

Institutional Trust 2007

Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	April 1, 2014
Identification	SND0957-001

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	
Producers & Sponsors	
Sampling	4
Data Collection.	
Accessibility	
File Description(s).	
Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju	
Variable Group(s).	
Study information.	
Background variables/constructed variables.	7
Interview questions.	
Variables Description.	
Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju	

Institutional Trust 2007

Overview	
Identification	SND0957-001

Abstract

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Gallup and involved 250 individuals who were interviewed by telephone and 750 individuals randomly selected from TNS Gallup's Online Panel who answered a web survey between October 15 and 29, 2007. The survey comprised 27 institutions/ companies/media companies and political parties. The 2007 survey also included questions about morality in different groups of people and how it has changed over time, as well as what responsibilities large corporations have and to what extent they live up to these responsibilities.

Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia	
Topics	Topics massmedia, POLITIK	
Time Period(s) 2007		
Countries	<u>Countries</u> Sverige	
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation	
Other Producer(s)	Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen MedieAkademin TNS Gallup	

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection		
Data Collection Dates	start 2007-10-15 end 2007-10-29 end 2007-10-29	

Data Collection Mode	Intervju: Telefon Självadministrerat frågeformulär: Webb-baserat
Data Collector(s)	TNS Gallup TNS Gallup

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju	
Cases	250
Variable(s)	39

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0957	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0957-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 1.1	-
4	respnr	SERIAL ID	-

Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	vikt	Weight	-
2	gender	Sex	-
3	age	Age	-
4	region	Region	-
5	utbildning	Education	-

#	Name	Label	Question
1	riksdagen	F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament
2	eukommissionen	F.1AB Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission
3	politiska_partierna	F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The political parties
4	universitet_hgskolor	F.1AD Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities
5	storfretagen	F.1AE Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business
6	radiotv	F.1AF Confidence in: Radio and television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television
7	dagspressen	F.1AG Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press
8	fackliga_organisationerna	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions
9	socialdemokraterna	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party
10	moderaterna	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party
11	folkpartiet	F.1BC Confidence in: Liberal Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the followin institutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party

#	Name	Label	Question
12	sverigedemokraterna	F.1BD Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats
13	sveriges_radio	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio Sweden
14	svt	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television
15	tv4	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4
16	tv3	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3
17	dagens_nyheter	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter
18	aftonbladet	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet
19	ikea	F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA
20	volvo	F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo
21	ericsson	F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson
22	cocacola	F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Coca-Cola
23	skandia	F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia
24	astrazeneca	F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Astra Zeneca
25	sas	F.1DG Confidence in: SAS	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - SAS
26	hm	F.1DH Confidence in: H&M	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M
27	stockholmsbrsen	F.1DI Confidence in: Stockholm stock exchange	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Stockholm stock exchange
28	familj	F.2 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?
29	individuell_inkomst	F.3 Income	What is your personal income per month?
30	hushllets_inkomst	F.4 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 39 variable(s)

#SND_studie: SND-studie 0957 SND-studie 0957: Förtroendebarometer 2007 Value Label Cases Percentage 957 SND 0957 SND 0957 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 957- 957] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

# SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0957-001						
	SND-dataset 0957-001: Förtroendebarometer 2007					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	SND 0957-	-001	250	100.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [N	dissing: *]			
Statistics [N	(W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# SND_version: SND version 1.1					
		ND version 1.0, mars 2014			
Notes		Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Version 1.1		250	100.0%	
Warning: these fig	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 1] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# respnr:	# respnr: SERIAL ID			
		Respondent-ID		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
6242			1	0.4%
6248			1	0.4%
6249			1	0.4%
6251			1	0.4%
6252			1	0.4%
6254			1	0.4%
6255			1	0.4%
6258			1	0.4%
6259			1	0.4%
6260			1	0.4%
6261			1	0.4%
6262			1	0.4%
6263			1	0.4%
6264			1	0.4%

 ${\bf File: F\"{o}rtroendebarometer~2007-telefon intervju}$

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
265		1	0.4%
266		1	0.4%
267		1	0.4%
268		1	0.4%
269		1	0.4%
270		1	0.4%
271		1	0.4%
272		1	0.4%
273		1	0.4%
274		1	0.4%
276		1	0.4%
277		1	0.4%
278		1	0.4%
279		1	0.4%
280		1	0.4%
281		1	0.4%
282		1	0.4%
283		1	0.4%
284		1	0.4%
285		1	0.4%
286		1	0.4%
287		1	0.4%
288		1	0.4%
289		1	0.4%
.90		1	0.4%
291		1	0.4%
292		1	0.4%
293		1	0.4%
.94		1	0.4%
295		1	0.4%
297		1	0.4%
298		1	0.4%
299		1	0.4%
300		1	0.4%
801		1	0.4%
302		1	0.4%
803		1	0.4%
304		1	0.4%
305		1	0.4%
306		1	0.4%
307		1	0.4%
808		1	0.4%
309		1	0.4%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6310		1	0.4%
5311		1	0.4%
312		1	0.4%
313		1	0.4%
314		1	0.4%
315		1	0.4%
316		1	0.4%
317		1	0.4%
318		1	0.4%
5320		1	0.4%
321		1	0.4%
322		1	0.4%
323		1	0.4%
324		1	0.4%
326		1	0.4%
327		1	0.4%
328		1	0.4%
329		1	0.4%
330		1	0.4%
331		1	0.4%
332		1	0.4%
334		1	0.4%
335		1	0.4%
336		1	0.4%
337		1	0.4%
338		1	0.4%
340		1	0.4%
341		1	0.4%
342		1	0.4%
343		1	0.4%
344			0.4%
		1	
345		1	0.4%
346		1	
347		1	0.4%
348		1	0.4%
349		1	0.4%
350		1	0.4%
351		1	0.4%
352		1	0.4%
353		1	0.4%
354		1	0.4%
355		1	0.4%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6357		1	0.4%
5358		1	0.4%
359		1	0.4%
360		1	0.4%
361		1	0.4%
362		1	0.4%
363		1	0.4%
364		1	0.4%
365		1	0.4%
367		1	0.4%
368		1	0.4%
369		1	0.4%
371		1	0.4%
372		1	0.4%
373		1	0.4%
374		1	0.4%
375		1	0.4%
376		1	0.4%
377		1	0.4%
378		1	0.4%
379		1	0.4%
381		1	0.4%
382		1	0.4%
383		1	0.4%
384		1	0.4%
385		1	0.4%
386		1	0.4%
387		1	0.4%
388		1	0.4%
389		1	0.4%
390		1	0.4%
391		1	0.4%
392		1	0.4%
			0.4%
393 394		1	
		1	0.4%
395		1	0.4%
396		1	0.4%
397		1	0.4%
398		1	0.4%
399		1	0.4%
400		1	0.4%
401 402		1	0.4%

 ${\bf File: F\"{o}rtroendebarometer~2007-telefon intervju}$

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5403		1	0.4%
5404		1	0.4%
5406		1	0.4%
5407		1	0.4%
5408		1	0.4%
409		1	0.4%
6410		1	0.4%
411		1	0.4%
5412		1	0.4%
5413		1	0.4%
5414		1	0.4%
5415		1	0.4%
5416		1	0.4%
417		1	0.4%
418		1	0.4%
419		1	0.4%
420		1	0.4%
421		1	0.4%
422		1	0.4%
423		1	0.4%
424		1	0.4%
425		1	0.4%
426		1	0.4%
427		1	0.4%
428		1	0.4%
429		1	0.4%
5430		1	0.4%
5431		1	0.4%
432		1	0.4%
433		1	0.4%
434		1	0.4%
435		1	0.4%
436		1	0.4%
437		1	0.4%
438		1	0.4%
439		1	0.4%
440		1	0.4%
441		1	0.4%
442		1	0.4%
444		1	0.4%
445		1	0.4%
446		1	0.4%
5447		1	0.4%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5448		1	0.4%
5449		1	0.4%
450		1	0.4%
451		1	0.4%
452		1	0.4%
453		1	0.4%
454		1	0.4%
455		1	0.4%
456		1	0.4%
457		1	0.4%
458		1	0.4%
459		1	0.4%
460		1	0.4%
461		1	0.4%
462		1	0.4%
463		1	0.4%
464		1	0.4%
465		1	0.4%
466		1	0.4%
468		1	0.4%
469		1	0.4%
470		1	0.4%
471		1	0.4%
473		1	0.4%
474		1	0.4%
475		1	0.4%
476		1	0.4%
477		1	0.4%
478		1	0.4%
479		1	0.4%
480		1	0.4%
481		1	0.4%
482		1	0.4%
483		1	0.4%
485		1	0.4%
486		1	0.4%
487		1	0.4%
488		1	0.4%
489		1	0.4%
489 490		1	0.4%
491		1	0.4%
492 493		1	0.4%

‡ respnr: {	SERIAL ID			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
6494			1	0.4%
6495			1	0.4%
6496			1	0.4%
6497			1	0.4%
6498			1	0.4%
6499			1	0.4%
6500			1	0.4%
6501			1	0.4%
6502			1	0.4%
6503			1	0.4%
6504			1	0.4%
6505			1	0.4%
6506			1	0.4%
6507			1	0.4%
6508			1	0.4%
6509			1	0.4%
6510			1	0.4%
6511			1	0.4%
6512			1	0.4%
6514			1	0.4%
6515			1	0.4%
Varning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They can	not be interpreted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 6242- 6515] [Missing: *]		

# vikt: Wo	vikt: Weight				
	Vikt	abel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
0.5253		15	6.0%		
0.5636		16	6.4%		
0.5925		12	4.8%		
0.6385		11	4.4%		
0.662		9	3.6%		
0.6892		13	5.2%		
0.696		12	4.8%		
0.731		8	3.2%		
0.7943		9	3.6%		
0.8368		9	3.6%		
0.8393		12	4.8%		
0.8713		9	3.6%		
0.8748		8	3.2%		
0.8825		7	2.8%		

‡ vikt: We	ight				
Value	Label		Cases	Per	rcentage
0.9884			7	2.89	%
1.0327			7	2.89	%
1.0965			9		3.6%
1.1059			7	2.89	%
1.1126			8	3	3.2%
1.1375			10		4.0%
1.2679			10		4.0%
1.4076			7	2.89	%
1.4329			10		4.0%
1.5507			3	1.2%	
1.689			4	1.6%	
1.7358			4	1.6%	
2.1676			1	0.4%	
2.4084			3	1.2%	
2.7332			5	2.0%	
2.7537			5	2.0%	
Varning: these fi	igures indicate th	e number of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest	
nformation		[Type: discrete] [Format: nume	ric] [Range: 0.525- 2.754] [Miss	ing: *]	
Statistics [N	W/ W1	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [N	Iean: 1 /-1 [StdDev: 0.499 /-1		

# gender: Sex							
		Kön					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Man		129	51.6%			
2	Woman		121	48.4%			
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2] [N	/lissing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

# age: Age	e		
	Ålder		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		5	2.0%
17		8	3.2%
18		7	2.8%
19		4	1.6%
20		1	0.4%
21		6	2.4%
22		4	1.6%
23		2	0.8%
24		2	0.8%

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju # age: Age Label Value Cases Percentage 25 5 2.0% 26 3 1.2% 27 2.0% 5 28 5 2.0% 29 4 1.6% 30 0.4% 1.6% 31 32 2.8% 33 1.6% 34 1.6% 35 1.6% 4 36 2.0% 37 1.6% 4 38 1.6% 2.8% 7 39 40 1.2% 3 41 9 3.6% 42 1.6% 43 2.4% 1.6% 44 45 8 3.2% 2.0% 46 47 2.8% 48 1.6% 49 0.4% 50 2.8% 51 2.8% 52 2.8% 53 2.4% 54 2.8% 55 1.6% 56 2.8% 57 2.4% 6 58 5 2.0% 1.6% 59 60 5 2.0% 61 5 2.0% 62 5 2.0% 63 1.6% 4 64 4 1.6% 65 4.4% 11 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 16-65] [Missing: *]

Information

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju # age: Age Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 42.06 /-] [StdDev: 14.579 /-]

# region:	Kegion				
		Region			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Stockholm	urban area	44		17.6%
2	Eastern mi	dst of Sweden	55		22.0%
3	Småland (i	slands included)	19	7.6%	
4	Southern S	weden	34	13.6%	
5	Western S	weden	44		17.6%
6	Northern n	nidst of Sweden	20	8.0%	
7	Middle and	l northern Sweden	34	13.6%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statistics of th	e population of interest.	
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	nnge: 1- 7] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# utbildnin	g: Educatio	on					
		Utbildningsnivå					
Value	Label		Cases	Per	centage		
1	Primary/El	ementary school	0				
2	Primary/El	ementary school - training	51		20.4%		
3	Junior seco	ondary school/Girls' school	0				
4	Junior seco	ondary school/Girls' school - training	8	3.2%			
5	2 year uppe	er secondary school education/High school degree	31	12.4%			
6	3-4 year up degree	oper secondary school education/High school	70			28.0%	
7	Post-secon	dary education	90				36.0%
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2-7] [1	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliam						Parliamer	
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	e	
1	Very high	trust	8	3.2%			
2	Quite high	trust	92				36.8%
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	73			29.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	43		17.2%		
5	Very low t	rust	26	1	10.4%		
6	No opinio	1	8	3.2%			

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju # riksdagen: F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way commission	the following in	stitutions and busines	ses do their job? - EU	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	6	2.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	46		18.4%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	81			32.4%
4	Quite low	rust	59		23.6%	
5	Very low t	rust	34	13	3.6%	
6	No opinior		24	9.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statist	tics of the population of inte	rest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# politiska	# politiska_partierna: F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties							
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - parties								
Value	alue Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high t	rust	5	2.0%				
2	Quite high	trust	47	18.8%				
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	92	36.8%				
4	Quite low t	rust	63	25.2%				
5	Very low to	rust	35	14.0%				
6	No opinion		8	3.2%				
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.				
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 6] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NV	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

# universi	itet_hgskolor	: F.1AD Confidence in: Universitie	s		
Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way	y the following in	nstitutions and businesses do their jo	b? - Universities
Value	Value Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	45	18.0%	
2	Quite high	trust	130		52.0%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	36	14.4%	
4	Quite low t	rust	12	4.8%	
5	Very low to	rust	2	0.8%	
6	No opinion		25	10.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 6] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

$File: F\"{o}rtroendebarometer~2007-telefon intervju$

universitet_hgskolor: F.1AD Confidence in: Universities

# storfret	agen: F.1AE	Confidence in: Big business					
Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way th	e following	institutions and busi	nesses do their j	ob? - Big l	ousiness
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	4	1.6%			
2	Quite high	trust	84			33.6%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	104				41.6%
4	Quite low	rust	40		16.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	12	4.8%			
6	No opinior	ı	6	2.4%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population of	interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]	[Missing: *]]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

Literal question How much confidence do you have in t television			way the following in	nstitutions and busin	esses do their job? -	Radio and
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	19	7.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	127			50.8%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	72		28.8%	
4	Quite low t	rust	22	8.8%		
5	Very low to	rust	7	2.8%		
6	No opinion	ı	3	1.2%		
Varning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of i	nterest.	
nformation	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# dagspre	essen: F.1AG	Confidence in: The daily press				
Literal que	Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their jo					
Value Label			Cases	Pero	centage	
1	Very high	trust	13	5.2%		
2	Quite high	trust	75		30.0%	
3	Neither hi	gh nor low trust	80		32.0%	
4	Quite low	trust	61		24.4%	
5	Very low	rust	17	6.8%		
6	No opinio	1	4	1.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-6] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju						
# fackliga_organisationerna: F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The traction unions					le	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	10	4.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	75	30	0.0%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	73	29.	.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	52	20.8%		
5	Very low t	rust	30	12.0%		
6	No opinior	1	10	4.0%		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistics	s of the population of interest.		
Information	ı	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party				
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage	
1	Very high	trust	10	4.0%		
2	Quite high	trust	90		36.0%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	83		33.2%	
4	Quite low t	trust	44	17.0	5%	
5	Very low to	rust	18	7.2%		
6	No opinion	ı	5	2.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		nge: 1- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party					
Value Label		(Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	11	4.4%			
2	Quite high	trust	57		22.8%		
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	75		30.0%		
4	Quite low	trust	55		22.0%		
5	Very low t	rust	46		18.4%		
6	No opinior	ı	6	2.4%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statis	tics of the population of inte	rest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Fig. 2]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	l- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

File: F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju						
# folkpart	# folkpartiet: F.1BC Confidence in: Liberal Party						
Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the v	vay the following in	nstitutions and businesses do their job? - Liberal Party			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	7	2.8%			
2	Quite high	trust	43	17.2%			
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	96	38.4%			
4	Quite low t	rust	53	21.2%			
5	Very low to	rust	42	16.8%			
6	No opinion	1	9	3.6%			
Warning: these	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# sverigedemokraterna: F.1BD Confidence in: Sweden Democrats						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.2%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		4.4%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	38	15.2%		
4	Quite low	trust	35	14.0%		
5	Very low t	rust	151		60.4%	
6	No opinio	1	12	4.8%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be int	erpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]		e: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# sveriges_1	# sveriges_radio: F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden					
Literal questi	on	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio Sweden				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	44	17.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	148		59.2%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	36	14.4%		
4	Quite low t	rust	10	4.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	5	2.0%		
6	No opinion		7	2.8%		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW	V/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# svt: F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television		
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television	

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju

[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

svt: F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	Very high trust		14.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	150		60.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust		42	16.8%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		4.4%	
5	Very low to	Very low trust		2.8%	
6 No opinion		ı	3	1.2%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			

tv4: F.1CC Confidence in: TV4

Statistics [NW/ W]

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4

Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	Very high trust		6.8%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			44.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust		87		34.8%
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		10.0%	
5	Very low to	rust	5	2.0%	
6	No opinion		5	2.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Form		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [l	Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

#tv3: F.1CD Confidence in: TV3

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3

Value	Label	Cases	Percer	ntage		
1	Very high trust	8	3.2%			
2	Quite high trust	44	17.6	5%		
3	Neither high nor low trust	87		34.8%		
4	Quite low trust	59		23.6%		
5	Very low trust	15	6.0%			
6	No opinion	37	14.8%			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

#dagens_nyheter: F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter

Literal questionHow much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju

dagens_nyheter: F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	22	8.8%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				41.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust		66		26.4%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		6.0%		
5	Very low to	rust	4	1.6%		
6	No opinion		40	16.0%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]	[Missing: *]]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# aftonbladet•		C C . 1	•	A C4 L L L - 4
# attonniaget•	HILH	t ontidence	ın.	Affonnisher

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet

Value Label			Cases		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	11	4.4%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				21.6%	
3 Neither hig		th nor low trust	69				27.6%
4	Quite low	Quite low trust					27.2%
5	Very low to	rust	32		12.8%		
6	No opinion		16	6.4%			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of in	terest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW	V/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

-	# ikea: F.1DA	Confidence in: IKEA	

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA

Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	54	21.6%		
2	Quite high trust		135	54.0%		
3 Neither hig		h nor low trust	42	16.8%		
4	Quite low t	rust	9	3.6%		
5 Very low to		rust	3	1.2%		
6 No opinior			7	2.8%		
Warning: these f	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]	[Missing: *]			

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

volvo: F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	29	11.6%

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju							
# volvo: F	.1DB Confid	lence in: Volvo					
Value	Value Label Cases Percentage						
2	Quite high	trust	144		57.6%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	57	22.8%			
4	Quite low t	rust	7	2.8%			
5	Very low to	rust	5	2.0%			
6	No opinion		8	3.2%			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-6] [I			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]							

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way			the following i	institutions and businesses do	their job? - Ericsson
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage
1	Very high trust		19	7.6%	
2	Quite high	trust	107		42.8%
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	92		36.8%
4	Quite low	trust	22	8.8%	
5	Very low t	rust	4	1.6%	
6	No opinion	1	6	2.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpre	ted as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			6] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Val		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# cocacola: F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola						
Literal ques	tion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - Coca	-Cola	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	12	4.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	36	14.4%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	83		33.2%	
4	Quite low t	rust	63	25.2%		
5	Very low to	rust	45	18.0%		
6	No opinion	ı	11	4.4%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# skandia: F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way th			following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.6%		
2	Quite high	trust	39	15.6%		

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju								
# skandia: F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia								
Value Label Cases Percentage					tage			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	94		37.6%			
4	Quite low t	rust	68		27.2%			
5	Very low to	rust	28	11.2%				
6	No opinion		17	6.8%				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	reted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.				
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-							
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# astraze	neca: F.1DF	Confidence in: Astra Zeneca			
Literal que	stion	How much confidence do you have in the	way the following in	stitutions and businesses	do their job? - Astra Zeneca
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	17	6.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	79		31.6%
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	102		40.8%
4	Quite low	rust	18	7.2%	
5	Very low t	rust	7	2.8%	
6	No opinior	ı	27	10.8%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	erpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	e: 1- 6] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# sas: F.1]	DG Confider	nce in: SAS			
Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	he following ins	titutions and businesses do th	eir job? - SAS
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	rust	12	4.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	84		33.6%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	97		38.8%
4	Quite low t	rust	34	13.6%	
5	Very low to	rust	11	4.4%	
6	No opinion		12	4.8%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	d as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# hm: F.1DH Confidence in: H&M					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	16	6.4%	
2	Quite high	trust	88	35.2%	
3	Neither hig	th nor low trust	90	36.0%	

File : Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju						
# hm: F.1	DH Confide	nce in: H&M				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
4	Quite low	v trust		10.4%		
5	Very low to	low trust		4.0%		
6	No opinior	pinion		8.0%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

" Stockiio	iiiisbi seli: F	1DI Confidence in: Stockh	omi stock exchange					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Stockholm stock exchange						
Value Label			Cases	Percentage				
1	Very high	trust	7	2.8%				
2	Quite high	trust	76		30.4%			
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	88		35.2%			
4	Quite low	Quite low trust		8.0%				
5	Very low trust		11	4.4%				
6	No opinion	1	48		19.2%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They ca	nnot be interpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of inte	rest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 250 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]						

# familj: F.2 Current family category					
Literal question		If you had to describe your current family, which of the categories that I now count up do you think best applies?			
Value	Label		Cases		
1	Working-c	Working-class family			
2	Agricultura	Agricultural family			
3	Civil serva	Civil servant's family			
4	Higher civi	l servant's family/academic family	0		
5	Industrialis	Industrialist family			
6	Other	Other			
Sysmiss			250		
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	erpreted as summary statis		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 0 /-] [Invalid: 250 /-]			

# individuell_inkomst: F.3 Income					
Literal question What is		/hat is your personal income per month?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	up to 10000 SEK		0		
2	10001-15000 SEK		0		
3	15001-200	00 SEK	0		

File: Förtroendebarometer 2007 - telefonintervju							
# individuell_inkomst: F.3 Income							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
4	20001-250	00 SEK	0				
5	25001-300	00 SEK	0				
6	30001-350	00 SEK	0				
7	35001-400	00 SEK	0				
8	40001-450	00 SEK	0				
9	45001-500	00 SEK	0				
10	50001-550	00 SEK	0				
14	more than 50000 SEK		0				
99	Do not kno	w/do not want to state	0				
Sysmiss			250				
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cann	ot be interpreted as summary statistics of the pop	pulation of interest.			
Information [T		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 99] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 0 /-] [Invalid: 250 /-]					

Literal question What is your household's income per month?							
Value	Label		Cases 4	Percentage			
1	up to 1000	0 SEK		2.0%			
2	10001-150	000 SEK	6	3.0%			
3	15001-200	000 SEK	18	9.0%			
4	20001-250	000 SEK	16	8.0%			
5	25001-300	000 SEK	17		8.5%		
6	30001-35000 SEK		14	7.0%			
7	35001-400	000 SEK	24			12.0%	
8	40001-450	000 SEK	31			15.5%	
9	45001-500	000 SEK	28			14.0%	
10	50001-55000 SEK		3	1.5%			
11	55001-60000 SEK		11	5.5	%		
12	60001-650	000 SEK	7	3.5%			
13	65001-700	000 SEK	2	1.0%			
14	more than	70000 SEK	19		9.59	%	
99	Do not kno	ow/do not want to state	50				
Varning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot	t be interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of ir	terest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 14] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 200 /-] [Invalid: 50 /-]					