0.1%
1471	Götene	1	0.1%
1472	Tibro	2	0.2%
1473	Töreboda	2	0.2%
1480	Göteborg	67	5.5%
1481	Mölndal	5	0.4%
1482	Kungälv	1	0.1%
1484	Lysekil	1	0.1%
1485	Uddevalla	7	0.6%
1487	Vänersborg	4	0.3%
1488	Trollhättan	4	0.3%
1489	Alingsås	8	0.7%
1490	Borås	13	1.1%
1491	Ulricehamn	2	0.2%
1493	Mariestad	7	0.6%
1494	Lidköping	3	0.2%
1495	Skara	2	0.2%
1496	Skövde	9	0.7%
1497	Hjo	2	0.2%
1499	Falköping	3	0.2%
1715	Kil	1	0.1%
1730	Eda	1	0.1%
1737	Torsby	2	0.2%
1761	Hammarö	2	0.2%
1762	Munkfors	1	0.1%
1763	Forshaga	3	0.2%
1764	Grums	2	0.2%
1766	Sunne	3	0.2%
1780	Karlstad	12	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	4	0.3%
1782	Filipstad	1	0.1%
1783	Hagfors	1	0.1%
1784	Arvika	3	0.2%
1785	Säffle	2	0.2%
1814	Lekeberg	1	0.1%
1863	Hällefors	2	0.2%
1880	Örebro	11	0.9%
1881	Kumla	1	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	1	0.1%
1884	Nora	2	0.2%
1885	Lindesberg	2	0.2%
1904	Skinnskatteberg	2	0.2%
1907	Surahammar	1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1961	Hallstahammar	1	0.1%
1962	Norberg	1	0.1%
1980	Västerås	19	1.6%
1981	Sala	3	0.2%
1983	Köping	4	0.3%
1984	Arboga	2	0.2%
2021	Vansbro	1	0.1%
2023	Malung-Sälen	5	0.4%
2026	Gagnef	1	0.1%
2029	Leksand	5	0.4%
2031	Rättvik	1	0.1%
2039	Älvdalen	1	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	2	0.2%
2062	Mora	2	0.2%
2080	Falun	8	0.7%
2081	Borlänge	5	0.4%
2082	Säter	1	0.1%
2084	Avesta	2	0.2%
2085	Ludvika	1	0.1%
2101	Ockelbo	2	0.2%
2121	Ovanåker	1	0.1%
2161	Ljusdal	2	0.2%
2180	Gävle	16	1.3%
2181	Sandviken	5	0.4%
2182	Söderhamn	6	0.5%
2183	Bollnäs	3	0.2%
2184	Hudiksvall	3	0.2%
2262	Timrå	4	0.3%
2280	Härnösand	3	0.2%
2281	Sundsvall	14	1.1%
2282	Kramfors	2	0.2%
2283	Sollefteå	5	0.4%
2284	Örnsköldsvik	7	0.6%
2303	Ragunda	2	0.2%
2309	Krokom	4	0.3%
2313	Strömsund	1	0.1%
2380	Östersund	12	1.0%
2401	Nordmaling	1	0.1%
2409	Robertsfors	1	0.1%
2417	Norsjö	1	0.1%
2421	Storuman	2	0.2%
2460	Vännäs	2	0.2%
2480	Umeå	25	2.0%

File : Förtroendebarometer 2013 # KOMMUN: Municipality					
2481	Lycksele		2	0.2%	
2482	Skellefteå		9	0.7%	
2505	Arvidsjaur		2	0.2%	
2513	Överkalix		1	0.1%	
2514	Kalix		1	0.1%	
2523	Gällivare		4	0.3%	
2560	Älvsbyn		4	0.3%	
2580	Luleå		14	1.1%	
2581	Piteå		5	0.4%	
2582	Boden		7	0.6%	
2584	Kiruna		4	0.3%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: character] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way th	ne following busi	nesses do their job? - the	Government
Value Label		<u> </u>	Cases Perc		ntage
1	Very high	Very high trust		9.6%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			40.3%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			28.0%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		15.2%	
5	Very low to	rust	84	6.9%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics	of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	tatistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The daily press					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.7%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			27.6%		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				42.3%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			23.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	61	5.0%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of	interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [F		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics []	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_1: F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament		
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - the Parliament	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013 # Q1A_1: F.1AC Confidence in: The Parliament Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very high trust 73 6.0% Quite high trust 475 38.9% 3 Neither high nor low trust 39.6% 483 4 Quite low trust 161 13.2% 2.3% 5 Very low trust 28

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

# Q1A 11: F.1AD Confidence	ce in• The hanks

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Banks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high trust	28	2.3%			
2	Quite high trust	307	25.2%			
3	Neither high nor low trust	474	38.9%			
4	Quite low trust	322	26.4%			
5	Very low trust	89	7.3%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.			

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Q1A_6: F.1AE Confidence in: Radio/TV

Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	following b	businesses do their job? - Radio)/TV
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	age
1	Very high	Very high trust		5.8%	
2	Quite high trust		519		42.5%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			41.1%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		8.4%	
5	Very low to	rust	25	2.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]		
Statistics [1	tatistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Q1A_5: F.1AF Confidence in: Big business

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Big business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	18	1.5%	
2	Quite high trust	269	22.0%	
3	Neither high nor low trust	614	50.39	%
4	Quite low trust	259	21.2%	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013						
# Q1A_5: F.1AF Confidence in: Big business						
Value	te Label Cases Percentage					
5	Very low to	Very low trust		4.9%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	(W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Universities				
Value	ue Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		9.6%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			55.4%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		30.1	%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		3.9%		
5	Very low t	rust	13	1.1%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Informatio	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-					
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_8:	F.1AH Con	fidence in: The trade unions						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way			the way the following bus	ay the following businesses do their job? - The trade unions				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high trust		48	3.9%				
2	Quite high trust		353		28.9%			
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				41.4%		
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			20.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	66	5.4%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of in	nterest.			
Informatio	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range							
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1A_3:	F.1AI Confi	dence in: The political parties					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The political parties					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Very high t	rust	8	0.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	175	14.3%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	604		49.5%		
4	Quite low t	rust	352	28.9%			
5	Very low to	rust	81	6.6%			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary state	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Q1A_3: F.1AI Confidence in: The political parties

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden					
Value	Label		Cases	1	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	86	7.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	329 27.0%				
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	512			42.0%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		16.1	1%		
5	Very low to	Very low trust		8.0%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary statistic	es of the population of inte	rest.		
Information [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/W] [Va		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_2: 1	# Q1A_2: F.1AK Confidence in: EU commission						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - EU commission					
Value	Label		Cases	Pero	centage		
1	Very high t	rust	14	1.1%			
2	Quite high	trust	182	14.9%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	536		43.9%		
4	Quite low t	rust	317		26.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	171	14.0%			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	:		
1	Very high	rust	123	10.1%			
2	Quite high	trust	510		41.8%		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			37.3%		
4	Quite low t	rust	102	8.4%			
5	Very low to	rust	30	2.5%			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1A_13: F	# Q1A_13: F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - The Royal family				businesses do their job? - The Royal family		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high trust		97	8.0%		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013 #Q1A_13: F.1AM Confidence in: The Royal family Value Label Cases Percentage 2 Quite high trust 351 28.8% Neither high nor low trust 414 33.9% 4 193 15.8% Quite low trust 5 Very low trust 165 13.5% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following businesses do their job? - Health care					
Value	Label	Label		Per	centage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		9.8%			
2	Quite high	trust	571			46.8%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			27.5%		
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		12.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	45	3.7%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Formation		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party						
Value	Label		Cases	Pe	rcentage			
1	Very high	trust	67	5.5%				
2	Quite high	trust	349		28.6%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	438			35.9%		
4	Quite low	trust	263		21.6%			
5	Very low t	rust	103	8.4%				
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistic	s of the population of interes	t.			
Information [Type:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1				

# Q1B_2: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party					
1 2		you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Moderate Party			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	106	8.7%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			33.4%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	339	339 27.8%	
4	Quite low	trust	209	09 17.1%	

Q1B_2: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party Value Label Cases Percentage 5 Very low trust 159 13.0% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Sweden Democrats				
Value	Label		Cases	Cases Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	24	2.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	83	6.8%		
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	199	16.3%		
4	Quite low	trust	200	16.4%		
5	Very low	rust	714		58.5%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary stat	istics of the population of intere	est.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Christian Democrats						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	17	1.4%				
2	Quite high trust		166	13.6%				
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	389			31.9%		
4	Quite low	trust	352		28	8.9%		
5	Very low t	rust	296		24.3%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of	interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

_		If you were to use the same scale to as political parties? - Centre Party	ssess some other phenon	nena in Sweden, how would	you place the following
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage
1	Very high	trust	6	0.5%	
2	Quite high	trust	112	9.2%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	408		33.4%
4	Quite low	trust	385		31.6%
5	Very low trust		309		25.3%

File: Förtroend	debarometer 2013	
# Q1B_5: F.1BE Confidence in: The Centre Party		
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Left Party					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	22	1.8%			
2	Quite high trust 184		15.1%				
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	392			32.1%	
1	Quite low	trust	322		2	6.4%	
5	Very low t	rust	300		24.0	5%	
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary stati	istics of the population	on of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Green Party					
Value	Label		Cases	Cases Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	46	3.8%			
2	Quite high	trust	311	25.5%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	436				35.7%
4	Quite low	rust	240		19.7%		
5	Very low to	rust	187		15.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statist	ics of the population of in	terest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following political parties? - Liberal Party				
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage	
1	Very high	ı trust	21	1.7%		
2	Quite hig	h trust	218	17.9%		
3	Neither h	igh nor low trust	487		39.9%	
4	Quite low	trust	306		25.1%	
5	Very low	trust	188	15.4%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [F		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	ge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

File: 1	File: Förtroendebarometer 2013								
# Q1C_1:	F.1CA Con	fidence in: Radio Sweden							
1 1		If you were to use the same scale to assess media corporations? - Radio Sweden	some other phenon	nena in Sweden, how	would you place the f	ollowing			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage					
1	Very high	h trust 302 24.8%		24.8%					
2	Quite high	trust	632			51.8%			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	239	19	9.6%				
4	Quite low	trust	24	2.0%					
5	Very low t	rust	23	1.9%					
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of in	nterest.				
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	e: 1- 5] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]									

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Swedish Television					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	249		20.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	640			52.5%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	262		21.5%		
4	Quite low	trust	43	3.5%			
5	Very low t	rust	26	2.1%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary sta	tistics of the populat	ion of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1C_3: F.1CC Confidence in: TV4							
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - $TV4$					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high t	rust	39	3.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	376	30.8%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	556	45.6%			
4	Quite low t	rust	179	14.7%			
5	Very low to	rust	70	5.7%			
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NV	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1C_4: F.1CD Cont	fidence in: TV3
Literal question	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - TV3

#Q1C_4: F.1CD Confidence in: TV3

Statistics [NW/ W]

Value	Label		Cases	Percent	tage
1	Very high	trust	8	0.7%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		12.6%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	538		44.1%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			31.9%
5	Very low t	Very low trust		10.7%	
Warning: thes	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-1				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Dagens Nyheter					
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage		
1	Very high	rrust	100	8.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	536		43.9%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	443		36.3%		
4	Quite low t	rust	102	8.4%			
5	Very low to	rust	39	3.2%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.			

[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - Aftonbladet					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	11	0.9%			
2	Quite high	trust	147	12.0%			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	445	36.		36.5%	
4	Quite low	trust	402			33.0%	
5	Very low t	rust	215		17.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of int	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1C_8: F.1CG Confidence in: Expressen							
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the media corporations? - Expressen				mena in Sweden, how would you place the following			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	Very high trust		0.4%			
2	Quite high trust		121	9.9%			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013							
# Q1C_8:	F.1CG Con	fidence in: Expressen					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	440	36.1%			
4	Quite low	rrust	426	34.9%			
5	Very low trust		228	18.7%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following media corporations? - The local morning paper where you live					
Value Label			Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	98	8.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	515		42.2%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	468		38.4%		
4	Quite low	rust	108	8.9%			
5	Very low to	rust	31	2.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - IKEA					
Value	Label	,		Cases Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	102	8.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	587			48.1%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			35.5%		
4	Quite low	rust	79	6.5%			
5	Very low to	rust	19	1.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of i	nterest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

# Q1D_2: F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo							
Literal ques	tion	If you were to use the same scale to asse corporations? - Volvo	ess some other phenome	na in Sweden, how would	l you place the following		
Value	Label		Cases	Perc	entage		
1	Very high	trust	115	9.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	558		45.7%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	475		38.9%		
4	Quite low	trust	58	4.8%			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013							
# Q1D_2:]	F.1DB Conf	idence in: Volvo					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
5	Very low to	rust	14	1.1%			
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [N	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

•		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Ericsson					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	ı trust	53	4.3%			
2	Quite hig	h trust	434		35.6%		
3	Neither h	igh nor low trust	605		49.6%		
4	Quite low	trust	101	8.3%			
5	Very low	trust	27	2.2%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary statis	stics of the population of inte	rest.		
Information [Type:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Coca-Cola				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	39	3.2%		
2	Quite high	trust	187	15.3%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	554		45.4%	
4	Quite low	rust	302	24.8%		
5	Very low t	rust	138	11.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	is summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Va		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# Q1D_5: F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia							
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place corporations? - Skandia				ace the following			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	24	2.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	203	16.6%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	676		55.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	245	20.1%			
5	Very low t	rust	72	5.9%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary state	istics of the population of interest.			

File: Förtroend	File : Förtroendebarometer 2013					
# Q1D_5: F.1DE Conf	# Q1D_5: F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia					
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Astra Zeneca					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	35	2.9%			
2	Quite high	trust	278		22.8%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	672			55.1%	
4	Quite low	trust	190		15.6%		
5	Very low t	rust	45	3.7%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	is summary stati	istics of the popul	lation of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: num		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] []		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1D_7:	F.1DG Con	fidence in: SAS					
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SAS					
Value	Label		Cases	ses Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	27	2.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	259	21.2%			
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			51.8%		
4	Quite low	trust	244	20.0%			
5	Very low to	rust	58	4.8%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - H&M					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	36	3.0%			
2	Quite high trust		244		20.0%		
3	Neither high nor low trust		574			47.0%	
4	Quite low	trust	280		23.0%		
5	Very low	trust	86	7.0%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	preted as summary statist	ics of the population	of interest.		
Information [Type:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Va		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: Förtroendebarometer 2013 #Q1D_9: F.1DI Confidence in: Saab Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Saab Value Label Cases Percentage Very high trust 20 1.6% 2 Quite high trust 14.6% 178 3 Neither high nor low trust 549 45.0% 4 Quite low trust 294 24.1% 5 Very low trust 179 14.7% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: *] Information Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Vattenfall					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	rust	26	2.1%			
2	Quite high trust		221	18.1%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	587		48.1%		
4	Quite low t	rust	284	23.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	102	8.4%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - SJ					
Value	Label		Cases Percentage				
1	Very high	rust	20	1.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	150	12.3%			
3	Neither high nor low trust		422		34.6%		
4	Quite low trust		413		33.9%		
5	Very low to	rust	215	17.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	is summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - TeliaSonera						
Value	Label	Cases				Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	21	1.7%				
2	Quite high	trust	183		15.0%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	513				42.0%	
4	Quite low trust		329			27.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	174	1	4.3%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of	of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# Q1D_13: F.1DM Confidence in: Volkswagen								
Literal question	n	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Volkswagen						
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	ige			
1	Very high	Very high trust		3.9%				
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		27.5%				

# Q1D_13	: F.1DM Co	nfidence in: Volkswagen			
Value	Label		Cases	Cases Percentage	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	703		57.6%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		8.0%	
5	Very low to	w trust		3.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal ques	stion	fidence in: The state-controlled company for the sale of alcoholic beverages If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - The state-controlled company for the sale of alcoholic beverages					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	rust	206	6 16.9%			
2	Quite high	h trust 563			46.1%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	358			29.3%	
4	Quite low t	rust	63	5.2%			
5	Very low to	rust	30	2.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the populati	on of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]			[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Posten AB					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	76	6.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	399		32.7%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	477		39.1%		
4	Quite low	trust	199	16.3%			
5	Very low t	rust	69	5.7%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statistics	of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question If you were to use the same scale to as corporations? - HSB's housing co-ope				nena in Sweden, how would you	place the following
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	e
1	Very high	trust	34	2.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	270	22.1%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	737		60.4%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		12.4%	
5	Very low t	Very low trust		2.3%	

# Q1D_16: F.1DP Cor	# Q1D_16: F.1DP Confidence in: HSB's housing co-operatives				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - COOP Cooperation					
Value	Label		Cases	P	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	53	4.3%			
2	Quite high	trust	371		30.4%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	617			50.6%	
4	Quite low	rust	145	11.9%			
5	Very low t	rust	34	2.8%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statis	tics of the population of inter	est.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1			[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - ICA					
Value	Label		Cases	F	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	62	5.1%			
2	Quite high	trust	520		42.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	522		42.8%		
4	Quite low t	rust	93	7.6%			
5	Very low to	rust	23	1.9%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary state	istics of the population of inter	est.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Salvation army					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	149	12.2%			
2	Quite high trust		396		32.5%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	483		39.6%		
4	Quite low	rust	132	10.8%			
5	Very low t	rust	60	4.9%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1D_20	F.1DT Cor	nfidence in: The red cross					
Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - The Red Cross					
Value	Label		Cases	Percent	tage		
1	Very high t	trust	117	9.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	399		32.7%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	416		34.1%		
4	Quite low t	rust	195	16.0%			
5	Very low to	rust	93	7.6%			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics of	f the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	w/ w]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following corporations? - Amnesty International					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	190	15.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	452		37.0%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	420		34.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	114	9.3%			
5	Very low t	rust	44	3.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statisti	cs of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1E_1: F	.1EA Conf	idence in: The Swedish Public Employ	ment Ser	vice			
Literal question	on	If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Public Employment Service					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high t	rust	13	1.1%			
2	Quite high	trust	124	10.2%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	403		33.0%		
4	Quite low t	rust	411		33.7%		
5	Very low to	rust	269		22.0%		
Warning: these figu	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:						
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1E_2: F.1EB Confidence in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency							
Literal question If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency					would you place the following		
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.8%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			16.1%		

# Q1E_2: F.1EB Confidence in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			34.6%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust			31.0%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		16.6%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: di		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [I	Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The tax authorities					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	117	9.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	536		43.9%		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			36.6%		
4	Quite low	trust	83	6.8%			
5	Very low to	rust	37	3.0%			
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted of	as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: num		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following authorities? - The Migration Board					
Value	Label		Cases	Percen	tage		
1	Very high trust		9	0.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	100	8.2%			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	435		35.7%		
4	Quite low	trust	387		31.7%		
5	Very low t	rust	289		23.7%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	oreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	<u> </u>				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q1C2_1: F.1FA Confidence in: aftonbladet.se					
-		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - aftonbladet.se			
Value	Label		Cases	s Percentage	
1	Very high trust		17	1.4%	
2	Quite high	trust	160	13.1%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	430		35.2%
4	Quite low trust		256		21.0%
5	Very low t	rust	210	17.29	%
9	No opinion		147	12.0%	

# Q1C2_1: F.1FA Confidence in: aftonbladet.se				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Google					
Value	Label		Cases	Percen	tage		
1	Very high	trust	85	7.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	455		37.3%		
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	477		39.1%		
4	Quite low	trust	99	8.1%			
5	Very low	trust	43	3.5%			
9	No opinio	n	61	5.0%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statisti	ics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-9] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [I		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Facebook						
Value Label		Cases Percent			ntage			
1	Very high	rust	23	1.9%				
2	Quite high trust		135	11.1%				
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	415		34.0%			
4	Quite low	rust	260		21.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	209	17.1	1%			
9	No opinior		178	14.6%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	erpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.				
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [1	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1220 /-1 [Invalid: 0 /-]				

		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Wikipedia					
Value Label			Cases	Cases Percentage			
1	Very high	crust	63	5.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	333		27.3%		
3	Neither high nor low trust		467		38.3%		
4	Quite low t	rust	146	12.0%			
5	Very low to	rust	66	5.4%			
9	No opinion		145	11.9%			

#Q1C2_4: F.1FD Confidence in: Wikipedia Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal question		If you were to use the same scale to assess some other phenomena in Sweden, how would you place the following online sites? - Twitter					
Value	Label		Cases		centage		
1	Very high trust		12	1.0%			
2	Quite high trust		66	5.4%			
3	Neither high nor low trust		346		28.4%		
4	Quite low	trust	185	15.2%			
5	Very low t	rust	161	13.2%			
9	No opinion	1	450		36.9%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	ype: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	[W/W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q2: F.2	# Q2: F.2A Appreciated person active in the Swedish media						
Literal question		Is there any person active in the Swedish press, radio, television or digital media that you particularly appreciate?					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Yes, please	specify a name	419	34.3%			
2	No		801	65.7%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.			
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# Q2_1_OTH	HER: F.21	B Appreciated pers	on active in the Swed	lish med	lia: Who	1	
Literal question	1	Who?					
Value	Label			Cases		Percentage	
60 minutes, Andersson Cooper				1	0.2%		
Agenda- tjejerna				1	0.2%		
Amelia Adamo				1	0.2%		
Anders Eldeman				1	0.2%		
Andre Pops				5		1.2%	
Andre pops				2	0.5%)	
Andree Pops				1	0.2%		
Andrè Pops, Magdalena Forsberg				1	0.2%		
André Pops				2	0.5%)	
Anja Kontor				1	0.2%		
Ann-Marie Rauer				1	0.2%		

Value	IER: F.2B Appreciated person ac	Cases	Percentage
	Labei		
Anna Hedborg SVT		1	0.2%
Anna Hedemo		2	0.5%
Anna Hedenmarker		1	0.2%
Anna Hedenmo		4	1.0%
Anna Hedenmo, Janne Josefsson, Karin Mattisson		1	0.2%
Anna Hedlund		1	0.2%
Anna barsk		1	0.2%
Anna-Karin Bratt		1	0.2%
Anne Lundberg		1	0.2%
Anne Lundberg SVT		1	0.2%
Annika Lantz		1	0.2%
Bengt Magnusson		1	0.2%
Bengt Frithiofsson		1	0.2%
Bengt Magnusson		4	1.0%
Bo Holmström		1	0.2%
Bo Knutsson		1	0.2%
Bosse Bildoktorn		1	0.2%
Bosse Jardler		1	0.2%
Britt-Marie Mattsson		1	0.2%
Carl Bildt		2	0.5%
Caroline af Ugglas		1	0.2%
Carsten Turfjäll		1	0.2%
Cecila Udén		1	0.2%
Cecilia Benkö		1	0.2%
Cecilia Hagen		1	0.2%
Cecilia Uddén		1	0.2%
Cecilia Udén		1	0.2%
Christer och Kodjo från P3. De två som pratar i sv		1	0.2%
Christian Luuk		1	0.2%
Claes Elfsberg		8	1.9%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Claes elfsberg		1	0.2%
Clas Elfb		1	0.2%
N		1	0.2%
N nya chef		1	0.2%
amon Rasti		1	0.2%
aniel Poohl		1	0.2%
aniel Sjölin		2	0.5%
e som läser heter		1	0.2%
ilsa emirbag-sten		1	0.2%
vsberg		1	0.2%
n professor, mmer inte åg namnet		1	0.2%
ik Fiktelius		1	0.2%
ik Haag		1	0.2%
k Niva		2	0.5%
rik Niva, er Bjurman, redrik Tikingsson, lip		1	0.2%
rnst irchstiger		1	0.2%
lke Waxin		2	0.5%
drik dström		2	0.5%
drik tanen		1	0.2%
edrik kingsson		1	0.2%
drik ndström		1	0.2%
siopodden		1	0.2%
Persson		1	0.2%
Persson		3	0.7%
nkvist c- or		1	0.2%
eidner		1	0.2%
Forsell		1	0.2%
Persson		1	0.2%
persson		1	0.2%
an Greider		2	0.5%
öran osenberg		1	0.2%
ran Skytte		1	0.2%
ran hegg		1	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Hakelius, Niva, K. Karlsson, mfl		1	0.2%
Hanna Hellquist		1	0.2%
Hanne Kjöller		1	0.2%
Hanne Kjöller i DN		1	0.2%
Hanne Köller		1	0.2%
Hanne kjöller		1	0.2%
Hasse Aro		1	0.2%
Heidi Avellan		1	0.2%
Helge Skog		1	0.2%
Herman Lindqvist		1	0.2%
Horace Engdahl		1	0.2%
Hybinette		1	0.2%
Inge Henriksson, Hallandsposten		1	0.2%
JP Linder, SvD		1	0.2%
Ja men har inte namnet		1	0.2%
Jack Werner		1	0.2%
Jan Giliuo		1	0.2%
Jan Gradvall		1	0.2%
Jan Guillo		2	0.5%
Jan Guillou		2	0.5%
Jan Josefsson		1	0.2%
Jan Mosander		2	0.5%
Jan ghuillio		1	0.2%
Janne Josefsso		1	0.2%
Janne Josefsson		23	5.5%
Janne Josefsson Uppdrag granskning		1	0.2%
Janne Josephsson		1	0.2%
Janne Olofsson		1	0.2%
anne joesfsson		1	0.2%
anne josefsson		1	0.2%
Janne josefsson SVT Ug		1	0.2%
JanneJosefsson		1	0.2%
Jenny Strömstedt		2	0.5%
Jessica Gedin		1	0.2%

# Q2_1_OTH	Q2_1_OTHER: F.2B Appreciated person active in the Swedish media: Who				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
Johan Ehrenberg		1	0.2%		
Johan Ehrenberg ETC		1	0.2%		
Johan Glans		1	0.2%		
Johanna Koljonen		1	0.2%		
Jonas Karlsson SVT		1	0.2%		
Jonathan Nordin tv4		1	0.2%		
Josefsson		1	0.2%		
Josefsson Uppdrag granskning		1	0.2%		
Josefsson uppdrag granskning		1	0.2%		
Julia i UG		1	0.2%		
KARIN BOJS		1	0.2%		
Kajsa Boglind		1	0.2%		
Kanal 5		1	0.2%		
Karin Bojs		2	0.5%		
Karin Hübinette		1	0.2%		
Karin Mattisson "uppdrag granskning"		1	0.2%		
Karin Mattisson - Uppdrag Granskning		1	0.2%		
Karl IV Gustav Bernattote		1	0.2%		
Karpstryparn		1	0.2%		
Katarina Mazetti		1	0.2%		
Katarina Sand		1	0.2%		
Katarina Sandström		2	0.5%		
Kerstin Berggren på SR		1	0.2%		
Kjell Albin Abrahamsson		1	0.2%		
Knut Stålberg		1	0.2%		
Knutsson svt politisk reporter		1	0.2%		
Kodjo akolor		1	0.2%		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Kodjo i Morgonpasset P3		1	0.2%
Kristian Luuk		2	0.5%
Kristin Kaspersen		1	0.2%
Kristina Edblom		1	0.2%
Kristina Edlund Aftonbladet		1	0.2%
Kristoffer Triumf		1	0.2%
LINDMARKEI	₹	1	0.2%
Lars Adaktusson		1	0.2%
Lars Knutsson		1	0.2%
Lars Lindström, Expressen		1	0.2%
Lars Wilderäng, Cornucopia.		1	0.2%
Lasse Granqvist		1	0.2%
Lasse Kroné		1	0.2%
Leif GW Persson		1	0.2%
Leif G W Person		1	0.2%
Leif G W Persson		3	0.7%
Leif G. V Persson		1	0.2%
Leif G.W Persson		1	0.2%
Leif G.W. Persson		1	0.2%
Leif G.W.Persson		1	0.2%
Leif GW		1	0.2%
Leif GW Persson		14	3.3%
Leif GW persson		1	0.2%
Leif GÁ		1	0.2%
Lena Melin?		1	0.2%
Lennart EKDAL		1	0.2%
Lisa Röstlund		1	0.2%
Lisbeth Åkerman		2	0.5%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Lisbeth	Label	1	
Åkerman, Nyhetsankare SVT		1	0.2%
Liv Strömquist		2	0.5%
Lotta Brohme		1	0.2%
Lotta Brohmé		1	0.2%
Lotta Brome		3	0.7%
Lotta Bromer		1	0.2%
Lotta Bromé		8	1.9%
Lotta Bromé, Ulf Elving, Monica Saarinen, Cecilia		1	0.2%
Lotta Bromé,Jarl Alfredius		1	0.2%
Lotta brome		1	0.2%
Lotts Bromé		1	0.2%
Man på rapport		1	0.2%
Marcus Birro		3	0.7%
Maria Montazami		1	0.2%
Marianne rundkvist		1	0.2%
Mark Levengod		1	0.2%
Mark Levengood		1	0.2%
Mats Dagerlind		1	0.2%
Mats Knutsson		3	0.7%
Mats Knutsson (SVT)		1	0.2%
Mats Olsson		1	0.2%
Micke S, SVT		1	0.2%
Morgan Larsson		2	0.5%
Morgonpasset i p3		1	0.2%
Många, t ex Nina Björk		1	0.2%
Nike Nylander		1	0.2%
Niklas Ekdal		1	0.2%
Niklas Svensson, Fredrik Strömberg		1	0.2%
Nina Björk		2	0.5%
Nyhetsuppläsare SVT		1	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ola Wong		1	0.2%
Olle Häger		1	0.2%
PJ Anders Linder		1	0.2%
Pekka Heino		1	0.2%
Pelle Fosshaug		1	0.2%
Pelle Westman		1	0.2%
Per Fontander		1	0.2%
Per Gudmundson		1	0.2%
Per Ström		1	0.2%
Peter Setman		1	0.2%
Peter Settman		1	0.2%
Peter Wolodarski		7	1.7%
Peter Wolodarsky		1	0.2%
Peter wolodarski		1	0.2%
Pops, Zachrisson		1	0.2%
Rix morgon zoo		1	0.2%
Rober aschberg		1	0.2%
Robert Achberg		1	0.2%
Robert Aschberg		3	0.7%
SVT play		1	0.2%
Samir Abu Eid		2	0.5%
Samir Ebu-Aid		1	0.2%
Samir Eide		1	0.2%
Samir abdu(ngt)		1	0.2%
Samir abu Aid		1	0.2%
Samir på TV4 Syrienreporter just nu		1	0.2%
Sanna Rough		1	0.2%
Sara Damber		1	0.2%
Skavlan		1	0.2%
Soran Ismal		1	0.2%
Staffan Ander		1	0.2%
Stefan Jarl		1	0.2%
Stefan Stefan Wermelin		1	0.2%
Steffo Törnqvist		1	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Stig Fredriksson		1	0.2%
Sven Börjesson		1	0.2%
Sverker		1	0.2%
Sverker Olofson		1	0.2%
Sverker Olofsson		2	0.5%
Sverker olofsson		1	0.2%
Svt		1	0.2%
Ted Lundgren		1	0.2%
Thomas Nordegren		1	0.2%
Tilde De Paula		1	0.2%
Tina Nordström		1	0.2%
Tomas Ramberg		1	0.2%
Ulf Blomgren		1	0.2%
Ulf Larsson, Sveriges Radio Norrbotten		1	0.2%
Ulf Nilsson, Expressen		1	0.2%
Ulf Stenberg		1	0.2%
Vikegård		1	0.2%
alex schulman		1	0.2%
anders borg		1	0.2%
andre pop		1	0.2%
anja kontor		1	0.2%
annika lantz, anders jansson, martina tun, hanna h		1	0.2%
carina berg		1	0.2%
cecilia uddén		2	0.5%
dagens eko		1	0.2%
elisabet sandlund		1	0.2%
flera st.		1	0.2%
fredrik lindström		1	0.2%
g.w. persson		1	0.2%
gina dirawi		1	0.2%
gw persson		2	0.5%
göran rosenberg		1	0.2%
göran zachrisson		1	0.2%

Value	Label	Cases Perc	entage
nanne kjöller		1 0.2%	
nasse aro		1 0.2%	
iedemo		1 0.2%	
a dom gamla pilsner ïlmerna.		1 0.2%	
akob wallenberg		1 0.2%	
an Josefsson		1 0.2%	
an gradvall, redrik strage, pengt olsson		1 0.2%	
an guillou, leif gw persson, alex schulman		1 0.2%	
an josefsson		1 0.2%	
anne Josefssor		1 0.2%	
anne i uppdrag granskning	3	1 0.2%	
anne josefsson		7 1.7%	
onas fröberg		1 0.2%	
akan (karin ermansson)		1 0.2%	
catarina sandström		1 0.2%	
kommer ej lhåg DDs chefredaktör		1 0.2%	
kronprinsessan victoria		1 0.2%	
kvinnlig utrikeskorr i Arabländerna		1 0.2%	
eif G.W persson		1 0.2%	
eif g.w persson		1 0.2%	
eif gw persson		3 0.7%	
eif wg persson		1 0.2%	
ena melin		1 0.2%	
otta brome		4 1.0%	
nalin olsson		1 0.2%	
nartin Jönsson		1 0.2%	
nattias klum		1 0.2%	
ike nylander		1 0.2%	
iklas piensoho		1 0.2%	
er morberg		1 0.2%	
peter wolodarsky, Disa demirbag-		1 0.2%	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
steen, Per Nyberg,				
philip o fredrik		1	0.2%	
pops		1	0.2%	
rainfelt		1	0.2%	
robert aschberg		2	0.5%	
robert collins		1	0.2%	
rolf porseryd		1	0.2%	
sanna rayman		1	0.2%	
soran ismail		1	0.2%	
sverker olofsson		1	0.2%	
svt		1	0.2%	
tilde		1	0.2%	
ulf elving		1	0.2%	
ulf elvsberg		1	0.2%	
uppdrag granskning		1	0.2%	
vd för sr		1	0.2%	
arning: these figure	s indicate the number of cases found	the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the population of interest.	
nformation	[Type: discrete]	Format: character] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/	IValid: //10 /-1	[Valid: 419 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Literal question		How well do you know the social media tool Twitter?				
Value	Label	Label		Percenta	ge	
1	Very well	Very well		5.2%		
2	Rather wel	Rather well		14.8%		
3	Not very w	ot very welll			45.2%	
4	Not at all	Not at all			32.6%	
9	Unsure, do	Unsure, do not know		2.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		: 1- 9] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal que	How interested are you in what	How interested are you in what is happening on Twitter?			
Value	Label	Cases		ye.	
1	Very interested	18	1.5%		
2	Rather interested	86	7.0%		
3	Not very interested	326	26.7%		
4	Not at all interested	752		61.6%	
9	Unsure, do not know	38	3.1%		

#TW2: F.3B Interested in what is happening on Twitter		
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	

Literal question		Do you think that what is happening on Twitter can influence what is discussed in the media and public debate?				
Value Label			Cases	ses Percentage		
1	Yes, to a large extent		159	13.0%		
2	Yes, to son	Yes, to some extent			60.4%	
3	No, not at a	No, not at all		6.6%		
9	Unsure, do	not know	244	20.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rar		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 9] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid:		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# TW4: F	.3D Opinion	on the influence of Twitter	on democracy		
Literal question How do you think Twitter in		How do you think Twitter influence	es democracy? Do you think it wi	ll be strengthened, weakened, or no	ot influenced?
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Strengthens		163	13.4%	
2	No influen	ce	287	23.5%	
3	Weakens		182	14.9%	
4	Unsure, do	not know	588		48.2%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cann	not be interpreted as summary statistics of the	he population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# Q5A: F	.4A Political	party sympathy		
Literal que	stion	Which party do you like best today?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Social Der	nocrats	253	20.7%
2	Moderate 1	Party	360	29.5%
3	Center Par	ty	25	2.0%
4	Liberal Pa	rty	58	4.8%
5	Christian I	Christian Democrats		3.9%
6	Left Party		78	6.4%
7	Green Part	у	142	11.6%
8	Sweden D	emocrats	99	8.1%
9	Other party	,	10	0.8%
10	None of th	e above	134	11.0%
11	Pirate Part	y	14	1.1%
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	oreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 11] [Missing: *]]
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Literal ques	stion	Which political party do you lean towards?			
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	
1	Social Der	nocrats	27	20.1%	
2	Moderate	Moderate Party		7.5%	
3	Center Par	Center Party		2.2%	
4	Liberal Pa	rty	6	4.5%	
6	Left Party		2	1.5%	
7	Green Party		10	7.5%	
8	Sweden D	Sweden Democrats		4.5%	
9	Other part	y	3	2.2%	
10	None of th	e above	64		47.8%
11	Pirate Part	y	3	2.2%	
Sysmiss			1086		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	nge: 1- 11] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 134 /-] [Invalid: 1086 /-]			

Literal que	Literal question If you had to describe your current fam		ly, which of the follow	ring categories do you thin	ak best applies?		
Value Label			Cases Percentage		centage		
1	Working-c	Working-class family			31.8%		
2	Agricultura	Agricultural family		1.8%			
3	Civil serva	Civil servant's family			47.3%		
4	Higher civ	Higher civil servant's family		12.5%			
5	Industrialis	st family	80	6.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rar		nge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# PNR: F.6	ZIP code					
		Respondentens svarsalternativ anges ej på gru	pondentens svarsalternativ anges ej på grund av risk för bakvägsidentifikation.			
Pre-question	Pre-question Avslutningsvis ett par frågor för den st		a sammanställninge	1.		
Literal questi	Literal question What is your ZIP code?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	No informa	No information		100.0%		
Warning: these fig	rures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		- 0] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# UTB: F.7 Education	level
Literal question	What is your highest level of completed education?

# UTB: F.	7 Education	level		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Primary/El	lementary school	68	5.6%
2	Primary/El	lementary school - training	50	4.1%
3	Junior seco	ondary school/Girls' school	20	1.6%
4	Junior secondary	ondary school/Girls' school - aiming at upper school	15	1.2%
5	2 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		153	12.5%
6	3-4 year up degree	3-4 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		26.1%
7	Post-secon	dary education/university/college	594	48.7%
8	No educati	on	1	0.1%
9	No answer		0	
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.
Information	ı	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-8] [Missing: *]]
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# PERSI	NKOMST: F	.8 Income				
Literal que	stion	What is your personal income per mo	onth?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	105	8.6%		
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	92	7.5%		
3	15001-20000 SEK per month 20001-25000 SEK per month		86	7.0%		
4	*		159		13.0%	
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	238		19.5%	
6	30001-35000 SEK per month		153		12.5%	
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	105	8.6%		
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	65	5.3%		
9	45001-500	00 SEK per month	33	2.7%		
10	more than	50000 SEK per month	64	5.2%		
11			120	9.8	8%	
99	Do not kno	ow/do not want to state	0			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	t be interpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [F	Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# HHINKOMST: F.9 Household's income					
Literal ques	stion	What is your household's income pe	r month?		
Value	alue Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	up to 10000 SEK per month		40	3.3%	
2	10001-15000 SEK per month		42	3.4%	
3	15001-20000 SEK per month		37	3.0%	
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	77	6.3%	
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	113		9.3%
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	78	6.4%	

# HHINK	OMST: F.	Household's income			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
7	35001-40	000 SEK per month	78	6.4%	
8	40001-45	000 SEK per month	95	7.8%	
9	45001-50	000 SEK per month	83	6.8%	
10	50001-55	000 SEK per month	95	7.8%	
11	55001-60	000 SEK per month	88	7.2%	
12	60001-65000 SEK per month		78	6.4%	
13	65001-70	000 SEK per month	53	4.3%	
14	more than	n 70000 SEK per month	129	10.	.6%
15			134	1	1.0%
99	Do not ki	now/do not want to state	0		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	be interpreted as summary statistics of the p	population of interest.	
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	ange: 1- 15] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1220 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			