



**SOM INSTITUTE**  
SOCIETY OPINION MEDIA

**CODEBOOK**  
The SOM Institute Cumulative  
Dataset 1986-2022

v2023.1



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

---

Those scholars who wish to use the dataset in their research are kindly requested to cite the following source:  
SOM-institute (2023). The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset 1986-2022 v2023.1. University of Gothenburg:  
SOM Institute, [www.gu.se/en/som-institute](http://www.gu.se/en/som-institute)



# Codebook The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset 1986-2022


---

## INTRODUCTION

The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset contains data from the National SOM study, an annually repeated cross-sectional self-administered mail survey conducted in Sweden since 1986 (for further information see Scope & Coverage). The data contains a selection of questions frequently asked over the years, focusing on time series. A general rule is that questions should have been asked at least three times.

## INSTRUCTIONS

The dataset follows a thematic scheme with comprehensive main themes and more specific sub-themes (see page iv). Variables are named according to the following structure: the first letter denotes the main theme, the second letter denotes the sub-theme, followed by a number denoting the question battery in which the item is found, a third set of letters denotes the item.



This codebook contains information about question wording, which year a specific item has been asked, and information about how variables are recoded, when applicable. It might also include information regarding changed item wording and references to similar items. If no additional information is available (currently in the “Definition” field), the given information applies to every year.

For further information regarding response rates, fieldwork and other methodological considerations, see the methodological section in the annual report (in Swedish: <https://www.gu.se/som-institutet/som-undersokningarna/metod-0>).

## CONCEPTS

*Battery:* A set of items with the same overall question and response alternatives.

*Constructed variables:* Variables constructed, using information collected from one or, in most cases, several items, questions or population register. Most commonly, response categories are collapsed into fewer response categories/alternatives.

*Close-ended questions/multiple choice:* (As opposed to open-ended questions) A question asked with a predefined set of response alternatives, which in most cases are mutually exclusive.

*ip:* Respondent (ip is an acronym of the Swedish word *intervjuperson* - interviewees, i.e. respondents).

*Item:* A single question in a battery.

*National 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7:* Since 1998, the SOM study is carried out through several parallel surveys (two between 1998-2008, three between 2009-2011, four since 2012, five since 2014, six since 2016 and seven since 2021).

*Open-ended question:* A question requiring a free-text answer.

## MISSING CODES


96 (996/9996): Missing code denoting questions that are not asked in a specific questionnaire edition.

97 (997/9997): Missing code denoting nonresponse for a single item in a battery.

98 (998/9998): Missing code denoting that several responses was given by the respondent to a question where only one response was required.

99 (999/9999): Missing code denoting nonresponse for an entire question battery or a single question.

## Response rates National SOM:



**Comments:** SOM standard net response rates excludes respondents who are long-term ill or disabled, living abroad or travelling, who are non-Swedish-speaking, deceased or have an unknown address or moved. Gross rates include all individuals in the originally drawn sample in the calculation.

## For questions regarding the codebook please contact:

SOM Institute  
University of Gothenburg  
+46 31-786 30 00  
[info@som.gu.se](mailto:info@som.gu.se)

# THEMATIC STRUCTURE

---

- A. TRUST**
- B. IDEOLOGY & VALUES**
- C. POLITICS**
- D. ECONOMY, INDUSTRY & LABOR MARKET**
- E. AUTHORITIES & PUBLIC SERVICE**
- F. INTERNATIONAL & GLOBAL ISSUES**
- G. THREATS & RISKS**
- H. ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY**
- I. RESEARCH & EDUCATION**
- J. MEDIA USE & ACCESS**
- K. ATTITUDES TOWARDS MEDIA**
- L. CULTURE**
- M. LIFESTYLE, HEALTH & PERSONALITY**
- N. DEMOGRAPHICS & SOCIO-ECONOMY**

# MAIN AND SUB-THEMES

---

## **A – TRUST**

- AA – Trust in Institutions
- AB – Trust in Occupational Groups
- AC – Social Trust

## **B – IDEOLOGY & VALUES**

- BA – Ideology
- BB – Values
- BC – Religion & Faith
- BD – Equality

## **C – POLITICS**

- CA – Participation & Communication
- CB – Parties
- CC – Politicians
- CD – Governance & Democracy
- CE – Other Political Issues

## **D – ECONOMY, INDUSTRY & LABOR MARKET**

- DA – Economy & Finance
- DB – Industry & Labor Market
- DC – Taxes

## **E – AUTHORITIES & PUBLIC SERVICE**

- EA – Authorities & Service
- EB – National Administration
- EC – Regional & Municipal Administration
- ED – Health Care
- EE – Infrastructure & Communications
- EF – Judicial System
- EG – Libraries
- EH – Social insurance systems

## **F – INTERNATIONAL & GLOBAL ISSUES**

- FA – Foreign/Security Policy
- FB – EU
- FC – Immigration & Refugees

## **G – THREATS & RISKS**

- GA – Risks in Society
- GB – Individual Safety

## **H – ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY**

- HA – Environment & Climate
- HB – Energy

## **I – RESEARCH & EDUCATION**

- IA – Research & Science
- IB – Higher Education
- IC – Schools

## **J – MEDIA USE & ACCESS**

- JA – Morning Newspapers
- JB – Internet
- JC – Radio
- JD – Tv, DVD & Video
- JE – Computers & Mobile Phones
- JF – Periodicals & Books
- JG – Advertising
- JH – News
- JI – Media Use in General
- JJ – Media Access in General

## **K – ATTITUDES TOWARDS MEDIA**

- KA – Media Attitudes
- KB – Advertising
- KC – News & Journalism

## **L – CULTURE**

- LA – Cinema & Movies
- LB – Scenes
- LC – Sports & Arenas
- LD – Cultural Institutions & Sights
- LE – Cultural Habits in General
- LF – Culture Policy

## **M – LIFESTYLE, HEALTH & PERSONALITY**

- MA – Interests & Habits
- MB – Membership & Social Relationships
- MC – Consumption & Personal Economy
- MD – Life Satisfaction, Well-being & Happiness
- ME – Personality
- MF – Health
- MG – Alcohol, Narcotics, Doping & Tobacco

## **N – DEMOGRAPHICS & SOCIO-ECONOMY**

- NA – Employment & Work Life
- NB – Education
- NC – Income
- ND – Housing
- NE – Household & Close Relationships
- NF – Age & Gender
- NG – Background Variables in General

# CHANGES TO THE DATASET

---

## Variable names:

Old variable name	New variable name	Changed since
-	-	-

## Newly added variables since v2022.1:

---

aa10u  
cc11ay  
fa900am  
jf10b

## Deleted variables:

---

# Metadata Production

<b>Production Date</b>	March 27, 2012
<b>Identification</b>	v2023.1



# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a> .....	4
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a> .....	4
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a> .....	5
<a href="#">Sampling</a> .....	5
<a href="#">Data Collection</a> .....	5
<a href="#">Files Description</a> .....	6
<a href="#">SICD v2023.1</a> .....	6
<a href="#">Variables List</a> .....	7
<a href="#">SICD v2023.1</a> .....	7
<a href="#">Variables Description</a> .....	100
<a href="#">SICD v2023.1</a> .....	101

## The SOM Institute Cumulative Data Set 1986-2022

### Overview

<b>Identification</b>	v2023.1
-----------------------	---------

#### **Abstract**

In order to identify how the evolution of society affects Swedes' attitudes and behaviour, the SOM Institute started its National SOM study in 1986. National SOM addresses three areas – society, opinions and mass media. The survey covers questions related to politics, society, media and social background. Every year, the questionnaires are complemented with questions related to current events. The survey is nationally representative. The field work starts in mid-September each year and, following a series of reminders distributed via post and text messages, ends in January of the following year.

<b>Unit of Analysis</b>	Individuals
-------------------------	-------------

### Scope & Coverage

<b>Countries</b>	Sweden
------------------	--------

#### **Geographic Coverage**

National SOM: The samples are representative of the population according to public registers.

#### **Universe**

National SOM:

Age sample frame (Year: Age interval):

1986-1991: 15-75 years

1992-1997: 15-80 years

1998: 16-80 years (note 1)

1999: 15-80 years

2000-2008: 15-85 years

2009-2021: 16-85 years

2022-: 16-90 years

Citizenship:

1986: the sample included both Swedish and foreign citizens living in Sweden.

1987-1988: only Swedish citizens.

1989: both Swedish and foreign citizens.

1990-1991: only Swedish citizens.

1992-: the sample included both Swedish and foreign citizens living in Sweden.

Total sample size (Year: Number of individuals):

1986-1991: 2,500

1992-1995: 2,800

1996: 2,841 (note 2)

1997: 2,800

1998-1999: 5,600

2000-2005: 6,000

2006: 6,050

2007-2008: 6,000

2009-2011: 9,000

2012: 12,000

2013: 17,000

2014: 13,600

2015: 17,000

2016-2017: 20,400

2018-2019: 21,000  
 2020: 22,500  
 2021: 24,500  
 2022: 26,250

Notes:

- 1) 15 year olds were unintentionally excluded from the sample.
- 2) 15 year olds were unintentionally excluded from the sample, but were added to the original sample afterwards (i.e. 2,800 + 41 individuals).

**Producers & Sponsors**

<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	SOM Institute, University of Gothenburg
--------------------------------	---

**Sampling**

**Sampling Procedure**

Systematic probability sampling based on the Swedish population and address register SPAR.

**Data Collection**

<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	Mixed mode: postal and websurveys.
-----------------------------	------------------------------------

<b>Depositor(s)</b>	
---------------------	--

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

SICD v2023.1	
# Cases	178184
# Variable(s)	1451

# Variables List

Dataset contains 1451 variable(s)

File SICD v2023.1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">idnr</a>	ID number	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	-
2	<a href="#">year</a>	Year of survey	continuous	numeric-4.0	178184	0	-
3	<a href="#">yearid</a>	YEARxID. Unique identification number	discrete	numeric-12.0	178184	0	-
4	<a href="#">formular</a>	Questionnaire edition	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	-
5	<a href="#">indatum</a>	Date of questionnaire delivery	discrete	numeric-2.0	156101	22083	-
6	<a href="#">lan</a>	County code	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	-
7	<a href="#">lanskod2..</a>	County code in acc. with 2010 classification	discrete	numeric-2.0	178152	32	-
8	<a href="#">kommun</a>	Municipality code	discrete	numeric-8.0	178184	0	-
9	<a href="#">komkod2010</a>	Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification	discrete	numeric-4.0	178152	32	-
10	<a href="#">webpap</a>	Web/paper questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	104427	73757	-
11	<a href="#">map1</a>	Map variable 1	discrete	numeric-2.0	12316	165868	-
12	<a href="#">map2</a>	Map variable 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	12316	165868	-
13	<a href="#">map3</a>	Map variable 3	discrete	numeric-2.0	12316	165868	-
14	<a href="#">aa10a</a>	Trust in: The government	discrete	numeric-2.0	119207	58977	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The government
15	<a href="#">aa10b</a>	Trust in: The Police force	discrete	numeric-2.0	119207	58977	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Police force
16	<a href="#">aa10c</a>	Trust in: Medical services	discrete	numeric-2.0	119207	58977	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Medical services
17	<a href="#">aa10d</a>	Trust in: The armed forces	discrete	numeric-2.0	96945	81239	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The armed forces
18	<a href="#">aa10e</a>	Trust in: The National Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	96945	81239	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The National Parliament
19	<a href="#">aa10f</a>	Trust in: The Banks	discrete	numeric-2.0	117550	60634	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Banks
20	<a href="#">aa10g</a>	Trust in: The daily press	discrete	numeric-2.0	117564	60620	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The daily press
21	<a href="#">aa10h</a>	Trust in: Trade unions	discrete	numeric-2.0	105288	72896	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Trade unions
22	<a href="#">aa10i</a>	Trust in: Radio and television	discrete	numeric-2.0	117564	60620	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							and groups do their job? - Radio and television
23	<a href="#">aa10j</a>	Trust in: Primary school	discrete	numeric-2.0	95288	82896	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Primary school
24	<a href="#">aa10k</a>	Trust in: Major companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	96945	81239	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Major companies
25	<a href="#">aa10l</a>	Trust in: The Church of Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	86942	91242	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Church of Sweden
26	<a href="#">aa10m</a>	Trust in: Courts	discrete	numeric-2.0	86742	91442	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Courts
27	<a href="#">aa10n</a>	Trust in: The Royal family	discrete	numeric-2.0	100850	77334	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Royal family
28	<a href="#">aa10o</a>	Trust in: Municipal boards	discrete	numeric-2.0	83229	94955	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Municipal boards
29	<a href="#">aa10p</a>	Trust in: Universities/ university colleges	discrete	numeric-2.0	93744	84440	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Universities/ university colleges
30	<a href="#">aa10q</a>	Trust in: The political parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	102201	75983	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The political parties
31	<a href="#">aa10r</a>	Trust in: The EU Commission	discrete	numeric-2.0	74715	103469	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The EU Commission
32	<a href="#">aa10s</a>	Trust in: The European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	76372	101812	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The European Parliament
33	<a href="#">aa10t</a>	Trust in: United Nations (UN)	discrete	numeric-2.0	69472	108712	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - United Nations (UN)
34	<a href="#">aa10u</a>	Trust in: The Swedish Central Bank	discrete	numeric-2.0	44631	133553	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
35	<a href="#">aa10v</a>	Trust in: Nato	discrete	numeric-2.0	12344	165840	-
36	<a href="#">aa20a</a>	Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17253	160931	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish National Labour Market Board
37	<a href="#">aa20b</a>	Trust in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency	discrete	numeric-2.0	10037	168147	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
38	<a href="#">aa20c</a>	Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service	discrete	numeric-2.0	6731	171453	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Public Employment Service
39	<a href="#">aa20d</a>	Trust in: The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)	discrete	numeric-2.0	13252	164932	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)
40	<a href="#">ab12</a>	Trust in: Swedish politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	54229	123955	In general, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? - Swedish politicians
41	<a href="#">ab13a</a>	Trust in: Parliamentarians	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	158042	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Parliamentarians
42	<a href="#">ab13b</a>	Trust in: Health care staff	discrete	numeric-2.0	11985	166199	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Health care staff
43	<a href="#">ab13c</a>	Trust in: Police	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	158042	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Police
44	<a href="#">ab13d</a>	Trust in: Primary teachers	discrete	numeric-2.0	16820	161364	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Primary teachers
45	<a href="#">ab13e</a>	Trust in: Researchers	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	158042	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Researchers
46	<a href="#">ab13f</a>	Trust in: Daily press journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	6947	171237	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Daily press journalists
47	<a href="#">ab13g</a>	Trust in: Radio/TV journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	6947	171237	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Radio/TV journalists
48	<a href="#">ab13h</a>	Trust in: Regional politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	3552	174632	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Regional politicians
49	<a href="#">ab13i</a>	Trust in: Communications officers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3444	174740	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Communications officers
50	<a href="#">ab13j</a>	Trust in: Politicians in your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	176406	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Politicians in your municipality
51	<a href="#">ab13k</a>	Trust in: Elderly care staff	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	176406	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Elderly care staff
52	<a href="#">ab13l</a>	Trust in: PR consultants	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	176406	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - PR consultants
53	<a href="#">ab13m</a>	Trust in: Advertising professionals	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	176406	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Advertising professionals
54	<a href="#">ab13n</a>	Trust in: Business leaders in the private sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	5169	173015	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Business leaders in the private sector

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
55	<a href="#">ab13o</a>	Trust in: Journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	13195	164989	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Journalists
56	<a href="#">ab13p</a>	Trust in: Local politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	1774	176410	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Local politicians
57	<a href="#">ab13q</a>	Trust in: Judges	discrete	numeric-2.0	8195	169989	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Judges
58	<a href="#">ab13r</a>	Trust in: Priests of the Church of Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Priests of the Church of Sweden
59	<a href="#">ab13s</a>	Trust in: Lobbyists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3264	174920	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Lobbyists
60	<a href="#">ab13t</a>	Trust in: Corporate leaders	discrete	numeric-2.0	3250	174934	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Corporate leaders
61	<a href="#">ab13bb</a>	Trust in: Economists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3244	174940	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Economists
62	<a href="#">ab13bc</a>	Trust in: Engineers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3244	174940	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Engineers
63	<a href="#">ab13bd</a>	Trust in: Doctors	discrete	numeric-2.0	6463	171721	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Doctors
64	<a href="#">ab100a</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	6614	171570	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Politicians
65	<a href="#">ab100b</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Public officials	discrete	numeric-2.0	6614	171570	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Public officials
66	<a href="#">ab100c</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Self-employed	discrete	numeric-2.0	4783	173401	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Self-employed
67	<a href="#">ab100d</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3131	175053	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Journalists
68	<a href="#">ab200a</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6607	171577	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Health care
69	<a href="#">ab200b</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: The construction sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	4876	173308	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Construction sector
70	<a href="#">ab200c</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: The restaurant industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	4876	173308	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? The restaurant industry
71	<a href="#">ab200d</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Entertainment industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	1543	176641	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Entertainment industry
72	<a href="#">ab200e</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: School	discrete	numeric-2.0	3333	174851	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? School
73	<a href="#">ab200h</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Political parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	176453	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Political parties
74	<a href="#">ab200i</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Club/association activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	176453	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/association activities
75	<a href="#">ab200j</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	176453	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/association activities
76	<a href="#">ac10a</a>	In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people?	discrete	numeric-2.0	161286	16898	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted, in general?
77	<a href="#">ac10b</a>	To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	72717	105467	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?
78	<a href="#">ba10</a>	Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
79	<a href="#">ba900a</a>	Proposal: Strive towards an env. friendly society, even without economic growth	discrete	numeric-2.0	52141	126043	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth
80	<a href="#">ba900b</a>	Proposal: Strive towards an environmentally friendly society	discrete	numeric-2.0	56904	121280	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society
81	<a href="#">ba900c</a>	Proposal: Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	94107	84077	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men
82	<a href="#">bb10a</a>	How important to you: A clean world	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A clean world
83	<a href="#">bb10b</a>	How important to you: Technological development	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Technological development
84	<a href="#">bb10c</a>	How important to you: A comfortable life	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A comfortable life

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
85	<a href="#">bb10d</a>	How important to you: An exciting life	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An exciting life
86	<a href="#">bb10e</a>	How important to you: A sense of accomplishment	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A sense of accomplishment
87	<a href="#">bb10f</a>	How important to you: A peaceful world	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A peaceful world
88	<a href="#">bb10g</a>	How important to you: A beautiful world	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A beautiful world
89	<a href="#">bb10h</a>	How important to you: Equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Equality
90	<a href="#">bb10i</a>	How important to you: Family security	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family security
91	<a href="#">bb10j</a>	How important to you: Freedom	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Freedom
92	<a href="#">bb10k</a>	How important to you: Happiness	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Happiness
93	<a href="#">bb10l</a>	How important to you: Inner harmony	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	148672	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
94	<a href="#">bb10m</a>	How important to you: Love	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Love
95	<a href="#">bb10n</a>	How important to you: National security	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - National security
96	<a href="#">bb10o</a>	How important to you: A life full of pleasure	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	148672	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A life full of pleasure
97	<a href="#">bb10p</a>	How important to you: Salvation	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Salvation
98	<a href="#">bb10q</a>	How important to you: Self-respect	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Self-respect
99	<a href="#">bb10r</a>	How important to you: Social reputation	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	147048	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
100	<a href="#">bb10s</a>	How important to you: True friendship	discrete	numeric-2.0	29493	148691	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - True friendship
101	<a href="#">bb10t</a>	How important to you: Wisdom	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	148672	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wisdom
102	<a href="#">bb10u</a>	How important to you: Justice	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Justice
103	<a href="#">bb10v</a>	How important to you: Power	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Power
104	<a href="#">bb10w</a>	How important to you: Health	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Health
105	<a href="#">bb10x</a>	How important to you: Wealth	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	150535	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wealth

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
106	<a href="#">bb10y</a>	How important to you: Honesty	discrete	numeric-2.0	22851	155333	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Honesty
107	<a href="#">bb100a</a>	World view: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	6953	171231	Below is a number of statments that have appeared in the Swedish debate on the labor market and unemployment. What is your opinion on each of them? - Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
108	<a href="#">bb100b</a>	World view: Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	8447	169737	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market
109	<a href="#">bb100c</a>	World view: You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	12599	165585	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet
110	<a href="#">bb100d</a>	World view: The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please	discrete	numeric-2.0	3246	174938	What is your opion about the following statements? - The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please
111	<a href="#">bb100e</a>	World view: Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society	discrete	numeric-2.0	13652	164532	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society
112	<a href="#">bb100f</a>	World view: Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises	discrete	numeric-2.0	3247	174937	What is your opion about the following statements? - Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises
113	<a href="#">bb100g</a>	World view: The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3730	174454	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing
114	<a href="#">bb100h</a>	World view: Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	5305	172879	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv
115	<a href="#">bb100i</a>	World view: Sweden has a good business-friendly climate	discrete	numeric-2.0	7064	171120	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the industrial sector in Sweden? - Sweden has a good business-friendly climate
116	<a href="#">bb100j</a>	World view: We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer	discrete	numeric-2.0	5355	172829	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer
117	<a href="#">bb100k</a>	World view: Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news	discrete	numeric-2.0	3406	174778	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news
118	<a href="#">bb100l</a>	World view: Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	1629	176555	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about alcohol. What is your opinion on

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							each of them? - Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems
119	<a href="#">bb101a</a>	Perception of reality: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	1636	176548	What is your opinion on the following statements? Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
120	<a href="#">bb101b</a>	Perception of reality: Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	1636	176548	What is your opinion on the following statements? Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market
121	<a href="#">bb101d</a>	Perception of reality: Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves	discrete	numeric-2.0	1580	176604	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves
122	<a href="#">bb101f</a>	Perception of reality: Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises	discrete	numeric-2.0	1580	176604	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises
123	<a href="#">bb101g</a>	Perception of reality: There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	1692	176492	What is your opinion on the following statements? There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden
124	<a href="#">bb900a</a>	Proposal: Allow active euthanasia	discrete	numeric-2.0	1777	176407	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
125	<a href="#">bb900b</a>	Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children	discrete	numeric-2.0	3545	174639	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
126	<a href="#">bb900c</a>	Proposal: Limit the right to abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	5445	172739	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion
127	<a href="#">bb900f</a>	Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	1597	176587	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
128	<a href="#">bb901a</a>	Proposal: Improve the rights of animals	discrete	numeric-2.0	20565	157619	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Improve the rights of animals
129	<a href="#">bb901b</a>	Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children	discrete	numeric-2.0	8711	169473	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
130	<a href="#">bb901c</a>	Proposal: Allow active euthanasia	discrete	numeric-2.0	20259	157925	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
131	<a href="#">bb901d</a>	Proposal: Limit the right to abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	25539	152645	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
132	<a href="#">bb901f</a>	Proposal: Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11700	166484	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)
133	<a href="#">bb901g</a>	Proposal: Introduce death penalty for murder	discrete	numeric-2.0	16711	161473	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce death penalty for murder
134	<a href="#">bb901i</a>	Proposal: Ban face-covering veils in public places	discrete	numeric-2.0	15096	163088	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Ban face-covering veils in public places
135	<a href="#">bb901j</a>	Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	11925	166259	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
136	<a href="#">bc10</a>	Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting	discrete	numeric-2.0	82468	95716	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited church service or religious meeting
137	<a href="#">bc20</a>	Past 12 months: Prayed to God	discrete	numeric-2.0	148952	29232	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Prayed to God
138	<a href="#">bc30</a>	Believe in: God	discrete	numeric-2.0	35719	142465	Do you believe in God?
139	<a href="#">bc100a</a>	Opinion of world religions: Atheism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	171332	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Atheism
140	<a href="#">bc100b</a>	Opinion of world religions: Buddhism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	171332	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Buddhism
141	<a href="#">bc100c</a>	Opinion of world religions: Hinduism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	171332	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Hinduism
142	<a href="#">bc100d</a>	Opinion of world religions: Islam	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	171332	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Islam
143	<a href="#">bc100e</a>	Opinion of world religions: Judaism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	171332	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Judaism
144	<a href="#">bc100f</a>	Opinion of world religions: Christianity	discrete	numeric-2.0	5128	173056	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Christianity
145	<a href="#">bd900a</a>	Proposal: Reduce income differences in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	33711	144473	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce income differences in society
146	<a href="#">ca10</a>	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?
147	<a href="#">ca50</a>	During the past 12 months, have you had any contact w a Member of Parliament?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23582	154602	During the past 12 months, have you had any kind of contact with any Member of Parliament?
148	<a href="#">ca100a</a>	Satisfaction with democracy in: EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	75830	102354	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - EU

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
149	<a href="#">ca100b</a>	Satisfaction with democracy in: Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	82984	95200	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - Sweden
150	<a href="#">ca100c</a>	Satisfaction with democracy in: The region where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	65271	112913	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The region where you live
151	<a href="#">ca100d</a>	Satisfaction with democracy in: The municipality where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	72259	105925	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The municipality where you live
152	<a href="#">ca110</a>	Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	71314	106870	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?
153	<a href="#">ca200a</a>	How well do you think the government is doing its job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	71124	107060	How well do you think the government is doing its job?
154	<a href="#">ca200b</a>	How well do you think the government in your municipality is doing its job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	18936	159248	How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?
155	<a href="#">ca300a</a>	Past 12 months: Discussed politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	174889	3295	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Discussed politics
156	<a href="#">ca300b</a>	Past 12 months: Participated in a demonstration	discrete	numeric-2.0	70844	107340	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in a demonstration
157	<a href="#">ca400a</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT1
158	<a href="#">ca400b</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT2
159	<a href="#">ca400c</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - TV4
160	<a href="#">ca400d</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Radio Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Radio Sweden
161	<a href="#">ca400e</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Private local radio
162	<a href="#">ca400f</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Dagens Nyheter
163	<a href="#">ca400g</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Svenska Dagbladet
164	<a href="#">ca400h</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Göteborgs-Posten	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Göteborgs-Posten

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
165	<a href="#">ca400i</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The local morning paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The local morning paper
166	<a href="#">ca400j</a>	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The evening tabloids	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The evening tabloids
167	<a href="#">cb10</a>	Which party do you like best today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	177992	192	Which party do you like best today?
168	<a href="#">cb11</a>	Which party do you like best today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	133222	44962	Which party do you like best today?
169	<a href="#">cb15</a>	Which party do you like best today? Name of other party	discrete	numeric-2.0	145242	32942	Which party do you like best today?
170	<a href="#">cb20</a>	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?
171	<a href="#">cb30</a>	Which party do you like second best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	37176	141008	Which party do you like second best?
172	<a href="#">cb50a</a>	Like/dislike: Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Centre Party
173	<a href="#">cb50b</a>	Like/dislike: Moderate Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Moderate Party
174	<a href="#">cb50c</a>	Like/dislike: Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Left Party
175	<a href="#">cb50d</a>	Like/dislike: Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Liberal Party
176	<a href="#">cb50e</a>	Like/dislike: Social Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Social Democrats
177	<a href="#">cb50f</a>	Like/dislike: Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Green Party
178	<a href="#">cb50g</a>	Like/dislike: Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	62370	115814	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Christian Democrats
179	<a href="#">cb50h</a>	Like/dislike: New Democracy	discrete	numeric-2.0	7023	171161	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - New Democracy
180	<a href="#">cb50i</a>	Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative	discrete	numeric-2.0	13260	164924	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
181	<a href="#">cb50m</a>	Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	26332	151852	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
182	<a href="#">cb50n</a>	Like/dislike: Pirate Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	7933	170251	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Pirate Party
183	<a href="#">cb51i</a>	Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative (including "unknown" alternative)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
184	<a href="#">cb51j</a>	Like/dislike: June List (EU sceptical new party)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Junilistan
185	<a href="#">cb51k</a>	Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sjukvårdspartiet
186	<a href="#">cb51l</a>	Like/dislike: Swedish pensioneer's party (SPI)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Swedish pensioneer's party (SPI)
187	<a href="#">cb51m</a>	Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats (including "unknown" alternative)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	174831	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
188	<a href="#">cb100a</a>	Election turnout: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]	discrete	numeric-2.0	21163	157021	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
189	<a href="#">cb100b</a>	Election turnout: Regional/county council	discrete	numeric-2.0	14260	163924	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
190	<a href="#">cb100c</a>	Election turnout: Municipal council	discrete	numeric-2.0	17208	160976	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
191	<a href="#">cb100d</a>	Election turnout: European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	12480	165704	Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?
192	<a href="#">cb200a</a>	Party choice: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]	discrete	numeric-2.0	53777	124407	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
193	<a href="#">cb200b</a>	Party choice: Regional/ county council	discrete	numeric-2.0	45529	132655	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
194	<a href="#">cb200c</a>	Party choice: Municipal council	discrete	numeric-2.0	48937	129247	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
195	<a href="#">cb200d</a>	Party choice: European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	23985	154199	If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?
196	<a href="#">cc10a</a>	Like/dislike: Carl Bildt	discrete	numeric-2.0	22171	156013	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Carl Bildt
197	<a href="#">cc10b</a>	Like/dislike: Ingvar Carlsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	16898	161286	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ingvar Carlsson
198	<a href="#">cc10c</a>	Like/dislike: Bengt Westerberg	discrete	numeric-2.0	15121	163063	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Bengt Westerberg
199	<a href="#">cc10d</a>	Like/dislike: Lars Werner	discrete	numeric-2.0	11560	166624	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Lars Werner
200	<a href="#">cc10e</a>	Like/dislike: Karin Söder	discrete	numeric-2.0	1624	176560	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Karin Söder
201	<a href="#">cc10f</a>	Like/dislike: Olof Johansson	discrete	numeric-2.0	18807	159377	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Olof Johansson
202	<a href="#">cc10g</a>	Like/dislike: Birger Schlaug	discrete	numeric-2.0	13957	164227	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Birger Schlaug
203	<a href="#">cc10h</a>	Like/dislike: Alf Svensson	discrete	numeric-2.0	22283	155901	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
204	<a href="#">cc11h</a>	Like/dislike: Alf Svensson	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
205	<a href="#">cc10i</a>	Like/dislike: Ian Wachtmeister	discrete	numeric-2.0	5319	172865	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Ian Wachtmeister
206	<a href="#">cc10j</a>	Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman	discrete	numeric-2.0	14018	164166	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
207	<a href="#">cc11j</a>	Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman	discrete	numeric-2.0	18406	159778	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
208	<a href="#">cc10k</a>	Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	discrete	numeric-2.0	6938	171246	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Mona Sahlin

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
209	<a href="#">cc11k</a>	Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	discrete	numeric-2.0	6498	171686	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Mona Sahlin
210	<a href="#">cc10l</a>	Like/dislike: Vivianne Franzén	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Vivianne Franzén
211	<a href="#">cc10m</a>	Like/dislike: Ann Wibble	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ann Wibble
212	<a href="#">cc10n</a>	Like/dislike: Maria Leissner	discrete	numeric-2.0	3556	174628	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Maria Leissner
213	<a href="#">cc10o</a>	Like/dislike: Göran Persson	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
214	<a href="#">cc11o</a>	Like/dislike: Göran Persson	discrete	numeric-2.0	10460	167724	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
215	<a href="#">cc10p</a>	Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg	discrete	numeric-2.0	6901	171283	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
216	<a href="#">cc11p</a>	Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg	discrete	numeric-2.0	10460	167724	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
217	<a href="#">cc10q</a>	Like/dislike: Lennart Daléus	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lennart Daléus
218	<a href="#">cc10r</a>	Like/dislike: Marianne Samuelsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	1740	176444	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Marianne Samuelsson
219	<a href="#">cc10s</a>	Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
220	<a href="#">cc11s</a>	Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
221	<a href="#">cc10t</a>	Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström
222	<a href="#">cc11t</a>	Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1739	176445	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
223	<a href="#">cc10u</a>	Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
224	<a href="#">cc11u</a>	Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1739	176445	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
225	<a href="#">cc11v</a>	Like/dislike: Maud Olofsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	18489	159695	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maud Olofsson
226	<a href="#">cc11w</a>	Like/dislike: Maria Wetterstrand	discrete	numeric-2.0	15219	162965	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maria Wetterstrand
227	<a href="#">cc11x</a>	Like/dislike: Peter Eriksson	discrete	numeric-2.0	15219	162965	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Peter Eriksson
228	<a href="#">cc11y</a>	Like/dislike: Ulla Hoffman	discrete	numeric-2.0	1816	176368	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Ulla Hoffman
229	<a href="#">cc11z</a>	Like/dislike: Fredrik Reinfeldt	discrete	numeric-2.0	19849	158335	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Fredrik Reinfeldt
230	<a href="#">cc11aa</a>	Like/dislike: Göran Hågglund	discrete	numeric-2.0	18033	160151	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Hågglund
231	<a href="#">cc11ab</a>	Like/dislike: Lars Ohly	discrete	numeric-2.0	13156	165028	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Ohly
232	<a href="#">cc11ac</a>	Like/dislike: Nils Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	174889	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Nils Lundgren
233	<a href="#">cc11ad</a>	Like/dislike: Jan Björklund	discrete	numeric-2.0	19579	158605	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jan Björklund
234	<a href="#">cc11ae</a>	Like/dislike: Jimmie Åkesson	discrete	numeric-2.0	26332	151852	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jimmie Åkesson
235	<a href="#">cc11af</a>	Like/dislike: Rick Falkvinge	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	174950	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Rick Falkvinge
236	<a href="#">cc11ag</a>	Like/dislike: Håkan Juholt	discrete	numeric-2.0	1531	176653	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Håkan Juholt

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
237	<a href="#">ccllah</a>	Like/dislike: Gustav Fridolin	discrete	numeric-2.0	13081	165103	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gustav Fridolin
238	<a href="#">ccllai</a>	Like/dislike: Åsa Romson	discrete	numeric-2.0	7983	170201	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Åsa Romson
239	<a href="#">ccllaj</a>	Like/dislike: Anna Troberg	discrete	numeric-2.0	4699	173485	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Troberg
240	<a href="#">ccllak</a>	Like/dislike: Annie Lööf	discrete	numeric-2.0	19834	158350	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Annie Lööf
241	<a href="#">ccllal</a>	Like/dislike: Jonas Sjöstedt	discrete	numeric-2.0	16546	161638	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jonas Sjöstedt
242	<a href="#">ccllam</a>	Like/dislike: Stefan Löfven	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	161551	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Stefan Löfven
243	<a href="#">ccllan</a>	Like/dislike: Anna Kinberg Batra	discrete	numeric-2.0	4910	173274	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Kinberg Batra
244	<a href="#">ccllao</a>	Like/dislike: Ebba Busch Thor	discrete	numeric-2.0	13426	164758	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ebba Busch Thor
245	<a href="#">ccllap</a>	Like/dislike: Isabella Lövin	discrete	numeric-2.0	8563	169621	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Isabella Lövin
246	<a href="#">ccllaq</a>	Like/dislike: Victoria Kawesa	discrete	numeric-2.0	1755	176429	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Victoria Kawesa
247	<a href="#">ccllar</a>	Like/dislike: Magdalena Andersson	discrete	numeric-2.0	5043	173141	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Magdalena Andersson
248	<a href="#">ccllas</a>	Like/dislike: Ulf Kristersson	discrete	numeric-2.0	10271	167913	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ulf Kristersson
249	<a href="#">ccllat</a>	Like/dislike: Gita Nabavi	discrete	numeric-2.0	1763	176421	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Gita Nabavi
250	<a href="#">ccllau</a>	Like/dislike: Nyamko Sabuni	discrete	numeric-2.0	5083	173101	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Nyamko Sabuni

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
251	<a href="#">cc11av</a>	Like/dislike: Per Bolund	discrete	numeric-2.0	6753	171431	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Per Bolund
252	<a href="#">cc11ax</a>	Like/dislike: Nooshi Dadgostar	discrete	numeric-2.0	23767	154417	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Nooshi Dadgostar
253	<a href="#">cc11ay</a>	Like/dislike: Johan Pehrson	discrete	numeric-2.0	12344	165840	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Johan Pehrson
254	<a href="#">cd100a</a>	Importance of political issues: The taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The taxes
255	<a href="#">cd100b</a>	Importance of political issues: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The environment
256	<a href="#">cd100c</a>	Importance of political issues: Employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Employment
257	<a href="#">cd100d</a>	Importance of political issues: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Law and order
258	<a href="#">cd100e</a>	Importance of political issues: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Refugees/Immigration
259	<a href="#">cd100f</a>	Importance of political issues: EU/EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	5146	173038	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EU \ EMU
260	<a href="#">cd100g</a>	Importance of political issues: EMU	discrete	numeric-11.0	1778	176406	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EMU
261	<a href="#">cd100h</a>	Importance of political issues: School/education	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - School/education
262	<a href="#">cd100i</a>	Importance of political issues: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The child care
263	<a href="#">cd100j</a>	Importance of political issues: Medical services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Medical services
264	<a href="#">cd100k</a>	Importance of political issues: The healthcare sector	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The healthcare sector
265	<a href="#">cd100l</a>	Importance of political issues: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The elderly care
266	<a href="#">cd100m</a>	Importance of political issues: The nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	174777	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The nuclear power
267	<a href="#">cd100n</a>	Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Energy/nuclear power

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
268	<a href="#">cd100o</a>	Importance of political issues: The government issue	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	171260	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The government issue
269	<a href="#">cd100p</a>	Importance of political issues: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Mass media
270	<a href="#">cd100q</a>	Importance of political issues: IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - IT
271	<a href="#">cd100r</a>	Importance of political issues: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Conditions for businesses/companies
272	<a href="#">cd100s</a>	Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The Swedish economy
273	<a href="#">cd100t</a>	Importance of political issues: The access to housing	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The access to housing
274	<a href="#">cd100u</a>	Importance of political issues: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	174667	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Gender equality
275	<a href="#">cd100v</a>	Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues	discrete	numeric-11.0	1778	176406	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Foreign and security issues
276	<a href="#">cd110a</a>	Opinion about policy area: Unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Unemployment
277	<a href="#">cd110b</a>	Opinion about policy area: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
278	<a href="#">cd110c</a>	Opinion about policy area: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
279	<a href="#">cd110d</a>	Opinion about policy area: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
280	<a href="#">cd110e</a>	Opinion about policy area: Schools and education	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
281	<a href="#">cd110f</a>	Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
282	<a href="#">cd110g</a>	Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration
283	<a href="#">cd110h</a>	Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power
284	<a href="#">cd110i</a>	Opinion about policy area: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
285	<a href="#">cd110j</a>	Opinion about policy area: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
286	<a href="#">cd110k</a>	Opinion about policy area: Healthcare	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
287	<a href="#">cd110l</a>	Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy
288	<a href="#">cd110m</a>	Opinion about policy area: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Mass media
289	<a href="#">cd110n</a>	Opinion about policy area: IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	176480	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - IT
290	<a href="#">cd111a</a>	Opinion about policy area: The unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The unemployment
291	<a href="#">cd111b</a>	Opinion about policy area: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
292	<a href="#">cd111c</a>	Opinion about policy area: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
293	<a href="#">cd111d</a>	Opinion about policy area: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
294	<a href="#">cd111e</a>	Opinion about policy area: Schools and education	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
295	<a href="#">cd111f</a>	Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/ companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
296	<a href="#">cd111g</a>	Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration
297	<a href="#">cd111h</a>	Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power
298	<a href="#">cd111i</a>	Opinion about policy area: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
299	<a href="#">cd111j</a>	Opinion about policy area: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
300	<a href="#">cd111k</a>	Opinion about policy area: Healthcare	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
301	<a href="#">cd111l</a>	Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							following areas? - The Swedish economy
302	<a href="#">cd111m</a>	Opinion about policy area: The taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The taxes
303	<a href="#">cd111n</a>	Opinion about policy area: EU policies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	172851	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EU policies
304	<a href="#">cd111o</a>	Opinion about policy area: The access to housing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The access to housing
305	<a href="#">cd111p</a>	Opinion about policy area: EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	3594	174590	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EMU
306	<a href="#">cd111q</a>	Opinion about policy area: Foreign and security issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	3594	174590	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Foreign and security issues
307	<a href="#">cd200</a>	Sweden developing: In the right or wrong direction?	discrete	numeric-2.0	28961	149223	Generally, do you find that Sweden is developing in the right direction or wrong direction?
308	<a href="#">cd240a</a>	Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president	discrete	numeric-2.0	28590	149594	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic with an elected president
309	<a href="#">cd240b</a>	Proposal: Make Sweden a republic	discrete	numeric-2.0	10221	167963	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic
310	<a href="#">cd245</a>	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	25599	152585	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?
311	<a href="#">cd900a</a>	Proposal: Hold more municipal referendums	discrete	numeric-2.0	10092	168092	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more municipal referendums
312	<a href="#">cd900b</a>	Proposal: Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	8355	169829	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections
313	<a href="#">cd900c</a>	Proposal: Delegate decisions in important issues to experts	discrete	numeric-2.0	10180	168004	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Delegate decisions in important issues to experts
314	<a href="#">cd900d</a>	Proposal: Lower the election threshold to the parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	4912	173272	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the election threshold to the parliament
315	<a href="#">cd900e</a>	Proposal: Hold more national referendums	discrete	numeric-2.0	8403	169781	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							following proposals? - Hold more national referendums
316	<a href="#">cd900f</a>	Proposal: Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times	discrete	numeric-2.0	11755	166429	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times
317	<a href="#">ce900a</a>	Proposal: Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	24917	153267	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden
318	<a href="#">ce900b</a>	Proposal: Increase the number of wolves in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	8215	169969	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase the number of wolves in Sweden
319	<a href="#">samprob1_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
320	<a href="#">samprob2_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
321	<a href="#">samprob3_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
322	<a href="#">samprob1_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	60453	117731	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
323	<a href="#">samprob2_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	60453	117731	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
324	<a href="#">samprob3_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	60453	117731	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
325	<a href="#">sprobnamnt</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Mentioned an issue	discrete	numeric-8.0	127866	50318	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
326	<a href="#">antalspr_..</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Number of issues mentioned	continuous	numeric-8.0	127866	50318	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
327	<a href="#">sprob100</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Taxes	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
328	<a href="#">sprob2000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Economy in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
329	<a href="#">sprob3000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
330	<a href="#">sprob4000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
331	<a href="#">sprob5000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Pensions, pensioner issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
332	<a href="#">sprob6000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
333	<a href="#">sprob7000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Health care	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
334	<a href="#">sprob8000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Alcohol/narcotics	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
335	<a href="#">sprob8500</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Family policy, child care	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
336	<a href="#">sprob10000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Education policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
337	<a href="#">sprob11000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Sports, recreation	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
338	<a href="#">sprob12000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Housing policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
339	<a href="#">sprob13000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Regional policy, decentralization	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
340	<a href="#">sprob14000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Agricultural policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
341	<a href="#">sprob15000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Bureaucracy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
342	<a href="#">sprob16000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Environment	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
343	<a href="#">sprob17000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Communcations, traffic	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
344	<a href="#">sprob18000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Correctional treatment, police, crime	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
345	<a href="#">sprob19000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
346	<a href="#">sprob20000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Mass media	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
347	<a href="#">sprob21000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Peace, international disarmament	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
348	<a href="#">sprob22000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Swedish foreign and security policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
349	<a href="#">sprob23000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: International issues in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
350	<a href="#">sprob24000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Employment, unemployment	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
351	<a href="#">sprob25000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Commercial policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
352	<a href="#">sprob26000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Energy policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
353	<a href="#">sprob27000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: State control of businesses	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
354	<a href="#">sprob28000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Participation in decision-making	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
355	<a href="#">sprob29000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Wage earner funds	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
356	<a href="#">sprob29400</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Discrimination, equity	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
357	<a href="#">sprob29500</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
358	<a href="#">sprob29900</a>	Important societal issues or problems: LGBTQ issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
359	<a href="#">sprob30000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Rule of law	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
360	<a href="#">sprob31000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Immigration policy, immigrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
361	<a href="#">sprob32000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Other specific issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
362	<a href="#">sprob32500</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Future issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
363	<a href="#">sprob33000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Ideology	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
364	<a href="#">sprob35000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Party politics (specific parties)	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
365	<a href="#">sprob36000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Trust in parties and politicians	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
366	<a href="#">sprob37000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Party leaders	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
367	<a href="#">sprob38000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Social class	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
368	<a href="#">sprob39000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: The current government/cabinet	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
369	<a href="#">sprob50000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: Local and municipal issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
370	<a href="#">sprob80000</a>	Important societal issues or problems: EU in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	110771	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
371	<a href="#">da10a</a>	Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	97290	80894	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
372	<a href="#">da10b</a>	Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	63791	114393	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
373	<a href="#">da10c</a>	Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	97290	80894	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
374	<a href="#">da11a</a>	Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	21828	156356	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
375	<a href="#">da11b</a>	Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	11324	166860	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
376	<a href="#">da11c</a>	Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	21828	156356	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
377	<a href="#">da20a</a>	Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	85795	92389	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
378	<a href="#">da20b</a>	Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	63791	114393	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
379	<a href="#">da20c</a>	Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	85795	92389	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
380	<a href="#">da21a</a>	Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	21828	156356	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
381	<a href="#">da21b</a>	Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	11324	166860	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
382	<a href="#">da21c</a>	Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	21828	156356	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
383	<a href="#">db900a</a>	Proposal: Liberalize labour legislation	discrete	numeric-2.0	22218	155966	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Liberalize labour legislation

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
384	<a href="#">db900b</a>	Proposal: Introduce six-hour workday	discrete	numeric-2.0	60605	117579	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce six-hour workday
385	<a href="#">db900c</a>	Proposal: Set a time limit on unemployment benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	173037	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Set a time limit on unemployment benefits
386	<a href="#">db900d</a>	Proposal: Increase wage differences	discrete	numeric-2.0	5182	173002	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase wage differences
387	<a href="#">db900e</a>	Proposal: Prioritize labor market politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	10443	167741	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Prioritize labor market politics
388	<a href="#">db900f</a>	Proposal: Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs
389	<a href="#">db900g</a>	Proposal: Stricter requirem. for unemployed to move to where jobs are available	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	174667	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to move to where there are jobs available
390	<a href="#">db900i</a>	Proposal: Increase unemployment benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	21498	156686	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Increase unemployment benefits
391	<a href="#">db900j</a>	Proposal: Increase labor migration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	22558	155626	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? Increase labor migration to Sweden
392	<a href="#">dc900a</a>	Proposal: Raise taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	21033	157151	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Raise taxes
393	<a href="#">dc900b</a>	Proposal: Lower taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	64090	114094	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Lower taxes
394	<a href="#">ea100n</a>	Opinion about public services: Libraries	discrete	numeric-2.0	44278	133906	What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas: - Libraries
395	<a href="#">ea151a</a>	Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The municipality where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	47190	130994	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The municipality where you live

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
396	<a href="#">ea151b</a>	Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The county/region where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	38483	139701	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The county where you live
397	<a href="#">ea900a</a>	Proposal: Reduce the public sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	102017	76167	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce the public sector
398	<a href="#">ea900b</a>	Proposal: Reduce spending in the public sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	172874	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Reduce spending in the public sector
399	<a href="#">eb900a</a>	Proposal: Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises	discrete	numeric-2.0	18914	159270	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Convert public utilities, like Swedish Telecom, into private enterprises
400	<a href="#">eb900b</a>	Proposal: Sell government owned companies that run business activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	6498	171686	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sell government owned companies that run business activities
401	<a href="#">eb900f</a>	Proposal: Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities	discrete	numeric-2.0	16991	161193	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities
402	<a href="#">ed900a</a>	Proposal: Increase the privatization of health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	79987	98197	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the privatization of health care
403	<a href="#">ed900c</a>	Proposal: Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	53712	124472	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly
404	<a href="#">ed900i</a>	Proposal: Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.	discrete	numeric-2.0	20457	157727	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.
405	<a href="#">ed900h</a>	Proposal: Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals	discrete	numeric-2.0	8049	170135	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals
406	<a href="#">eg10</a>	Past 12 months: Visited a library	discrete	numeric-2.0	83248	94936	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited a library
407	<a href="#">eg200</a>	Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?	discrete	numeric-2.0	33320	144864	Overall, how do you feel about the library services provided in your municipality?
408	<a href="#">eh10a</a>	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: The pension system	discrete	numeric-2.0	15187	162997	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? The pension system

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
409	<a href="#">eh10b</a>	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance	discrete	numeric-2.0	11716	166468	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? Health insurance
410	<a href="#">eh10c</a>	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: A-kassan (the unemployment insurance fund)	discrete	numeric-2.0	15187	162997	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? A-kassan (the unemployment insurance)
411	<a href="#">fa900b</a>	Proposal: Reduce defence spending	discrete	numeric-2.0	54126	124058	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Reduce defence spending
412	<a href="#">fa900i</a>	Proposal: Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries	discrete	numeric-2.0	58591	119593	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries
413	<a href="#">fa900t</a>	Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	48874	129310	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should apply for NATO membership
414	<a href="#">fa900al</a>	Proposal: Re-establish compulsory national service	discrete	numeric-2.0	8119	170065	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Re-establish compulsory national service
415	<a href="#">fa900am</a>	Proposal: Sweden should become a member of NATO	discrete	numeric-2.0	12344	165840	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of NATO
416	<a href="#">fa901a</a>	Proposal: Stop Sweden 's participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan	discrete	numeric-2.0	9599	168585	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Discontinue Sweden 's participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan
417	<a href="#">fa901c</a>	Proposal: The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state	discrete	numeric-2.0	1652	176532	And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy? - The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state
418	<a href="#">fa901d</a>	Proposal: Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad	discrete	numeric-2.0	6408	171776	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad
419	<a href="#">fb10</a>	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	117350	60834	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?
420	<a href="#">fb100</a>	View on membership in the EU/EG	discrete	numeric-2.0	77418	100766	What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?
421	<a href="#">fb110</a>	Determined wiew on membership in the EG/EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	26581	151603	Is your opinion on this issue definite or do you feel a bit uncertain?
422	<a href="#">fb200a</a>	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	168001	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The environment
423	<a href="#">fb200b</a>	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	168001	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The labor market



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
<b>#</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Format</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Invalid</b>	<b>Question</b>
424	<a href="#">fb200c</a>	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	168001	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The social security
425	<a href="#">fb200d</a>	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The economic development	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	168001	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The economic development
426	<a href="#">fb200e</a>	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The credibility of Swedish neutrality	discrete	numeric-2.0	8479	169705	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The credibility of Swedish neutrality
427	<a href="#">fb210a</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
428	<a href="#">fb210b</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
429	<a href="#">fb210c</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
430	<a href="#">fb210d</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
431	<a href="#">fb210e</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
432	<a href="#">fb210f</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
433	<a href="#">fb210g</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
434	<a href="#">fb210h</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
435	<a href="#">fb210i</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
436	<a href="#">fb210j</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
437	<a href="#">fb210k</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
438	<a href="#">fb210l</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	176430	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
439	<a href="#">fb211a</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	156322	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
440	<a href="#">fb211b</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	156322	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
441	<a href="#">fb211c</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	156322	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
442	<a href="#">fb211d</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	18544	159640	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
443	<a href="#">fb211e</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	16693	161491	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
444	<a href="#">fb211f</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	15041	163143	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
445	<a href="#">fb211g</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	15259	162925	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
446	<a href="#">fb211h</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	11982	166202	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
447	<a href="#">fb211i</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	13564	164620	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
448	<a href="#">fb211j</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices	discrete	numeric-2.0	18749	159435	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices
449	<a href="#">fb211k</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	18665	159519	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
450	<a href="#">fb211l</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness	discrete	numeric-2.0	8664	169520	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
451	<a href="#">fb211m</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	8298	169886	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Conditions for businesses/ companies
452	<a href="#">fb211n</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: Higher education/ research	discrete	numeric-2.0	16933	161251	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Higher education/research
453	<a href="#">fb211o</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: Immigrants and refugees	discrete	numeric-2.0	18306	159878	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Immigrants and refugees
454	<a href="#">fb211p</a>	EU membership has meant for Sw: The alcohol policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	8148	170036	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The policy on alcohol
455	<a href="#">fb212a</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	18303	159881	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The environment
456	<a href="#">fb212b</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	18303	159881	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The economy
457	<a href="#">fb212c</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	18303	159881	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The employment
458	<a href="#">fb212d</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	16723	161461	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The social security
459	<a href="#">fb212e</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	4813	173371	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The national independence
460	<a href="#">fb212f</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	16594	161590	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
461	<a href="#">fb212g</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: Higher education/ research	discrete	numeric-2.0	4813	173371	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Higher education/research
462	<a href="#">fb212h</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	16594	161590	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The fight against crime
463	<a href="#">fb212i</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	18303	159881	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The immigration

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
464	<a href="#">fb212j</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The alcohol policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3233	174951	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The alcohol policy
465	<a href="#">fb212k</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	13490	164694	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The agriculture
466	<a href="#">fb212l</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	13490	164694	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The equality between women and men
467	<a href="#">fb212m</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	4933	173251	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
468	<a href="#">fb212n</a>	EU membership has affected Sweden: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	15135	163049	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? The military security
469	<a href="#">fb900g</a>	Proposal: Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	12906	165278	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU
470	<a href="#">fb900j1</a>	Proposal: Introduce a common currency in the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	172874	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Introduce a common currency in the EU
471	<a href="#">fb900j2</a>	Proposal: Sweden should become a member of the EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	23171	155013	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of the EMU
472	<a href="#">fb900j3</a>	Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency	discrete	numeric-2.0	31298	146886	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
473	<a href="#">fb900j</a>	Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency	discrete	numeric-2.0	59779	118405	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
474	<a href="#">fb900l</a>	Proposal: Sweden should withdraw from the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	29985	148199	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should withdraw from the EU
475	<a href="#">fb900m</a>	Proposal: Turkey should be granted EU membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	9838	168346	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Turkey should be granted EU membership
476	<a href="#">fb900n</a>	Proposal: The EU should have a common fiscal policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	8836	169348	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - The EU should have a common fiscal policy
477	<a href="#">fb900o</a>	Proposal: Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	8744	169440	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics
478	<a href="#">fc10a</a>	Statement: There are too many foreigners in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	167848	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - There are too many foreigners in Sweden
479	<a href="#">fc10b</a>	Statement: Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	167848	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion
480	<a href="#">fc10c</a>	Statement: Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	167848	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others
481	<a href="#">fc10d</a>	Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	167848	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia
482	<a href="#">fc10e</a>	Statement: I would not appreciate having an immigrant marrying into the family	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	167848	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - I would not appreciate having an immigrant from another part of the world marrying into the family
483	<a href="#">fc20a</a>	Statement: Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	13351	164833	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture
484	<a href="#">fc20b</a>	Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here	discrete	numeric-2.0	14931	163253	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
485	<a href="#">fc20c</a>	Statement: I know many who feel that immigration is the most important soc issue	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	174964	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I now many people who feel that the problems related to immigration form the most important societal issue of today
486	<a href="#">fc20d</a>	Statement: Swedish media will not tell the truth about the immigration problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	6542	171642	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Swedish media will not tell the truth about the problems in society related to immigration
487	<a href="#">fc20e</a>	Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	174964	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
488	<a href="#">fc20f</a>	Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	174964	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I would consider joining an organisation that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
489	<a href="#">fc30b</a>	Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here	discrete	numeric-2.0	18633	159551	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
490	<a href="#">fc30e</a>	Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	13556	164628	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
491	<a href="#">fc900a</a>	Proposal: Accept more refugees into Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	41218	136966	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept more refugees into Sweden
492	<a href="#">fc900b</a>	Proposal: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	133250	44934	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept fewer refugees into Sweden
493	<a href="#">fc900e</a>	Proposal: Immigration policies should adjust refugees/immigrants to Sw culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	6697	171487	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants adjust to Swedish traditions and culture
494	<a href="#">fc900f</a>	Proposal: Immgration pol. should help refugees/immigrants maintain their culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	6697	171487	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants maintain their national traditions and culture
495	<a href="#">ga10a</a>	Concern about: Terrorism	discrete	numeric-2.0	62257	115927	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Terrorism
496	<a href="#">ga10b</a>	Concern about: Tensions between rich and poor countries	discrete	numeric-2.0	8280	169904	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Tensions between rich and poor countries
497	<a href="#">ga10c</a>	Concern about: Military arms races	discrete	numeric-2.0	3267	174917	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military arms races
498	<a href="#">ga10d</a>	Concern about: Population growth	discrete	numeric-2.0	6707	171477	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Population growth
499	<a href="#">ga10f</a>	Concern about: The risk of a war between world superpowers	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	176541	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The risk of a war between world superpowers
500	<a href="#">ga10g</a>	Concern about: Environmental degradation	discrete	numeric-2.0	60614	117570	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Environmental degradation

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
501	<a href="#">ga10h</a>	Concern about: Economic crisis	discrete	numeric-2.0	58800	119384	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Economic crisis
502	<a href="#">ga10i</a>	Concern about: The situation in Russia	discrete	numeric-2.0	20565	157619	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The situation in Russia
503	<a href="#">ga10j</a>	Concern about: Increased number of refugees	discrete	numeric-2.0	47597	130587	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased number of refugees
504	<a href="#">ga10k</a>	Concern about: Spreading of the Balkan war	discrete	numeric-2.0	3561	174623	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Spreading of the Balkan war
505	<a href="#">ga10l</a>	Concern about: High unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	55572	122612	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - High unemployment
506	<a href="#">ga10m</a>	Concern about: Poverty in the Third World	discrete	numeric-2.0	11988	166196	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Poverty in the Third World
507	<a href="#">ga10p</a>	Concern about: Neo nazism	discrete	numeric-2.0	8768	169416	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Neo nazism
508	<a href="#">ga10q</a>	Concern about: Changes in the Earth's climate	discrete	numeric-2.0	57417	120767	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Changes in the Earth's climate
509	<a href="#">ga10r</a>	Concern about: Organised crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	37327	140857	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Organised crime
510	<a href="#">ga10s</a>	Concern about: A new world war	discrete	numeric-2.0	6753	171431	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - A new world war
511	<a href="#">ga10u</a>	Concern about: Religious antagonism	discrete	numeric-2.0	14920	163264	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Religious antagonism
512	<a href="#">ga10v</a>	Concern about: Increased alcohol consumption	discrete	numeric-2.0	19936	158248	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased alcohol consumption
513	<a href="#">ga10w</a>	Concern about: Natural disasters	discrete	numeric-2.0	6671	171513	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Natural disasters
514	<a href="#">ga10x</a>	Concern about: Military conflicts	discrete	numeric-2.0	31705	146479	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military conflicts
515	<a href="#">ga10z</a>	Concern about: Global epidemics	discrete	numeric-2.0	32286	145898	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Global epidemics
516	<a href="#">ga10aa</a>	Concern about: Weakened democracy	discrete	numeric-2.0	25206	152978	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Weakened democracy
517	<a href="#">ga10ab</a>	Concern about: Increased social inequality	discrete	numeric-2.0	38223	139961	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased social inequality
518	<a href="#">ga10ac</a>	Concern about: Degradation of the marine environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	28717	149467	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							the future? - Degradation of the marine environment
519	<a href="#">ga10ae</a>	Concern about: Widespread corruption	discrete	numeric-2.0	11300	166884	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Widespread corruption
520	<a href="#">ga10af</a>	Concern about: Political extremism	discrete	numeric-2.0	21887	156297	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Political extremism
521	<a href="#">ga10ag</a>	Concern about: Worsened welfare	discrete	numeric-2.0	18846	159338	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Worsened welfare
522	<a href="#">ga10ah</a>	Concern about: Increased xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	19034	159150	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased xenophobia
523	<a href="#">ga10ai</a>	Concern about: Restrictions on freedom of expression	discrete	numeric-2.0	12066	166118	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Restrictions on freedom of expression
524	<a href="#">ga10aj</a>	Concern about: Increased usage of narcotics	discrete	numeric-2.0	12063	166121	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased usage of narcotics
525	<a href="#">ga10ak</a>	Concern about: Housing shortage	discrete	numeric-2.0	15614	162570	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Housing shortage
526	<a href="#">ga10al</a>	Concern about: Increased antibiotic resistance	discrete	numeric-2.0	13989	164195	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased antibiotic resistance
527	<a href="#">ga100a</a>	Combat security threats: Camera surveillance of public places	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
528	<a href="#">ga100b</a>	Combat security threats: Telephone tapping	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
529	<a href="#">ga100c</a>	Combat security threats: Registering of citizen opinions	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
530	<a href="#">ga100d</a>	Combat security threats: Screening of mail and parcels	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
531	<a href="#">ga100e</a>	Combat security threats: Censoring of the press, radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
532	<a href="#">ga100f</a>	Combat security threats: Banning of meetings and demonstrations	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	171311	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
533	<a href="#">ga100g</a>	Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/ data traffic to/from Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	5095	173089	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
534	<a href="#">gb10a</a>	Anxiety about your own situation: Lacking money for an unexpected expense	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	169977	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Lacking money for an unexpected expense
535	<a href="#">gb10b</a>	Anxiety about your own situation: Not receiving a sufficiently large pension	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	169977	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Not receiving a sufficiently large pension
536	<a href="#">gb10c</a>	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming unemployed	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	169977	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming unemployed
537	<a href="#">gb10d</a>	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming seriously ill	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	169977	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming seriously ill
538	<a href="#">gb10e</a>	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming a victim of crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	169977	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming a victim of crime
539	<a href="#">ha10</a>	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?	discrete	numeric-3.0	45918	132266	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?
540	<a href="#">ha20a</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Pollution from industries	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Pollution from industries
541	<a href="#">ha20b</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Exhaust from car traffic	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Exhaust from car traffic
542	<a href="#">ha20c</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Oil spillage on the coasts	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Oil spillage on the coasts
543	<a href="#">ha20d</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of industry pollution	discrete	numeric-3.0	12264	165920	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of industry pollution
544	<a href="#">ha20e</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of household garbage	discrete	numeric-3.0	12264	165920	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of household garbage
545	<a href="#">ha20f</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Depletion of animal and plant species	discrete	numeric-3.0	22761	155423	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Depletion of animal and plant species
546	<a href="#">ha20g</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The use of chemicals in agriculture	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The use of chemicals in agriculture
547	<a href="#">ha20h</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The depletion of the ozone layer	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The depletion of the ozone layer
548	<a href="#">ha20i</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The management of high level nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	152173	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The management of high level nuclear waste
549	<a href="#">ha20j</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The greenhouse effect	discrete	numeric-3.0	8758	169426	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The greenhouse effect

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
550	<a href="#">ha20k</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans	discrete	numeric-3.0	1666	176518	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans
551	<a href="#">ha20l</a>	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Climate changes	discrete	numeric-3.0	4916	173268	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Climate changes
552	<a href="#">ha30a</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry
553	<a href="#">ha30b</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Toxic antifouling paints
554	<a href="#">ha30c</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Poisonous emissions from industry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Poisonous emissions from industry
555	<a href="#">ha30d</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfishing	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfishing
556	<a href="#">ha30e</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water
557	<a href="#">ha30f</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Algal bloom	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Algal bloom
558	<a href="#">ha30g</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Spreading of alien plant and animal species	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Spreading of alien plant and animal species
559	<a href="#">ha30h</a>	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago	discrete	numeric-3.0	4832	173352	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago
560	<a href="#">ha100a1</a>	How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport	discrete	numeric-3.0	15711	162473	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport (car, bus etc.)
561	<a href="#">ha100a2</a>	How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	176406	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
562	<a href="#">ha100a3</a>	How often do you: Chose public transport instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	176406	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose public transport instead of driving
563	<a href="#">ha100b</a>	How often do you: Sort household waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	15907	162277	How often do you personally do the following things? - Sort household waste
564	<a href="#">ha100c</a>	How often do you: Eat biodynamically grown vegetables	discrete	numeric-3.0	17489	160695	How often do you personally do the following things? - Eat biodynamically grown vegetables
565	<a href="#">ha100d</a>	How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products	discrete	numeric-3.0	15907	162277	How often do you personally do the following things? - Buy environmentally friendly marked products

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
566	<a href="#">ha101a</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Reduce speed when driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	27696	150488	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Reduce speed when driving
567	<a href="#">ha101b1</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	6943	171241	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
568	<a href="#">ha101b2</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Chose public transport instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	8587	169597	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose public transport instead of driving
569	<a href="#">ha101b3</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk/bike/ use PT instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	9673	168511	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk, bike or use public transport instead of driving
570	<a href="#">ha101c</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Sort household waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	16616	161568	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Sort household waste
571	<a href="#">ha101d</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Buy eco-labelled products	discrete	numeric-3.0	16616	161568	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy eco-labelled products
572	<a href="#">ha101e</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits	discrete	numeric-3.0	10207	167977	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits
573	<a href="#">ha101f</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to take the train instead of flying	discrete	numeric-3.0	11851	166333	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to take the train instead of flying
574	<a href="#">ha101g</a>	Do for environmental reasons: Buy locally produced food	discrete	numeric-3.0	6363	171821	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy locally produced food
575	<a href="#">ha150a</a>	How often decrease energy consumption: Home heating	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	169860	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Home heating
576	<a href="#">ha150b</a>	How often decrease energy consumption: Use of electric devices/lights	discrete	numeric-3.0	4826	173358	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Use of electric devices/lights
577	<a href="#">ha150c</a>	How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights	discrete	numeric-3.0	4826	173358	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Purchases of electrical devices/lights
578	<a href="#">ha150d</a>	How often decrease energy consumption: Hot water consumption	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	169860	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Hot water consumption
579	<a href="#">ha150e</a>	How often decrease energy consumption: Transportation/ travels	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	169860	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Transportation/travels
580	<a href="#">ha160</a>	How much more is your household willing to pay for so called green electricity?	discrete	numeric-3.0	5108	173076	If your household has or will get access to so-called environmentally friendly electricity (green electricity), how much more do you estimate that your household will be willing to pay for it?
581	<a href="#">ha200a</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Water power	discrete	numeric-3.0	40802	137382	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Water power
582	<a href="#">ha200b</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wind power	discrete	numeric-3.0	40802	137382	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wind power

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
583	<a href="#">ha200c</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Solar energy	discrete	numeric-3.0	34180	144004	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Solar energy
584	<a href="#">ha200d</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	40802	137382	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Nuclear power
585	<a href="#">ha200e</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Biofuels	discrete	numeric-3.0	37325	140859	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Biofuels
586	<a href="#">ha200f</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Fossil/natural gas	discrete	numeric-3.0	30853	147331	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Fossil/natural gas
587	<a href="#">ha200g</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Coal	discrete	numeric-3.0	30826	147358	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Coal
588	<a href="#">ha200h</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Oil	discrete	numeric-3.0	30931	147253	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Oil
589	<a href="#">ha200i</a>	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wave power	discrete	numeric-3.0	26914	151270	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wave power
590	<a href="#">ha800a</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Air traffic	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Air traffic
591	<a href="#">ha800b</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Truck transports	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Truck transports
592	<a href="#">ha800c</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Private motoring	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Private motoring
593	<a href="#">ha800d</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Energy consumption in industry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Energy consumption in industry
594	<a href="#">ha800e</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Household energy use	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Household energy use

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
595	<a href="#">ha800f</a>	How important is it to limit emission sources: Meat production	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	171686	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Meat production
596	<a href="#">ha900a</a>	Proposal: Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture	discrete	numeric-3.0	8872	169312	Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem? - Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture
597	<a href="#">ha900b</a>	Proposal: Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment	discrete	numeric-3.0	3221	174963	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment
598	<a href="#">ha900c</a>	Proposal: Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans	discrete	numeric-3.0	8811	169373	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans
599	<a href="#">ha900d</a>	Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage	discrete	numeric-3.0	15785	162399	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Legislate about recycling of household garbage
600	<a href="#">ha900e</a>	Proposal: Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners	discrete	numeric-3.0	7229	170955	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners
601	<a href="#">ha900m</a>	Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol	discrete	numeric-2.0	40677	137507	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
602	<a href="#">ha901a</a>	Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	176406	One important cause of the climate changes that have received attention in recent years is carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from for example automobiles. What is your opinion about the following measures to limit CO2? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
603	<a href="#">hb10_1986</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	1624	176560	Following the nuclear power referendum in 1980, the Swedish parliament decided that all nuclear power in the country should be phased out by 2010. How do you feel about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
604	<a href="#">hb10_1987</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	18807	159377	In 1980 we had a referendum about nuclear power in Sweden. After the referendum Parliament ruled that nuclear power should be abolished by the year 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
605	<a href="#">hb10_1996</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	6976	171208	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
606	<a href="#">hb10_2000</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	8811	169373	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
607	<a href="#">hb10_2005</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	18156	160028	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
608	<a href="#">hb10_2011</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	15217	162967	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
609	<a href="#">hb10_2020</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	34894	143290	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
610	<a href="#">hb10</a>	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	57430	120754	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden? Markera endast ett av svarsalternativen
611	<a href="#">hb20a</a>	Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	137300	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
612	<a href="#">hb20b</a>	Nuclear power risks: More cases of cancer/other diseases if we use nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	16900	161284	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of more cases of cancer and other diseases if we use nuclear power
613	<a href="#">hb20c</a>	Nuclear power risks: Increased environmental damage to land, air and water	discrete	numeric-3.0	16900	161284	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power provides increased environmental damage to land, air and water
614	<a href="#">hb20d</a>	Nuclear power risks: Genetic damage to future generations	discrete	numeric-3.0	15322	162862	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power causes genetic damage to future generations?
615	<a href="#">hb20e</a>	Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	137300	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
616	<a href="#">hb20f</a>	Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	137300	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons
617	<a href="#">hb20g</a>	Nuclear power risks: Accidents in the operation	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	171283	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		of Swedish nuclear power plants					disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants?
618	<a href="#">hb20h</a>	Nuclear power risks: Accident in connection w transportation of Sw nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	171283	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in connection with transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
619	<a href="#">hb20i</a>	Nuclear power risks: Accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	171283	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste?
620	<a href="#">hb20j</a>	Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism when storing/transp. Sw nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	8530	169654	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism in connection with storing or transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
621	<a href="#">hb20k</a>	Nuclear power risks: E. Europe unable to safely run/handle nuclear plants/waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	20992	157192	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that the countries of Eastern Europe are unable to safely run their nuclear power plants and handle nuclear waste
622	<a href="#">hb20l</a>	Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant	discrete	numeric-3.0	3211	174973	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant?
623	<a href="#">hb21a</a>	Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	175129	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
624	<a href="#">hb21b</a>	Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	175129	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
625	<a href="#">hb21c</a>	Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	175129	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons
626	<a href="#">hb900a</a>	Proposal: Keep nuclear power after 2010	discrete	numeric-3.0	18677	159507	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Keep nuclear power after 2010
627	<a href="#">hb900b</a>	Proposal: Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	60547	117637	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power
628	<a href="#">hb900c</a>	Proposal: Keep the nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	3367	174817	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Keep the nuclear power
629	<a href="#">ja10a</a>	Trust in research in: Medicine	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	146012	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Medicine
630	<a href="#">ja10b</a>	Trust in research in: Natural science	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	146012	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Natural science
631	<a href="#">ja10c</a>	Trust in research in: Social sciences	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	146012	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Social sciences
632	<a href="#">ja10d</a>	Trust in research in: Technology	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	146012	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Technology
633	<a href="#">ja10e</a>	Trust in research in: Humanities	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	146012	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Humanities
634	<a href="#">ja10f</a>	Trust in research in: Educational sciences	discrete	numeric-2.0	28578	149606	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Educational sciences
635	<a href="#">ic900a</a>	Proposal: Increase the number of private schools	discrete	numeric-2.0	12013	166171	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the number of private schools
636	<a href="#">ic900b</a>	Proposal: Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]	discrete	numeric-2.0	37392	140792	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]
637	<a href="#">ja10a</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	55845	122339	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
638	<a href="#">ja10b</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	35414	142770	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
639	<a href="#">ja10c</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	35414	142770	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
640	<a href="#">ja11a</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	29179	149005	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
641	<a href="#">ja11b</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	29179	149005	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
642	<a href="#">ja11c</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	16161	162023	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
643	<a href="#">ja12a</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	-
644	<a href="#">ja12b</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	-
645	<a href="#">ja14</a>	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscr. daily newsp]: The most read newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	175806	2378	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
646	<a href="#">ja15a</a>	Specified daily paper: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	176450	1734	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
647	<a href="#">ja15b</a>	Specified daily paper: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	169980	8204	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
648	<a href="#">ja15c</a>	Specified daily paper: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	51570	126614	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
649	<a href="#">ja19</a>	Do not read any daily newspapers	discrete	numeric-2.0	176559	1625	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper. - I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly
650	<a href="#">ja20a</a>	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	147236	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		subscr daily newsp]: First newspaper					
651	<a href="#">ja20b</a>	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	147236	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
652	<a href="#">ja20c</a>	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	17930	160254	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
653	<a href="#">ja24</a>	Morning paper online reading freq [daily newsp]: The most read newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	27006	151178	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
654	<a href="#">ja25a</a>	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30930	147254	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
655	<a href="#">ja25b</a>	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30936	147248	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
656	<a href="#">ja25c</a>	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	17923	160261	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
657	<a href="#">ja29</a>	Do not read any morning papers online [mainly subscribed local daily newspaper]	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	147236	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? - Jag läser aldrig någon morgontidning på internet
658	<a href="#">ja30a</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Before 8.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	141579	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 8.am
659	<a href="#">ja30b</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	141579	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon
660	<a href="#">ja30c</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Noon-5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	141579	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
661	<a href="#">ja30d</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): After 5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	141579	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
662	<a href="#">ja31a</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 6.am
663	<a href="#">ja31b</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 6.am-8.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 6.am-8.am
664	<a href="#">ja31c</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 8.am-noon	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
665	<a href="#">ja31d</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Noon-5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
666	<a href="#">ja31e</a>	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: After 5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
667	<a href="#">ja39</a>	I never read a printed daily paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	8896	169288	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - I never read a printed daily paper
668	<a href="#">ja80a</a>	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	5433	172751	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
669	<a href="#">ja80b</a>	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	3612	174572	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
670	<a href="#">ja80c</a>	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1657	176527	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
671	<a href="#">ja81a</a>	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	17971	160213	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
672	<a href="#">ja81b</a>	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	3675	174509	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
673	<a href="#">ja82a</a>	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	18451	159733	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
674	<a href="#">ja82b</a>	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	6835	171349	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
675	<a href="#">ja82c</a>	How often do you read: City	discrete	numeric-2.0	11616	166568	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
676	<a href="#">ja82d</a>	How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter	discrete	numeric-2.0	15115	163069	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
677	<a href="#">ja82e</a>	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	11493	166691	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
678	<a href="#">ja90a</a>	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	41855	136329	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
679	<a href="#">ja90b</a>	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	14122	164062	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
680	<a href="#">ja90c</a>	How often do you read: City	discrete	numeric-2.0	11616	166568	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
681	<a href="#">ja90d</a>	How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter	discrete	numeric-2.0	15115	163069	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
682	<a href="#">ja90e</a>	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	21707	156477	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? -

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
683	<a href="#">ja100a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	34365	143819	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
684	<a href="#">ja100b</a>	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	34365	143819	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
685	<a href="#">ja100c</a>	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	17578	160606	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
686	<a href="#">ja100d</a>	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	17578	160606	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
687	<a href="#">ja100e</a>	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	16787	161397	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten
688	<a href="#">ja110a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	158473	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
689	<a href="#">ja110b</a>	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	158473	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
690	<a href="#">ja110c</a>	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	158473	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
691	<a href="#">ja110d</a>	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	158473	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
692	<a href="#">ja120a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	54076	124108	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
693	<a href="#">ja120b</a>	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	54076	124108	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
694	<a href="#">ja120c</a>	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	140895	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
695	<a href="#">ja120d</a>	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	140895	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
696	<a href="#">ja130a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
697	<a href="#">ja130b</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
698	<a href="#">ja130c</a>	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
699	<a href="#">ja130d</a>	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
700	<a href="#">ja130e</a>	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
701	<a href="#">ja130f</a>	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	168235	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten online
702	<a href="#">ja140a</a>	How often do you read: Evening tabloid printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	174825	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/ Kvällsposten) printed

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
703	<a href="#">ja140b</a>	How often do you read: Evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	174825	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) online
704	<a href="#">ja150a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
705	<a href="#">ja150b</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
706	<a href="#">ja150c</a>	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone
707	<a href="#">ja150d</a>	How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed
708	<a href="#">ja150e</a>	How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online
709	<a href="#">ja150f</a>	How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on the mobile phone
710	<a href="#">ja160a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	15987	162197	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
711	<a href="#">ja160b</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	11053	167131	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
712	<a href="#">ja160c</a>	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer
713	<a href="#">ja160d</a>	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone
714	<a href="#">ja160e</a>	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet
715	<a href="#">ja160f</a>	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	15987	162197	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
716	<a href="#">ja160g</a>	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	11053	167131	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
717	<a href="#">ja160h</a>	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer
718	<a href="#">ja160i</a>	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
719	<a href="#">ja160j</a>	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet
720	<a href="#">ja170a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet, printed (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? Aftonbladet printed
721	<a href="#">ja170b</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
722	<a href="#">ja170c</a>	How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed
723	<a href="#">ja170d</a>	How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online
724	<a href="#">ja190a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	81665	96519	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
725	<a href="#">ja190b</a>	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	80012	98172	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
726	<a href="#">ja190c</a>	How often do you read: GT printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	140895	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT printed
727	<a href="#">ja190d</a>	How often do you read: Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	140895	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten printed
728	<a href="#">ja190e</a>	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	26736	151448	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
729	<a href="#">ja194</a>	How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid	discrete	numeric-2.0	84516	93668	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
730	<a href="#">ja195a</a>	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	42355	135829	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
731	<a href="#">ja195b</a>	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	40702	137482	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
732	<a href="#">ja196</a>	How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
733	<a href="#">ja197</a>	How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
734	<a href="#">ja199</a>	How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	49153	129031	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
735	<a href="#">ja300a</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
736	<a href="#">ja300b</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
737	<a href="#">ja300c</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: A local morning paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
738	<a href="#">ja300d</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
739	<a href="#">ja300e</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Television	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
740	<a href="#">ja300f</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Nyheter24	discrete	numeric-2.0	6876	171308	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
741	<a href="#">ja300g</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
742	<a href="#">ja300h</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Flashback	discrete	numeric-2.0	6876	171308	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
743	<a href="#">ja300i</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Non-Swedish news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	91535	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
744	<a href="#">ja300j</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other local news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	84659	93525	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
745	<a href="#">ja300k</a>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	84659	93525	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
746	<a href="#">ja500a</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	171469	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
747	<a href="#">ja500b</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	171469	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
748	<a href="#">ja500c</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	171469	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
749	<a href="#">ja500d</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	171469	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
750	<a href="#">ja500e</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	171469	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
751	<a href="#">ja500f</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	5091	173093	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
752	<a href="#">ja500g</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	5091	173093	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
753	<a href="#">ja501a</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
754	<a href="#">ja501b</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Culture
755	<a href="#">ja501c</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
756	<a href="#">ja501d</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
757	<a href="#">ja501e</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
758	<a href="#">ja501f</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	167330	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
759	<a href="#">ja501g</a>	Content read in local newspaper: Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	9023	169161	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
760	<a href="#">ja502a</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	162158	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
761	<a href="#">ja502b</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	162158	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
762	<a href="#">ja502c</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	14402	163782	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
763	<a href="#">ja502d</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	162158	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
764	<a href="#">ja502e</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	14402	163782	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
765	<a href="#">ja502f</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	162158	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
766	<a href="#">ja502g</a>	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	14195	163989	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
767	<a href="#">ja600</a>	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?	discrete	numeric-2.0	112316	65868	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?
768	<a href="#">jb10</a>	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	42385	135799	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used the Internet
769	<a href="#">jb11</a>	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	122294	55890	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
<b>#</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Format</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Invalid</b>	<b>Question</b>
770	<a href="#">jb19</a>	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	163018	15166	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
771	<a href="#">jb100a</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Entertainment/games	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Entertainment/games
772	<a href="#">jb100b</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Technical use/making home pages	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Technical use/making home pages
773	<a href="#">jb100c</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Participate in discussion/chat group	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Participate in discussion/chat group
774	<a href="#">jb100d</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Hear/read the latest news
775	<a href="#">jb100e</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Fact-finding/information searches
776	<a href="#">jb100f</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: E-mail	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - E-mail
777	<a href="#">jb100g</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Order products or services	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Order products or services
778	<a href="#">jb100h</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Download/listen to music	discrete	numeric-2.0	11069	167115	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Download/listen to music
779	<a href="#">jb100i</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Bank errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	11069	167115	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Bank errands
780	<a href="#">jb100j</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Get forms/information from authorities	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	172656	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Get forms/information from authorities
781	<a href="#">jb100k</a>	Purpose of personal Internet use: Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	161800	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Other
782	<a href="#">jb110a</a>	How often done on the Internet: Sent/received e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	92720	85464	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Sent/received e-mails
783	<a href="#">jb110b</a>	How often done on the Internet: Participated in discussion forums	discrete	numeric-2.0	8546	169638	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Participated in discussion forums
784	<a href="#">jb110c</a>	How often done on the Internet: Heard/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	124348	53836	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Heard/read the latest news
785	<a href="#">jb110d</a>	How often done on the Internet: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	127725	50459	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Fact-finding/information searches
786	<a href="#">jb110e</a>	How often done on the Internet: Commented news articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	33648	144536	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Commented news articles
787	<a href="#">jb110f</a>	How often done on the Internet: Read a blog	discrete	numeric-2.0	57042	121142	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Read a blog
788	<a href="#">jb110g</a>	How often done on the Internet: Bought/ordered products or services	discrete	numeric-2.0	106237	71947	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/ordered products or services

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
<b>#</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Format</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Invalid</b>	<b>Question</b>
789	<a href="#">jb110h</a>	How often done on the Internet: Made bank errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	45486	132698	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made bank errands
790	<a href="#">jb110i</a>	How often done on the Internet: Made errands at authority websites	discrete	numeric-2.0	72895	105289	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made errands at authority websites
791	<a href="#">jb110j</a>	How often done on the Internet: Downloaded movies or TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	13345	164839	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Downloaded movies or TV series
792	<a href="#">jb110k</a>	How often done on the Internet: Chatted	discrete	numeric-2.0	8276	169908	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Chatted
793	<a href="#">jb110l</a>	How often done on the Internet: Bought/sold wares via personal ads	discrete	numeric-2.0	10033	168151	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/sold wares via personal ads
794	<a href="#">jb110m</a>	How often done on the Internet: Made library errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	20410	157774	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made library errands
795	<a href="#">jb110n</a>	How often done on the Internet: Played online games	discrete	numeric-2.0	92818	85366	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Played online games
796	<a href="#">jb110o</a>	How often done on the Internet: Written own blog	discrete	numeric-2.0	34978	143206	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Written own blog
797	<a href="#">jb110p</a>	How often done on the Internet: Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)	discrete	numeric-2.0	119040	59144	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
798	<a href="#">jb110q</a>	How often done on the Internet: Searched information about current research	discrete	numeric-2.0	3215	174969	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about current research
799	<a href="#">jb110r</a>	How often done on the Internet: Searched information about health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	8165	170019	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about health care
800	<a href="#">jb110s</a>	How often done on the Internet: Watched video clips	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	178184	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Watched video clips
801	<a href="#">jb110t</a>	How often done on the Internet: Used music service (e.g. Spotify)	discrete	numeric-2.0	6345	171839	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
802	<a href="#">jb110u</a>	How often done on the Internet: Watched eSports	discrete	numeric-2.0	23878	154306	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
803	<a href="#">jb110v</a>	How often done on the internet: Read article comments	discrete	numeric-2.0	22169	156015	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
804	<a href="#">jb120a</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	29902	148282	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality
805	<a href="#">jb120b</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your county council/regional government	discrete	numeric-2.0	12889	165295	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county council/regional government

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
806	<a href="#">jb120c</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	16242	161942	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament
807	<a href="#">jb120d</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish government's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	8182	170002	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's website
808	<a href="#">jb120e</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any political party	discrete	numeric-2.0	29902	148282	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party
809	<a href="#">jb120f</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	26722	151462	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any member of Parliament
810	<a href="#">jb120g</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any local politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	26722	151462	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any local politicians
811	<a href="#">jb121a</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your municipality's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	161779	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality's website
812	<a href="#">jb121b</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your county/region home page	discrete	numeric-2.0	7303	170881	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county/region home page
813	<a href="#">jb121c</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish Parliament's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	161779	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament's website
814	<a href="#">jb121d</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish government's/ Rosenbad's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	161779	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's/ Rosenbad's website
815	<a href="#">jb121e</a>	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Any political party's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	161779	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party's website
816	<a href="#">jc10a</a>	How often do you listen to: P1 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	87212	90972	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P1 Swedish Radio
817	<a href="#">jc10b</a>	How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	77054	101130	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P2 Swedish Radio
818	<a href="#">jc10c</a>	How often do you listen to: P3 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	83940	94244	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P3 Swedish Radio
819	<a href="#">jc10d1</a>	How often do you listen to: P4 Swedish Radio/local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	85634	92550	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P4 Swedish Radio/local radio
820	<a href="#">jc10d2</a>	How often do you listen to: Local radio stations	discrete	numeric-2.0	1578	176606	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Local radio stations
821	<a href="#">jc10e</a>	How often do you listen to: Rix FM [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	63491	114693	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Rix FM [private radio station]
822	<a href="#">jc10f1</a>	How often do you listen to: Radio City [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	21679	156505	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Radio City [private radio station]

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
823	<a href="#">jc10f2</a>	How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	56534	121650	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol [private radio station]
824	<a href="#">jc10f3</a>	How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3476	174708	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]
825	<a href="#">jc10g</a>	How often do you listen to: NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	26816	151368	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]
826	<a href="#">jc10i</a>	How often do you listen to: P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3481	174703	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]
827	<a href="#">jc10j</a>	How often do you listen to: "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	15780	162404	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]
828	<a href="#">jc10k</a>	How often do you listen to: The Voice [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	5137	173047	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - The Voice [private radio station]
829	<a href="#">jc11a</a>	How often do you listen to: Other private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	33465	144719	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other private local radio
830	<a href="#">jc12a</a>	How often do you listen to: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	20692	157492	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)
831	<a href="#">jc13a</a>	How often do you listen to: Non-commercial local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	74047	104137	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Non-commercial local radio
832	<a href="#">jc14a</a>	How often do you listen to: Other radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	4881	173303	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio station
833	<a href="#">jc14b</a>	How often do you listen to: Other commercial radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	28395	149789	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other commercial radio station
834	<a href="#">jc15a</a>	How often do you listen to: Other radio stations online	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	174749	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio stations online
835	<a href="#">jc100a</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: A radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A radio
836	<a href="#">jc100b</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: A car radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A car radio
837	<a href="#">jc100c</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers: live broadcast
838	<a href="#">jc100d</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers:post-broadcast/podcast
839	<a href="#">jc100e</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: live broadcast

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
840	<a href="#">jc100f</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast
841	<a href="#">jc100g</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: live broadcast
842	<a href="#">jc100h</a>	How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	19023	159161	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast
843	<a href="#">jd20a</a>	How often do you watch: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	63224	114960	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1
844	<a href="#">jd20b</a>	How often do you watch: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	63224	114960	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT2
845	<a href="#">jd20c</a>	How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	17777	160407	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
846	<a href="#">jd20d</a>	How often do you watch: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	95610	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
847	<a href="#">jd20e</a>	How often do you watch: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	95610	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
848	<a href="#">jd20f</a>	How often do you watch: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	95610	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
849	<a href="#">jd20g</a>	How often do you watch: CNN news channel	discrete	numeric-2.0	7023	171161	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - CNN news channel
850	<a href="#">jd20h</a>	How often do you watch: BBC or CNN	discrete	numeric-2.0	40599	137585	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
851	<a href="#">jd20i</a>	How often do you watch: MTV	discrete	numeric-2.0	32135	146049	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - MTV
852	<a href="#">jd20o</a>	How often do you watch: TV6	discrete	numeric-2.0	42919	135265	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV6
853	<a href="#">jd21a</a>	How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	174912	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
854	<a href="#">jd21b</a>	How often do you watch: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	174912	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
855	<a href="#">jd21c</a>	How often do you watch: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	174912	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
856	<a href="#">jd21d</a>	How often do you watch: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	174912	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
857	<a href="#">jd21e</a>	How often do you watch: BBC or CNN	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	178184	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
858	<a href="#">jd31a</a>	How often do you watch: News programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	12125	166059	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - News programs
859	<a href="#">jd31b</a>	How often do you watch: Programs concerning community issues/politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	12125	166059	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Programs concerning community issues/politics
860	<a href="#">jd31c</a>	How often do you watch: Sports programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Sports programs
861	<a href="#">jd31d</a>	How often do you watch: Cultural programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Cultural programs
862	<a href="#">jd31e</a>	How often do you watch: Light entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	10546	167638	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Light entertainment

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
863	<a href="#">jd31f</a>	How often do you watch: Feature films	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Feature films
864	<a href="#">jd31g</a>	How often do you watch: Drama/series	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Drama/series
865	<a href="#">jd31h</a>	How often do you watch: Reality shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Reality shows
866	<a href="#">jd31i</a>	How often do you watch: Talk shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	172656	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Talk shows
867	<a href="#">jd31j</a>	How often do you watch: Game shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	172656	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Game shows
868	<a href="#">jd31k</a>	How often do you watch: Food program	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	172656	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Food program
869	<a href="#">jd31l</a>	How often do you watch: Animal and nature programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	164284	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Animal and nature programs
870	<a href="#">je10a1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls
871	<a href="#">je10a2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
872	<a href="#">je10a3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/ receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	176477	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
873	<a href="#">je10b1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
874	<a href="#">je10b2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
875	<a href="#">je10b3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	176477	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
876	<a href="#">je10c</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
877	<a href="#">je10d</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send pictures with MMS technology	discrete	numeric-2.0	7179	171005	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send pictures with MMS technology
878	<a href="#">je10e</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	174702	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
879	<a href="#">je10f</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf/use WAP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3566	174618	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf/use WAP
880	<a href="#">je10g</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Mobile information services	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Mobile information services
881	<a href="#">je10h</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use news services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	174702	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use news services

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
882	<a href="#">je10i</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games	discrete	numeric-2.0	3634	174550	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
883	<a href="#">je10j</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	176477	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
884	<a href="#">je20a</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/ receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	159731	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
885	<a href="#">je20b</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	159731	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
886	<a href="#">je20c</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	159731	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
887	<a href="#">je20d1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
888	<a href="#">je20d2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive MMS/photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	11592	166592	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos
889	<a href="#">je20e</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
890	<a href="#">je20f</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	11708	166476	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
891	<a href="#">je20g</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	11679	166505	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Fact-finding/information searches
892	<a href="#">je20h</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16796	161388	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
893	<a href="#">je20i1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
894	<a href="#">je20i2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ moving pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	3340	174844	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
895	<a href="#">je20i3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ videos	discrete	numeric-2.0	10026	168158	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
896	<a href="#">je20j1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1661	176523	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
897	<a href="#">je20j2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	11679	166505	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
898	<a href="#">je20k</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	8506	169678	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
899	<a href="#">je90a1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
900	<a href="#">je90a2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
901	<a href="#">je90a3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/ receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	18418	159766	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
902	<a href="#">je90b1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
903	<a href="#">je90b2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	172712	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
904	<a href="#">je90b3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	18418	159766	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
905	<a href="#">je90c</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	18486	159698	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
906	<a href="#">je90d1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	8948	169236	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
907	<a href="#">je90d2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive MMS/photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	11592	166592	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos
908	<a href="#">je90e</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	5251	172933	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
909	<a href="#">je90f</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	15274	162910	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
910	<a href="#">je90g</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	18536	159648	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
911	<a href="#">je90h</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games	discrete	numeric-2.0	3634	174550	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
912	<a href="#">je90i1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
913	<a href="#">je90i2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ moving pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	3340	174844	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
914	<a href="#">je90i3</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ videos	discrete	numeric-2.0	8284	169900	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
915	<a href="#">je90j1</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1661	176523	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
916	<a href="#">je90j2</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	9937	168247	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
917	<a href="#">je90k</a>	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	8471	169713	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
918	<a href="#">jf10a</a>	Past 12 months: Read a book	discrete	numeric-2.0	163140	15044	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Read a book
919	<a href="#">jf10b</a>	Past 12 months: Listened to an audiobook	discrete	numeric-2.0	33916	144268	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Listened to an audiobook
920	<a href="#">jh10a</a>	How often listen to/view: Local news on P4 radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Local news on P4 radio station
921	<a href="#">jh10b</a>	How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Ekonyheterna" on radio
922	<a href="#">jh10c</a>	How often listen to/view: Aktuellt	discrete	numeric-2.0	45575	132609	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Aktuellt
923	<a href="#">jh10d</a>	How often listen to/view: Rapport	discrete	numeric-2.0	45575	132609	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Rapport
924	<a href="#">jh10e</a>	How often listen to/view: Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	132609	45575	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT
925	<a href="#">jh10f</a>	How often listen to/view: Regional news on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Regional news on SVT
926	<a href="#">jh10g</a>	How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]	discrete	numeric-2.0	170086	8098	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]
927	<a href="#">jh10h</a>	How often listen to/view: Update/news on TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	44135	134049	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Update/news on TV3
928	<a href="#">jh10i</a>	How often listen to/view: TV4 local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	76978	101206	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 local news
929	<a href="#">jh10j</a>	How often listen to/view: "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]	discrete	numeric-2.0	76978	101206	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]
930	<a href="#">jh10k</a>	How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	75089	103095	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Morning news on SVT
931	<a href="#">jh10l</a>	How often listen to/view: News on private local stations	discrete	numeric-2.0	48606	129578	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on private local stations
932	<a href="#">jh10m</a>	How often listen to/view: News on teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	18455	159729	How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television? - News on teletext
933	<a href="#">jh10n</a>	How often listen to/view: News on commercial radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17612	160572	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							programmes? - News on commercial radio
934	<a href="#">jh10p</a>	How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	84659	93525	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on P3 radio station.
935	<a href="#">jj10a</a>	Access to equipment in household: Tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	31539	146645	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv
936	<a href="#">jj10b</a>	Access to equipment in household: Extra tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	173246	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Extra tv set
937	<a href="#">jj10c</a>	Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/ home theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	174702	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV/home theatre
938	<a href="#">jj10d</a>	Access to equipment in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	40850	137334	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
939	<a href="#">jj10e</a>	Access to equipment in household: Telephone answering machine	discrete	numeric-2.0	12432	165752	Which type of equipment do you at the moment have at your disposal in your home? - Telephone answering machine
940	<a href="#">jj10f</a>	Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	40850	137334	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
941	<a href="#">jj10g</a>	Access to equipment in household: Mobile phone with WAP	discrete	numeric-2.0	7184	171000	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile phone with WAP
942	<a href="#">jj10h</a>	Access to equipment in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	176477	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
943	<a href="#">jj10i</a>	Access to equipment in household: Teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	127403	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext
944	<a href="#">jj10j</a>	Access to equipment in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	127403	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
945	<a href="#">jj10k</a>	Access to equipment in household: Cable tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	34679	143505	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cable tv
946	<a href="#">jj10l</a>	Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box	discrete	numeric-2.0	28643	149541	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Digital tv/Set Top Box
947	<a href="#">jj10m</a>	Access to equipment in household: Central antenna	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	173246	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Central antenna
948	<a href="#">jj10n</a>	Access to equipment in household: Household satellite dish	discrete	numeric-2.0	26581	151603	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Household satellite dish
949	<a href="#">jj10o</a>	Access to equipment in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	49074	129110	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
950	<a href="#">jj10p</a>	Access to equipment in household: Desktop computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3336	174848	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
951	<a href="#">jj10q</a>	Access to equipment in household: Portable computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3336	174848	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
952	<a href="#">jj10r</a>	Access to equipment in household: Fax machine	discrete	numeric-2.0	25008	153176	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Fax machine
953	<a href="#">jj10s</a>	Access to equipment in household: Handheld computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	9122	169062	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Handheld computer
954	<a href="#">jj10t</a>	Access to equipment in household: Printer	discrete	numeric-2.0	10896	167288	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Printer
955	<a href="#">jj10u</a>	Access to equipment in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	6974	171210	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
956	<a href="#">jj10v</a>	Access to equipment in household: Cd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	52410	125774	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
957	<a href="#">jj10x</a>	Access to equipment in household: Freestyle/Walkman	discrete	numeric-2.0	3314	174870	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Freestyle/Walkman
958	<a href="#">jj10y</a>	Access to equipment in household: Video camera	discrete	numeric-2.0	3462	174722	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video camera
959	<a href="#">jj10z</a>	Access to equipment in household: DAB radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	10687	167497	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - DAB radio
960	<a href="#">jj10aa</a>	Access to equipment in household: Dvd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	28418	149766	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
961	<a href="#">jj10ab</a>	Access to equipment in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	17757	160427	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
962	<a href="#">jj20a</a>	Access to equipment in household: Modem	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	172874	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Modem
963	<a href="#">jj20b</a>	Access to equipment in household: Internet connection	discrete	numeric-2.0	15920	162264	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Internet connection
964	<a href="#">jj20c</a>	Access to equipment in household: CD-ROM unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	172874	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - CD-ROM unit
965	<a href="#">jj20d</a>	Access to equipment in household: Personal e-mail address	discrete	numeric-2.0	15920	162264	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal e-mail address
966	<a href="#">jj20e</a>	Access to equipment in household: Personal homepage	discrete	numeric-2.0	12364	165820	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal homepage
967	<a href="#">jj21</a>	Access to equipment in household: There is no	discrete	numeric-2.0	14143	164041	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? -

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		personal computer in the household					There is no personal computer in the household
968	<a href="#">jj30a</a>	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	160168	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at home
969	<a href="#">jj30b</a>	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at work/in school	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	160168	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at work/in school
970	<a href="#">jj30c</a>	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at another place	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	160168	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at another place
971	<a href="#">jj30d</a>	Access to the Internet in household: No	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	160168	Do you have access to the Internet? - No
972	<a href="#">jj40a</a>	Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	13370	164814	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
973	<a href="#">jj40b</a>	Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	13370	164814	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
974	<a href="#">jj40c</a>	Access to media technology in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	5117	173067	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
975	<a href="#">jj40d</a>	Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	6783	171401	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
976	<a href="#">jj40e</a>	Access to media technology in household: Cd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	5096	173088	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
977	<a href="#">jj40f</a>	Access to media technology in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	3430	174754	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
978	<a href="#">jj40g</a>	Access to media technology in household: Dvd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	5096	173088	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
979	<a href="#">jj40h</a>	Access to media technology in household: Large screen TV (37" or larger)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV (37" or larger)
980	<a href="#">jj40i</a>	Access to media technology in household: Home cinema system	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Home cinema system
981	<a href="#">jj40j</a>	Access to media technology in household: Desktop computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	174749	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
982	<a href="#">jj40k</a>	Access to media technology in household: Portable computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	174749	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
983	<a href="#">jj40l</a>	Access to media technology in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	14817	163367	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
984	<a href="#">jj40m</a>	Access to media technology in household: Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	18252	159932	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
985	<a href="#">jj40n</a>	Access to media technology in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	13318	164866	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
986	<a href="#">jj40o</a>	Access to media technology in household: GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	169955	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
987	<a href="#">jj40p</a>	Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	169955	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
988	<a href="#">jj40q</a>	Access to media technology in household: Video game console	discrete	numeric-2.0	6568	171616	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
989	<a href="#">jj40r</a>	Access to media technology in household: Tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
990	<a href="#">jj40s</a>	Access to media technology in household: Tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	3337	174847	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
991	<a href="#">jj40t</a>	Access to media technology in household: Radio set	discrete	numeric-2.0	3337	174847	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Radio set
992	<a href="#">jj100a</a>	Access to media technology in household: Teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	127403	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext
993	<a href="#">jj100b</a>	Access to media technology in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	89454	88730	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
994	<a href="#">jj100c</a>	Access to media technology in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	54211	123973	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
995	<a href="#">jj100d</a>	Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	54220	123964	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
996	<a href="#">jj100e</a>	Access to media technology in household: Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	72876	105308	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet
997	<a href="#">jj100f</a>	Access to media technology in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	32963	145221	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
998	<a href="#">jj100g</a>	Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	24540	153644	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
999	<a href="#">jj100h</a>	Access to media technology in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	6824	171360	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
1000	<a href="#">jj100i</a>	Access to media technology in household: GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	169955	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
1001	<a href="#">jj100j</a>	Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	169955	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
1002	<a href="#">jj100k</a>	Access to media technology in household: Video game console	discrete	numeric-2.0	6568	171616	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1003	<a href="#">jj100l</a>	Access to media technology in household: Tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	27275	150909	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
1004	<a href="#">jj100m</a>	Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	54220	123964	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
1005	<a href="#">jj100n</a>	Access to media technology in household: Tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	34876	143308	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
1006	<a href="#">ka10a</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Sport
1007	<a href="#">ka10b</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Family news
1008	<a href="#">ka10c</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Letters to the editor
1009	<a href="#">ka10d</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: National news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - National news
1010	<a href="#">ka10e</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Economy
1011	<a href="#">ka10f</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Parliament and government	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Parliament and government
1012	<a href="#">ka10g</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Union issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Union issues
1013	<a href="#">ka10h</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Accidents and crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Accidents and crime
1014	<a href="#">ka10i</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Editorials and comments	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Editorials and comments
1015	<a href="#">ka10j</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: International news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - International news
1016	<a href="#">ka10k</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Culture
1017	<a href="#">ka10l</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Op-ed	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Op-ed

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1018	<a href="#">ka10m</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Environmental issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Environmental issues
1019	<a href="#">ka10n</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local news
1020	<a href="#">ka10o</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Local labour market	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local labour market
1021	<a href="#">ka10p</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Organisations and associations	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Organisations and associations
1022	<a href="#">ka10q</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Famous locals	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Famous locals
1023	<a href="#">ka10r</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Local politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local politics
1024	<a href="#">ka10s</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Local workplaces	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local workplaces
1025	<a href="#">ka10t</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: School issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - School issues
1026	<a href="#">ka10u</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment and local events	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							contain it. - Entertainment and local events
1027	<a href="#">ka10y</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment
1028	<a href="#">ka10w</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Cartoons	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cartoons
1029	<a href="#">ka10x</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Short stories, serials	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	171363	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Short stories, serials
1030	<a href="#">ka10y</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Music and theater	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	173163	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music and theater
1031	<a href="#">ka10z</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Music	discrete	numeric-2.0	5436	172748	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music
1032	<a href="#">ka10aa</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Relational issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Relational issues
1033	<a href="#">ka10ab</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: About radio and tv programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - About radio and tv programs
1034	<a href="#">ka10ac</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Interior design	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	171363	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Interior design
1035	<a href="#">ka10ad</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Cooking	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	171363	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cooking



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1036	<a href="#">ka10ac</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Fashion	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	171363	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Fashion
1037	<a href="#">ka10af</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Consumer information	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Consumer information
1038	<a href="#">ka10ag</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Crossword puzzles and competitions	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Crossword puzzles and competitions
1039	<a href="#">ka10ah</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Popular science	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Popular science
1040	<a href="#">ka10ai</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Computers/IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	3659	174525	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Computers/IT
1041	<a href="#">ka10aj</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Local business advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	167735	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local business advertisements
1042	<a href="#">ka10ak</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Weekly advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	173163	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Weekly advertisements
1043	<a href="#">ka10al</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Advertisements by chain/department stores	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	171363	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Advertisements by chain stores/department stores
1044	<a href="#">ka10am</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: National and local government advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	173163	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - National and local government advertisements
1045	<a href="#">ka10an</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Job advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Job advertisements
1046	<a href="#">ka10ao</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Real estate advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	173163	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Real estate advertisements
1047	<a href="#">ka10ap</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Housing advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	5428	172756	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Housing advertisements
1048	<a href="#">ka10aq</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	5428	172756	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment advertisements
1049	<a href="#">ka10ar</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Car and motor advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	176325	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Car and motor advertisements
1050	<a href="#">ka10as</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Classified advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	169504	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Classified advertisements
1051	<a href="#">ka10at</a>	Importance of content in newspapers: Private small advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Private small advertisements
1052	<a href="#">ka100</a>	Have you considered cancelling newspaper subscription?	discrete	numeric-2.0	28447	149737	Have you considered cancelling one or several of your daily newspaper subscriptions, or has this been discussed within the family during the last 6 months?
1053	<a href="#">ka101a</a>	Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it's not affordable	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Everything has become so expensive that it is not affordable
1054	<a href="#">ka101b</a>	Cancelling subscription: Increase in the cost of the subscription	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Increase in the cost of the subscription
1055	<a href="#">ka101c</a>	Cancelling subscription: I get local information through radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							- I get local information through radio and tv
1056	<a href="#">ka101d</a>	Cancelling subscription: Badly organized newspaper distribution	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Badly organized newspaper distribution
1057	<a href="#">ka101e</a>	Cancelling subscription: I do not have the time to read it	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not have the time to read it
1058	<a href="#">ka101f</a>	Cancelling subscription: I have access to the newspaper at work/school	discrete	numeric-2.0	23298	154886	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I have access to the newspaper at work/school
1059	<a href="#">ka101g</a>	Cancelling subscription: I can borrow it from a friend/ neighbour	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	161951	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can borrow it from a friend/ neighbour
1060	<a href="#">ka101h</a>	Cancelling subscription: I do not like the politics of the newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	18092	160092	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not like the politics of the newspaper
1061	<a href="#">ka101i</a>	Cancelling subscription: Poor content in the newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	153343	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor content in the newspaper
1062	<a href="#">ka101j</a>	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too few advertismsents	discrete	numeric-2.0	14334	163850	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too few advertismsents
1063	<a href="#">ka101k</a>	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too many advertismsents	discrete	numeric-2.0	10895	167289	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too many advertismsents
1064	<a href="#">ka101l</a>	Cancelling subscription: I moved to another community	discrete	numeric-2.0	14334	163850	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I moved to another community
1065	<a href="#">ka101m</a>	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has changed drastically	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	161951	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has changed drastically
1066	<a href="#">ka101n</a>	Cancelling subscription: Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	161951	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality
1067	<a href="#">ka101o</a>	Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper on the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	17724	160460	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper on the Internet
1068	<a href="#">ka101p</a>	Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper when travelling by PT	discrete	numeric-2.0	5463	172721	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper when travelling by public transport (bus, train etc.)
1069	<a href="#">ka101q</a>	Cancelling subscription: I get the news I need from a free paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	12349	165835	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get the news I need from a free paper
1070	<a href="#">ka101r</a>	Cancelling subscription: The paper has adopted a format that doesn't suit me	discrete	numeric-2.0	3545	174639	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The paper has adopted a format that doesn't suit me

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1071	<a href="#">ka101v</a>	Cancelling subscription: Other reason	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	172874	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Other reason
1072	<a href="#">ka200a</a>	General impression of TV channels: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	136244	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT1
1073	<a href="#">ka200b</a>	General impression of TV channels: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	136244	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT2
1074	<a href="#">ka200c</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	136244	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV3
1075	<a href="#">ka200d</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	136244	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4
1076	<a href="#">ka200e</a>	General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	136244	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 5
1077	<a href="#">ka200f</a>	General impression of TV channels: ZTV	discrete	numeric-2.0	16093	162091	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - ZTV
1078	<a href="#">ka200g</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV6	discrete	numeric-2.0	40109	138075	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV6
1079	<a href="#">ka200h</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV21	discrete	numeric-2.0	7014	171170	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV21
1080	<a href="#">ka200i</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV8	discrete	numeric-2.0	7372	170812	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV8
1081	<a href="#">ka200j</a>	General impression of TV channels: Viasat Nature/ Action	discrete	numeric-2.0	7303	170881	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Viasat Nature/ Action
1082	<a href="#">ka200k</a>	General impression of TV channels: SVT B Barnkanalen	discrete	numeric-2.0	25893	152291	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT B Barnkanalen
1083	<a href="#">ka200l</a>	General impression of TV channels: SVT24	discrete	numeric-2.0	18883	159301	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT24
1084	<a href="#">ka200m</a>	General impression of TV channels: TV4 Plus	discrete	numeric-2.0	15546	162638	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4 Plus
1085	<a href="#">ka200n</a>	General impression of TV channels: Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	discrete	numeric-2.0	25695	152489	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)
1086	<a href="#">ka200o</a>	General impression of TV channels: Kanal 9	discrete	numeric-2.0	11704	166480	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 9
1087	<a href="#">ka250a</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Children's programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Children's programs
1088	<a href="#">ka250b</a>	TV channel with the best programs: News	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - News
1089	<a href="#">ka250c</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Sports
1090	<a href="#">ka250d</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Light entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	33902	144282	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Light entertainment
1091	<a href="#">ka250e</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	173250	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Entertainment programs

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1092	<a href="#">ka250f</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Nature programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Nature programs
1093	<a href="#">ka250g</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Public affairs
1094	<a href="#">ka250h</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Theatre, opera, concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Theatre, opera, concert
1095	<a href="#">ka250i</a>	TV channel with the best programs: TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	12581	165603	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - TV series
1096	<a href="#">ka250j</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	5541	172643	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series
1097	<a href="#">ka250k</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series/drama/comedy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20714	157470	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series/drama/comedy
1098	<a href="#">ka250l</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	5541	172643	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series
1099	<a href="#">ka250m</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series/drama/comedy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20714	157470	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series/drama/comedy
1100	<a href="#">ka250n</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Feature films	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Feature films
1101	<a href="#">ka250o</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Popular music	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	139348	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Popular music
1102	<a href="#">ka250p</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	36947	141237	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Youth/teen programs
1103	<a href="#">ka250q</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Arts and culture programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	36947	141237	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Arts and culture programs
1104	<a href="#">ka250r</a>	TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries	discrete	numeric-2.0	31609	146575	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Documentaries
1105	<a href="#">ka300</a>	Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1889	176295	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
1106	<a href="#">ka301</a>	Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?	discrete	numeric-2.0	48955	129229	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
1107	<a href="#">ka500a</a>	Trust in media: The local morning press	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	172924	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The local morning press
1108	<a href="#">ka500b</a>	Trust in media: The morning press in Stockholm	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	172924	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The morning press in Stockholm
1109	<a href="#">ka500c</a>	Trust in media: The evening press	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	172924	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The evening press

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1110	<a href="#">ka500d</a>	Trust in media: Swedish Television (SVT1, SVT2)	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	172924	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Swedish Television (SVT1, SVT2)
1111	<a href="#">ka500e</a>	Trust in media: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3678	174506	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - TV4
1112	<a href="#">ka500f</a>	Trust in media: National Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3439	174745	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - National Radio
1113	<a href="#">ka500g</a>	Trust in media: Radio channel P4 (former local radio)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3439	174745	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Radio channel P4 (former local radio)
1114	<a href="#">ka501a</a>	Trust in media content: Swedish Television	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	161972	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television
1115	<a href="#">ka501b</a>	Trust in media content: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	161972	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
1116	<a href="#">ka501c</a>	Trust in media content: Other private tv channels	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	161972	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Other private tv channels
1117	<a href="#">ka501d</a>	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden's national channels (P1, P2, P3)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	160429	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden's national channels (P1, P2, P3)
1118	<a href="#">ka501e</a>	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden's local channels (P4)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	160429	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden's local channels (P4)
1119	<a href="#">ka501f</a>	Trust in media content: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	160429	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Private local radio
1120	<a href="#">ka501g</a>	Trust in media content: The local morning paper in your area	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	160429	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The local morning paper in your area
1121	<a href="#">ka501h</a>	Trust in media content: Stockholm's daily papers	discrete	numeric-2.0	14381	163803	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Stockholm's daily papers (Dagens Nyheter, Svenska Dagbladet)
1122	<a href="#">ka501i</a>	Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	1831	176353	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
1123	<a href="#">ka501j</a>	Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	1831	176353	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Svenska Dagbladet
1124	<a href="#">ka501k</a>	Trust in media content: Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	161972	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)
1125	<a href="#">ka501l</a>	Trust in media content: News services on the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	161972	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - News services on the internet
1126	<a href="#">ka501m</a>	Trust in media content: The daily free newspapers in large cities	discrete	numeric-2.0	8840	169344	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The daily free newspapers in large cities (Metro, Stockholm City)

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1127	<a href="#">ka502a</a>	Trust in media content: Swedish Television (SVT)	discrete	numeric-2.0	22273	155911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television (SVT)
1128	<a href="#">ka502b</a>	Trust in media content: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	20531	157653	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV3
1129	<a href="#">ka502c</a>	Trust in media content: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	22273	155911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
1130	<a href="#">ka502d</a>	Trust in media content: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	20531	157653	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Kanal 5
1131	<a href="#">ka502e</a>	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden (SR)	discrete	numeric-2.0	20531	157653	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden (SR)
1132	<a href="#">ka502f</a>	Trust in media content: Mix Megapol [private local radio]	discrete	numeric-2.0	20531	157653	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Mix Megapol [private local radio]
1133	<a href="#">ka502g</a>	Trust in media content: Rix FM [private local radio]	discrete	numeric-2.0	20531	157653	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Rix FM [private local radio]
1134	<a href="#">ka502h</a>	Trust in media content: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	22273	155911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Aftonbladet
1135	<a href="#">ka502i</a>	Trust in media content: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	22273	155911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Expressen
1136	<a href="#">ka502j</a>	Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	22273	155911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
1137	<a href="#">ka502k</a>	Trust in media content: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Metro
1138	<a href="#">ka502l</a>	Trust in media content: aftonbladet.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - aftonbladet.se
1139	<a href="#">ka502m</a>	Trust in media content: expressen.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - expressen.se
1140	<a href="#">ka502n</a>	Trust in media content: svt.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - svt.se
1141	<a href="#">ka502o</a>	Trust in media content: dn.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - dn.se
1142	<a href="#">ka502p</a>	Trust in media content: sverigesradio.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - sverigesradio.se
1143	<a href="#">ka502q</a>	Trust in media content: tv4.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	171597	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - tv4.se
1144	<a href="#">ka502r</a>	Trust in media content: newsmill.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	176531	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - newsmill.se

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1145	<a href="#">ka502t</a>	Trust in media content: Local morningpaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	15686	162498	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Local morningpaper
1146	<a href="#">ka901a</a>	Proposal: Prohibit all forms of pornography	discrete	numeric-2.0	6517	171667	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prohibit all forms of pornography
1147	<a href="#">kb10</a>	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?	discrete	numeric-2.0	42041	136143	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?
1148	<a href="#">la10</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema	discrete	numeric-2.0	115996	62188	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the cinema
1149	<a href="#">lb10a</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to the theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	105300	72884	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the theatre
1150	<a href="#">lb10b</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a rock/pop concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	62690	115494	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a rock/pop concert
1151	<a href="#">lb10c</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a musical	discrete	numeric-1.0	14940	163244	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a musical
1152	<a href="#">lb10d</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to the ballet, dance performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	27275	150909	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the ballet, dance performance
1153	<a href="#">lb10e</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a classical concert, opera	discrete	numeric-1.0	44971	133213	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a classical concert, opera
1154	<a href="#">lb1010a</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
1155	<a href="#">lb1010b</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Modern theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
1156	<a href="#">lb1010c</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Society orientated / political theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
1157	<a href="#">lb1010d</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Comedy	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
1158	<a href="#">lb1010e</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Farce	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
1159	<a href="#">lb1010f</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Musical	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
1160	<a href="#">lb1010g</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Opera	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
1161	<a href="#">lb1010h</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical music	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music
1162	<a href="#">lb1010i</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical ballet	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1163	<a href="#">lb1010j</a>	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Other dance	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	176477	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
1164	<a href="#">lb1011a</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
1165	<a href="#">lb1011b</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
1166	<a href="#">lb1011c</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated/polit theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
1167	<a href="#">lb1011d</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
1168	<a href="#">lb1011e</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Farce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
1169	<a href="#">lb1011f</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Musical	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
1170	<a href="#">lb1011g</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Opera	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
1171	<a href="#">lb1011h</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music
1172	<a href="#">lb1011i</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet
1173	<a href="#">lb1011j</a>	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	171395	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
1174	<a href="#">lc10a</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to see football/ice hockey	discrete	numeric-2.0	26277	151907	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to see football/ice hockey
1175	<a href="#">lc20a</a>	Senaste 12 mån: Besökt idrottsevenemang	discrete	numeric-2.0	28985	149199	-
1176	<a href="#">ld10a</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a museum	discrete	numeric-1.0	30500	147684	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a museum
1177	<a href="#">ld10b</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to an art exhibition	discrete	numeric-1.0	30494	147690	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to an art exhibition
1178	<a href="#">ld10c</a>	Past 12 months: Visited historical monument/building	discrete	numeric-2.0	22205	155979	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited historical monument/building
1179	<a href="#">ld10d</a>	Past 12 months: Visited ancient monument	discrete	numeric-2.0	22205	155979	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited ancient monument
1180	<a href="#">ld10e</a>	Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park	discrete	numeric-2.0	22206	155978	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited nature reserve/national park

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1181	<a href="#">le10a</a>	Past 12 months: Sang in a choir	discrete	numeric-11.0	16794	161390	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Sang in a choir
1182	<a href="#">le10b</a>	Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument	discrete	numeric-1.0	16537	161647	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played a musical instrument
1183	<a href="#">le10c</a>	Past 12 months: Drawn, painted	discrete	numeric-2.0	56907	121277	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Drawn, painted
1184	<a href="#">le10d</a>	Past 12 months: Written in diary/written poetry	discrete	numeric-2.0	46358	131826	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Written in diary/written poetry
1185	<a href="#">le10e</a>	Past 12 months: Played theater, participated in LARP etc.	discrete	numeric-1.0	28872	149312	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played theater, participated in LARP etc.
1186	<a href="#">le10f</a>	Past 12 months: Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)	discrete	numeric-2.0	53417	124767	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)
1187	<a href="#">ma10a</a>	Past 12 months: Socialized with friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	161286	16898	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with friends
1188	<a href="#">ma10b</a>	Past 12 months: Socialized with neighbors	discrete	numeric-2.0	66338	111846	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with neighbors
1189	<a href="#">ma10c</a>	Past 12 months: Helped/ recieved help from neighbor	discrete	numeric-2.0	16372	161812	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Helped/ recieved help from neighbor
1190	<a href="#">ma20a</a>	Past 12 months: Done garden work	discrete	numeric-2.0	31744	146440	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done garden work
1191	<a href="#">ma20b</a>	Past 12 months: Gardening/ balcony farming	discrete	numeric-2.0	8156	170028	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gardening/balcony farming
1192	<a href="#">ma20c</a>	Past 12 months: Done repair work on car/motorcycle/ moped	discrete	numeric-2.0	46750	131434	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped
1193	<a href="#">ma20d</a>	Past 12 months: Baked/ pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup	discrete	numeric-2.0	25449	152735	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Baked/ pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup
1194	<a href="#">ma30a</a>	Past 12 months: Done individual exercises or practised athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	13603	164581	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Done individual exercises or practised athletics
1195	<a href="#">ma30b</a>	Past 12 months: Exercised or practised athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	174651	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Exercised or practised athletics
1196	<a href="#">ma30c</a>	Past 12 months: Practised sports/athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	51655	126529	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Practised sports/athletics
1197	<a href="#">ma30d</a>	Past 12 months: Exercised/ engaged in outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	29626	148558	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1198	<a href="#">ma30e</a>	Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	25113	153071	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)
1199	<a href="#">ma30f</a>	Past 12 months: Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11703	166481	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)
1200	<a href="#">ma30g1</a>	Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17136	161048	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)
1201	<a href="#">ma30g</a>	Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	101311	76873	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Engaged in outdoor activities
1202	<a href="#">ma30h</a>	Past 12 months: Exercised/practised athletics/physical training	discrete	numeric-2.0	4890	173294	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/practised athletics/physical training
1203	<a href="#">ma30i</a>	Past 12 months: Gone out for a long walk	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	170014	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone out for a long walk
1204	<a href="#">ma30j</a>	Past 12 months: Gone hunting	discrete	numeric-2.0	16179	162005	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone hunting
1205	<a href="#">ma30k</a>	Past 12 months: Gone fishing	discrete	numeric-2.0	9707	168477	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone fishing
1206	<a href="#">ma30l</a>	Past 12 months: Exercised/ worked out	discrete	numeric-2.0	104387	73797	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/worked out
1207	<a href="#">ma40a</a>	Past 12 months: Smoked/ used snuff	discrete	numeric-2.0	35904	142280	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked/ used snuff
1208	<a href="#">ma40b</a>	Past 12 months: Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe	discrete	numeric-2.0	39600	138584	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe
1209	<a href="#">ma40c</a>	Past 12 months: Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer	discrete	numeric-2.0	127552	50632	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer
1210	<a href="#">ma40d</a>	Past 12 months: Eaten fast food	discrete	numeric-2.0	29817	148367	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten fast food
1211	<a href="#">ma40e</a>	Past 12 months: Eaten vegan food	discrete	numeric-2.0	17697	160487	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten vegan food
1212	<a href="#">ma40f</a>	Past 12 months: Eaten meat	discrete	numeric-2.0	38415	139769	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten meat
1213	<a href="#">ma40g</a>	Past 12 months: Consumed spirits	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits
1214	<a href="#">ma40h</a>	Past 12 months: Used Swedish snuff (snus)	discrete	numeric-2.0	53490	124694	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used Swedish snuff (snus)

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1215	<a href="#">ma40i</a>	Past 12 months: Consumed wine	discrete	numeric-2.0	6513	171671	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed wine
1216	<a href="#">ma40j</a>	Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer	discrete	numeric-2.0	6513	171671	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer
1217	<a href="#">ma40k</a>	Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself	discrete	numeric-2.0	22089	156095	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Intoxicated yourself
1218	<a href="#">ma40l</a>	Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits	discrete	numeric-2.0	6499	171685	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits
1219	<a href="#">ma50a</a>	Past 12 months: Eaten breakfast outside your home	discrete	numeric-2.0	30732	147452	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten breakfast outside your home
1220	<a href="#">ma50b</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant at night	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	176541	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant at night
1221	<a href="#">ma50c</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night	discrete	numeric-2.0	156438	21746	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night
1222	<a href="#">ma50d</a>	Past 12 months: Danced	discrete	numeric-2.0	28694	149490	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Danced
1223	<a href="#">ma60a</a>	Past 12 months: Played cards/tabletop games	discrete	numeric-2.0	16306	161878	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played cards/tabletop games
1224	<a href="#">ma60b</a>	Past 12 months: Solved crosswords/sudoku	discrete	numeric-2.0	29535	148649	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Solved crosswords/sudoku
1225	<a href="#">ma60c</a>	Past 12 months: Handcrafted	discrete	numeric-2.0	43822	134362	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Handcrafted
1226	<a href="#">ma60d</a>	Past 12 months: Participated in study circles/courses	discrete	numeric-2.0	55147	123037	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in study circles/courses
1227	<a href="#">ma60f</a>	Past 12 months: Photographed/filmed	discrete	numeric-2.0	30322	147862	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Photographed/filmed
1228	<a href="#">ma60g</a>	Past 12 months: Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	11470	166714	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization
1229	<a href="#">ma70a</a>	Past 12 months: Travelled abroad	discrete	numeric-2.0	46580	131604	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled abroad
1230	<a href="#">ma70b</a>	Past 12 months: Driven a car	discrete	numeric-2.0	121645	56539	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Driven a car
1231	<a href="#">ma70c</a>	Past 12 months: Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	28350	149834	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)
1232	<a href="#">ma70d</a>	Past 12 months: Used public transportation	discrete	numeric-2.0	88063	90121	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1233	<a href="#">ma70e</a>	Past 12 months: Travelled by plane	discrete	numeric-2.0	6363	171821	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by plane
1234	<a href="#">ma70f</a>	Past 12 months: Travelled by train	discrete	numeric-2.0	6363	171821	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by train
1235	<a href="#">ma80a</a>	Past 12 months: Worked overtime	discrete	numeric-2.0	50196	127988	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked overtime
1236	<a href="#">ma80b</a>	Past 12 months: Travelling on official business	discrete	numeric-2.0	25993	152191	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelling on official business
1237	<a href="#">ma190a</a>	Past 12 months: Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way	discrete	numeric-2.0	19673	158511	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way
1238	<a href="#">ma190b</a>	Past 12 months: Donated money to an aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	90535	87649	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to an aid organization
1239	<a href="#">ma190c</a>	Past 12 months: Been busy w. activities/errands outside your home in the evening	discrete	numeric-2.0	10609	167575	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Been busy with activities/errands outside the home in the evening
1240	<a href="#">mb40a</a>	Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	171668	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Sports or outdoor organisation
1241	<a href="#">mb40b</a>	Membership/active in: Labor union	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	173246	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Labor union
1242	<a href="#">mb40c</a>	Membership/active in: HSB or any other homeowners' association [condos only]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	174889	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - HSB or any other homeowners' association [condominiums only]
1243	<a href="#">mb40d</a>	Membership/active in: Tenants' or house-owners' association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	174889	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Tenants' or house-owners' association
1244	<a href="#">mb40e</a>	Membership/active in: Trade/business or farmers' association	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	173246	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Trade/business or farmers' association
1245	<a href="#">mb40f</a>	Membership/active in: Political party (also women's or youth organisation)	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	171668	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Political party (also women's or youth organisation)
1246	<a href="#">mb40g</a>	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3221	174963	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							these organisations? - Environmental organisation
1247	<a href="#">mb50a</a>	Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	50927	127257	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Sports or outdoor organisation
1248	<a href="#">mb50b</a>	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	50927	127257	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Environmental organisation
1249	<a href="#">mb50c</a>	Membership/active in: Political party (also women's or youth organisation)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49148	129036	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Political party (also women's or youth organisation)
1250	<a href="#">mb50f</a>	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	40545	137639	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Labor union/organization
1251	<a href="#">mb50g</a>	Membership/active in: Tenants' association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	174651	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Tenants' association
1252	<a href="#">mb50h</a>	Membership/active in: Tenants' association, home or house-owners' association	discrete	numeric-2.0	25144	153040	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association
1253	<a href="#">mb50i</a>	Membership/active in: Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)	discrete	numeric-2.0	36936	141248	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)
1254	<a href="#">mb50j</a>	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	35449	142735	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Pensioners association
1255	<a href="#">mb50k</a>	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	26402	151782	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Humanitarian aid organization
1256	<a href="#">mb50l</a>	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org	discrete	numeric-2.0	16147	162037	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1257	<a href="#">mb50p</a>	Membership/active in: Consumer cooperative	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	174651	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in these organisations - Consumer cooperative
1258	<a href="#">mb50s</a>	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	13642	164542	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Temperance organization
1259	<a href="#">mb50t</a>	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	11868	166316	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Immigrant organization
1260	<a href="#">mb50v</a>	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	8369	169815	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Disability organization
1261	<a href="#">mb50w</a>	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	176477	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Hunting organization
1262	<a href="#">mb50x</a>	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	5033	173151	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Local heritage/history association
1263	<a href="#">mb50z</a>	Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1598	176586	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Community association (byalag)
1264	<a href="#">mb60a</a>	Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation
1265	<a href="#">mb60b</a>	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation
1266	<a href="#">mb60c</a>	Membership/active in: Political party/organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
1267	<a href="#">mb60e</a>	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization
1268	<a href="#">mb60f</a>	Membership/active in: Cultural society	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
1269	<a href="#">mb60g</a>	Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49243	128941	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Community association (byalag)
1270	<a href="#">mb60h</a>	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	96716	81468	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
1271	<a href="#">mb60i</a>	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or	discrete	numeric-2.0	50874	127310	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		other religious community/ org					of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1272	<a href="#">mb60j</a>	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	174950	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1273	<a href="#">mb60k</a>	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	27658	150526	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization
1274	<a href="#">mb60l</a>	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	174825	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
1275	<a href="#">mb60m</a>	Membership/active in: Organization for patients and caregivers	discrete	numeric-2.0	11553	166631	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Organization for patients and caregivers
1276	<a href="#">mb60n</a>	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	9925	168259	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
1277	<a href="#">mb60o</a>	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	174950	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
1278	<a href="#">mb60p</a>	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	83531	94653	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
1279	<a href="#">mb99a</a>	Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	152581	25603	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/ outdoor organisation
1280	<a href="#">mb99b</a>	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	149286	28898	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation
1281	<a href="#">mb99c</a>	Membership/active in: Political party/organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	150802	27382	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
1282	<a href="#">mb99d</a>	Membership/active in: Cultural society	discrete	numeric-2.0	133652	44532	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
1283	<a href="#">mb99e</a>	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	142199	35985	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/ organization
1284	<a href="#">mb99f</a>	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	50841	127343	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1285	<a href="#">mb99g</a>	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	123118	55066	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
1286	<a href="#">mb99h</a>	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/ org	discrete	numeric-2.0	21148	157036	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1287	<a href="#">mb99i</a>	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	8267	169917	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1288	<a href="#">mb99j</a>	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	39526	138658	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1289	<a href="#">mb99k</a>	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	17001	161183	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
1290	<a href="#">mb99l</a>	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	18294	159890	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
1291	<a href="#">mb99m</a>	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	4941	173243	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
1292	<a href="#">mb99n</a>	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	118980	59204	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
1293	<a href="#">mb99o</a>	Membership/active in: Residents' /house-owners' association, housing cooperative	discrete	numeric-2.0	25144	153040	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association
1294	<a href="#">mc10a</a>	Past 12 months: Bought or sold stocks/fund shares	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	176541	In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities? - Bought or sold stocks/fund shares
1295	<a href="#">mc10c</a>	Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	158810	19374	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.
1296	<a href="#">mc10f</a>	Past 12 months: Traded securities/stocks/funds	discrete	numeric-2.0	7094	171090	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Traded securities/stocks/funds
1297	<a href="#">mc10k</a>	Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks	discrete	numeric-2.0	73370	104814	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Traded with shares/stocks
1298	<a href="#">mc100a</a>	Priceworthy: A paperback	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A paperback
1299	<a href="#">mc100b</a>	Priceworthy: A weekly magazine	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A weekly magazine
1300	<a href="#">mc100c</a>	Priceworthy: A cd	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cd
1301	<a href="#">mc100d</a>	Priceworthy: A theater ticket	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A theater ticket
1302	<a href="#">mc100e</a>	Priceworthy: A cinema ticket	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cinema ticket
1303	<a href="#">mc100f</a>	Priceworthy: A ticket to a football/hockey game	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a football/hockey game
1304	<a href="#">mc100g</a>	Priceworthy: A restaurant visit	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A restaurant visit
1305	<a href="#">mc100h</a>	Priceworthy: A ticket to a music concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	176409	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a music concert
1306	<a href="#">mc101a</a>	Priceworthy: Paperback	discrete	numeric-2.0	11600	166584	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Paperback

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1307	<a href="#">mc101b</a>	Priceworthy: Cd	discrete	numeric-2.0	8381	169803	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cd
1308	<a href="#">mc101c</a>	Priceworthy: Cinema visit	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	168212	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cinema visit
1309	<a href="#">mc101d</a>	Priceworthy: Theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	168212	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Theatre
1310	<a href="#">mc101e</a>	Priceworthy: Subscription to the daily paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	168212	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Subscription to the daily paper
1311	<a href="#">mc101f</a>	Priceworthy: Broadband subscriptions	discrete	numeric-2.0	8381	169803	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Broadband subscriptions
1312	<a href="#">mc101o</a>	Priceworthy: Pay-TV channels	discrete	numeric-2.0	6612	171572	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Pay-TV channels
1313	<a href="#">mc101p</a>	Priceworthy: Designer clothes	discrete	numeric-2.0	9831	168353	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Designer clothes
1314	<a href="#">mc101q</a>	Priceworthy: KRAV-certified food [produced in an env friendly/ethical manner]	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - KRAV-certified food [food produced in an environmental friendly and ethical manner]
1315	<a href="#">mc101t</a>	Priceworthy: Design furniture	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	170014	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Design furniture
1316	<a href="#">mc101u</a>	Priceworthy: Body care treatment	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	170014	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Body care treatment
1317	<a href="#">mc101v</a>	Priceworthy: Fairtrade labelled products	discrete	numeric-2.0	1702	176482	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Fairtrade labelled products
1318	<a href="#">md10</a>	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?	discrete	numeric-2.0	154765	23419	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?
1319	<a href="#">md11</a>	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	176415	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?
1320	<a href="#">md12</a>	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1597	176587	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?
1321	<a href="#">md30</a>	Overall, how happy would you say you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6971	171213	Overall, how happy would you say you are?
1322	<a href="#">md60a</a>	Important for well-being: Good health	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good health
1323	<a href="#">md60b</a>	Important for well-being: Good private economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good private economy
1324	<a href="#">md60c</a>	Important for well-being: Good friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good friends

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1325	<a href="#">md60d</a>	Important for well-being: Family	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family
1326	<a href="#">md60e</a>	Important for well-being: An enriched leisure time	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An enriched leisure time
1327	<a href="#">md60f</a>	Important for well-being: Nature experiences	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Nature experiences
1328	<a href="#">md60g</a>	Important for well-being: Excitement in life	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Excitement in life
1329	<a href="#">md60h</a>	Important for well-being: A meaningful job	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A meaningful job
1330	<a href="#">md60i</a>	Important for well-being: General knowledge	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - General knowledge
1331	<a href="#">md60j</a>	Important for well-being: Political involvement	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Political involvement
1332	<a href="#">md60k</a>	Important for well-being: Exercise/training	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Exercise/training
1333	<a href="#">md60l</a>	Important for well-being: A safe living environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A safe living environment
1334	<a href="#">md60m</a>	Important for well-being: A loving relationship	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A loving relationship
1335	<a href="#">md60n</a>	Important for well-being: Good housing	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good housing
1336	<a href="#">md60o</a>	Important for well-being: To be well dressed	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To be well dressed
1337	<a href="#">md60p</a>	Important for well-being: To look good	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To look good
1338	<a href="#">md60q</a>	Important for well-being: A religious faith	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A religious faith
1339	<a href="#">md60r</a>	Important for well-being: Social reputation	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
1340	<a href="#">md60s</a>	Important for well-being: Inner harmony	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
1341	<a href="#">md60t</a>	Important for well-being: To help others	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To help others
1342	<a href="#">md60u</a>	Important for well-being: Success in work/studies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	173164	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Success in work/studies
1343	<a href="#">mf10</a>	State of health (personal assessment)	discrete	numeric-2.0	138641	39543	How do you rate your general health state?

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1344	<a href="#">mf30</a>	State of health (personal assessment)	discrete	numeric-2.0	10805	167379	How do you rate your general health state?
1345	<a href="#">mf50a</a>	Recurring health issues: Headache or dizziness	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Headache or dizziness
1346	<a href="#">mf50b</a>	Recurring health issues: Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues
1347	<a href="#">mf50c</a>	Recurring health issues: Heart problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Heart problems
1348	<a href="#">mf50d</a>	Recurring health issues: Stomach problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Stomach problems
1349	<a href="#">mf50e</a>	Recurring health issues: Sleep difficulties	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Sleep difficulties
1350	<a href="#">mf50f</a>	Recurring health issues: Anxiety/depression	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Anxiety/depression
1351	<a href="#">mf50g</a>	Recurring health issues: Reduced physical mobility	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Reduced physical mobility
1352	<a href="#">mf50h</a>	Recurring health issues: Allergies	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Allergies
1353	<a href="#">mf50i</a>	Recurring health issues: Other type of health problem	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	168416	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Other type of health problem
1354	<a href="#">mf100a</a>	Height and weight: Length (in cm)	continuous	numeric-2.0	26258	151926	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Length (in cm)
1355	<a href="#">mf100b</a>	Height and weight: Weight (in kg)	continuous	numeric-2.0	26258	151926	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Weight (in kg)
1356	<a href="#">mf100c</a>	Length and weight: Do not want to answer	discrete	numeric-2.0	24489	153695	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Do not want to answer
1357	<a href="#">mg900a</a>	Proposal: Lower the alcohol tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	32305	145879	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Lower the alcohol tax
1358	<a href="#">mg900b</a>	Proposal: Raise the alcohol tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	28562	149622	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Raise the alcohol tax
1359	<a href="#">mg901a</a>	Proposal: Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores	discrete	numeric-2.0	39406	138778	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?

File SICD v2023.1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores
1360	<a href="#">ng10</a>	Which weekday was it yesterday?	discrete	numeric-2.0	10701	167483	Which weekday was it yesterday?
1361	<a href="#">lmsit1986</a>	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	19799	158385	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1362	<a href="#">lmsit1998</a>	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	148019	30165	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1363	<a href="#">lmsit</a>	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	167818	10366	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1364	<a href="#">working</a>	Gainfully employed	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1365	<a href="#">unemployed</a>	Unemployed/in labour market program/training	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1366	<a href="#">occgr</a>	Current or previous occupational group	discrete	numeric-8.0	178184	0	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
1367	<a href="#">occupati ..</a>	Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49069	129115	What is/was your occupation?
1368	<a href="#">ssyk</a>	Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)	discrete	numeric-8.0	132609	45575	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
1369	<a href="#">eurosec</a>	Occupation (Esec)	discrete	numeric-8.0	106201	71983	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
1370	<a href="#">sector1986</a>	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	20431	157753	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
1371	<a href="#">sector1998</a>	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	38673	139511	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?
1372	<a href="#">sector2009</a>	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	119080	59104	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?
1373	<a href="#">sector</a>	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	176571	1613	Do/did you work in the public or private sector?
1374	<a href="#">empfp1986</a>	Do/did you work full time or part time	discrete	numeric-2.0	17854	160330	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1375	<a href="#">empfp1998</a>	Do/did you work full time or part time	discrete	numeric-2.0	30798	147386	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1376	<a href="#">empfp2011</a>	Do/did you work full time or part time?	discrete	numeric-2.0	29733	148451	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1377	<a href="#">workhour</a>	Average weekly working hours	discrete	numeric-2.0	63162	115022	What are/were your normal weekly working hours?
1378	<a href="#">unionm1986</a>	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	23992	154192	Are you a union member?
1379	<a href="#">unionm1999</a>	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	152845	25339	Are you a union member?
1380	<a href="#">unionm2012</a>	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	104427	73757	Are you a union member?
1381	<a href="#">unionm</a>	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	178184	0	Are you a union member?

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1382	<a href="#">unionm_o..</a>	Union membership (open question)	discrete	numeric-2.0	104427	73757	Are you a union member?
1383	<a href="#">edu1986</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1624	176560	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1384	<a href="#">edu1988</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	8265	169919	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1385	<a href="#">edu1993</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5338	172846	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1386	<a href="#">edu1996</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21390	156794	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1387	<a href="#">edu2003</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3675	174509	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1388	<a href="#">edu2004</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	101327	76857	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1389	<a href="#">edu2020</a>	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	34894	143290	What is your highest attained level of education?
1390	<a href="#">edu3</a>	Educational attainment (3 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	171152	7032	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1391	<a href="#">edu2</a>	Educational attainment (2 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	171152	7032	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1392	<a href="#">pinc2009</a>	Monthly personal income	discrete	numeric-2.0	3389	174795	What is your your monthly net personal income?
1393	<a href="#">pinc2011</a>	Monthly personal income	discrete	numeric-2.0	55979	122205	What is normally your your monthly net personal income?
1394	<a href="#">hinc1986</a>	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	171668	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
1395	<a href="#">hinc1990</a>	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	5044	173140	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
1396	<a href="#">hinc1993</a>	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	12432	165752	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the approximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).
1397	<a href="#">hinc1999</a>	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	31853	146331	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the approximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							your household (including pension and study allowance).
1398	<a href="#">hinc2008</a>	Gross household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	11490	166694	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1399	<a href="#">hinc2011</a>	Gross household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	109042	69142	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1400	<a href="#">hinc3rel</a>	Gross household income (3 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	162390	15794	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1401	<a href="#">hinc5rel</a>	Gross household income (5 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	162390	15794	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1402	<a href="#">oinc4rel</a>	Monthly personal income (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	23767	154417	-
1403	<a href="#">oinc5rel</a>	Monthly personal income (5 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	23767	154417	-
1404	<a href="#">cityrur1..</a>	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	20102	158082	How would you characterize the area where you live?
1405	<a href="#">cityrur1..</a>	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	47378	130806	In what type of area do you live?
1406	<a href="#">cityrur2..</a>	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	109147	69037	In what type of area do you live?
1407	<a href="#">cityrur</a>	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	173639	4545	In what type of area do you live?
1408	<a href="#">natgeo</a>	Region in Sweden Data from national population register	discrete	numeric-2.0	177725	459	-
1409	<a href="#">subclh1986</a>	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	52638	125546	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1410	<a href="#">subclh2008</a>	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	111016	67168	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1411	<a href="#">subclg1990</a>	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	47424	130760	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1412	<a href="#">subclg2008</a>	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	111174	67010	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1413	<a href="#">subclh</a>	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	163654	14530	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1414	<a href="#">subclg</a>	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	158598	19586	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most

<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1415	<a href="#">growupp</a>	Area/country of upbringing: Yourself	discrete	numeric-2.0	156371	21813	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Yourself
1416	<a href="#">growupf</a>	Area/country of upbringing: Your father	discrete	numeric-2.0	151389	26795	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your father
1417	<a href="#">growupm</a>	Area/country of upbringing: Your mother	discrete	numeric-2.0	151870	26314	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your mother
1418	<a href="#">citizen1 ..</a>	Swedish citizen	discrete	numeric-2.0	26726	151458	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
1419	<a href="#">citizen2 ..</a>	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-2.0	139885	38299	Are you...:
1420	<a href="#">citizen</a>	Swedish citizen	discrete	numeric-2.0	166611	11573	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
1421	<a href="#">hhtypela</a>	Type of household: Living by myself	discrete	numeric-2.0	176491	1693	What does your household look like?
1422	<a href="#">hhtypeg</a>	Type of household: Household shared with an adult	discrete	numeric-2.0	148584	29600	What does your household look like?
1423	<a href="#">hhtypegg</a>	Type of household: Household shared with several adults	discrete	numeric-2.0	148584	29600	What does your household look like?
1424	<a href="#">hhtypec</a>	Type of household: Household shared with one or several children	discrete	numeric-2.0	176513	1671	What does your household look like?
1425	<a href="#">childha03</a>	Number and age of children in household: 0-3 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	166624	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1426	<a href="#">childha46</a>	Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	166624	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1427	<a href="#">childha715</a>	Number and age of children in household: 7-15 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	166624	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1428	<a href="#">childhao16</a>	Number and age of children in household: 16 years or older	discrete	numeric-2.0	139896	38288	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1429	<a href="#">mstatus</a>	Marital status	discrete	numeric-8.2	176513	1671	Are you?
1430	<a href="#">mstatus2</a>	Marital status 2-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	9889	168295	Are you single or cohabitated/married?
1431	<a href="#">mstatus3</a>	Marital status 3-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	30403	147781	Are you single, cohabitated or married?
1432	<a href="#">mstatus4</a>	Marital status 4-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	91259	86925	What is your marital status?
1433	<a href="#">mstatus5</a>	Marital status 5-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	44962	133222	Are you?
1434	<a href="#">sex</a>	Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)	discrete	numeric-8.0	178062	122	Are you a woman or a man?
1435	<a href="#">yearofbi ..</a>	Year of birth (response, supplemented with data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	178060	124	What year were you born?
1436	<a href="#">yearofbi ..</a>	Year of birth (data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	122010	56174	-



<b>File SICD v2023.1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1437	<a href="#">age</a>	Age (based on yearofbirth, supplemented with data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	178061	123	What year were you born?
1438	<a href="#">agereg</a>	Age (data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	122010	56174	-
1439	<a href="#">age1675</a>	Age (only those between 16 and 75)	discrete	numeric-2.0	162319	15865	What year were you born?
1440	<a href="#">age1680</a>	Age (only those between 16 and 80)	discrete	numeric-2.0	171930	6254	What year were you born?
1441	<a href="#">age4a</a>	Age category: (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	177267	917	What year were you born?
1442	<a href="#">age4b</a>	Age category: (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	177267	917	What year were you born?
1443	<a href="#">age8a</a>	Age category: (8 point scale, ten year intervals)	discrete	numeric-2.0	177267	917	What year were you born?
1444	<a href="#">age8b</a>	Age category: (8 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	177267	917	What year were you born?
1445	<a href="#">age9</a>	Age category: (9 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	177267	917	What year were you born?
1446	<a href="#">gen10t</a>	Generation: 10-year cohorts	discrete	numeric-2.0	177812	372	What year were you born?
1447	<a href="#">genzukin</a>	Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)	discrete	numeric-2.0	173604	4580	What year were you born?
1448	<a href="#">cc11aw</a>	Like/dislike: Märta Stenevi	discrete	numeric-2.0	23767	154417	-
1449	<a href="#">ab200f</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	178184	-
1450	<a href="#">ab200g</a>	To what extent involved in corruption: The arms industry	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	178184	-
1451	<a href="#">ce900c</a>	-	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	178184	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 1451 variable(s)

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # idnr: ID number

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-32000000] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=824546.204 /-] [StdDev=3595102.475 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The original identification code given to each respondent. However, this code is not unique 1986-1998, see also yearid for a unique id number.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

### # year: Year of survey

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1986-2013] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2010.835 /-] [StdDev=9.594 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Anger undersökningsåret (1986-).
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

### # yearid: YEARxID. Unique identification number

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 198600070003-201300990030] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201084365716.833 /-] [StdDev=959245066.573 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	A unique id number; the sum of (year*10000000)+idnr. Up until 1998, the id number was unique within each sample, but not in relation to other samples.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

### # formular: Questionnaire edition

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Gives the edition in which the question was asked. 1986-1997 there was a single edition for National SOM (0 "National"). In 1998 the survey was split into two separate editions (1 "National-1" and 2 "National-2"). In 2009 a third edition was added (3 "National-3"). Note that some questions are not asked in all editions.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	National	0	
1	National 1	62370	35.0%
2	National 2	43762	24.6%
3	National 3	23783	13.3%
4	National 4	18639	10.5%
5		14029	7.9%
6		12203	6.8%
7		3398	1.9%
10	West	0	
11	West Gothenburg	0	
12	West Västra Götaland	0	
20	South	0	
30	Värmland	0	
100	Student	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # indatum: Date of questionnaire delivery

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-20120213] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=156101 /-] [Invalid=22083 /-] [Mean=20098432.458 /-] [StdDev=661838.516 /-]

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # indatum: Date of questionnaire delivery

**Definition** Gives the date when the questionnaire arrived (YYYYMMDD).

**Notes** 1987, 1989, 1991-1994, 1996- (National); 1996- (West)

### # lan: County code

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Definition** Gives the county (län) where the respondent is registered. This is an older subdivision where the counties of Kristianstad, Älvsborg and Skaraborg are included. Based on population registers.

**Notes** 1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm County	35866	20.1%
3	Uppsala County	6409	3.6%
4	Södermanland County	5248	2.9%
5	Östergötland County	8362	4.7%
6	Jönköping County	6656	3.7%
7	Kronoberg County	3603	2.0%
8	Kalmar County	4697	2.6%
9	Gotland County	1171	0.7%
10	Blekinge County	3069	1.7%
11	Kristianstad County	621	0.3%
12	Skåne County	21842	12.3%
13	Halland County	5904	3.3%
14	Västra Götaland County	28941	16.2%
15	Älvsborg County	1044	0.6%
16	Skaraborg County	658	0.4%
17	Värmland County	5279	3.0%
18	Örebro County	5447	3.1%
19	Västmanland County	4808	2.7%
20	Dalarna County	5453	3.1%
21	Gävleborg County	5147	2.9%
22	Västernorrland County	4707	2.6%
23	Jämtland County	2677	1.5%
24	Västerbotten County	5279	3.0%
25	Norrbottn County	4831	2.7%
99	Information missing	465	0.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # lanskod2010: County code in acc. with 2010 classification

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=178152 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]

**Definition** County (län) subdivision in accordance with the classification of 2010 (does not include the counties of Kristianstad, Älvsborg and Skaraborg). Based on population registers.

**Notes** 1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		9	0.0%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # lanskod2010: County code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm County	35866	20.1%
3	Uppsala County	6492	3.6%
4	Södermanland County	5248	2.9%
5	Östergötland County	8362	4.7%
6	Jönköping County	6698	3.8%
7	Kronoberg County	3603	2.0%
8	Kalmar County	4697	2.6%
9	Gotland County	1171	0.7%
10	Blekinge County	3069	1.7%
12	Skåne County	22463	12.6%
13	Halland County	5904	3.3%
14	Västra Götaland County	30601	17.2%
17	Värmland County	5279	3.0%
18	Örebro County	5447	3.1%
19	Västmanland County	4725	2.7%
20	Dalarna County	5453	3.1%
21	Gävleborg County	5147	2.9%
22	Västernorrland County	4707	2.6%
23	Jämtland County	2677	1.5%
24	Västerbotten County	5279	3.0%
25	Norrbottn County	4831	2.7%
99	Information missing	424	0.2%
Sysmiss		32	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # kommun: Municipality code

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-2584] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Gives the municipality (kommun) where the respondent is registered. This is an older municipality subdivision. Based on population registers.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Information missing	475	0.3%
114	Upplands-Väsby	689	0.4%
115	Vallentuna	500	0.3%
117	Österåker	733	0.4%
120	Värmdö	694	0.4%
123	Järfälla	1200	0.7%
125	Ekerö	459	0.3%
126	Huddinge	1529	0.9%
127	Botkyrka	1205	0.7%
128	Salem	271	0.2%
136	Haninge	1308	0.7%
138	Tyresö	792	0.4%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
139	Upplands-Bro	398	0.2%
140	Nykvarn	139	0.1%
160	Täby	1229	0.7%
162	Danderyd	602	0.3%
163	Sollentuna	1124	0.6%
180	Stockholm	14910	8.4%
181	Södertälje	1327	0.7%
182	Nacka	1577	0.9%
183	Sundbyberg	685	0.4%
184	Solna	1254	0.7%
186	Lidingö	796	0.4%
187	Vaxholm	199	0.1%
188	Norrtälje	1104	0.6%
191	Sigtuna	677	0.4%
192	Nynäshamn	465	0.3%
305	Häbo	367	0.2%
319	Älvkarleby	174	0.1%
360	Tierp	383	0.2%
380	Uppsala (inkl. Knivsta (e 2002: 330))	4110	2.3%
381	Enköping	772	0.4%
382	Östhammar	428	0.2%
428	Vingåker	174	0.1%
461	Gnesta	203	0.1%
480	Nyköping	1033	0.6%
481	Oxelösund	230	0.1%
482	Flen	346	0.2%
483	Katrineholm	664	0.4%
484	Eskilstuna	1768	1.0%
486	Strängnäs	623	0.3%
488	Trosa	207	0.1%
509	Ödeshög	93	0.1%
512	Ydre	78	0.0%
513	Kinda	212	0.1%
560	Boxholm	115	0.1%
561	Åtvidaberg	239	0.1%
562	Finspång	441	0.2%
563	Valdemarsvik	157	0.1%
580	Linköping	2889	1.6%
581	Norrköping	2361	1.3%
582	Söderköping	289	0.2%
583	Motala	796	0.4%
584	Vadstena	134	0.1%
586	Mjölby	556	0.3%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
604	Aneby	118	0.1%
617	Gnosjö	152	0.1%
642	Mullsjö	110	0.1%
643	Habo	175	0.1%
662	Gislaved	540	0.3%
665	Vaggeryd	263	0.1%
680	Jönköping	2510	1.4%
682	Nässjö	646	0.4%
683	Värnamo	634	0.4%
684	Sävsjö	245	0.1%
685	Vetlanda	527	0.3%
686	Eksjö	353	0.2%
687	Tranås	382	0.2%
760	Uppvidinge	179	0.1%
761	Lessebo	166	0.1%
763	Tingsryd	244	0.1%
764	Alvesta	372	0.2%
765	Älmhult	315	0.2%
767	Markaryd	185	0.1%
780	Växjö	1619	0.9%
781	Ljungby	522	0.3%
821	Högsby	116	0.1%
834	Torsås	143	0.1%
840	Mörbylånga	302	0.2%
860	Hultsfred	297	0.2%
861	Mönsterås	245	0.1%
862	Emmaboda	181	0.1%
880	Kalmar	1259	0.7%
881	Nybro	392	0.2%
882	Oskarshamn	509	0.3%
883	Västervik	698	0.4%
884	Vimmerby	314	0.2%
885	Borgholm	240	0.1%
980	Gotland	1171	0.7%
1060	Olofström	230	0.1%
1080	Karlskrona	1327	0.7%
1081	Ronneby	603	0.3%
1082	Karlshamn	577	0.3%
1083	Sölvesborg	332	0.2%
1121	Östra Göinge (e 1996: 1256)	250	0.1%
1137	Örkelljunga (e 1996: 1257)	161	0.1%
1160	Tomelilla (e 1996: 1270)	273	0.2%
1162	Bromölla (e 1996: 1272)	234	0.1%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1163	Osby (e 1996: 1273)	242	0.1%
1165	Perstorp (e 1996: 1275)	18	0.0%
1166	Klippan (e 1996: 1276)	300	0.2%
1167	Åstorp (e 1996: 1276)	251	0.1%
1168	Båstad (e 1996: 1278)	277	0.2%
1180	Kristianstad (e 1996: 1290)	1472	0.8%
1181	Simrishamn (e 1996: 1291)	394	0.2%
1182	Ängelholm (e 1996: 1292)	779	0.4%
1183	Hässleholm (e 1996: 1293)	946	0.5%
1214	Svalöv	259	0.1%
1230	Staffanstorps	429	0.2%
1231	Burlöv	274	0.2%
1233	Vellinge	654	0.4%
1256	Östra Göinge	0	
1257	Örkelljunga	0	
1260	Bjuv	228	0.1%
1261	Kävlinge	516	0.3%
1262	Lomma	431	0.2%
1263	Svedala	365	0.2%
1264	Skurup	274	0.2%
1265	Sjöbo	334	0.2%
1266	Hörby	289	0.2%
1267	Höör	278	0.2%
1270	Tomelilla	0	
1272	Bromölla	0	
1273	Osby	0	
1275	Perstorp	100	0.1%
1276	Klippan	0	
1277	Åstorp	0	
1278	Båstad	0	
1280	Malmö	4846	2.7%
1281	Lund	2230	1.3%
1282	Landskrona	699	0.4%
1283	Helsingborg	2312	1.3%
1284	Höganäs	524	0.3%
1285	Eslöv	534	0.3%
1286	Ystad	533	0.3%
1287	Trelleborg	757	0.4%
1290	Kristianstad	0	
1291	Simrishamn	0	
1292	Ängelholm	0	
1293	Hässleholm	0	
1315	Hylte	193	0.1%



## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1380	Halmstad	1861	1.0%
1381	Laholm	454	0.3%
1382	Falkenberg	843	0.5%
1383	Varberg	1174	0.7%
1384	Kungsbacka	1379	0.8%
1401	Härryda	636	0.4%
1402	Partille	625	0.4%
1407	Öckerö	242	0.1%
1415	Stenungsund	451	0.3%
1419	Tjörn	295	0.2%
1421	Orust	311	0.2%
1427	Sotenäs	196	0.1%
1430	Munkedal	199	0.1%
1435	Tanum	214	0.1%
1438	Dals-Ed	0	
1439	Färgelanda	0	
1440	Ale	0	
1441	Lerum	0	
1442	Vårgårda	0	
1443	Bollebygd	0	
1444	Grästorps	0	
1445	Essunga	0	
1446	Karlsborg	0	
1447	Gullspång	0	
1452	Tranemo	0	
1460	Bengtsfors	0	
1461	Mellerud	0	
1462	Lilla Edet	0	
1463	Mark	0	
1465	Svenljunga	0	
1466	Herrljunga	162	0.1%
1470	Vara	0	
1471	Götene	0	
1472	Tibro	0	
1473	Töreboda	0	
1480	Göteborg	9684	5.4%
1481	Mölnadal	1241	0.7%
1482	Kungälv	832	0.5%
1484	Lysekil	287	0.2%
1485	Uddevalla	1042	0.6%
1486	Strömstad	190	0.1%
1487	Vänernsberg	0	
1488	Trollhättan	0	

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1489	Alingsås	0	
1490	Borås	0	
1491	Ulricehamn	0	
1492	Åmål	0	
1493	Mariestad	0	
1494	Lidköping	0	
1495	Skara	0	
1496	Skövde	0	
1497	Hjo	0	
1498	Tidaholm	0	
1499	Falköping	0	
1504	Dals-Ed (f 1998: 1438)	91	0.1%
1507	Färgelanda (e 1997: 1439)	129	0.1%
1521	Ale (e 1997: 1440)	535	0.3%
1524	Lerum (e 1997: 1441)	733	0.4%
1527	Vårgårda (e 1997: 1442)	223	0.1%
1535	Bollebygd (e 1997: 1443)	155	0.1%
1552	Tranemo (e 1997: 1452)	249	0.1%
1560	Bengtsfors (e 1997: 1460)	166	0.1%
1561	Mellerud (e 1997: 1461)	187	0.1%
1562	Lilla Edet (e 1997: 1462)	225	0.1%
1563	Mark (e 1997: 1463)	638	0.4%
1565	Svenljunga (e 1997: 1465)	216	0.1%
1566	Herrljunga (e 1997: 1466)	28	0.0%
1580	Vänersborg (e 1997: 1487)	780	0.4%
1581	Trollhättan (e 1997: 1488)	1029	0.6%
1582	Alingsås (e 1997: 1489)	780	0.4%
1583	Borås (e 1997: 1490)	2008	1.1%
1584	Ulricehamn (e 1997: 1491)	450	0.3%
1585	Åmål (e 1997: 1492)	225	0.1%
1602	Grästorp (e 1997: 1444)	111	0.1%
1603	Essunga (e 1997: 1445)	98	0.1%
1622	Mullsjö (e 1997: 642 (länsbyte))	20	0.0%
1623	Habo (e 1997: 643 (länsbyte))	22	0.0%
1637	Karlsborg (e 1997: 1446)	132	0.1%
1643	Gullspång (e 1997: 1447)	101	0.1%
1660	Vara (e 1997: 1470)	301	0.2%
1661	Götene (e 1997: 1471)	311	0.2%
1662	Tibro (e 1997: 1472)	205	0.1%
1663	Töreboda (e 1997: 1473)	176	0.1%
1680	Mariestad (e 1997: 1493)	484	0.3%
1681	Lidköping (e 1997: 1494)	759	0.4%
1682	Skara (e 1997: 1495)	365	0.2%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1683	Skövde (e 1997: 1496)	1058	0.6%
1684	Hjo (e 1997: 1497)	184	0.1%
1685	Tidaholm (e 1997: 1498)	226	0.1%
1686	Falköping (e 1997: 1499)	636	0.4%
1715	Kil	252	0.1%
1730	Eda	145	0.1%
1737	Torsby	235	0.1%
1760	Storfors	74	0.0%
1761	Hammarö	276	0.2%
1762	Munkfors	70	0.0%
1763	Forshaga	234	0.1%
1764	Grums	176	0.1%
1765	Årjäng	159	0.1%
1766	Sunne	251	0.1%
1780	Karlstad	1709	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	492	0.3%
1782	Filipstad	184	0.1%
1783	Hagfors	229	0.1%
1784	Arvika	491	0.3%
1785	Säffle	302	0.2%
1814	Lekeberg	125	0.1%
1860	Laxå	114	0.1%
1861	Hallsberg	318	0.2%
1862	Degerfors	177	0.1%
1863	Hällefors	159	0.1%
1864	Ljusnarsberg	80	0.0%
1880	Örebro	2624	1.5%
1881	Kumla	367	0.2%
1882	Askersund	217	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	595	0.3%
1884	Nora	210	0.1%
1885	Lindesberg	457	0.3%
1904	Skinnskatteberg	77	0.0%
1907	Surahammar	183	0.1%
1917	Heby (e 2006: 331)	258	0.1%
1960	Kungsör	145	0.1%
1961	Hallstahammar	292	0.2%
1962	Norberg	107	0.1%
1980	Västerås	2560	1.4%
1981	Sala	416	0.2%
1982	Fagersta	218	0.1%
1983	Köping	470	0.3%
1984	Arboga	257	0.1%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2021	Vansbro	135	0.1%
2023	Malung	189	0.1%
2026	Gagnef	202	0.1%
2029	Leksand	315	0.2%
2031	Rättvik	199	0.1%
2034	Orsa	145	0.1%
2039	Älvdalen	149	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	203	0.1%
2062	Mora	415	0.2%
2080	Falun	1180	0.7%
2081	Borlänge	897	0.5%
2082	Säter	220	0.1%
2083	Hedemora	296	0.2%
2084	Avesta	446	0.3%
2085	Ludvika	462	0.3%
2101	Ockelbo	125	0.1%
2104	Hofors	168	0.1%
2121	Ovanåker	230	0.1%
2132	Nordanstig	181	0.1%
2161	Ljusdal	344	0.2%
2180	Gävle	1757	1.0%
2181	Sandviken	722	0.4%
2182	Söderhamn	477	0.3%
2183	Bollnäs	469	0.3%
2184	Hudiksvall	674	0.4%
2260	Ånge	194	0.1%
2262	Timrå	327	0.2%
2280	Härnösand	497	0.3%
2281	Sundsvall	1859	1.0%
2282	Kramfors	386	0.2%
2283	Sollefteå	372	0.2%
2284	Örnsköldsvik	1071	0.6%
2303	Ragunda	110	0.1%
2305	Bräcke	153	0.1%
2309	Krokom	315	0.2%
2313	Strömsund	278	0.2%
2321	Åre	187	0.1%
2326	Berg	154	0.1%
2361	Härjedalen	204	0.1%
2380	Östersund	1276	0.7%
2401	Nordmaling	133	0.1%
2403	Bjurholm	54	0.0%
2404	Vindeln	95	0.1%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2409	Robertsfors	129	0.1%
2417	Norsjö	92	0.1%
2418	Malå	67	0.0%
2421	Storuman	131	0.1%
2422	Sorsele	44	0.0%
2425	Dorotea	43	0.0%
2460	Vännäs	199	0.1%
2462	Vilhelmina	130	0.1%
2463	Åsele	75	0.0%
2480	Umeå	2362	1.3%
2481	Lycksele	256	0.1%
2482	Skellefteå	1469	0.8%
2505	Arvidsjaur	132	0.1%
2506	Arjeplog	55	0.0%
2510	Jokkmokk	87	0.0%
2513	Överkalix	75	0.0%
2514	Kalix	328	0.2%
2518	Övertorneå	106	0.1%
2521	Pajala	135	0.1%
2523	Gällivare	349	0.2%
2560	Älvsbyn	163	0.1%
2580	Luleå	1421	0.8%
2581	Piteå	801	0.4%
2582	Boden	578	0.3%
2583	Haparanda	154	0.1%
2584	Kiruna	447	0.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-2584] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178152 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Municipality (kommun) subdivision in accordance with the classification of 2010. Based on population registers.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Information missing	443	0.2%
114	Upplands-Väsby	689	0.4%
115	Vallentuna	500	0.3%
117	Österåker	733	0.4%
120	Värmdö	694	0.4%
123	Järfälla	1200	0.7%
125	Ekerö	459	0.3%
126	Huddinge	1529	0.9%
127	Botkyrka	1205	0.7%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
128	Salem	271	0.2%
136	Haninge	1308	0.7%
138	Tyresö	792	0.4%
139	Upplands-Bro	398	0.2%
140	Knivsta (före 1999: del av Södertälje)	139	0.1%
160	Täby	1229	0.7%
162	Danderyd	602	0.3%
163	Sollentuna	1124	0.6%
180	Stockholm	14910	8.4%
181	Södertälje	1327	0.7%
182	Nacka	1577	0.9%
183	Sundbyberg	685	0.4%
184	Solna	1254	0.7%
186	Lidingö	796	0.4%
187	Vaxholm	199	0.1%
188	Norrtälje	1104	0.6%
191	Sigtuna	677	0.4%
192	Nynäshamn	465	0.3%
305	Håbo	367	0.2%
319	Älvkarleby	174	0.1%
330	Knivsta (före 2003: del av Uppsala)	207	0.1%
331	Heby (före 2007: 1917 (länsbyte))	258	0.1%
360	Tierp	383	0.2%
380	Uppsala	3903	2.2%
381	Enköping	772	0.4%
382	Östhammar	428	0.2%
428	Vingåker	174	0.1%
461	Gnesta (före 1992: del av Nyköping)	203	0.1%
480	Nyköping	1033	0.6%
481	Oxelösund	230	0.1%
482	Flen	346	0.2%
483	Katrineholm	664	0.4%
484	Eskilstuna	1768	1.0%
486	Strängnäs	623	0.3%
488	Trosa (före 1992: del av Nyköping)	207	0.1%
509	Ödeshög	93	0.1%
512	Ydre	78	0.0%
513	Kinda	212	0.1%
560	Boxholm	115	0.1%
561	Åtvidaberg	239	0.1%
562	Finspång	441	0.2%
563	Valdemarsvik	157	0.1%
580	Linköping	2889	1.6%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
581	Norrköping	2361	1.3%
582	Söderköping	289	0.2%
583	Motala	796	0.4%
584	Vadstena	134	0.1%
586	Mjölby	556	0.3%
604	Aneby	118	0.1%
617	Gnosjö	152	0.1%
642	Mullsjö (före 1998: 1622 (länsbyte))	130	0.1%
643	Habo (före 1998: 1623 (länsbyte))	197	0.1%
662	Gislaved	540	0.3%
665	Vaggeryd	263	0.1%
680	Jönköping	2510	1.4%
682	Nässjö	646	0.4%
683	Värnamo	634	0.4%
684	Sävsjö	245	0.1%
685	Vetlanda	527	0.3%
686	Eksjö	353	0.2%
687	Tranås	382	0.2%
760	Uppvidinge	179	0.1%
761	Lessebo	166	0.1%
763	Tingsryd	244	0.1%
764	Alvesta	372	0.2%
765	Älmhult	315	0.2%
767	Markaryd	185	0.1%
780	Växjö	1619	0.9%
781	Ljungby	522	0.3%
821	Högsby	116	0.1%
834	Torsås	143	0.1%
840	Mörbylånga	302	0.2%
860	Hultsfred	297	0.2%
861	Mönsterås	245	0.1%
862	Emmaboda	181	0.1%
880	Kalmar	1259	0.7%
881	Nybro	392	0.2%
882	Oskarshamn	509	0.3%
883	Västervik	698	0.4%
884	Vimmerby	314	0.2%
885	Borgholm	240	0.1%
980	Gotland	1171	0.7%
1060	Olofström	230	0.1%
1080	Karlskrona	1327	0.7%
1081	Ronneby	603	0.3%
1082	Karlshamn	577	0.3%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1083	Sölvesborg	332	0.2%
1214	Svalöv	259	0.1%
1230	Staffanstorps	429	0.2%
1231	Burlöv	274	0.2%
1233	Vellinge	654	0.4%
1256	Östra Göinge (före 1997: 1121)	250	0.1%
1257	Örkelljunga (före 1997: 1137)	161	0.1%
1260	Bjuv	228	0.1%
1261	Kävlinge	516	0.3%
1262	Lomma	431	0.2%
1263	Svedala	365	0.2%
1264	Skurup	274	0.2%
1265	Sjöbo	334	0.2%
1266	Hörby	289	0.2%
1267	Höör	278	0.2%
1270	Tomelilla (före 1997: 1160)	273	0.2%
1272	Bromölla (före 1997: 1162)	234	0.1%
1273	Osby (före 1997: 1163)	242	0.1%
1275	Perstorp (före 1997: 1165)	118	0.1%
1276	Klippan (före 1997: 1166)	300	0.2%
1277	Åstorp (före 1997: 1167)	251	0.1%
1278	Båstad (före 1997: 1168)	277	0.2%
1280	Malmö	4846	2.7%
1281	Lund	2230	1.3%
1282	Landskrona	699	0.4%
1283	Helsingborg	2312	1.3%
1284	Höganäs	524	0.3%
1285	Eslöv	534	0.3%
1286	Ystad	533	0.3%
1287	Trelleborg	757	0.4%
1290	Kristianstad (före 1997: 1180)	1472	0.8%
1291	Simrishamn (före 1997: 1181)	394	0.2%
1292	Ängelholm (före 1997: 1182)	779	0.4%
1293	Hässleholm (före 1997: 1183)	946	0.5%
1315	Hylte	193	0.1%
1380	Halmstad	1861	1.0%
1381	Laholm	454	0.3%
1382	Falkenberg	843	0.5%
1383	Varberg	1174	0.7%
1384	Kungsbacka	1379	0.8%
1401	Härryda	636	0.4%
1402	Partille	625	0.4%
1407	Öckerö	242	0.1%



## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1415	Stenungsund	451	0.3%
1419	Tjörn	295	0.2%
1421	Orust	311	0.2%
1427	Sotenäs	196	0.1%
1430	Munkedal	199	0.1%
1435	Tanum	214	0.1%
1438	Dals-Ed (f 1998: 1504)	91	0.1%
1439	Färgelanda (f 1998: 1507)	129	0.1%
1440	Ale (f 1998: 1521)	535	0.3%
1441	Lerum (f 1998: 1524)	733	0.4%
1442	Vårgårda (f 1998: 1527)	223	0.1%
1443	Bollebygd (f 1998: 1535)	155	0.1%
1444	Grästorp (f 1998: 1602)	111	0.1%
1445	Essunga (f 1998: 1603)	98	0.1%
1446	Karlsborg (f 1998: 1637)	132	0.1%
1447	Gullspång (f 1998: 1643)	101	0.1%
1452	Tranemo (f 1998: 1552)	249	0.1%
1460	Bengtstors (f 1998: 1560)	166	0.1%
1461	Mellerud (f 1998: 1561)	187	0.1%
1462	Lilla Edet (f 1998: 1562)	225	0.1%
1463	Mark (f 1998: 1563)	638	0.4%
1465	Svenljunga (f 1998: 1565)	216	0.1%
1466	Herrljunga (f 1998: 1566)	190	0.1%
1470	Vara (f 1998: 1660)	301	0.2%
1471	Götene (f 1998: 1661)	311	0.2%
1472	Tibro (f 1998: 1662)	205	0.1%
1473	Töreboda (f 1998: 1663)	176	0.1%
1480	Göteborg	9684	5.4%
1481	Mölnådal	1241	0.7%
1482	Kungälv	832	0.5%
1484	Lysekil	287	0.2%
1485	Uddevalla	1042	0.6%
1486	Strömstad	190	0.1%
1487	Vänersborg (f 1998: 1580)	780	0.4%
1488	Trollhättan (f 1998: 1581)	1029	0.6%
1489	Alingsås (f 1998: 1582)	780	0.4%
1490	Borås (f 1998: 1583)	2008	1.1%
1491	Ulricehamn (f 1998: 1584)	450	0.3%
1492	Åmål (f 1998: 1585)	225	0.1%
1493	Mariestad (f 1998: 1680)	484	0.3%
1494	Lidköping (f 1998: 1681)	759	0.4%
1495	Skara (f 1998: 1682)	365	0.2%
1496	Skövde (f 1998: 1683)	1058	0.6%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1497	Hjo (f 1998: 1684)	184	0.1%
1498	Tidaholm (f 1998: 1685)	226	0.1%
1499	Falköping (f 1998: 1686)	636	0.4%
1535	Bollebygd (före 1995: del av Borås)	0	
1715	Kil	252	0.1%
1730	Eda	145	0.1%
1737	Torsby	235	0.1%
1760	Storfors	74	0.0%
1761	Hammarö	276	0.2%
1762	Munkfors	70	0.0%
1763	Forshaga	234	0.1%
1764	Grums	176	0.1%
1765	Årjäng	159	0.1%
1766	Sunne	251	0.1%
1780	Karlstad	1709	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	492	0.3%
1782	Filipstad	184	0.1%
1783	Hagfors	229	0.1%
1784	Arvika	491	0.3%
1785	Säffle	302	0.2%
1814	Lekeberg (före 1995: del av Örebro)	125	0.1%
1860	Laxå	114	0.1%
1861	Hallsberg	318	0.2%
1862	Degerfors	177	0.1%
1863	Hällefors	159	0.1%
1864	Ljusnarsberg	80	0.0%
1880	Örebro	2624	1.5%
1881	Kumla	367	0.2%
1882	Askersund	217	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	595	0.3%
1884	Nora	210	0.1%
1885	Lindesberg	457	0.3%
1904	Skinnskatteberg	77	0.0%
1907	Surahammar	183	0.1%
1960	Kungsör	145	0.1%
1961	Hallstahammar	292	0.2%
1962	Norberg	107	0.1%
1980	Västerås	2560	1.4%
1981	Sala	416	0.2%
1982	Fagersta	218	0.1%
1983	Köping	470	0.3%
1984	Arboga	257	0.1%
2021	Vansbro	135	0.1%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023	Malung-Sälen (före 2008: Malung)	189	0.1%
2026	Gagnef	202	0.1%
2029	Leksand	315	0.2%
2031	Rättvik	199	0.1%
2034	Orsa	145	0.1%
2039	Älvdalen	149	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	203	0.1%
2062	Mora	415	0.2%
2080	Falun	1180	0.7%
2081	Borlänge	897	0.5%
2082	Säter	220	0.1%
2083	Hedemora	296	0.2%
2084	Avesta	446	0.3%
2085	Ludvika	462	0.3%
2101	Ockelbo	125	0.1%
2104	Hofors	168	0.1%
2121	Ovanåker	230	0.1%
2132	Nordanstig	181	0.1%
2161	Ljusdal	344	0.2%
2180	Gävle	1757	1.0%
2181	Sandviken	722	0.4%
2182	Söderhamn	477	0.3%
2183	Bollnäs	469	0.3%
2184	Hudiksvall	674	0.4%
2260	Ånge	194	0.1%
2262	Timrå	327	0.2%
2280	Härnösand	497	0.3%
2281	Sundsvall	1859	1.0%
2282	Kramfors	386	0.2%
2283	Sollefteå	372	0.2%
2284	Örnsköldsvik	1071	0.6%
2303	Ragunda	110	0.1%
2305	Bräcke	153	0.1%
2309	Krokom	315	0.2%
2313	Strömsund	278	0.2%
2321	Åre	187	0.1%
2326	Berg	154	0.1%
2361	Härjedalen	204	0.1%
2380	Östersund	1276	0.7%
2401	Nordmaling	133	0.1%
2403	Bjurholm	54	0.0%
2404	Vindeln	95	0.1%
2409	Robertsfors	129	0.1%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2417	Norsjö	92	0.1%
2418	Malå	67	0.0%
2421	Storuman	131	0.1%
2422	Sorsele	44	0.0%
2425	Dorotea	43	0.0%
2460	Vännäs	199	0.1%
2462	Vilhelmina	130	0.1%
2463	Åsele	75	0.0%
2480	Umeå	2362	1.3%
2481	Lycksele	256	0.1%
2482	Skellefteå	1469	0.8%
2505	Arvidsjaur	132	0.1%
2506	Arjeplog	55	0.0%
2510	Jokkmokk	87	0.0%
2513	Överkalix	75	0.0%
2514	Kalix	328	0.2%
2518	Övertorneå	106	0.1%
2521	Pajala	135	0.1%
2523	Gällivare	349	0.2%
2560	Älvsbyn	163	0.1%
2580	Luleå	1421	0.8%
2581	Piteå	801	0.4%
2582	Boden	578	0.3%
2583	Haparanda	154	0.1%
2584	Kiruna	447	0.3%
Sysmiss		32	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # webpap: Web/paper questionnaire

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=104427 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Denotes whether the respondent has answered the paper or web edition. A web edition has been available since 2012.
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ifylld på papper	84455	80.9%
1	Paper questionnaire	19972	19.1%
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # map1: Map variable 1

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12316 /-] [Invalid=165868 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		54	0.4%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		42	0.3%
3		43	0.3%
4		69	0.6%
5		80	0.6%
6		26	0.2%
7		114	0.9%
8		66	0.5%
9		17	0.1%
10		102	0.8%
11		45	0.4%
12		28	0.2%
13		22	0.2%
14		107	0.9%
15		50	0.4%
16		78	0.6%
17		1132	9.2%
18		89	0.7%
19		144	1.2%
20		53	0.4%
21		100	0.8%
22		70	0.6%
23		20	0.2%
24		72	0.6%
25		41	0.3%
26		32	0.3%
27		24	0.2%
28		10	0.1%
29		15	0.1%
30		13	0.1%
31		27	0.2%
32		284	2.3%
33		64	0.5%
34		31	0.3%
35		12	0.1%
36		20	0.2%
37		73	0.6%
38		14	0.1%
39		26	0.2%
40		36	0.3%
41		124	1.0%
42		37	0.3%
43		21	0.2%
44		8	0.1%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45		7	0.1%
46		13	0.1%
48		18	0.1%
49		23	0.2%
50		9	0.1%
51		199	1.6%
52		146	1.2%
53		20	0.2%
54		47	0.4%
55		3	0.0%
56		29	0.2%
57		5	0.0%
58		15	0.1%
59		6	0.0%
60		14	0.1%
61		33	0.3%
62		18	0.1%
63		183	1.5%
64		57	0.5%
65		48	0.4%
66		17	0.1%
67		32	0.3%
68		21	0.2%
69		25	0.2%
70		14	0.1%
71		10	0.1%
72		12	0.1%
73		15	0.1%
74		14	0.1%
75		14	0.1%
76		116	0.9%
77		30	0.2%
78		6	0.0%
79		13	0.1%
80		21	0.2%
81		15	0.1%
82		13	0.1%
83		8	0.1%
84		93	0.8%
85		26	0.2%
86		40	0.3%
87		56	0.5%
88		25	0.2%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89		12	0.1%
90		82	0.7%
91		15	0.1%
92		103	0.8%
93		37	0.3%
94		39	0.3%
95		28	0.2%
96		15	0.1%
97		31	0.3%
98		15	0.1%
99		49	0.4%
100		23	0.2%
101		12	0.1%
102		15	0.1%
103		37	0.3%
104		28	0.2%
105		26	0.2%
106		20	0.2%
107		19	0.2%
108		20	0.2%
109		22	0.2%
110		19	0.2%
111		20	0.2%
112		13	0.1%
113		8	0.1%
114		22	0.2%
115		23	0.2%
116		25	0.2%
117		347	2.8%
118		154	1.3%
119		50	0.4%
120		157	1.3%
121		43	0.3%
122		34	0.3%
123		47	0.4%
124		44	0.4%
125		97	0.8%
126		30	0.2%
127		56	0.5%
128		72	0.6%
129		11	0.1%
130		132	1.1%
131		35	0.3%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
132		52	0.4%
133		66	0.5%
134		107	0.9%
135		48	0.4%
136		60	0.5%
137		15	0.1%
138		29	0.2%
139		20	0.2%
140		25	0.2%
141		15	0.1%
142		6	0.0%
143		13	0.1%
144		6	0.0%
145		11	0.1%
146		42	0.3%
147		57	0.5%
148		14	0.1%
149		11	0.1%
150		7	0.1%
151		5	0.0%
152		6	0.0%
153		5	0.0%
154		21	0.2%
155		12	0.1%
156		11	0.1%
157		18	0.1%
158		38	0.3%
159		13	0.1%
160		9	0.1%
161		17	0.1%
162		14	0.1%
163		11	0.1%
164		8	0.1%
165		691	5.6%
166		88	0.7%
167		66	0.5%
168		22	0.2%
169		78	0.6%
170		8	0.1%
171		52	0.4%
172		73	0.6%
173		58	0.5%
174		134	1.1%



# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
175		30	0.2%
176		18	0.1%
177		38	0.3%
178		53	0.4%
179		28	0.2%
180		81	0.7%
181		8	0.1%
182		21	0.2%
183		54	0.4%
184		17	0.1%
185		11	0.1%
186		12	0.1%
187		1	0.0%
188		23	0.2%
189		2	0.0%
190		10	0.1%
192		8	0.1%
193		15	0.1%
194		121	1.0%
195		30	0.2%
196		16	0.1%
197		10	0.1%
198		33	0.3%
199		21	0.2%
200		11	0.1%
201		6	0.0%
202		20	0.2%
203		11	0.1%
204		13	0.1%
206		196	1.6%
207		22	0.2%
208		9	0.1%
209		46	0.4%
210		11	0.1%
211		29	0.2%
212		3	0.0%
213		11	0.1%
214		8	0.1%
215		14	0.1%
216		8	0.1%
217		186	1.5%
218		29	0.2%
219		8	0.1%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
220		31	0.3%
221		17	0.1%
223		12	0.1%
224		18	0.1%
225		17	0.1%
226		16	0.1%
227		11	0.1%
228		12	0.1%
229		7	0.1%
230		30	0.2%
231		74	0.6%
232		57	0.5%
233		14	0.1%
234		19	0.2%
235		32	0.3%
236		27	0.2%
237		8	0.1%
238		10	0.1%
239		14	0.1%
240		2	0.0%
241		19	0.2%
242		131	1.1%
243		44	0.4%
244		44	0.4%
245		17	0.1%
246		34	0.3%
247		12	0.1%
248		23	0.2%
249		30	0.2%
250		127	1.0%
251		17	0.1%
252		23	0.2%
253		64	0.5%
254		5	0.0%
255		9	0.1%
256		12	0.1%
257		18	0.1%
258		16	0.1%
259		4	0.0%
260		14	0.1%
261		90	0.7%
262		6	0.0%
263		4	0.0%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
265		7	0.1%
266		11	0.1%
267		2	0.0%
268		10	0.1%
269		2	0.0%
270		1	0.0%
271		12	0.1%
272		9	0.1%
273		3	0.0%
274		154	1.3%
275		10	0.1%
276		104	0.8%
277		8	0.1%
278		5	0.0%
279		4	0.0%
280		4	0.0%
281		18	0.1%
282		5	0.0%
283		7	0.1%
284		18	0.1%
285		8	0.1%
286		88	0.7%
287		52	0.4%
288		30	0.2%
289		15	0.1%
290		23	0.2%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		165868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		54	0.4%
2		42	0.3%
3		43	0.3%
4		69	0.6%
5		80	0.6%
6		26	0.2%
7		114	0.9%
8		66	0.5%
9		17	0.1%
10		102	0.8%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		45	0.4%
12		28	0.2%
13		22	0.2%
14		107	0.9%
15		50	0.4%
16		78	0.6%
17		1132	9.2%
18		89	0.7%
19		144	1.2%
20		53	0.4%
21		100	0.8%
22		70	0.6%
23		20	0.2%
24		72	0.6%
25		41	0.3%
26		32	0.3%
27		24	0.2%
28		10	0.1%
29		15	0.1%
30		27	0.2%
31		284	2.3%
32		64	0.5%
33		31	0.3%
34		12	0.1%
35		20	0.2%
36		73	0.6%
37		14	0.1%
38		26	0.2%
39		36	0.3%
40		124	1.0%
41		37	0.3%
42		21	0.2%
43		8	0.1%
44		7	0.1%
45		13	0.1%
47		18	0.1%
48		23	0.2%
49		9	0.1%
50		199	1.6%
51		146	1.2%
52		20	0.2%
53		47	0.4%
54		3	0.0%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55		29	0.2%
56		5	0.0%
57		15	0.1%
58		6	0.0%
59		14	0.1%
60		33	0.3%
61		18	0.1%
62		183	1.5%
63		57	0.5%
64		48	0.4%
65		17	0.1%
66		32	0.3%
67		21	0.2%
68		25	0.2%
69		14	0.1%
70		10	0.1%
71		12	0.1%
72		15	0.1%
73		14	0.1%
74		14	0.1%
75		116	0.9%
76		30	0.2%
77		6	0.0%
78		13	0.1%
79		21	0.2%
80		15	0.1%
81		13	0.1%
82		8	0.1%
83		93	0.8%
84		26	0.2%
85		40	0.3%
86		56	0.5%
87		25	0.2%
88		12	0.1%
89		82	0.7%
90		15	0.1%
91		103	0.8%
92		37	0.3%
93		39	0.3%
94		28	0.2%
95		15	0.1%
96		31	0.3%
97		15	0.1%

**File : SICD v2023.1**

**# map2: Map variable 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98		49	0.4%
99		23	0.2%
100		12	0.1%
101		15	0.1%
102		37	0.3%
103		28	0.2%
104		26	0.2%
105		20	0.2%
106		19	0.2%
107		20	0.2%
108		22	0.2%
109		19	0.2%
110		20	0.2%
111		13	0.1%
112		8	0.1%
113		22	0.2%
114		23	0.2%
115		25	0.2%
116		347	2.8%
117		154	1.3%
118		50	0.4%
119		157	1.3%
120		43	0.3%
121		34	0.3%
122		47	0.4%
123		44	0.4%
124		97	0.8%
125		30	0.2%
126		56	0.5%
127		72	0.6%
128		11	0.1%
129		132	1.1%
130		35	0.3%
131		52	0.4%
132		66	0.5%
133		107	0.9%
134		48	0.4%
135		60	0.5%
136		15	0.1%
137		29	0.2%
138		20	0.2%
139		25	0.2%
140		15	0.1%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
141		6	0.0%
142		13	0.1%
143		6	0.0%
144		11	0.1%
145		42	0.3%
146		57	0.5%
147		14	0.1%
148		11	0.1%
149		7	0.1%
150		5	0.0%
151		6	0.0%
152		5	0.0%
153		21	0.2%
154		12	0.1%
155		11	0.1%
156		18	0.1%
157		38	0.3%
158		13	0.1%
159		9	0.1%
160		17	0.1%
161		14	0.1%
162		11	0.1%
163		8	0.1%
164		691	5.6%
165		88	0.7%
166		66	0.5%
167		22	0.2%
168		78	0.6%
169		8	0.1%
170		52	0.4%
171		73	0.6%
172		58	0.5%
173		134	1.1%
174		30	0.2%
175		18	0.1%
176		38	0.3%
177		53	0.4%
178		28	0.2%
179		81	0.7%
180		8	0.1%
181		21	0.2%
182		54	0.4%
183		17	0.1%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
184		11	0.1%
185		12	0.1%
186		1	0.0%
187		23	0.2%
188		2	0.0%
189		10	0.1%
191		8	0.1%
192		15	0.1%
193		121	1.0%
194		30	0.2%
195		16	0.1%
196		10	0.1%
197		33	0.3%
198		21	0.2%
199		11	0.1%
200		6	0.0%
201		20	0.2%
202		11	0.1%
203		13	0.1%
205		196	1.6%
206		22	0.2%
207		9	0.1%
208		46	0.4%
209		11	0.1%
210		29	0.2%
211		3	0.0%
212		11	0.1%
213		13	0.1%
214		8	0.1%
215		14	0.1%
216		8	0.1%
217		186	1.5%
218		29	0.2%
219		8	0.1%
220		31	0.3%
221		17	0.1%
223		12	0.1%
224		18	0.1%
225		17	0.1%
226		16	0.1%
227		11	0.1%
228		12	0.1%
229		7	0.1%



# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
230		30	0.2%
231		74	0.6%
232		57	0.5%
233		14	0.1%
234		19	0.2%
235		32	0.3%
236		27	0.2%
237		8	0.1%
238		10	0.1%
239		14	0.1%
240		2	0.0%
241		19	0.2%
242		131	1.1%
243		44	0.4%
244		44	0.4%
245		17	0.1%
246		34	0.3%
247		12	0.1%
248		23	0.2%
249		30	0.2%
250		127	1.0%
251		17	0.1%
252		23	0.2%
253		64	0.5%
254		5	0.0%
255		9	0.1%
256		12	0.1%
257		18	0.1%
258		16	0.1%
259		4	0.0%
260		14	0.1%
261		90	0.7%
262		6	0.0%
263		4	0.0%
265		7	0.1%
266		11	0.1%
267		2	0.0%
268		10	0.1%
269		2	0.0%
270		1	0.0%
271		12	0.1%
272		9	0.1%
273		3	0.0%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
274		154	1.3%
275		10	0.1%
276		104	0.8%
277		8	0.1%
278		5	0.0%
279		4	0.0%
280		4	0.0%
281		18	0.1%
282		5	0.0%
283		7	0.1%
284		18	0.1%
285		8	0.1%
286		88	0.7%
287		52	0.4%
288		30	0.2%
289		15	0.1%
290		23	0.2%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		165868	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # map3: Map variable 3

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12316 /-] [Invalid=165868 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		24	0.2%
2		10	0.1%
3		15	0.1%
4		13	0.1%
5		27	0.2%
6		284	2.3%
7		64	0.5%
8		31	0.3%
9		12	0.1%
10		20	0.2%
11		73	0.6%
12		14	0.1%
13		26	0.2%
14		36	0.3%
15		124	1.0%
16		37	0.3%
17		21	0.2%
18		8	0.1%
19		7	0.1%

**File : SICD v2023.1**

**# map3: Map variable 3**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		13	0.1%
22		18	0.1%
23		23	0.2%
24		9	0.1%
25		199	1.6%
26		146	1.2%
27		20	0.2%
28		47	0.4%
29		3	0.0%
30		29	0.2%
31		5	0.0%
32		15	0.1%
33		6	0.0%
34		14	0.1%
35		33	0.3%
36		18	0.1%
37		183	1.5%
38		57	0.5%
39		48	0.4%
40		17	0.1%
41		32	0.3%
42		21	0.2%
43		25	0.2%
44		14	0.1%
45		10	0.1%
46		12	0.1%
47		15	0.1%
48		14	0.1%
49		14	0.1%
50		116	0.9%
51		30	0.2%
52		6	0.0%
53		13	0.1%
54		21	0.2%
55		15	0.1%
56		13	0.1%
57		8	0.1%
58		93	0.8%
59		26	0.2%
60		40	0.3%
61		56	0.5%
62		25	0.2%
63		12	0.1%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		82	0.7%
65		15	0.1%
66		103	0.8%
67		37	0.3%
68		39	0.3%
69		28	0.2%
70		15	0.1%
71		23	0.2%
72		12	0.1%
73		15	0.1%
74		19	0.2%
75		20	0.2%
76		19	0.2%
77		20	0.2%
78		13	0.1%
79		8	0.1%
80		22	0.2%
81		23	0.2%
82		25	0.2%
83		50	0.4%
84		157	1.3%
85		43	0.3%
86		47	0.4%
87		97	0.8%
88		30	0.2%
89		56	0.5%
90		72	0.6%
91		11	0.1%
92		132	1.1%
93		35	0.3%
94		52	0.4%
95		66	0.5%
96		25	0.2%
97		15	0.1%
98		6	0.0%
99		13	0.1%
100		6	0.0%
101		11	0.1%
102		14	0.1%
103		11	0.1%
104		7	0.1%
105		5	0.0%
106		6	0.0%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
107		5	0.0%
108		21	0.2%
109		12	0.1%
110		11	0.1%
111		38	0.3%
112		13	0.1%
113		9	0.1%
114		17	0.1%
115		14	0.1%
116		11	0.1%
117		8	0.1%
118		22	0.2%
119		78	0.6%
120		8	0.1%
121		52	0.4%
122		73	0.6%
123		134	1.1%
124		30	0.2%
125		18	0.1%
126		38	0.3%
127		53	0.4%
128		28	0.2%
129		81	0.7%
130		8	0.1%
131		21	0.2%
132		54	0.4%
133		17	0.1%
134		11	0.1%
135		12	0.1%
136		1	0.0%
137		23	0.2%
138		2	0.0%
139		10	0.1%
141		8	0.1%
142		15	0.1%
143		121	1.0%
144		30	0.2%
145		16	0.1%
146		10	0.1%
147		33	0.3%
148		21	0.2%
149		11	0.1%
150		6	0.0%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151		20	0.2%
152		11	0.1%
153		13	0.1%
155		196	1.6%
156		22	0.2%
157		9	0.1%
158		46	0.4%
159		11	0.1%
160		29	0.2%
161		3	0.0%
162		11	0.1%
163		8	0.1%
164		14	0.1%
165		8	0.1%
166		186	1.5%
167		29	0.2%
168		8	0.1%
169		31	0.3%
170		17	0.1%
172		12	0.1%
173		18	0.1%
174		17	0.1%
175		16	0.1%
176		11	0.1%
177		12	0.1%
178		7	0.1%
179		30	0.2%
180		74	0.6%
181		57	0.5%
182		14	0.1%
183		19	0.2%
184		32	0.3%
185		27	0.2%
186		8	0.1%
187		10	0.1%
188		14	0.1%
189		2	0.0%
190		19	0.2%
191		131	1.1%
192		44	0.4%
193		44	0.4%
194		17	0.1%
195		34	0.3%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
196		12	0.1%
197		23	0.2%
198		30	0.2%
199		127	1.0%
200		17	0.1%
201		23	0.2%
202		64	0.5%
203		5	0.0%
204		9	0.1%
205		12	0.1%
206		18	0.1%
207		16	0.1%
208		4	0.0%
209		14	0.1%
210		90	0.7%
211		6	0.0%
212		4	0.0%
214		7	0.1%
215		11	0.1%
216		2	0.0%
217		10	0.1%
218		2	0.0%
219		1	0.0%
220		12	0.1%
221		9	0.1%
222		3	0.0%
223		154	1.3%
224		10	0.1%
225		104	0.8%
226		8	0.1%
227		5	0.0%
228		4	0.0%
229		4	0.0%
230		18	0.1%
231		5	0.0%
232		7	0.1%
233		18	0.1%
234		8	0.1%
235		88	0.7%
236		52	0.4%
237		30	0.2%
238		15	0.1%
239		23	0.2%

# File : SICD v2023.1

## # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
240		54	0.4%
241		102	0.8%
242		42	0.3%
243		32	0.3%
244		43	0.3%
245		69	0.6%
246		80	0.6%
247		26	0.2%
248		114	0.9%
249		66	0.5%
250		72	0.6%
251		17	0.1%
252		45	0.4%
253		28	0.2%
254		22	0.2%
255		41	0.3%
256		107	0.9%
257		50	0.4%
258		78	0.6%
259		1132	9.2%
260		89	0.7%
261		144	1.2%
262		53	0.4%
263		100	0.8%
264		70	0.6%
265		20	0.2%
266		107	0.9%
267		48	0.4%
268		60	0.5%
269		15	0.1%
270		29	0.2%
271		20	0.2%
272		42	0.3%
273		57	0.5%
274		18	0.1%
275		691	5.6%
276		88	0.7%
277		66	0.5%
278		58	0.5%
279		31	0.3%
280		15	0.1%
281		49	0.4%
282		22	0.2%



## File : SICD v2023.1

### # map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
283		37	0.3%
284		44	0.4%
285		28	0.2%
286		26	0.2%
287		20	0.2%
288		347	2.8%
289		154	1.3%
290		34	0.3%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		165868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10a: Trust in: The government

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=119207 /-] [Invalid=58977 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The government
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6984	5.9%
2	Quite high trust	34252	28.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	40078	33.6%
4	Quite low trust	21983	18.4%
5	Very low trust	12063	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1517	1.3%
98	Several responses given	52	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2278	1.9%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10b: Trust in: The Police force

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=119207 /-] [Invalid=58977 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Police force
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	12525	10.5%
2	Quite high trust	56064	47.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	30502	25.6%
4	Quite low trust	12402	10.4%
5	Very low trust	4035	3.4%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10b: Trust in: The Police force

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1351	1.1%
98	Several responses given	50	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2278	1.9%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10c: Trust in: Medical services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=119207 /-] [Invalid=58977 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Medical services
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	20239	17.0%
2	Quite high trust	57752	48.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	23679	19.9%
4	Quite low trust	10770	9.0%
5	Very low trust	3165	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1200	1.0%
98	Several responses given	124	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2278	1.9%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10d: Trust in: The armed forces

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96945 /-] [Invalid=81239 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The armed forces
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5691	5.9%
2	Quite high trust	21719	22.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	41063	42.4%
4	Quite low trust	16120	16.6%
5	Very low trust	7915	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2417	2.5%
98	Several responses given	58	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1962	2.0%
96	Question not asked	79568	
Sysmiss		1671	

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10d: Trust in: The armed forces

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10e: Trust in: The National Parliament

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96945 /-] [Invalid=81239 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The National Parliament
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4179	4.3%
2	Quite high trust	26369	27.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	39085	40.3%
4	Quite low trust	16021	16.5%
5	Very low trust	7215	7.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2012	2.1%
98	Several responses given	102	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1962	2.0%
96	Question not asked	79568	
Sysmiss		1671	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10f: Trust in: The Banks

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=117550 /-] [Invalid=60634 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Banks
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6721	5.7%
2	Quite high trust	32924	28.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	40373	34.3%
4	Quite low trust	23273	19.8%
5	Very low trust	9946	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1873	1.6%
98	Several responses given	179	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2261	1.9%
96	Question not asked	58963	
Sysmiss		1671	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10g: Trust in: The daily press

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=117564 /-] [Invalid=60620 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The daily press

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10g: Trust in: The daily press

Notes 1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3092	2.6%
2	Quite high trust	30236	25.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	50175	42.7%
4	Quite low trust	21110	18.0%
5	Very low trust	7475	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3091	2.6%
98	Several responses given	148	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2237	1.9%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		3314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10h: Trust in: Trade unions

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=105288 /-] [Invalid=72896 /-]

Definition -

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Trade unions

Notes 1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2994	2.8%
2	Quite high trust	20262	19.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	40182	38.2%
4	Quite low trust	23860	22.7%
5	Very low trust	12774	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3052	2.9%
98	Several responses given	70	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2094	2.0%
96	Question not asked	71225	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10i: Trust in: Radio and television

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=117564 /-] [Invalid=60620 /-]

Definition -

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Radio and television

Notes 1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	8291	7.1%
2	Quite high trust	51505	43.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	41817	35.6%
4	Quite low trust	8874	7.5%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10i: Trust in: Radio and television

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low trust	2548	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2156	1.8%
98	Several responses given	160	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2213	1.9%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		3314	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10j: Trust in: Primary school

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=95288 /-] [Invalid=82896 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Primary school
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5980	6.3%
2	Quite high trust	35406	37.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	33374	35.0%
4	Quite low trust	12570	13.2%
5	Very low trust	3253	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2630	2.8%
98	Several responses given	130	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1945	2.0%
96	Question not asked	81225	
Sysmiss		1671	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10k: Trust in: Major companies

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96945 /-] [Invalid=81239 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Major companies
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2260	2.3%
2	Quite high trust	20638	21.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	43165	44.5%
4	Quite low trust	18608	19.2%
5	Very low trust	7360	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2881	3.0%
98	Several responses given	71	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1962	2.0%
96	Question not asked	79568	

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10k: Trust in: Major companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1671	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10l: Trust in: The Church of Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=86942 /-] [Invalid=91242 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Church of Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4716	5.4%
2	Quite high trust	20292	23.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	35285	40.6%
4	Quite low trust	12572	14.5%
5	Very low trust	10097	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2120	2.4%
98	Several responses given	34	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1826	2.1%
96	Question not asked	79682	
Sysmiss		11560	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10m: Trust in: Courts

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=86742 /-] [Invalid=91442 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Courts
<b>Notes</b>	1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	8045	9.3%
2	Quite high trust	31882	36.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	28065	32.4%
4	Quite low trust	10438	12.0%
5	Very low trust	4392	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2028	2.3%
98	Several responses given	62	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1830	2.1%
96	Question not asked	78025	
Sysmiss		13417	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10n: Trust in: The Royal family

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=100850 /-] [Invalid=77334 /-]

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10n: Trust in: The Royal family

<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Royal family
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	13560	13.4%
2	Quite high trust	27395	27.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	34543	34.3%
4	Quite low trust	10229	10.1%
5	Very low trust	10832	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2182	2.2%
98	Several responses given	118	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1991	2.0%
96	Question not asked	62213	
Sysmiss		15121	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10o: Trust in: Municipal boards

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=83229 /-] [Invalid=94955 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Municipal boards
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1582	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	15398	18.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	37866	45.5%
4	Quite low trust	17711	21.3%
5	Very low trust	6599	7.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2193	2.6%
98	Several responses given	73	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1807	2.2%
96	Question not asked	78057	
Sysmiss		16898	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10p: Trust in: Universities/university colleges

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=93744 /-] [Invalid=84440 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Universities/university colleges
<b>Notes</b>	1997- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	8703	9.3%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10p: Trust in: Universities/university colleges

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Quite high trust	40633	43.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	33046	35.3%
4	Quite low trust	4053	4.3%
5	Very low trust	1593	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3733	4.0%
98	Several responses given	60	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1923	2.1%
96	Question not asked	65763	
Sysmiss		18677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10q: Trust in: The political parties

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=102201 /-] [Invalid=75983 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The political parties
<b>Notes</b>	1997- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1229	1.2%
2	Quite high trust	15744	15.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	44452	43.5%
4	Quite low trust	25034	24.5%
5	Very low trust	11230	11.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2350	2.3%
98	Several responses given	125	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2037	2.0%
96	Question not asked	57306	
Sysmiss		18677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # aa10r: Trust in: The EU Commission

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=74715 /-] [Invalid=103469 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	In 1998 the item was included in separate question battery, but since 1999 it has been included in main Trust battery.
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The EU Commission
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1255	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	9862	13.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	30650	41.0%
4	Quite low trust	17122	22.9%
5	Very low trust	12237	16.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1889	2.5%



## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10r: Trust in: The EU Commission

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	43	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1657	2.2%
96	Question not asked	83038	
Sysmiss		20431	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10s: Trust in: The European Parliament

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=76372 /-] [Invalid=101812 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	In 1998 the item was included in separate question battery, but since 1999 it has been included in main Trust battery.
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The European Parliament
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1367	1.8%
2	Quite high trust	9903	13.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	31353	41.1%
4	Quite low trust	17133	22.4%
5	Very low trust	12931	16.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1992	2.6%
98	Several responses given	19	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1674	2.2%
96	Question not asked	81381	
Sysmiss		20431	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10t: Trust in: United Nations (UN)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=69472 /-] [Invalid=108712 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - United Nations (UN)
<b>Notes</b>	2000- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5486	7.9%
2	Quite high trust	23487	33.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	24875	35.8%
4	Quite low trust	8095	11.7%
5	Very low trust	4275	6.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1684	2.4%
98	Several responses given	25	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1545	2.2%
96	Question not asked	81217	
Sysmiss		27495	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa10u: Trust in: The Swedish Central Bank

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44631 /-] [Invalid=133553 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4650	10.4%
2	Quite high trust	16762	37.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	16750	37.5%
4	Quite low trust	2963	6.6%
5	Very low trust	1257	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1295	2.9%
98	Several responses given	46	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	908	2.0%
96	Question not asked	79600	
Sysmiss		53953	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa10v: Trust in: Nato

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12344 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	211	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	606	4.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	523	4.2%
4	Quite low trust	121	1.0%
5	Very low trust	106	0.9%
96	Question not asked	10674	86.5%
97	No response - part of the question	56	0.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	46	0.4%
Sysmiss		165840	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa20a: Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17253 /-] [Invalid=160931 /-]

<b>Definition</b>	<p>NATIONAL:</p> <p>Asked in connection with aa10.</p> <p>The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1997 (National): "Using the same scale [as in aa10] för följande grupper och företeelser var skulle du placera."; 1998 (National): "Hur stort förtroende har du för följande institutioner och grupper?"; 1999-2000 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner."; 2001 (National): "Och om du använder samma svarsalternativ [as in aa10] för att bedöma följande."; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande."; 2003, 2005-2007 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:".</p>
-------------------	--

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa20a: Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)

**Literal question** Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish National Labour Market Board

**Notes** 1997-2003, 2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	218	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	1736	10.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	8085	46.9%
4	Quite low trust	4092	23.7%
5	Very low trust	2253	13.1%
97	No response - part of the question	408	2.4%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	452	2.6%
96	Question not asked	16303	
Sysmiss		144628	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa20b: Trust in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=10037 /-] [Invalid=168147 /-]

**Definition** NATIONAL:  
 Asked in connection with aa10.  
 The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1999 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner."; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande."; 2005, 2008 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper."; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:".

**Literal question** Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency

**Notes** 1999, 2002, 2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	314	3.1%
2	Quite high trust	1986	19.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3940	39.3%
4	Quite low trust	2127	21.2%
5	Very low trust	1352	13.5%
97	No response - part of the question	99	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	219	2.2%
96	Question not asked	13766	
Sysmiss		154381	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa20c: Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=6731 /-] [Invalid=171453 /-]

**Definition** NATIONAL:  
 Asked in connection with aa10.  
 The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1999 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner."; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande."; 2008

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # aa20c: Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service

	(National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper."; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Public Employment Service
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2002, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	88	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	681	10.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2547	37.8%
4	Quite low trust	1889	28.1%
5	Very low trust	1243	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	109	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	167	2.5%
96	Question not asked	8647	
Sysmiss		162806	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # aa20d: Trust in: The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13252 /-] [Invalid=164932 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	NATIONAL: Asked in connection with aa10. The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande:"; 2003-2008 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:"; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:"; 2011 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner/organisationer".
<b>Literal question</b>	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2003, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	908	6.9%
2	Quite high trust	3786	28.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5679	42.9%
4	Quite low trust	1434	10.8%
5	Very low trust	882	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	200	1.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	357	2.7%
96	Question not asked	15371	
Sysmiss		149561	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ab12: Trust in: Swedish politicians

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54229 /-] [Invalid=123955 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? - Swedish politicians

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # ab12: Trust in: Swedish politicians

Notes 1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	878	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	18864	34.8%
3	Quite low trust	26047	48.0%
4	Very low trust	7063	13.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	50	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1327	2.4%
96	Question not asked	103524	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13a: Trust in: Parliamentarians

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=158042 /-]

Literal question In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Parliamentarians

Notes 2002-2008, 2010- (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	352	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	4518	22.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7524	37.4%
4	Quite low trust	3735	18.5%
5	Very low trust	1886	9.4%
6	No opinion	1195	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	284	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	23120	
Sysmiss		134922	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13b: Trust in: Health care staff

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=11985 /-] [Invalid=166199 /-]

Literal question In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Health care staff

Notes 2002-2008 (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2919	24.4%
2	Quite high trust	6471	54.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1338	11.2%
4	Quite low trust	410	3.4%
5	Very low trust	146	1.2%
6	No opinion	197	1.6%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # ab13b: Trust in: Health care staff

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	55	0.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	3.7%
96	Question not asked	5137	
Sysmiss		161062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13c: Trust in: Police

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=158042 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Police
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2008, 2010- (National); 2000- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2277	11.3%
2	Quite high trust	9742	48.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4442	22.1%
4	Quite low trust	1677	8.3%
5	Very low trust	767	3.8%
6	No opinion	440	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	150	0.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	23120	
Sysmiss		134922	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13d: Trust in: Primary teachers

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16820 /-] [Invalid=161364 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Primary teachers
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2008, 2010- (National); 2001- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1542	9.2%
2	Quite high trust	7314	43.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4332	25.8%
4	Quite low trust	1084	6.4%
5	Very low trust	313	1.9%
6	No opinion	1452	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	192	1.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	580	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16318	
Sysmiss		145046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # ab13e: Trust in: Researchers

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=158042 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Researchers
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2008, 2011- (National); 2004-2005 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2773	13.8%
2	Quite high trust	8259	41.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4732	23.5%
4	Quite low trust	464	2.3%
5	Very low trust	174	0.9%
6	No opinion	2798	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	276	1.4%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	26475	
Sysmiss		131567	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ab13f: Trust in: Daily press journalists

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6947 /-] [Invalid=171237 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Daily press journalists
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2003, 2005-2006 (National); 1998-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	107	1.5%
2	Quite high trust	1109	16.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2446	35.2%
4	Quite low trust	1654	23.8%
5	Very low trust	923	13.3%
6	No opinion	352	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	272	3.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		169530	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ab13g: Trust in: Radio/TV journalists

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6947 /-] [Invalid=171237 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Radio/TV journalists
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2003, 2005-2006 (National); 2000-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	156	2.2%

## File : SICD v2023.1

### # ab13g: Trust in: Radio/TV journalists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Quite high trust	1703	24.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2704	38.9%
4	Quite low trust	1201	17.3%
5	Very low trust	504	7.3%
6	No opinion	322	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	272	3.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		169530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13h: Trust in: Regional politicians

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3552 /-] [Invalid=174632 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Regional politicians
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	36	1.0%
2	Quite high trust	425	12.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1296	36.5%
4	Quite low trust	834	23.5%
5	Very low trust	547	15.4%
6	No opinion	204	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	53	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	157	4.4%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		174632	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab13i: Trust in: Communications officers

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3444 /-] [Invalid=174740 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Communications officers
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	42	1.2%
2	Quite high trust	380	11.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1491	43.3%
4	Quite low trust	488	14.2%
5	Very low trust	253	7.3%
6	No opinion	598	17.4%



## File : SICD v2023.1

### # ab13i: Trust in: Communications officers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	128	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		172971	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ab13j: Trust in: Politicians in your municipality

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Politicians in your municipality
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	33	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	378	21.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	659	37.1%
4	Quite low trust	346	19.5%
5	Very low trust	168	9.4%
6	No opinion	86	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	27	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		176406	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ab13k: Trust in: Elderly care staff</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Elderly care staff		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	289	16.3%
2	Quite high trust	762	42.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	385	21.7%
4	Quite low trust	137	7.7%
5	Very low trust	50	2.8%
6	No opinion	58	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	14	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		176406	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13l: Trust in: PR consultants</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - PR consultants		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	12	0.7%
2	Quite high trust	88	4.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	488	27.4%
4	Quite low trust	398	22.4%
5	Very low trust	340	19.1%
6	No opinion	336	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	34	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		176406	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13m: Trust in: Advertising professionals</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Advertising professionals		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	15	0.8%
2	Quite high trust	75	4.2%

<b># ab13m: Trust in: Advertising professionals</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Neither high nor low trust	447	25.1%
4	Quite low trust	428	24.1%
5	Very low trust	418	23.5%
6	No opinion	284	16.0%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		176406	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13n: Trust in: Business leaders in the private sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5169 /-] [Invalid=173015 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Business leaders in the private sector		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	84	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	979	18.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1875	36.3%
4	Quite low trust	880	17.0%
5	Very low trust	460	8.9%
6	No opinion	632	12.2%
97	No response - part of the question	66	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	191	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		171308	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13o: Trust in: Journalists</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13195 /-] [Invalid=164989 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Journalists		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2007-2008, 2010- (National); 2004- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	332	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	2700	20.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5025	38.1%
4	Quite low trust	2564	19.4%
5	Very low trust	1304	9.9%
6	No opinion	747	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	149	1.1%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%

<b># ab13o: Trust in: Journalists</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	365	2.8%
96	Question not asked	21413	
Sysmiss		143576	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13p: Trust in: Local politicians</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1774 /-] [Invalid=176410 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Local politicians		
<b>Notes</b>	2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	17	1.0%
2	Quite high trust	286	16.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	634	35.7%
4	Quite low trust	419	23.6%
5	Very low trust	248	14.0%
6	No opinion	75	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	19	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	76	4.3%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		176410	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13q: Trust in: Judges</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8195 /-] [Invalid=169989 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Judges		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006, 2008, 2010- (National); 2000-2001, 2003, 2010- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	727	8.9%
2	Quite high trust	2771	33.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2256	27.5%
4	Quite low trust	611	7.5%
5	Very low trust	286	3.5%
6	No opinion	1185	14.5%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.3%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	245	3.0%
96	Question not asked	6496	
Sysmiss		163493	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13r: Trust in: Priests of the Church of Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]		

<b># ab13r: Trust in: Priests of the Church of Sweden</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Priests of the Church of Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National); 2000-2001, 2004-2005, 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	172	5.1%
2	Quite high trust	780	23.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1116	33.3%
4	Quite low trust	313	9.3%
5	Very low trust	257	7.7%
6	No opinion	558	16.6%
97	No response - part of the question	40	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	116	3.5%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		173124	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13s: Trust in: Lobbyists</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3264 /-] [Invalid=174920 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Lobbyists		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	21	0.6%
2	Quite high trust	138	4.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1206	36.9%
4	Quite low trust	494	15.1%
5	Very low trust	477	14.6%
6	No opinion	767	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	66	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	94	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3430	
Sysmiss		171490	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13t: Trust in: Corporate leaders</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3250 /-] [Invalid=174934 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Corporate leaders		
<b>Notes</b>	2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	80	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	890	27.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1257	38.7%
4	Quite low trust	454	14.0%

<b># ab13t: Trust in: Corporate leaders</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Very low trust	179	5.5%
6	No opinion	266	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	31	1.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	91	2.8%
96	Question not asked	5016	
Sysmiss		169918	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13bb: Trust in: Economists</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=174940 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Economists		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	71	2.2%
2	Quite high trust	746	23.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1195	36.8%
4	Quite low trust	420	12.9%
5	Very low trust	164	5.1%
6	No opinion	520	16.0%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6483	
Sysmiss		168457	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13bc: Trust in: Engineers</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=174940 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Engineers		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	218	6.7%
2	Quite high trust	1087	33.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	935	28.8%
4	Quite low trust	87	2.7%
5	Very low trust	40	1.2%
6	No opinion	739	22.8%
97	No response - part of the question	56	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6483	
Sysmiss		168457	

<b># ab13bc: Trust in: Engineers</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab13bd: Trust in: Doctors</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6463 /-] [Invalid=171721 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Doctors		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	987	15.3%
2	Quite high trust	3612	55.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1072	16.6%
4	Quite low trust	342	5.3%
5	Very low trust	88	1.4%
6	No opinion	154	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	28	0.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	180	2.8%
96	Question not asked	16156	
Sysmiss		155565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab100a: To what extent involved in corruption: Politicians</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6614 /-] [Invalid=171570 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Politicians		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	278	4.2%
2	(2)	908	13.7%
3	(3)	866	13.1%
4	(4)	823	12.4%
5	(5)	902	13.6%
6	(6)	487	7.4%
7	To a very large extent (7)	434	6.6%
8	No opinion	1667	25.2%
97	No response - part of the question	21	0.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	3.4%
96	Question not asked	22501	
Sysmiss		149069	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab100b: To what extent involved in corruption: Public officials</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6614 /-] [Invalid=171570 /-]		

<b># ab100b: To what extent involved in corruption: Public officials</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Public officials		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	229	3.5%
2	(2)	813	12.3%
3	(3)	828	12.5%
4	(4)	937	14.2%
5	(5)	946	14.3%
6	(6)	532	8.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	394	6.0%
8	No opinion	1654	25.0%
97	No response - part of the question	51	0.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	3.4%
96	Question not asked	22501	
Sysmiss		149069	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab100c: To what extent involved in corruption: Self-employed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4783 /-] [Invalid=173401 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Self-employed		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	112	2.3%
2	(2)	393	8.2%
3	(3)	570	11.9%
4	(4)	714	14.9%
5	(5)	749	15.7%
6	(6)	452	9.5%
7	To a very large extent (7)	300	6.3%
8	No opinion	1276	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	34	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	181	3.8%
96	Question not asked	13205	
Sysmiss		160196	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab100d: To what extent involved in corruption: Journalists</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3131 /-] [Invalid=175053 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Journalists		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)		



### # ab100d: To what extent involved in corruption: Journalists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	215	6.9%
2	(2)	507	16.2%
3	(3)	373	11.9%
4	(4)	350	11.2%
5	(5)	228	7.3%
6	(6)	126	4.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	113	3.6%
8	No opinion	1074	34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	26	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	117	3.7%
96	Question not asked	9850	
Sysmiss		165203	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab200a: To what extent involved in corruption: Health care

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6607 /-] [Invalid=171577 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Health care
<b>Notes</b>	2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	885	13.4%
2	(2)	1201	18.2%
3	(3)	697	10.5%
4	(4)	608	9.2%
5	(5)	456	6.9%
6	(6)	211	3.2%
7	To a very large extent (7)	173	2.6%
8	No opinion	2111	32.0%
97	No response - part of the question	46	0.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	211	3.2%
96	Question not asked	26454	
Sysmiss		145123	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ab200b: To what extent involved in corruption: The construction sector

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4876 /-] [Invalid=173308 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Construction sector
<b>Notes</b>	2002-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	60	1.2%

<b># ab200b: To what extent involved in corruption: The construction sector</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	(2)	104	2.1%
3	(3)	298	6.1%
4	(4)	575	11.8%
5	(5)	856	17.6%
6	(6)	820	16.8%
7	To a very large extent (7)	732	15.0%
8	No opinion	1251	25.7%
97	No response - part of the question	25	0.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.1%
96	Question not asked	21309	
Sysmiss		151999	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab200c: To what extent involved in corruption: The restaurant industry</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4876 /-] [Invalid=173308 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? The restaurant industry		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	65	1.3%
2	(2)	116	2.4%
3	(3)	278	5.7%
4	(4)	530	10.9%
5	(5)	810	16.6%
6	(6)	782	16.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	750	15.4%
8	No opinion	1358	27.9%
97	No response - part of the question	34	0.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.1%
96	Question not asked	21309	
Sysmiss		151999	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab200d: To what extent involved in corruption: Entertainment industry</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1543 /-] [Invalid=176641 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Entertainment industry		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	22	1.4%
2	(2)	48	3.1%
3	(3)	109	7.1%

<b># ab200d: To what extent involved in corruption: Entertainment industry</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	(4)	173	11.2%
5	(5)	213	13.8%
6	(6)	155	10.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	139	9.0%
8	No opinion	611	39.6%
97	No response - part of the question	10	0.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	63	4.1%
96	Question not asked	4746	
Sysmiss		171895	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab200e: To what extent involved in corruption: School</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3333 /-] [Invalid=174851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? School		
<b>Notes</b>	2016-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	509	15.3%
2	(2)	656	19.7%
3	(3)	360	10.8%
4	(4)	289	8.7%
5	(5)	230	6.9%
6	(6)	85	2.6%
7	To a very large extent (7)	82	2.5%
8	No opinion	996	29.9%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.7%
96	Question not asked	16563	
Sysmiss		158288	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab200h: To what extent involved in corruption: Political parties</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=176453 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Political parties		
<b>Notes</b>	2014		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	90	5.2%
2	(2)	195	11.3%
3	(3)	154	8.9%
4	(4)	198	11.4%
5	(5)	239	13.8%

**# ab200h: To what extent involved in corruption: Political parties**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	(6)	97	5.6%
7	To a very large extent (7)	101	5.8%
8	No opinion	594	34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2	0.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		171308	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ab200i: To what extent involved in corruption: Club/association activities**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=176453 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/ association activities
<b>Notes</b>	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	81	4.7%
2	(2)	185	10.7%
3	(3)	192	11.1%
4	(4)	212	12.2%
5	(5)	171	9.9%
6	(6)	94	5.4%
7	To a very large extent (7)	57	3.3%
8	No opinion	664	38.4%
97	No response - part of the question	14	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		171308	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ab200j: To what extent involved in corruption: Mass media**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=176453 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/ association activities
<b>Notes</b>	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	72	4.2%
2	(2)	173	10.0%
3	(3)	157	9.1%
4	(4)	214	12.4%
5	(5)	203	11.7%
6	(6)	103	6.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	95	5.5%

### # ab200j: To what extent involved in corruption: Mass media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No opinion	635	36.7%
97	No response - part of the question	16	0.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		171308	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ac10a: In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=161286 /-] [Invalid=16898 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2010 (National): "In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people? Respond using the scale below:". Sedan 2011 har den formulerats som anges ovan.  West: The question wording has not changed over time (questions are given in Swedish). 1995- (West): "Enligt din mening, i vilken utsträckning går det att lita på människor i allmänhet? Svara med hjälp av nedanstående skala:".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted, in general?
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	People cannot generally be trusted (0)	3387	2.1%
1	(1)	1938	1.2%
2	(2)	4546	2.8%
3	(3)	8221	5.1%
4	(4)	8173	5.1%
5	(5)	23067	14.3%
6	(6)	15754	9.8%
7	(7)	31476	19.5%
8	(8)	36478	22.6%
9	(9)	12717	7.9%
10	People can generally be trusted (10)	10058	6.2%
97	No response - part of the question	380	0.2%
98	Several responses given	155	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4936	3.1%
Sysmiss		16898	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ac10b: To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=72717 /-] [Invalid=105467 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Asked in connection with ac10a.  National: The question wording has changed. 2007-2010 (National): "Using the same scale [as in ac10a], to what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?"; 2011- (National) "In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?"  West:

<b># ac10b: To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 2003-2004, 2006-2010 (West): "Using the same scale [as in ac10a], to what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?"; 2011- (West): "In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National); 2003-2004, 2006- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	People cannot generally be trusted (0)	922	1.3%
1	(1)	788	1.1%
2	(2)	1419	2.0%
3	(3)	2290	3.1%
4	(4)	2639	3.6%
5	(5)	6943	9.5%
6	(6)	5090	7.0%
7	(7)	10759	14.8%
8	(8)	18017	24.8%
9	(9)	13103	18.0%
10	People can generally be trusted (10)	8169	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	428	0.6%
98	Several responses given	34	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2116	2.9%
96		53057	
Sysmiss		52410	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ba10: Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has not changed over time (questions are given in Swedish).</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2009 (West): "The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a left-right scale?"; 2010- (West): "The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1999- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Clearly to the left	18359	10.3%
2	Somewhat to the left	38942	21.9%
3	Neither left nor right	52215	29.3%
4	Somewhat to the right	44004	24.7%
5	Clearly to the right	18620	10.4%
98	Several responses given	185	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	5859	3.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ba900a: Proposal: Strive towards an env. friendly society, even without economic growth</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=52141 /-] [Invalid=126043 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1990, 1995, 1997 (National): "Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem?"; 1991-1994 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?" 1998-2009 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1995, 1997-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	7940	15.2%
2	Rather good proposal	15867	30.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	15608	29.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	7619	14.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2456	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1255	2.4%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1358	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		124227	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ba900b: Proposal: Strive towards an environmentally friendly society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=56904 /-] [Invalid=121280 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	23753	41.7%
2	Rather good proposal	20535	36.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	8007	14.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	1438	2.5%
5	Very bad proposal	578	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1079	1.9%
98	Several responses given	92	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1422	2.5%
96		57250	
Sysmiss		64030	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ba900c: Proposal: Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=94107 /-] [Invalid=84077 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item has changed over time. 1993 (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between the sexes"; 1994-2005 (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between men and women"; 2006- (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men"		

<b># ba900c: Proposal: Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	45980	48.9%
2	Rather good proposal	27772	29.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13608	14.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1733	1.8%
5	Very bad proposal	920	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1443	1.5%
98	Several responses given	106	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2545	2.7%
96		72517	
Systemiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10a: How important to you: A clean world</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A clean world		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	17659	63.9%
2	Rather important	8282	30.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	680	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	77	0.3%
5	Not at all important	38	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	354	1.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Systemiss		137083	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10b: How important to you: Technological development</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Technological development		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		



### # bb10b: How important to you: Technological development

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	6571	23.8%
2	Rather important	13636	49.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4559	16.5%
4	Not particularly important	1377	5.0%
5	Not at all important	231	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	716	2.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Systemmiss		137083	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # bb10c: How important to you: A comfortable life

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A comfortable life
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	17638	56.6%
2	Rather important	10026	32.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1810	5.8%
4	Not particularly important	395	1.3%
5	Not at all important	82	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	576	1.8%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Systemmiss		124332	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # bb10d: How important to you: An exciting life

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An exciting life
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	7485	24.0%
2	Rather important	11744	37.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	7652	24.6%
4	Not particularly important	2347	7.5%

<b># bb10d: How important to you: An exciting life</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Not at all important	558	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	760	2.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Systemmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10e: How important to you: A sense of accomplishment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A sense of accomplishment		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	8660	27.8%
2	Rather important	13361	42.9%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	5938	19.1%
4	Not particularly important	1148	3.7%
5	Not at all important	300	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1141	3.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Systemmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10f: How important to you: A peaceful world</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A peaceful world		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	25798	82.9%
2	Rather important	3840	12.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	552	1.8%
4	Not particularly important	95	0.3%
5	Not at all important	41	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	219	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%

<b># bb10f: How important to you: A peaceful world</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10g: How important to you: A beautiful world</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A beautiful world		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	15446	49.6%
2	Rather important	10731	34.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	3223	10.4%
4	Not particularly important	356	1.1%
5	Not at all important	92	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	698	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10h: How important to you: Equality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Equality		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	15641	50.2%
2	Rather important	10594	34.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	3053	9.8%
4	Not particularly important	523	1.7%
5	Not at all important	166	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	569	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># bb10i: How important to you: Family security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family security		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	24421	78.4%
2	Rather important	5087	16.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	545	1.8%
4	Not particularly important	93	0.3%
5	Not at all important	45	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	353	1.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10j: How important to you: Freedom</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Freedom		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	25162	80.8%
2	Rather important	4471	14.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	422	1.4%
4	Not particularly important	49	0.2%
5	Not at all important	32	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	407	1.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10k: How important to you: Happiness</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		

<b># bb10k: How important to you: Happiness</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Happiness		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	20457	65.7%
2	Rather important	8181	26.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1268	4.1%
4	Not particularly important	103	0.3%
5	Not at all important	33	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	504	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10l: How important to you: Inner harmony</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=148672 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	21241	72.0%
2	Rather important	6414	21.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	652	2.2%
4	Not particularly important	63	0.2%
5	Not at all important	42	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	510	1.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		125956	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10m: How important to you: Love</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Love		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	22747	73.1%
2	Rather important	6400	20.6%

<b># bb10m: How important to you: Love</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Neither important nor unimportant	776	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	107	0.3%
5	Not at all important	56	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	459	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10n: How important to you: National security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - National security		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	20534	65.9%
2	Rather important	7659	24.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1508	4.8%
4	Not particularly important	257	0.8%
5	Not at all important	103	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	473	1.5%
98	Several responses given	15	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	27938	
Sysmiss		119110	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10o: How important to you: A life full of pleasure</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=148672 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A life full of pleasure		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	8135	27.6%
2	Rather important	10022	34.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	6783	23.0%
4	Not particularly important	2480	8.4%
5	Not at all important	779	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	715	2.4%

<b># bb10o: How important to you: A life full of pleasure</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		125956	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10p: How important to you: Salvation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Salvation		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	2423	7.8%
2	Rather important	2845	9.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	8714	28.0%
4	Not particularly important	5556	17.8%
5	Not at all important	9989	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1008	3.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10q: How important to you: Self-respect</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Self-respect		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	12556	40.3%
2	Rather important	11692	37.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4279	13.7%
4	Not particularly important	596	1.9%
5	Not at all important	311	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1097	3.5%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	

<b># bb10q: How important to you: Self-respect</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10r: How important to you: Social reputation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=147048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	5374	17.3%
2	Rather important	11948	38.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	8916	28.6%
4	Not particularly important	2492	8.0%
5	Not at all important	831	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	982	3.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		124332	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10s: How important to you: True friendship</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29493 /-] [Invalid=148691 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - True friendship		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	21012	71.2%
2	Rather important	6536	22.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	748	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	69	0.2%
5	Not at all important	60	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	494	1.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	569	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		125975	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># bb10t: How important to you: Wisdom</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=148672 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wisdom		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	10106	34.2%
2	Rather important	12817	43.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4420	15.0%
4	Not particularly important	541	1.8%
5	Not at all important	153	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	884	3.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		125956	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10u: How important to you: Justice</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Justice		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	20808	75.3%
2	Rather important	5167	18.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	533	1.9%
4	Not particularly important	71	0.3%
5	Not at all important	42	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	413	1.5%
98	Several responses given	60	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		137083	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10v: How important to you: Power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Power		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		

<b># bb10v: How important to you: Power</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	1608	5.8%
2	Rather important	4536	16.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	10763	38.9%
4	Not particularly important	6038	21.8%
5	Not at all important	3221	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	922	3.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		137083	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10w: How important to you: Health</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Health		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	23591	85.3%
2	Rather important	2800	10.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	245	0.9%
4	Not particularly important	46	0.2%
5	Not at all important	36	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	331	1.2%
98	Several responses given	45	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		137083	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10x: How important to you: Wealth</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=150535 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wealth		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	2341	8.5%
2	Rather important	8788	31.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	10144	36.7%
4	Not particularly important	3820	13.8%
5	Not at all important	1292	4.7%

<b># bb10x: How important to you: Wealth</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	706	2.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		137083	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb10y: How important to you: Honesty</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22851 /-] [Invalid=155333 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Honesty		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	18806	82.3%
2	Rather important	3036	13.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	260	1.1%
4	Not particularly important	34	0.1%
5	Not at all important	32	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	186	0.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	493	2.2%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		141881	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb100a: World view: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6953 /-] [Invalid=171231 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1998: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2000, 2002: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som har förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of statments that have appeared in the Swedish debate on the labor market and unemployment. What is your opinion on each of them? - Benefit fraud is common in Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2000, 2002 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	160	2.3%
1	(1)	190	2.7%
2	(2)	431	6.2%
3	(3)	481	6.9%
4	(4)	393	5.7%
5	(5)	1028	14.8%
6	(6)	591	8.5%
7	(7)	809	11.6%

<b># bb100a: World view: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	(8)	871	12.5%
9	(9)	416	6.0%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1175	16.9%
97	No response - part of the question	105	1.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	298	4.3%
96	Question not asked	10639	
Sysmiss		160592	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># bb100b: World view: Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8447 /-] [Invalid=169737 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1998: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2000, 2002: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som har förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2000, 2002, 2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	369	4.4%
1	(1)	229	2.7%
2	(2)	554	6.6%
3	(3)	571	6.8%
4	(4)	466	5.5%
5	(5)	1413	16.7%
6	(6)	869	10.3%
7	(7)	1124	13.3%
8	(8)	1014	12.0%
9	(9)	411	4.9%
10	Completely true statement (10)	979	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	364	4.3%
96	Question not asked	17133	
Sysmiss		152604	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># bb100c: World view: You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12599 /-] [Invalid=165585 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999-2002: "Vad är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om Internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt." 2005, 2012: "Vilken är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt."

<b># bb100c: World view: You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet</b>			
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet		
Notes	1998-2002, 2005, 2012 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	3799	30.2%
1	(1)	734	5.8%
2	(2)	1214	9.6%
3	(3)	807	6.4%
4	(4)	446	3.5%
5	(5)	1365	10.8%
6	(6)	318	2.5%
7	(7)	455	3.6%
8	(8)	533	4.2%
9	(9)	286	2.3%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1043	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	408	3.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1182	9.4%
96	Question not asked	15046	
Sysmiss		150539	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb100d: World view: The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3246 /-] [Invalid=174938 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999: "Om du använder samma skala, vad anser du om följande påståenden?" 2012: "Vad är din bedömning av följande påståenden?"		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following statements? - The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please		
Notes	1999, 2012 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	212	6.5%
1	(1)	74	2.3%
2	(2)	198	6.1%
3	(3)	287	8.8%
4	(4)	210	6.5%
5	(5)	521	16.1%
6	(6)	328	10.1%
7	(7)	494	15.2%
8	(8)	441	13.6%
9	(9)	145	4.5%
10	Completely true statement (10)	199	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	13	0.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	121	3.7%
96	Question not asked	6546	
Sysmiss		168392	

<b># bb100d: World view: The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb100e: World view: Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13652 /-] [Invalid=164532 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999, 2000: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den allmänna debatten om invandrare i Sverige. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society		
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2000, 2013 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	406	3.0%
1	(1)	153	1.1%
2	(2)	326	2.4%
3	(3)	278	2.0%
4	(4)	277	2.0%
5	(5)	811	5.9%
6	(6)	405	3.0%
7	(7)	536	3.9%
8	(8)	574	4.2%
9	(9)	301	2.2%
10	Completely true statement (10)	689	5.0%
96	Question not asked	8620	63.1%
97	No response - part of the question	53	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	1.6%
Sysmiss		164532	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb100f: World view: Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3247 /-] [Invalid=174937 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2000: "Nedan följer två påståenden om svenska politiska partier. Vad är din uppfattning om vart och ett av dem?" 2012: "Vad är din bedömning av följande påståenden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following statements? - Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises		
<b>Notes</b>	2000, 2012 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	426	13.1%
1	(1)	228	7.0%
2	(2)	451	13.9%
3	(3)	552	17.0%
4	(4)	343	10.6%
5	(5)	565	17.4%
6	(6)	216	6.7%
7	(7)	165	5.1%
8	(8)	98	3.0%

**# bb100f: World view: Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	(9)	19	0.6%
10	Completely true statement (10)	26	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	27	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	131	4.0%
96	Question not asked	6588	
Sysmiss		168349	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# bb100g: World view: The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3730 /-] [Invalid=174454 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001, 2002: "Nedan återfinns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i debatten om äldre människor i Sverige - med äldre människor menar vi ålderspensionärer över 65 år. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av påståendena?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing
<b>Notes</b>	2001, 2002 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	188	5.0%
1	(1)	116	3.1%
2	(2)	208	5.6%
3	(3)	205	5.5%
4	(4)	135	3.6%
5	(5)	878	23.5%
6	(6)	291	7.8%
7	(7)	400	10.7%
8	(8)	404	10.8%
9	(9)	198	5.3%
10	Completely true statement (10)	441	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	60	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	206	5.5%
96	Question not asked	3517	
Sysmiss		170937	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# bb100h: World view: Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5305 /-] [Invalid=172879 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001: "Vad är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om Internet?" 2005, 2012: "Vilken är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt."
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv
<b>Notes</b>	2001, 2005, 2012 (Riks)

# **bb100h: World view: Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	415	7.8%
1	(1)	115	2.2%
2	(2)	256	4.8%
3	(3)	236	4.4%
4	(4)	171	3.2%
5	(5)	618	11.6%
6	(6)	185	3.5%
7	(7)	382	7.2%
8	(8)	545	10.3%
9	(9)	272	5.1%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1595	30.1%
97	No response - part of the question	202	3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	5.7%
96	Question not asked	8121	
Sysmiss		164758	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **bb100i: World view: Sweden has a good business-friendly climate**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7064 /-] [Invalid=171120 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001-2002: Vad är din uppfattning om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om svensk industri? Ange för vart ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är felaktigt eller riktigt. 2003: "Vilken är din uppfattning om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om svensk industri?"
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the industrial sector in Sweden? - Sweden has a good business-friendly climate
<b>Notes</b>	2001, 2002, 2003 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	239	3.4%
1	(1)	150	2.1%
2	(2)	392	5.5%
3	(3)	484	6.9%
4	(4)	605	8.6%
5	(5)	1828	25.9%
6	(6)	655	9.3%
7	(7)	769	10.9%
8	(8)	729	10.3%
9	(9)	265	3.8%
10	Completely true statement (10)	417	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	117	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	408	5.8%
96	Question not asked	10734	
Sysmiss		160386	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



<b># bb100j: World view: We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5355 /-] [Invalid=172829 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001-2002: "Nedan återfinns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i debatten om äldre människor i Sverige - med äldre människor menar vi ålderspensionärer över 65 år. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av påståendena?" 2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"		
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer		
Notes	2001, 2002, 2013 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	121	2.3%
1	(1)	63	1.2%
2	(2)	177	3.3%
3	(3)	216	4.0%
4	(4)	224	4.2%
5	(5)	627	11.7%
6	(6)	446	8.3%
7	(7)	748	14.0%
8	(8)	887	16.6%
9	(9)	410	7.7%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1112	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	59	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	264	4.9%
96	Question not asked	8495	
Sysmiss		164334	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb100k: World view: Internet is needed to keep oneself informed about the news</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3406 /-] [Invalid=174778 /-]		
Literal question	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Internet is needed to keep oneself informed about the news		
Notes	2005, 2012 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	846	24.8%
1	(1)	218	6.4%
2	(2)	256	7.5%
3	(3)	167	4.9%
4	(4)	144	4.2%
5	(5)	461	13.5%
6	(6)	137	4.0%
7	(7)	157	4.6%
8	(8)	221	6.5%
9	(9)	131	3.8%
10	Completely true statement (10)	367	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	179	5.3%

# **bb100k: World view: Internet is needed to keep oneself informed about the news**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	122	3.6%
96	Question not asked	6382	
Sysmiss		168396	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **bb100l: World view: Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1629 /-] [Invalid=176555 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about alcohol. What is your opinion on each of them? - Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems
<b>Notes</b>	2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	53	3.3%
1	(1)	25	1.5%
2	(2)	98	6.0%
3	(3)	147	9.0%
4	(4)	88	5.4%
5	(5)	231	14.2%
6	(6)	147	9.0%
7	(7)	210	12.9%
8	(8)	195	12.0%
9	(9)	76	4.7%
10	Completely true statement (10)	305	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	5	0.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	48	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		174848	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **bb101a: Perception of reality: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=176548 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the following statements? Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	60	3.7%
2	(2)	257	15.7%
3	(3)	502	30.7%
4	(4)	397	24.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	341	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.9%

<b># bb101a: Perception of reality: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	8192	
Sysmiss		168356	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb101b: Perception of reality: Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=176548 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the following statements? Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market		
<b>Notes</b>	2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely false statement (1)	135	8.3%
2	(2)	302	18.5%
3	(3)	504	30.8%
4	(4)	397	24.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	237	14.5%
97	No response - part of the question	12	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8192	
Sysmiss		168356	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb101d: Perception of reality: Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1580 /-] [Invalid=176604 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves		
<b>Notes</b>	2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely false statement (1)	92	5.8%
2	(2)	198	12.5%
3	(3)	497	31.5%
4	(4)	561	35.5%
5	Completely true statement(5)	173	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	16	1.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	42	2.7%
96	Question not asked	8248	
Sysmiss		168356	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb101f: Perception of reality: Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1580 /-] [Invalid=176604 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises		
<b>Notes</b>	2016		

# bb101f: Perception of reality: Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	358	22.7%
2	(2)	567	35.9%
3	(3)	469	29.7%
4	(4)	98	6.2%
5	Completely true statement(5)	23	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	20	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	42	2.7%
96	Question not asked	8248	
Sysmiss		168356	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# bb101g: Perception of reality: There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1692 /-] [Invalid=176492 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the following statements? There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	150	8.9%
2	(2)	233	13.8%
3	(3)	690	40.8%
4	(4)	310	18.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	213	12.6%
97	No response - part of the question	36	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	8136	
Sysmiss		168356	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# bb900a: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1777 /-] [Invalid=176407 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb901c (including no opinion alternative).
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
<b>Notes</b>	1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	284	16.0%
2	Rather good proposal	497	28.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	549	30.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	163	9.2%
5	Very bad proposal	236	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	24	1.4%

<b># bb900a: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	24	1.4%
Sysmiss		176407	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb900b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3545 /-] [Invalid=174639 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb901b (including no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	223	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	302	8.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	710	20.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	577	16.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1578	44.5%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	100	2.8%
Sysmiss		174639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb900c: Proposal: Limit the right to abortion</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5445 /-] [Invalid=172739 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb901d (including no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2001 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	223	4.1%
2	Rather good proposal	346	6.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	831	15.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1005	18.5%
5	Very bad proposal	2725	50.0%
97	No response - part of the question	136	2.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1739	
Sysmiss		171000	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb900f: Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># bb900f: Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1597 /-] [Invalid=176587 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb901j (including no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	315	19.7%
2	Rather good proposal	363	22.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	585	36.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	119	7.5%
5	Very bad proposal	136	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	50	3.1%
96	Question not asked	3123	
Sysmiss		173464	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901a: Proposal: Improve the rights of animals</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20565 /-] [Invalid=157619 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Improve the rights of animals		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2004, 2006-2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	5666	27.6%
2	Rather good proposal	6036	29.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5092	24.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	998	4.9%
5	Very bad proposal	642	3.1%
6	No opinion	1251	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	237	1.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	630	3.1%
96	Question not asked	31999	
Sysmiss		125620	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8711 /-] [Invalid=169473 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb900b (excluding no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002, 2006-2007 (National)		

<b># bb901b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	857	9.8%
2	Rather good proposal	1158	13.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1578	18.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	1276	14.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2838	32.6%
6	No opinion	598	6.9%
97	No response - part of the question	77	0.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	325	3.7%
Sysmiss		169473	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901c: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20259 /-] [Invalid=157925 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb900a (excluding no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow active euthanasia		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002, 2006, 2008-2009, 2011- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2835	14.0%
2	Rather good proposal	6130	30.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4976	24.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1498	7.4%
5	Very bad proposal	1896	9.4%
6	No opinion	2075	10.2%
97	No response - part of the question	260	1.3%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	569	2.8%
96	Question not asked	50749	
Sysmiss		107176	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901d: Proposal: Limit the right to abortion</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25539 /-] [Invalid=152645 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb900c (excluding no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002, 2008, 2011- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	995	3.9%
2	Rather good proposal	1312	5.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2444	9.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	3294	12.9%

<b># bb901d: Proposal: Limit the right to abortion</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Very bad proposal	15232	59.6%
6	No opinion	1391	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	232	0.9%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	624	2.4%
96	Question not asked	94114	
Sysmiss		58531	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901f: Proposal: Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11700 /-] [Invalid=166484 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)		
<b>Notes</b>	2001, 2004, 2006-2008, 2010-2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1463	12.5%
2	Rather good proposal	1296	11.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2765	23.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1586	13.6%
5	Very bad proposal	1859	15.9%
6	No opinion	2244	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	130	1.1%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	347	3.0%
96	Question not asked	15307	
Sysmiss		151177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901g: Proposal: Introduce death penalty for murder</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16711 /-] [Invalid=161473 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce death penalty for murder		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2007-2008, 2011, 2013-2015, 2017 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1244	7.4%
2	Rather good proposal	1550	9.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2104	12.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	2120	12.7%
5	Very bad proposal	8136	48.7%
6	No opinion	975	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	172	1.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	403	2.4%
96	Question not asked	52358	



<b># bb901g: Proposal: Introduce death penalty for murder</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		109115	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901i: Proposal: Ban face-covering veils in public places</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15096 /-] [Invalid=163088 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Ban face-covering veils in public places		
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2013, 2015, 2017- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	4968	32.9%
2	Rather good proposal	2810	18.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2307	15.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1567	10.4%
5	Very bad proposal	2053	13.6%
6	No opinion	886	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.0%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	348	2.3%
96	Question not asked	58883	
Sysmiss		104205	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bb901j: Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11925 /-] [Invalid=166259 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also the same proposal question bb900f (excluding no opinion alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society		
<b>Notes</b>	2011, 2013-2017 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3157	26.5%
2	Rather good proposal	2673	22.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3266	27.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	658	5.5%
5	Very bad proposal	857	7.2%
6	No opinion	923	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	151	1.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	227	1.9%
96	Question not asked	46302	
Sysmiss		119957	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bc10: Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># bc10: Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=82468 /-] [Invalid=95716 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" bc10 is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190). This question was also asked in 1987, but with a 6 point scale.</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2003 (West): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited church service or religious meeting	
<b>Notes</b>		1988- (National); 1993-1997, 1999-2002, 2004-2007, 2009- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	47762	57.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	14913	18.1%
3	About once every half year	5283	6.4%
4	About once every quarter	4148	5.0%
5	About once every month	3524	4.3%
6	About once a week	2473	3.0%
7	Several times a week	1138	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1581	1.9%
98	Several responses given	91	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1555	1.9%
96	Question not asked	92421	
Sysmiss		3295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bc20: Past 12 months: Prayed to God</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=148952 /-] [Invalid=29232 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1995, 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" bc20 is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190).</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1995-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Prayed to God	
<b>Notes</b>		1988, 1995, 1998- (National); 1995, 1997- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	89003	59.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	13828	9.3%
3	About once every half year	4354	2.9%
4	About once every quarter	4206	2.8%
5	About once every month	6923	4.6%
6	About once a week	6540	4.4%
7	Several times a week	15892	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	4841	3.3%

<b># bc20: Past 12 months: Prayed to God</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	283	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	3082	2.1%
96	Question not asked	12221	
Sysmiss		17011	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bc30: Believe in: God</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35719 /-] [Invalid=142465 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you believe in God?		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	12714	35.6%
2	No	20062	56.2%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	191	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2752	7.7%
96	Question not asked	71832	
Sysmiss		70633	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bc100a: Opinion of world religions: Atheism</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=171332 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Atheism		
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2011		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Very negative (0)	284	4.1%
1	(1)	91	1.3%
2	(2)	146	2.1%
3	(3)	173	2.5%
4	(4)	157	2.3%
5	(5)	2723	39.7%
6	(6)	368	5.4%
7	(7)	568	8.3%
8	(8)	733	10.7%
9	(9)	326	4.8%
10	Very positive (10)	945	13.8%
97	No response - part of the question	102	1.5%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		150908	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

**# bc100b: Opinion of world religions: Buddhism**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=171332 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Buddhism
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	1725	25.2%
1	(1)	412	6.0%
2	(2)	541	7.9%
3	(3)	412	6.0%
4	(4)	298	4.3%
5	(5)	2466	36.0%
6	(6)	150	2.2%
7	(7)	116	1.7%
8	(8)	99	1.4%
9	(9)	37	0.5%
10	Very positive (10)	144	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	215	3.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		150908	





























*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# bc100c: Opinion of world religions: Hinduism**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=171332 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Hinduism
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	706	10.3%
1	(1)	153	2.2%
2	(2)	209	3.1%
3	(3)	195	2.8%
4	(4)	152	2.2%
5	(5)	3248	47.4%
6	(6)	387	5.6%
7	(7)	434	6.3%
8	(8)	424	6.2%
9	(9)	195	2.8%
10	Very positive (10)	263	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	248	3.6%
98	Several responses given	12	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		150908	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># bc100d: Opinion of world religions: Islam</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=171332 /-]		
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Islam		
Notes	2005, 2011		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	809	 11.8%
1	(1)	193	 2.8%
2	(2)	263	 3.8%
3	(3)	231	 3.4%
4	(4)	210	 3.1%
5	(5)	3691	 53.9%
6	(6)	288	 4.2%
7	(7)	264	 3.9%
8	(8)	205	 3.0%
9	(9)	73	 1.1%
10	Very positive (10)	125	 1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	266	 3.9%
98	Several responses given	8	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	 3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		150908	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bc100e: Opinion of world religions: Judaism</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=171332 /-]		
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Judaism		
Notes	2005, 2011		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	793	 11.6%
1	(1)	203	 3.0%
2	(2)	287	 4.2%
3	(3)	273	 4.0%
4	(4)	286	 4.2%
5	(5)	3563	 52.0%
6	(6)	247	 3.6%
7	(7)	276	 4.0%
8	(8)	212	 3.1%
9	(9)	100	 1.5%
10	Very positive (10)	120	 1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	258	 3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	 3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		150908	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># bc100f: Opinion of world religions: Christianity</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5128 /-] [Invalid=173056 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Christianity		
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2011		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Very negative (0)	689	13.4%
1	(1)	130	2.5%
2	(2)	134	2.6%
3	(3)	84	1.6%
4	(4)	76	1.5%
5	(5)	2602	50.7%
6	(6)	126	2.5%
7	(7)	182	3.5%
8	(8)	228	4.4%
9	(9)	127	2.5%
10	Very positive (10)	409	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	162	3.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	175	3.4%
96	Question not asked	18649	
Sysmiss		154407	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># bd900a: Proposal: Reduce income differences in society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33711 /-] [Invalid=144473 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce income differences in society		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	11044	32.8%
2	Rather good proposal	9887	29.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6960	20.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	3117	9.2%
5	Very bad proposal	1175	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	723	2.1%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	792	2.3%
96	Question not asked	73498	
Sysmiss		70975	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		

<b># ca10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	24684	13.9%
2	Rather interested	78073	43.8%
3	Not particularly interested	59406	33.3%
4	Not at all interested	12736	7.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	82	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3203	1.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca50: During the past 12 months, have you had any contact w a Member of Parliament?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23582 /-] [Invalid=154602 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, have you had any kind of contact with any Member of Parliament?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2001- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	1864	7.9%
2	No	21187	89.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	529	2.2%
96	Question not asked	36174	
Sysmiss		118428	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca100a: Satisfaction with democracy in: EU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=75830 /-] [Invalid=102354 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - EU		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National); 1998- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	1852	2.4%
2	Rather satisfied	29979	39.5%
3	Not particularly satisfied	30291	39.9%
4	Not at all satisfied	9858	13.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1636	2.2%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2191	2.9%
96		76403	
Sysmiss		25951	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # ca100b: Satisfaction with democracy in: Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82984 /-] [Invalid=95200 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Asked as a single question 1996-1997. Since 1998 asked in a question battery with ca100a, c-d.            The question wording has changed. 1996-1998 (National): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?"; 1999- (National): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in:".</p> <p>West:            Asked as a single question 1996-1997. Since 1998 asked in a question battery with ca100a, d-e.            The question wording has changed. 1996-1997 (West): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?"; 1998- (West): On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in:".</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	10017	12.1%
2	Rather satisfied	48038	57.9%
3	Not particularly satisfied	17221	20.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	4448	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	898	1.1%
98	Several responses given	33	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2329	2.8%
96		76403	
Sysmiss		18797	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ca100c: Satisfaction with democracy in: The region where you live

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=65271 /-] [Invalid=112913 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The region where you live
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4265	6.5%
2	Rather satisfied	34214	52.4%
3	Not particularly satisfied	18972	29.1%
4	Not at all satisfied	4737	7.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1181	1.8%
98	Several responses given	20	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1882	2.9%
96	Question not asked	78234	
Sysmiss		34679	


















*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



<b># ca100d: Satisfaction with democracy in: The municipality where you live</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=72259 /-] [Invalid=105925 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The municipality where you live		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	6047	8.4%
2	Rather satisfied	40629	56.2%
3	Not particularly satisfied	17641	24.4%
4	Not at all satisfied	4813	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	992	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2126	2.9%
96	Question not asked	78234	
Sysmiss		27691	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca110: Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=71314 /-] [Invalid=106870 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	8329	11.7%
2	Rather satisfied	39677	55.6%
3	Not particularly satisfied	16584	23.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	5094	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	64	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1566	2.2%
96	Question not asked	26824	
Sysmiss		80046	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca200a: How well do you think the government is doing its job?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=71124 /-] [Invalid=107060 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How well do you think the government is doing its job?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good	3146	4.4%
2	Rather good	21331	30.0%
3	Neither good nor bad	23962	33.7%
4	Rather bad	14268	20.1%
5	Very bad	6597	9.3%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	30	0.0%

<b># ca200a: How well do you think the government is doing its job?</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	1790	2.5%
96	Question not asked	99698	
Sysmiss		7362	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca200b: How well do you think the government in your municipality is doing its job?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18936 /-] [Invalid=159248 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "On the whole, how do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?"; 1999- (National): "How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-1999, 2001-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good	528	2.8%
2	Rather good	5149	27.2%
3	Neither good nor bad	6365	33.6%
4	Rather bad	2780	14.7%
5	Very bad	1044	5.5%
6	No opinion	2412	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	44	0.2%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	604	3.2%
96	Question not asked	16191	
Sysmiss		143057	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca300a: Past 12 months: Discussed politics</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=174889 /-] [Invalid=3295 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" ca300a is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190).</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Discussed politics		
<b>Notes</b>	1988- (National); 1993- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	30837	17.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	17112	9.8%
3	About once every half year	11356	6.5%
4	About once every quarter	15933	9.1%
5	About once every month	37297	21.3%
6	About once a week	35911	20.5%
7	Several times a week	19387	11.1%

<b># ca300a: Past 12 months: Discussed politics</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	3423	2.0%
98	Several responses given	163	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3470	2.0%
Sysmiss		3295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca300b: Past 12 months: Participated in a demonstration</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=70844 /-] [Invalid=107340 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2007- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1999-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in a demonstration		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001, 2007- (National); 1999-2001 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	63811	90.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2603	3.7%
3	About once every half year	668	0.9%
4	About once every quarter	561	0.8%
5	About once every month	191	0.3%
6	About once a week	85	0.1%
7	Several times a week	137	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1222	1.7%
98	Several responses given	83	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1483	2.1%
96	Question not asked	65617	
Sysmiss		41723	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca400a: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT1</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT1		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	527	15.5%
2	Very satisfied	499	14.6%
3	Rather satisfied	1917	56.3%
4	Not particularly satisfied	180	5.3%
5	Not at all satisfied	44	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.3%
98	Several responses given	41	1.2%

<b># ca400a: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT1</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	154	 4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca400b: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT2</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT2		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	486	 14.3%
2	Very satisfied	515	 15.1%
3	Rather satisfied	1961	 57.6%
4	Not particularly satisfied	172	 5.0%
5	Not at all satisfied	41	 1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	64	 1.9%
98	Several responses given	14	 0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	 4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca400c: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: TV4</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - TV4		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	483	 14.2%
2	Very satisfied	466	 13.7%
3	Rather satisfied	1881	 55.2%
4	Not particularly satisfied	291	 8.5%
5	Not at all satisfied	41	 1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	74	 2.2%
98	Several responses given	17	 0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	 4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca400d: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Radio Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		

### # ca400d: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Radio Sweden

**Literal question** How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Radio Sweden

**Notes** 1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	908	26.7%
2	Very satisfied	481	14.1%
3	Rather satisfied	1533	45.0%
4	Not particularly satisfied	159	4.7%
5	Not at all satisfied	33	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	127	3.7%
98	Several responses given	12	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ca400e: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Private local radio

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]

**Literal question** How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Private local radio

**Notes** 1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1796	52.7%
2	Very satisfied	132	3.9%
3	Rather satisfied	604	17.7%
4	Not particularly satisfied	349	10.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	180	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	182	5.3%
98	Several responses given	10	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		172977	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ca400f: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Dagens Nyheter

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]

**Literal question** How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Dagens Nyheter

**Notes** 1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2164	63.5%
2	Very satisfied	267	7.8%
3	Rather satisfied	524	15.4%
4	Not particularly satisfied	76	2.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	35	1.0%

**# ca400f: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Dagens Nyheter**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	168	4.9%
98	Several responses given	19	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		172977	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ca400g: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Svenska Dagbladet**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Svenska Dagbladet
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2424	71.1%
2	Very satisfied	177	5.2%
3	Rather satisfied	333	9.8%
4	Not particularly satisfied	76	2.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	45	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	177	5.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		172977	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ca400h: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Göteborgs-Posten**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Göteborgs-Posten
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2529	74.2%
2	Very satisfied	100	2.9%
3	Rather satisfied	313	9.2%
4	Not particularly satisfied	64	1.9%
5	Not at all satisfied	47	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	189	5.5%
98	Several responses given	11	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ca400i: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The local morning paper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The local morning paper		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1001	29.4%
2	Very satisfied	388	11.4%
3	Rather satisfied	1319	38.7%
4	Not particularly satisfied	357	10.5%
5	Not at all satisfied	63	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	114	3.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Systemmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ca400j: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The evening tabloids</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The evening tabloids		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1344	39.4%
2	Very satisfied	149	4.4%
3	Rather satisfied	907	26.6%
4	Not particularly satisfied	513	15.1%
5	Not at all satisfied	203	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	128	3.8%
98	Several responses given	9	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Systemmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb10: Which party do you like best today?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177992 /-] [Invalid=192 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: Additional responses have been picked up from the open question regarding best party (cb15; applies to SD, FI and PP). Sweden Democrats has been available as a response alternative since 2008, FI: 2009-2010, PP: 2009-2010.</p> <p>West: Additional responses have been picked up from the open question regarding best party (cb15; applies to SD, FI and PP). Sweden Democrats has been available as a response alternative since 2008, FI: 2009-2010, PP: 2009-2010.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you like best today?		

<b># cb10: Which party do you like best today?</b>			
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992-1995, 1998-1999, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Left Party	12765	7.2%
2	Social Democrats	51761	29.1%
3	Centre Party	11926	6.7%
4	Liberal Party	10893	6.1%
5	Moderate Party	36166	20.3%
6	Christian Democrats	8336	4.7%
7	Green Party	10456	5.9%
10	Sweden Democrats	13345	7.5%
11	Feminist Initiative	1011	0.6%
12	Pirate Party	428	0.2%
13	New Democracy	418	0.2%
14	Sjukvårdspartiet	0	
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	3713	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3947	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3992	2.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	8835	5.0%
Sysmiss		192	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb11: Which party do you like best today?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=133222 /-] [Invalid=44962 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoding of cb10 where the codes 10-15 have been recoded to 30 (Other party).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you like best today?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992-1995, 1998-1999, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Left Party	8985	6.7%
2	Social Democrats	39679	29.8%
3	Centre Party	8415	6.3%
4	Liberal Party	9229	6.9%
5	Moderate Party	28126	21.1%
6	Christian Democrats	6082	4.6%
7	Green Party	8418	6.3%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	11894	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2801	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2824	2.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	6769	5.1%
Sysmiss		44962	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-999] [Missing=* /996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=145242 /-] [Invalid=32942 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you like best today?		



# cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Notes 2001- (National); 1992-1994, 1998, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Vägvalet	6	0.0%
101	Sjukvårdspartiet	18	0.0%
102	OKS	1	0.0%
103	Svenljunga nya kommunparti	0	
104	Folkfronten (fd. NSF)	12	0.0%
105	Rättvisepartiet socialisterna	12	0.0%
106	Folkets vilja, folkviljan	1	0.0%
107	Sverigedemokraterna	262	0.2%
108	Nydemokraterna	0	
109	Pensionärspartiet	19	0.0%
110	Vår framtid	0	
111	Norges kommunistiska parti (NKP)	0	
112	Aledemokraterna	1	0.0%
113	KPML(r) (se kod 193)	16	0.0%
114	Sveriges pensionärs intresseparti (SPI)	65	0.0%
115	Socialistiska partiet	10	0.0%
116	Det nya partiet	0	
117	Kalle Anka-partiet	57	0.0%
118	Musse Pigg-partiet	0	
119	Långben-partiet	0	
120	Enhet	44	0.0%
121	Markbygdspartiet	0	
122	Ny Demokrati	3	0.0%
123	Samlingsregering	1	0.0%
124	Norrbotenpartiet	6	0.0%
125	Europeiska Arbetarpartiet (EAP)	1	0.0%
126	Syndikalisterna (SAC)	2	0.0%
127	Libertarianer	0	
128	Kvinnopartiet	0	
129	Internetpartiet	5	0.0%
130	Fria folkets parti	1	0.0%
131	Skånepartiet	8	0.0%
132	Hörbypartiet	0	
133	Ny framtid	2	0.0%
134	Kinnapartiet	1	0.0%
135	Stockholmspartiet	1	0.0%
136	SAFE (Nässjö)	1	0.0%
137	Sjöbopartiet	3	0.0%
138	Soffliggarpartiet	2	0.0%
139	Finsk center	1	0.0%
140	Junilistan	89	0.1%
141	Sveriges kommunistiska parti (SKP)	11	0.0%
142	Tomas DiLeva parti	1	0.0%
143	Feministiskt initiativ	171	0.1%

# cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
144	Framtidspartiet	2	0.0%
145	Vägval Vänster	1	0.0%
146	Vevpartiet	2	0.0%
147	Piratpartiet	258	0.2%
148	Roslagspartiet Österåker	2	0.0%
149	Vrinnevilistan (VL)	1	0.0%
150	Kommunal Samverkan med Folket i Fokus (KSFF)	0	
151	Nackapartiet	0	
152	Bålstadpartiet	1	0.0%
153	Sollentunapartiet	0	
154	Folkets Väl	0	
155	Laholmspartiet	0	
156	Ronnebypartiet	0	
157	Alvesta alternativet	1	0.0%
158	Liberala partiet	24	0.0%
159	Göingepartiet	0	
160	Barapartiet	0	
161	Falupartiet	1	0.0%
162	Österlenpartiet	0	
163	Knivsta.nu	0	
164	Mariefredspartiet	1	0.0%
165	Sjukvårdspartiet i Värmland	0	
166	Mullsjö framtid	0	
167	Huddingepartiet	1	0.0%
168	Jongleringspartiet	1	0.0%
169	Välfärdspartiet	1	0.0%
170	Dalarnas sjukvårdsparti	0	
171	Nils Dacke partiet	0	
172	Skolpartiet	0	
174	Nackalistan	2	0.0%
175	Skåne läns sjukvårdsparti	0	
176	Norrbottnens sjukvårdsparti	2	0.0%
177	Fria Norrland	0	
178	Sverigepartiet	1	0.0%
179	Kirunapartiet	2	0.0%
180	Kristianstadbyggdagens framtid	2	0.0%
181	Skånes självständighetsparti	0	
182	Strömstads parti	0	
183	Föryngringspartiet	0	
184	Åhuspartiet	0	
185	Kommunalt alternativ	0	
186	Burlövs väl	0	
187	Bjäre partiet	1	0.0%
188	Osynliga partiet	0	
189	Demokratisk vänster	0	

# cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
190	Kungsbackaborna	0	
191	Skånes väl	0	
192	Staffanstorpspartiet	0	
193	Kommunistiska partiet (KP)	39	0.0%
194	Kommunpartiet , Härryda	0	
195	Kristianstadpartiet	0	
196	Bergås bästa	0	
197	Kommunens väl, Ystad	1	0.0%
198	Kommunal samling, Göinge	0	
199	Helsingborgspartiet	0	
200	Nordiska rikspartiet	0	
201	Barsebäcksöppnarna	0	
202	Kommunpartiet vår framtid	0	
203	Rätt väg i Gullspång	0	
204	Uddevallpartiet	1	0.0%
205	Sotenåspartiet	0	
206	Lysekilspartiet	0	
207	Mariestadspartiet	0	
208	Solidariskt Uddevalla	0	
209	Ö-partiet	0	
210	Aktiv demokrati	0	
211	Barnpartiet	1	0.0%
212	Storforsbygdens väl	1	0.0%
213	Folkfronten (socialister, Lkpg)	0	
214	Nationaldemokraterna	1	0.0%
215	Guds rikes UFO-parti	6	0.0%
216	Lidingöpartiet	0	
218	Omsorg för alla	0	
219	Bollnåspartiet	0	
220	Vingåkers Lokalparti	0	
221	Kommunlistan (Storuman)	0	
222	Sjukvårdspartiet VG region	0	
223	Marks oberoende demokrater	0	
224	PRI	0	
225	Lokala partiet i Heby	0	
226	Vox Humana	1	0.0%
227	A2000	0	
228	Ny ordning	0	
229	Vårdpartiet	0	
230	Söderslättspartiet	0	
231	Sigtunapartiet/Samling för nya Sigtuna	0	
232	Strängnåspartiet	0	
233	Norrländska Samlingspartiet	3	0.0%
234	Liberaldemokraterna	4	0.0%
236	Folkhemmet	1	0.0%

# cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
237	Bergspartiet	0	
238	Filipstadspartiet	0	
239	Svenskarnas Parti	6	0.0%
240	Nya listan i Vellinge	0	
241	Engelholmspartiet	0	
242	Arbetarpartiet	1	0.0%
245		1	0.0%
801	Vänsterpartiet	1	0.0%
802	Socialdemokraterna	0	
803	Centerpartiet	0	
804	Folkpartiet	2	0.0%
805	Moderaterna	1	0.0%
806	Kristdemokraterna	2	0.0%
807	Miljöpartiet	2	0.0%
808	Sverigedemokraterna	0	
809	Perstorps Framtid	0	
810	Naturdemokraterna	1	0.0%
812		23	0.0%
813		42	0.0%
823		1	0.0%
827		34	0.0%
830		25	0.0%
835		1	0.0%
847		1	0.0%
854		2	0.0%
856		2	0.0%
858		1	0.0%
862		4	0.0%
867		1	0.0%
873		1	0.0%
878		9	0.0%
879		2	0.0%
881		1	0.0%
883		1	0.0%
885		4	0.0%
886		4	0.0%
887		4	0.0%
888		125	0.1%
889		10	0.0%
890		1	0.0%
891		74	0.1%
898		2	0.0%
905		2	0.0%
914		7	0.0%
915		3	0.0%

<b># cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
916		1	0.0%
917		3	0.0%
923		2	0.0%
925		3	0.0%
933		7	0.0%
934		1	0.0%
935		1	0.0%
946		2	0.0%
955		1	0.0%
989		1	0.0%
993	Inget parti	1465	1.0%
994	Utländskt parti	23	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	33	0.0%
997	No response - part of the question	135566	93.3%
998	Not applicable	735	0.5%
999	No response - entire question battery	5794	4.0%
996	Question not asked	1899	
Sysmiss		31043	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb20: Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Asked in connection with cb11.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes, very convinced	28011	15.7%
2	Yes, somewhat convinced	68934	38.7%
3	No	67300	37.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4978	2.8%
98	Several responses given	50	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	8911	5.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb30: Which party do you like second best?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37176 /-] [Invalid=141008 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you like second best?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2002, 2005, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Left Party	4376	11.8%
2	Social Democrats	5339	14.4%
3	Centre Party	3600	9.7%

### # cb30: Which party do you like second best?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Liberal Party	4493	12.1%
5	Moderate Party	4259	11.5%
6	Christian Democrats	3088	8.3%
7	Green Party	3930	10.6%
10	Sweden Democrats	1288	3.5%
11	Feminist Initiative	229	0.6%
12	Pirate Party	0	
13	New Democracy	215	0.6%
14	Sjukvårdspartiet	0	
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	807	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1841	5.0%
98	Several responses given	275	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	3436	9.2%
96	Question not asked	35822	
Sysmiss		105186	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb50a: Like/dislike: Centre Party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Centre Party
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4109	6.6%
-4	(-4)	2005	3.2%
-3	(-3)	3499	5.6%
-2	(-2)	3564	5.7%
-1	(-1)	3503	5.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	21838	35.0%
1	(+1)	5872	9.4%
2	(+2)	5764	9.2%
3	(+3)	4745	7.6%
4	(+4)	1930	3.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1055	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1612	2.6%
98	Several responses given	65	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2809	4.5%
96	Question not asked	104766	
Sysmiss		11048	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb50b: Like/dislike: Moderate Party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]

### # cb50b: Like/dislike: Moderate Party

**Literal question** This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Moderate Party

**Notes** 1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	9396	15.1%
-4	(-4)	3481	5.6%
-3	(-3)	3940	6.3%
-2	(-2)	3098	5.0%
-1	(-1)	2702	4.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	10482	16.8%
1	(+1)	4101	6.6%
2	(+2)	5208	8.4%
3	(+3)	6757	10.8%
4	(+4)	5393	8.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	3426	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1451	2.3%
98	Several responses given	127	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	104766	
Sysmiss		11048	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb50c: Like/dislike: Left Party

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]

**Literal question** This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Left Party

**Notes** 1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	11842	19.0%
-4	(-4)	4246	6.8%
-3	(-3)	4421	7.1%
-2	(-2)	3509	5.6%
-1	(-1)	3391	5.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	13378	21.4%
1	(+1)	4420	7.1%
2	(+2)	4159	6.7%
3	(+3)	4011	6.4%
4	(+4)	2535	4.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1663	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1761	2.8%
98	Several responses given	226	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	108452	
Sysmiss		7362	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cb50d: Like/dislike: Liberal Party	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Liberal Party
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4246	6.8%
-4	(-4)	2629	4.2%
-3	(-3)	3688	5.9%
-2	(-2)	3706	5.9%
-1	(-1)	4115	6.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	18206	29.2%
1	(+1)	6187	9.9%
2	(+2)	5947	9.5%
3	(+3)	5036	8.1%
4	(+4)	2624	4.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	964	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2065	3.3%
98	Several responses given	149	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	108452	
Sysmiss		7362	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cb50e: Like/dislike: Social Democrats	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Social Democrats
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4587	7.4%
-4	(-4)	2746	4.4%
-3	(-3)	3697	5.9%
-2	(-2)	3370	5.4%
-1	(-1)	3662	5.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	11081	17.8%
1	(+1)	5363	8.6%
2	(+2)	5898	9.5%
3	(+3)	7249	11.6%
4	(+4)	5538	8.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	4989	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1278	2.0%
98	Several responses given	104	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	108452	



<b># cb50e: Like/dislike: Social Democrats</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		7362	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb50f: Like/dislike: Green Party</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Green Party		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	7667	12.3%
-4	(-4)	3232	5.2%
-3	(-3)	3585	5.7%
-2	(-2)	3039	4.9%
-1	(-1)	3025	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	14657	23.5%
1	(+1)	6493	10.4%
2	(+2)	5980	9.6%
3	(+3)	5220	8.4%
4	(+4)	2953	4.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1841	3.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1778	2.9%
98	Several responses given	92	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	108452	
Sysmiss		7362	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb50g: Like/dislike: Christian Democrats</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62370 /-] [Invalid=115814 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Christian Democrats		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	8752	14.0%
-4	(-4)	3809	6.1%
-3	(-3)	4196	6.7%
-2	(-2)	3485	5.6%
-1	(-1)	3559	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	17360	27.8%
1	(+1)	4785	7.7%
2	(+2)	4433	7.1%
3	(+3)	3892	6.2%
4	(+4)	2286	3.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1191	1.9%

### # cb50g: Like/dislike: Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1751	2.8%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2808	4.5%
96	Question not asked	108452	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb50h: Like/dislike: New Democracy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7023 /-] [Invalid=171161 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - New Democracy
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2416	34.4%
-4	(-4)	433	6.2%
-3	(-3)	392	5.6%
-2	(-2)	296	4.2%
-1	(-1)	253	3.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1278	18.2%
1	(+1)	457	6.5%
2	(+2)	380	5.4%
3	(+3)	333	4.7%
4	(+4)	182	2.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	209	3.0%
97	No response - part of the question	194	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	200	2.8%
Sysmiss		171161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb50i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13260 /-] [Invalid=164924 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also cb51i (including "unknown" alternative).
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	3480	26.2%
-4	(-4)	943	7.1%
-3	(-3)	825	6.2%
-2	(-2)	522	3.9%
-1	(-1)	485	3.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3706	27.9%
1	(+1)	653	4.9%

### # cb50i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	(+2)	508	3.8%
3	(+3)	519	3.9%
4	(+4)	314	2.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	275	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	338	2.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	5.2%
96		43150	
Sysmiss		121774	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb50m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26332 /-] [Invalid=151852 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also cb51m (excluding the "I am not familiar with the party" response alternative).
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	12381	47.0%
-4	(-4)	1542	5.9%
-3	(-3)	1065	4.0%
-2	(-2)	730	2.8%
-1	(-1)	556	2.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3239	12.3%
1	(+1)	971	3.7%
2	(+2)	946	3.6%
3	(+3)	1044	4.0%
4	(+4)	854	3.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1099	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	511	1.9%
98	Several responses given	48	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1346	5.1%
96	Question not asked	99442	
Sysmiss		52410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb50n: Like/dislike: Pirate Party

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7933 /-] [Invalid=170251 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Pirate Party
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2267	28.6%
-4	(-4)	534	6.7%

### # cb50n: Like/dislike: Pirate Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-3	(-3)	442	5.6%
-2	(-2)	266	3.4%
-1	(-1)	232	2.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2855	36.0%
1	(+1)	223	2.8%
2	(+2)	189	2.4%
3	(+3)	140	1.8%
4	(+4)	81	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	145	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	264	3.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	294	3.7%
96	Question not asked	19612	
Sysmiss		150639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb51i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative (including "unknown" alternative)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also cb50i (without "unknown" alternative).
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1090	32.5%
-4	(-4)	254	7.6%
-3	(-3)	226	6.7%
-2	(-2)	99	3.0%
-1	(-1)	101	3.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	660	19.7%
1	(+1)	125	3.7%
2	(+2)	82	2.4%
3	(+3)	67	2.0%
4	(+4)	32	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	30	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the party	399	11.9%
97	No response - part of the question	67	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
Sysmiss		174831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cb51j: Like/dislike: June List (EU sceptical new party)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]

### # cb51j: Like/dislike: June List (EU sceptical new party)

**Literal question** This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Junilistan

**Notes** 2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	370	11.0%
-4	(-4)	181	5.4%
-3	(-3)	193	5.8%
-2	(-2)	144	4.3%
-1	(-1)	113	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	970	28.9%
1	(+1)	177	5.3%
2	(+2)	151	4.5%
3	(+3)	109	3.3%
4	(+4)	50	1.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	31	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the party	660	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	77	2.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3482	
Sysmiss		171349	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb51k: Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=\* /96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]

**Literal question** This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sjukvårdspartiet

**Notes** 2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	196	5.8%
-4	(-4)	71	2.1%
-3	(-3)	101	3.0%
-2	(-2)	64	1.9%
-1	(-1)	60	1.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	903	26.9%
1	(+1)	156	4.7%
2	(+2)	138	4.1%
3	(+3)	83	2.5%
4	(+4)	43	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	39	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the party	1285	38.3%
97	No response - part of the question	87	2.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3482	

<b># cb51k: Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		171349	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb51l: Like/dislike: Swedish pensioneer's party (SPI)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Swedish pensioneer's party (SPI)		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	185	5.5%
-4	(-4)	68	2.0%
-3	(-3)	83	2.5%
-2	(-2)	61	1.8%
-1	(-1)	62	1.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	981	29.3%
1	(+1)	181	5.4%
2	(+2)	144	4.3%
3	(+3)	110	3.3%
4	(+4)	46	1.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	40	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the party	1192	35.6%
97	No response - part of the question	76	2.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3482	
Sysmiss		171349	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb51m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats (including "unknown" alternative)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=174831 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also cb50m (including the "unknown" response alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1823	54.4%
-4	(-4)	156	4.7%
-3	(-3)	108	3.2%
-2	(-2)	50	1.5%
-1	(-1)	40	1.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	375	11.2%
1	(+1)	72	2.1%
2	(+2)	55	1.6%
3	(+3)	61	1.8%

<b># cb51m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats (including "unknown" alternative)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	(+4)	45	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	52	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the party	323	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	71	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		174831	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># cb100a: Election turnout: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21163 /-] [Invalid=157021 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Variable based on cb200a. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election?"; 1988 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1988 parliamentary election?"; 1991 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary election?"; 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 1986 applies to the parliamentary election of 1985 and the responses from 2000 applies to the elections of 1998.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Did not vote	1415	6.7%
1	Voted	19599	92.6%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	149	0.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		157021	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># cb100b: Election turnout: Regional/county council</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14260 /-] [Invalid=163924 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Variable based on cb200b. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

### # cb100b: Election turnout: Regional/county council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1031	7.2%
1	Voted	12512	87.7%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	717	5.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		163924	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb100c: Election turnout: Municipal council

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17208 /-] [Invalid=160976 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Variable based on cb200c. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 2000 applies to the municipal election of 1998.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
<b>Notes</b>	1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1243	7.2%
1	Voted	15354	89.2%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	611	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		160976	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb100d: Election turnout: European Parliament

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12480 /-] [Invalid=165704 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Variable based on cb200d. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1995 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament election this year?"; 1999 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?"; 2004 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2004?"; 2009 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2009?".
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 1999, 2004, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	5598	44.9%
1	Voted	6720	53.8%



### # cb100d: Election turnout: European Parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		165704	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb200a: Party choice: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=53777 /-] [Invalid=124407 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election?"; 1988 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1988 parliamentary election?"; 1991 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary election?"; 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 1986 applies to the parliamentary election of 1985 and the responses from 2000 applies to the elections of 1998.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	3157	5.9%
2	Social Democrats	14654	27.2%
3	Centre Party	3349	6.2%
4	Liberal Party	3276	6.1%
5	Moderate Party	9333	17.4%
6	Christian Democrats	2736	5.1%
7	Green Party	2611	4.9%
10	Sweden Democrats	3331	6.2%
11	Feminist Initiative	363	0.7%
12	Pirate Party	39	0.1%
13	New Democracy	84	0.2%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	577	1.1%
31	Voted blank	1172	2.2%
50	Did not vote	2068	3.8%
51	Was not eligible to vote	2528	4.7%
52	Do not remember	63	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	651	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1134	2.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2651	4.9%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		122565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb200b: Party choice: Regional/county council

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
--------------------	---

<b># cb200b: Party choice: Regional/county council</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=45529 /-] [Invalid=132655 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"	
<b>Literal question</b>		Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?	
<b>Notes</b>		1998, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Left Party	2938	6.5%
2	Social Democrats	11680	25.7%
3	Centre Party	2794	6.1%
4	Liberal Party	2748	6.0%
5	Moderate Party	7312	16.1%
6	Christian Democrats	2595	5.7%
7	Green Party	1920	4.2%
10	Sweden Democrats	2406	5.3%
11	Feminist Initiative	451	1.0%
12	Pirate Party	25	0.1%
13	New Democracy	0	
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	1086	2.4%
31	Voted blank	1078	2.4%
50	Did not vote	1685	3.7%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1673	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2020	4.4%
98	Several responses given	907	2.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2211	4.9%
Sysmiss		132655	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cb200c: Party choice: Municipal council</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=48937 /-] [Invalid=129247 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 2000 applies to the municipal election of 1998.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?	
<b>Notes</b>		1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Left Party	3018	6.2%
2	Social Democrats	12223	25.0%
3	Centre Party	3619	7.4%
4	Liberal Party	3087	6.3%
5	Moderate Party	8135	16.6%
6	Christian Democrats	2202	4.5%

### # cb200c: Party choice: Municipal council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Green Party	2271	4.6%
10	Sweden Democrats	2387	4.9%
11	Feminist Initiative	672	1.4%
12	Pirate Party	22	0.0%
13	New Democracy	11	0.0%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	1352	2.8%
31	Voted blank	1009	2.1%
50	Did not vote	1905	3.9%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1802	3.7%
52	Do not remember	106	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1791	3.7%
98	Several responses given	914	1.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	2411	4.9%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		127405	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cb200d: Party choice: European Parliament

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23985 /-] [Invalid=154199 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1995 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament election this year?"; 1999 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?"; 2004 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2004?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?"; 2009 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2009?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?".
<b>Literal question</b>	If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 1999, 2004, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	985	4.1%
2	Social Democrats	3456	14.4%
3	Centre Party	836	3.5%
4	Liberal Party	1102	4.6%
5	Moderate Party	2694	11.2%
6	Christian Democrats	727	3.0%
7	Green Party	1403	5.8%
10	Sweden Democrats	635	2.6%
11	Feminist Initiative	249	1.0%
12	Pirate Party	184	0.8%
13	New Democracy	0	
15	The June List	295	1.2%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	88	0.4%
31	Voted blank	486	2.0%
50	Did not vote	5827	24.3%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1749	7.3%
52	Do not remember	305	1.3%
95		4	0.0%

### # cb200d: Party choice: European Parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1956	8.2%
98	Several responses given	263	1.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	741	3.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	6777	
Sysmiss		147422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cc10a: Like/dislike: Carl Bildt

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22171 /-] [Invalid=156013 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Carl Bildt
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4333	19.5%
-4	(-4)	1116	5.0%
-3	(-3)	1140	5.1%
-2	(-2)	953	4.3%
-1	(-1)	870	3.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3240	14.6%
1	(+1)	1561	7.0%
2	(+2)	1777	8.0%
3	(+3)	1994	9.0%
4	(+4)	1864	8.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1858	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	559	2.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	903	4.1%
Sysmiss		156013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cc10b: Like/dislike: Ingvar Carlsson

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16898 /-] [Invalid=161286 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1995 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ingvar Carlsson
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1146	6.8%
-4	(-4)	586	3.5%
-3	(-3)	705	4.2%
-2	(-2)	773	4.6%

<b># cc10b: Like/dislike: Ingvar Carlsson</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-1	(-1)	813	4.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3070	18.2%
1	(+1)	1678	9.9%
2	(+2)	1745	10.3%
3	(+3)	1912	11.3%
4	(+4)	1656	9.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1816	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	332	2.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	662	3.9%
Sysmiss		161286	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10c: Like/dislike: Bengt Westerberg</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15121 /-] [Invalid=163063 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Bengt Westerberg		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1162	7.7%
-4	(-4)	527	3.5%
-3	(-3)	732	4.8%
-2	(-2)	728	4.8%
-1	(-1)	871	5.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3215	21.3%
1	(+1)	1847	12.2%
2	(+2)	1845	12.2%
3	(+3)	1580	10.4%
4	(+4)	990	6.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	541	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	491	3.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	591	3.9%
Sysmiss		163063	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10d: Like/dislike: Lars Werner</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11560 /-] [Invalid=166624 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Lars Werner		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1992 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1165	10.1%

# cc10d: Like/dislike: Lars Werner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-4	(-4)	455	3.9%
-3	(-3)	630	5.4%
-2	(-2)	610	5.3%
-1	(-1)	660	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2637	22.8%
1	(+1)	1393	12.1%
2	(+2)	1126	9.7%
3	(+3)	922	8.0%
4	(+4)	624	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	464	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	377	3.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	486	4.2%
Sysmiss		166624	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc10e: Like/dislike: Karin Söder

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=176560 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Karin Söder
<b>Notes</b>	1986 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	152	9.4%
-4	(-4)	58	3.6%
-3	(-3)	92	5.7%
-2	(-2)	68	4.2%
-1	(-1)	93	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	530	32.6%
1	(+1)	138	8.5%
2	(+2)	142	8.7%
3	(+3)	121	7.5%
4	(+4)	56	3.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	49	3.0%
97	No response - part of the question	48	3.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	4.7%
Sysmiss		176560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc10f: Like/dislike: Olof Johansson	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18807 /-] [Invalid=159377 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Olof Johansson
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1321	7.0%
-4	(-4)	754	4.0%
-3	(-3)	1261	6.7%
-2	(-2)	1204	6.4%
-1	(-1)	1232	6.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5893	31.3%
1	(+1)	2062	11.0%
2	(+2)	1742	9.3%
3	(+3)	1216	6.5%
4	(+4)	474	2.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	313	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	541	2.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	793	4.2%
Sysmiss		159377	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc10g: Like/dislike: Birger Schlaug	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13957 /-] [Invalid=164227 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Birger Schlaug
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1993-1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1496	10.7%
-4	(-4)	708	5.1%
-3	(-3)	849	6.1%
-2	(-2)	784	5.6%
-1	(-1)	854	6.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4135	29.6%
1	(+1)	1376	9.9%
2	(+2)	1118	8.0%
3	(+3)	826	5.9%
4	(+4)	475	3.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	257	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	498	3.6%

<b># cc10g: Like/dislike: Birger Schlaug</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	575	4.1%
Systemmiss		164227	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22283 /-] [Invalid=155901 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2428	10.9%
-4	(-4)	1035	4.6%
-3	(-3)	1156	5.2%
-2	(-2)	1097	4.9%
-1	(-1)	1186	5.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5790	26.0%
1	(+1)	2192	9.8%
2	(+2)	2015	9.0%
3	(+3)	1773	8.0%
4	(+4)	1197	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	865	3.9%
97	No response - part of the question	642	2.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	901	4.0%
Systemmiss		155901	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	423	7.9%
-4	(-4)	230	4.3%
-3	(-3)	252	4.7%
-2	(-2)	228	4.3%
-1	(-1)	235	4.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	950	17.8%



### # cc11h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	(+1)	583	10.9%
2	(+2)	606	11.4%
3	(+3)	592	11.1%
4	(+4)	458	8.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	263	4.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	115	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	152	2.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	228	4.3%
Sysmiss		172851	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cc10i: Like/dislike: Ian Wachtmeister

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5319 /-] [Invalid=172865 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Ian Wachtmeister
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1302	24.5%
-4	(-4)	327	6.1%
-3	(-3)	302	5.7%
-2	(-2)	227	4.3%
-1	(-1)	238	4.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	893	16.8%
1	(+1)	481	9.0%
2	(+2)	412	7.7%
3	(+3)	380	7.1%
4	(+4)	238	4.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	218	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	143	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	158	3.0%
Sysmiss		172865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cc10j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14018 /-] [Invalid=164166 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:". Gudrun Schyman has represented two different parties as party leader: Left Party (1993-2003) och Feminist Initiative (2004-2011).
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2000 (National)

# cc10j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1561	11.1%
-4	(-4)	692	4.9%
-3	(-3)	795	5.7%
-2	(-2)	721	5.1%
-1	(-1)	684	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2740	19.5%
1	(+1)	1434	10.2%
2	(+2)	1369	9.8%
3	(+3)	1418	10.1%
4	(+4)	904	6.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	746	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	392	2.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	558	4.0%
Sysmiss		164166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc11j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18406 /-] [Invalid=159778 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Gudrun Schyman has represented two different parties as party leader: Left Party (1993-2003) och Feminist Initiative (2004-2011).
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002, 2006-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	3112	16.9%
-4	(-4)	1166	6.3%
-3	(-3)	1118	6.1%
-2	(-2)	912	5.0%
-1	(-1)	799	4.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3882	21.1%
1	(+1)	1452	7.9%
2	(+2)	1267	6.9%
3	(+3)	1225	6.7%
4	(+4)	790	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	766	4.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	495	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	464	2.5%
98	Several responses given	73	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	885	4.8%
96		43150	
Sysmiss		116628	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc10k: Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6938 /-] [Invalid=171246 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Mona Sahlin
<b>Notes</b>	1994-1995, 1997, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	898	12.9%
-4	(-4)	339	4.9%
-3	(-3)	339	4.9%
-2	(-2)	298	4.3%
-1	(-1)	342	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1195	17.2%
1	(+1)	631	9.1%
2	(+2)	653	9.4%
3	(+3)	648	9.3%
4	(+4)	549	7.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	588	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	150	2.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	299	4.3%
Sysmiss		171246	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc11k: Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Mona Sahlin
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	825	12.7%
-4	(-4)	413	6.4%
-3	(-3)	470	7.2%
-2	(-2)	385	5.9%
-1	(-1)	416	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1228	18.9%
1	(+1)	534	8.2%
2	(+2)	516	7.9%
3	(+3)	566	8.7%
4	(+4)	355	5.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	336	5.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	84	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	127	2.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
Sysmiss		171686	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># cc10l: Like/dislike: Vivianne Franzén</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Vivianne Franzén
<b>Notes</b>	1994 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	652	38.3%
-4	(-4)	148	8.7%
-3	(-3)	106	6.2%
-2	(-2)	96	5.6%
-1	(-1)	82	4.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	333	19.5%
1	(+1)	57	3.3%
2	(+2)	34	2.0%
3	(+3)	29	1.7%
4	(+4)	17	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	72	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	62	3.6%
Sysmiss		176480	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># cc10m: Like/dislike: Ann Wibble</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ann Wibble
<b>Notes</b>	1994 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	292	17.1%
-4	(-4)	109	6.4%
-3	(-3)	118	6.9%
-2	(-2)	106	6.2%
-1	(-1)	101	5.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	396	23.2%
1	(+1)	142	8.3%
2	(+2)	132	7.7%
3	(+3)	118	6.9%
4	(+4)	47	2.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	35	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	46	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	62	3.6%
Sysmiss		176480	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc10n: Like/dislike: Maria Leissner

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3556 /-] [Invalid=174628 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Maria Leissner
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	409	11.5%
-4	(-4)	254	7.1%
-3	(-3)	252	7.1%
-2	(-2)	248	7.0%
-1	(-1)	276	7.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1072	30.1%
1	(+1)	307	8.6%
2	(+2)	241	6.8%
3	(+3)	143	4.0%
4	(+4)	56	1.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	20	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	99	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	179	5.0%
Sysmiss		174628	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc10o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place."; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1309	15.1%
-4	(-4)	565	6.5%
-3	(-3)	563	6.5%
-2	(-2)	541	6.2%
-1	(-1)	583	6.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1501	17.3%
1	(+1)	762	8.8%
2	(+2)	743	8.6%
3	(+3)	742	8.5%
4	(+4)	467	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	290	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	223	2.6%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	382	4.4%

### # cc10o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Systemmiss		169504	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10460 /-] [Invalid=167724 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1024	9.8%
-4	(-4)	547	5.2%
-3	(-3)	610	5.8%
-2	(-2)	531	5.1%
-1	(-1)	561	5.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1680	16.1%
1	(+1)	986	9.4%
2	(+2)	1042	10.0%
3	(+3)	1131	10.8%
4	(+4)	876	8.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	619	5.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	131	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	254	2.4%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	4.2%
Systemmiss		167724	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc10p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=171283 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1997-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	663	9.6%
-4	(-4)	396	5.7%
-3	(-3)	499	7.2%
-2	(-2)	451	6.5%
-1	(-1)	485	7.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2397	34.7%
1	(+1)	564	8.2%
2	(+2)	443	6.4%

<b># cc10p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	(+3)	289	4.2%
4	(+4)	127	1.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	45	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	266	3.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	274	4.0%
Sysmiss		171283	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10460 /-] [Invalid=167724 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	859	8.2%
-4	(-4)	538	5.1%
-3	(-3)	664	6.3%
-2	(-2)	702	6.7%
-1	(-1)	718	6.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2509	24.0%
1	(+1)	1078	10.3%
2	(+2)	973	9.3%
3	(+3)	785	7.5%
4	(+4)	393	3.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	129	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	271	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	365	3.5%
98	Several responses given	34	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	4.2%
Sysmiss		167724	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10q: Like/dislike: Lennart Daléus</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1998-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lennart Daléus		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	438	8.5%
-4	(-4)	248	4.8%

<b># cc10q: Like/dislike: Lennart Daléus</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-3	(-3)	362	7.0%
-2	(-2)	363	7.1%
-1	(-1)	329	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2164	42.0%
1	(+1)	395	7.7%
2	(+2)	251	4.9%
3	(+3)	160	3.1%
4	(+4)	65	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	31	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	166	3.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	3.4%
Sysmiss		173037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10r: Like/dislike: Marianne Samuelsson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1740 /-] [Invalid=176444 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Marianne Samuelsson		
<b>Notes</b>	1998 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	158	9.1%
-4	(-4)	86	4.9%
-3	(-3)	75	4.3%
-2	(-2)	73	4.2%
-1	(-1)	68	3.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	925	53.2%
1	(+1)	96	5.5%
2	(+2)	74	4.3%
3	(+3)	43	2.5%
4	(+4)	22	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	10	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	77	4.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33	1.9%
Sysmiss		176444	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren		



<b># cc10s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		1999-2000 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	382	11.2%
-4	(-4)	173	5.1%
-3	(-3)	188	5.5%
-2	(-2)	187	5.5%
-1	(-1)	182	5.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1013	29.7%
1	(+1)	259	7.6%
2	(+2)	263	7.7%
3	(+3)	249	7.3%
4	(+4)	153	4.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	90	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	121	3.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	141	4.1%
Sysmiss		174777	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren	
<b>Notes</b>		2001-2003 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	769	14.4%
-4	(-4)	341	6.4%
-3	(-3)	392	7.4%
-2	(-2)	328	6.2%
-1	(-1)	339	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1044	19.6%
1	(+1)	459	8.6%
2	(+2)	372	7.0%
3	(+3)	325	6.1%
4	(+4)	221	4.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	92	1.7%
9	I am not familiar with the person	233	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	174	3.3%
98	Several responses given	16	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	228	4.3%
Sysmiss		172851	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc10t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]	

<b># cc10t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	231	6.8%
-4	(-4)	122	3.6%
-3	(-3)	151	4.4%
-2	(-2)	139	4.1%
-1	(-1)	131	3.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1913	56.1%
1	(+1)	146	4.3%
2	(+2)	104	3.1%
3	(+3)	42	1.2%
4	(+4)	33	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	14	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	234	6.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	141	4.1%
Sysmiss		174777	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1739 /-] [Invalid=176445 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström		
<b>Notes</b>	2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	111	6.4%
-4	(-4)	83	4.8%
-3	(-3)	73	4.2%
-2	(-2)	62	3.6%
-1	(-1)	59	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	326	18.7%
1	(+1)	58	3.3%
2	(+2)	41	2.4%
3	(+3)	22	1.3%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	4	0.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	752	43.2%
97	No response - part of the question	60	3.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	79	4.5%
Sysmiss		176445	

### # cc11t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc10u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]

**Literal question** Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström

**Notes** 2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	138	8.1%
-4	(-4)	71	4.2%
-3	(-3)	99	5.8%
-2	(-2)	69	4.0%
-1	(-1)	62	3.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	944	55.4%
1	(+1)	55	3.2%
2	(+2)	39	2.3%
3	(+3)	13	0.8%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	128	7.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	76	4.5%
Sysmiss		176480	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1739 /-] [Invalid=176445 /-]

**Literal question** Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström

**Notes** 2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	91	5.2%
-4	(-4)	54	3.1%
-3	(-3)	64	3.7%
-2	(-2)	44	2.5%
-1	(-1)	46	2.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	317	18.2%
1	(+1)	43	2.5%
2	(+2)	35	2.0%
3	(+3)	11	0.6%
4	(+4)	5	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	5	0.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	866	49.8%
97	No response - part of the question	73	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.3%

<b># cc11u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	79	4.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176445	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11v: Like/dislike: Maud Olofsson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18489 /-] [Invalid=159695 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maud Olofsson		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1733	9.4%
-4	(-4)	723	3.9%
-3	(-3)	1032	5.6%
-2	(-2)	912	4.9%
-1	(-1)	848	4.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4499	24.3%
1	(+1)	1738	9.4%
2	(+2)	1807	9.8%
3	(+3)	1674	9.1%
4	(+4)	907	4.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	499	2.7%
9	I am not familiar with the person	847	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	514	2.8%
98	Several responses given	55	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	701	3.8%
96	Question not asked	22328	
Sysmiss		137367	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11w: Like/dislike: Maria Wetterstrand</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15219 /-] [Invalid=162965 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maria Wetterstrand		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1308	8.6%
-4	(-4)	731	4.8%
-3	(-3)	764	5.0%
-2	(-2)	688	4.5%
-1	(-1)	692	4.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3540	23.3%
1	(+1)	1437	9.4%
2	(+2)	1218	8.0%

<b># cc11w: Like/dislike: Maria Wetterstrand</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	(+3)	1036	6.8%
4	(+4)	696	4.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	461	3.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1538	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	468	3.1%
98	Several responses given	65	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	577	3.8%
96	Question not asked	19139	
Sysmiss		143826	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11x: Like/dislike: Peter Eriksson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15219 /-] [Invalid=162965 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Peter Eriksson		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1300	8.5%
-4	(-4)	807	5.3%
-3	(-3)	870	5.7%
-2	(-2)	740	4.9%
-1	(-1)	789	5.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3918	25.7%
1	(+1)	1275	8.4%
2	(+2)	987	6.5%
3	(+3)	787	5.2%
4	(+4)	322	2.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	164	1.1%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2104	13.8%
97	No response - part of the question	527	3.5%
98	Several responses given	52	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	577	3.8%
96	Question not asked	19139	
Sysmiss		143826	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11y: Like/dislike: Ulla Hoffman</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1816 /-] [Invalid=176368 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Ulla Hoffman		
<b>Notes</b>	2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	205	11.3%
-4	(-4)	120	6.6%

<b># cc11y: Like/dislike: Ulla Hoffman</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-3	(-3)	100	5.5%
-2	(-2)	90	5.0%
-1	(-1)	100	5.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	420	23.1%
1	(+1)	77	4.2%
2	(+2)	66	3.6%
3	(+3)	52	2.9%
4	(+4)	8	0.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	10	0.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	434	23.9%
97	No response - part of the question	53	2.9%
98	Several responses given	13	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1859	
Sysmiss		174509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11z: Like/dislike: Fredrik Reinfeldt</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19849 /-] [Invalid=158335 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Fredrik Reinfeldt		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1658	8.4%
-4	(-4)	813	4.1%
-3	(-3)	926	4.7%
-2	(-2)	681	3.4%
-1	(-1)	665	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3436	17.3%
1	(+1)	1411	7.1%
2	(+2)	1577	7.9%
3	(+3)	2053	10.3%
4	(+4)	2193	11.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	2216	11.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	947	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	408	2.1%
98	Several responses given	98	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	767	3.9%
96	Question not asked	35388	
Sysmiss		122947	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11aa: Like/dislike: Göran Håglund</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18033 /-] [Invalid=160151 /-]		

### # cc11aa: Like/dislike: Göran Hägglund

**Literal question** Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Hägglund

**Notes** 2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1214	6.7%
-4	(-4)	839	4.7%
-3	(-3)	918	5.1%
-2	(-2)	892	4.9%
-1	(-1)	914	5.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5295	29.4%
1	(+1)	1455	8.1%
2	(+2)	1324	7.3%
3	(+3)	1093	6.1%
4	(+4)	667	3.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	286	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1781	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	588	3.3%
98	Several responses given	68	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	699	3.9%
96	Question not asked	33529	
Sysmiss		126622	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ab: Like/dislike: Lars Ohly

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=13156 /-] [Invalid=165028 /-]

**Literal question** Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Ohly

**Notes** 2004-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2237	17.0%
-4	(-4)	868	6.6%
-3	(-3)	902	6.9%
-2	(-2)	779	5.9%
-1	(-1)	747	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3233	24.6%
1	(+1)	895	6.8%
2	(+2)	772	5.9%
3	(+3)	574	4.4%
4	(+4)	287	2.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	212	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	737	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	381	2.9%
98	Several responses given	59	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	473	3.6%
96	Question not asked	18638	
Sysmiss		146390	

### # cc11ab: Like/dislike: Lars Ohly

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ac: Like/dislike: Nils Lundgren

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=174889 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Nils Lundgren
<b>Notes</b>	2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	403	12.2%
-4	(-4)	115	3.5%
-3	(-3)	126	3.8%
-2	(-2)	110	3.3%
-1	(-1)	98	3.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	961	29.2%
1	(+1)	92	2.8%
2	(+2)	51	1.5%
3	(+3)	35	1.1%
4	(+4)	25	0.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	19	0.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1044	31.7%
97	No response - part of the question	97	2.9%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	111	3.4%
96	Question not asked	3476	
Sysmiss		171413	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ad: Like/dislike: Jan Björklund

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19579 /-] [Invalid=158605 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jan Björklund
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1301	6.6%
-4	(-4)	858	4.4%
-3	(-3)	1075	5.5%
-2	(-2)	1043	5.3%
-1	(-1)	1094	5.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5453	27.9%
1	(+1)	1711	8.7%
2	(+2)	1625	8.3%
3	(+3)	1384	7.1%
4	(+4)	834	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	457	2.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1175	6.0%



<b># cc11ad: Like/dislike: Jan Björklund</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	573	2.9%
98	Several responses given	106	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	890	4.5%
96	Question not asked	59464	
Sysmiss		99141	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11ae: Like/dislike: Jimmie Åkesson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26332 /-] [Invalid=151852 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jimmie Åkesson		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	8775	33.3%
-4	(-4)	1472	5.6%
-3	(-3)	1097	4.2%
-2	(-2)	799	3.0%
-1	(-1)	644	2.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4039	15.3%
1	(+1)	933	3.5%
2	(+2)	977	3.7%
3	(+3)	948	3.6%
4	(+4)	779	3.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1180	4.5%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2661	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	562	2.1%
98	Several responses given	136	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	1330	5.1%
96	Question not asked	97673	
Sysmiss		54179	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11af: Like/dislike: Rick Falkvinge</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=174950 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Rick Falkvinge		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	307	9.5%
-4	(-4)	86	2.7%
-3	(-3)	80	2.5%
-2	(-2)	58	1.8%
-1	(-1)	56	1.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	669	20.7%

# cc11af: Like/dislike: Rick Falkvinge			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	(+1)	27	0.8%
2	(+2)	18	0.6%
3	(+3)	24	0.7%
4	(+4)	9	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	14	0.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1665	51.5%
97	No response - part of the question	102	3.2%
98	Several responses given	15	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	3.2%
96	Question not asked	6699	
Sysmiss		168251	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc11ag: Like/dislike: Håkan Juholt	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1531 /-] [Invalid=176653 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Håkan Juholt
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	152	9.9%
-4	(-4)	83	5.4%
-3	(-3)	102	6.7%
-2	(-2)	84	5.5%
-1	(-1)	93	6.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	426	27.8%
1	(+1)	122	8.0%
2	(+2)	90	5.9%
3	(+3)	134	8.8%
4	(+4)	65	4.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	46	3.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	48	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	45	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3189	
Sysmiss		173464	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc11ah: Like/dislike: Gustav Fridolin	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13081 /-] [Invalid=165103 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gustav Fridolin
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

### # cc11ah: Like/dislike: Gustav Fridolin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1296	9.9%
-4	(-4)	623	4.8%
-3	(-3)	671	5.1%
-2	(-2)	640	4.9%
-1	(-1)	636	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3293	25.2%
1	(+1)	1114	8.5%
2	(+2)	1117	8.5%
3	(+3)	882	6.7%
4	(+4)	511	3.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	315	2.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	920	7.0%
97	No response - part of the question	334	2.6%
98	Several responses given	53	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	676	5.2%
96	Question not asked	51104	
Sysmiss		113999	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ai: Like/dislike: Åsa Romson

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7983 /-] [Invalid=170201 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Åsa Romson
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	646	8.1%
-4	(-4)	288	3.6%
-3	(-3)	321	4.0%
-2	(-2)	306	3.8%
-1	(-1)	336	4.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2300	28.8%
1	(+1)	494	6.2%
2	(+2)	426	5.3%
3	(+3)	370	4.6%
4	(+4)	174	2.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	102	1.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1574	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	232	2.9%
98	Several responses given	40	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	374	4.7%
96	Question not asked	24766	
Sysmiss		145435	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11aj: Like/dislike: Anna Troberg

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
--------------------	---

### # cc11aj: Like/dislike: Anna Troberg

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4699 /-] [Invalid=173485 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Troberg
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	161	3.4%
-4	(-4)	58	1.2%
-3	(-3)	72	1.5%
-2	(-2)	53	1.1%
-1	(-1)	67	1.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1148	24.4%
1	(+1)	36	0.8%
2	(+2)	34	0.7%
3	(+3)	19	0.4%
4	(+4)	10	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	9	0.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2675	56.9%
97	No response - part of the question	142	3.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	186	4.0%
96	Question not asked	12913	
Sysmiss		160572	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ak: Like/dislike: Annie Lööf

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19834 /-] [Invalid=158350 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Annie Lööf
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2217	11.2%
-4	(-4)	807	4.1%
-3	(-3)	1119	5.6%
-2	(-2)	936	4.7%
-1	(-1)	880	4.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4648	23.4%
1	(+1)	1493	7.5%
2	(+2)	1714	8.6%
3	(+3)	1650	8.3%
4	(+4)	1022	5.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	760	3.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	978	4.9%
97	No response - part of the question	412	2.1%
98	Several responses given	82	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	1116	5.6%
96	Question not asked	89313	

# cc11ak: Like/dislike: Annie Lööf

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		69037	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc11al: Like/dislike: Jonas Sjöstedt

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16546 /-] [Invalid=161638 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jonas Sjöstedt
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1742	10.5%
-4	(-4)	776	4.7%
-3	(-3)	877	5.3%
-2	(-2)	748	4.5%
-1	(-1)	630	3.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3911	23.6%
1	(+1)	1222	7.4%
2	(+2)	1078	6.5%
3	(+3)	994	6.0%
4	(+4)	712	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	757	4.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1748	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	392	2.4%
98	Several responses given	98	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	861	5.2%
96	Question not asked	68834	
Sysmiss		92804	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# cc11am: Like/dislike: Stefan Löfven

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=161551 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Stefan Löfven
<b>Notes</b>	2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1484	8.9%
-4	(-4)	692	4.2%
-3	(-3)	889	5.3%
-2	(-2)	846	5.1%
-1	(-1)	832	5.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3426	20.6%
1	(+1)	1488	8.9%
2	(+2)	1565	9.4%
3	(+3)	1584	9.5%
4	(+4)	1227	7.4%

# cc11am: Like/dislike: Stefan Löfven

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Strongly like (+5)	997	6.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	326	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	244	1.5%
98	Several responses given	80	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	953	5.7%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		86101	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc11an: Like/dislike: Anna Kinberg Batra

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4910 /-] [Invalid=173274 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Kinberg Batra
<b>Notes</b>	2015-2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	428	8.7%
-4	(-4)	262	5.3%
-3	(-3)	319	6.5%
-2	(-2)	296	6.0%
-1	(-1)	229	4.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1181	24.1%
1	(+1)	382	7.8%
2	(+2)	414	8.4%
3	(+3)	402	8.2%
4	(+4)	255	5.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	106	2.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	163	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	126	2.6%
98	Several responses given	20	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	327	6.7%
96	Question not asked	23991	
Sysmiss		149283	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc11ao: Like/dislike: Ebba Busch Thor

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13426 /-] [Invalid=164758 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ebba Busch Thor
<b>Notes</b>	2016- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1868	13.9%
-4	(-4)	920	6.9%
-3	(-3)	831	6.2%
-2	(-2)	757	5.6%

### # cc11ao: Like/dislike: Ebba Busch Thor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-1	(-1)	626	4.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2783	20.7%
1	(+1)	889	6.6%
2	(+2)	981	7.3%
3	(+3)	877	6.5%
4	(+4)	621	4.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	390	2.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	659	4.9%
97	No response - part of the question	324	2.4%
98	Several responses given	55	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	845	6.3%
96	Question not asked	71233	
Sysmiss		93525	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ap: Like/dislike: Isabella Lövin

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8563 /-] [Invalid=169621 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Isabella Lövin
<b>Notes</b>	2016-2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1150	13.4%
-4	(-4)	497	5.8%
-3	(-3)	486	5.7%
-2	(-2)	402	4.7%
-1	(-1)	444	5.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2383	27.8%
1	(+1)	478	5.6%
2	(+2)	405	4.7%
3	(+3)	317	3.7%
4	(+4)	124	1.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	73	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1070	12.5%
97	No response - part of the question	205	2.4%
98	Several responses given	42	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	5.7%
96	Question not asked	44068	
Sysmiss		125553	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11aq: Like/dislike: Victoria Kawesa

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1755 /-] [Invalid=176429 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Victoria Kawesa
<b>Notes</b>	2017 (National)

# cc11aq: Like/dislike: Victoria Kawesa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	188	10.7%
-4	(-4)	48	2.7%
-3	(-3)	42	2.4%
-2	(-2)	33	1.9%
-1	(-1)	36	2.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	345	19.7%
1	(+1)	18	1.0%
2	(+2)	16	0.9%
3	(+3)	7	0.4%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	7	0.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	794	45.2%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	159	9.1%
96	Question not asked	9057	
Sysmiss		167372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc11ar: Like/dislike: Magdalena Andersson

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5043 /-] [Invalid=173141 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Magdalena Andersson
<b>Notes</b>	2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	342	6.8%
-4	(-4)	147	2.9%
-3	(-3)	179	3.5%
-2	(-2)	139	2.8%
-1	(-1)	166	3.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	913	18.1%
1	(+1)	325	6.4%
2	(+2)	390	7.7%
3	(+3)	471	9.3%
4	(+4)	461	9.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	492	9.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	476	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	110	2.2%
98	Several responses given	18	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	414	8.2%
96	Question not asked	29536	
Sysmiss		143605	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


















# cc11as: Like/dislike: Ulf Kristersson	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10271 /-] [Invalid=167913 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ulf Kristersson
<b>Notes</b>	2017- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	997	9.7%
-4	(-4)	528	5.1%
-3	(-3)	581	5.7%
-2	(-2)	516	5.0%
-1	(-1)	456	4.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2195	21.4%
1	(+1)	698	6.8%
2	(+2)	849	8.3%
3	(+3)	904	8.8%
4	(+4)	654	6.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	390	3.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	585	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	214	2.1%
98	Several responses given	27	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	677	6.6%
96	Question not asked	56299	
Sysmiss		111614	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cc11at: Like/dislike: Gita Nabavi	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1763 /-] [Invalid=176421 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Gita Nabavi
<b>Notes</b>	2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	146	8.3%
-4	(-4)	36	2.0%
-3	(-3)	36	2.0%
-2	(-2)	20	1.1%
-1	(-1)	30	1.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	311	17.6%
1	(+1)	25	1.4%
2	(+2)	21	1.2%
3	(+3)	18	1.0%
4	(+4)	10	0.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	8	0.5%
9	I am not familiar with the person	977	55.4%
97	No response - part of the question	45	2.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	78	4.4%

<b># cc11at: Like/dislike: Gita Nabavi</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	9033	
Sysmiss		167388	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11au: Like/dislike: Nyamko Sabuni</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5083 /-] [Invalid=173101 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Nyamko Sabuni		
<b>Notes</b>	2019- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	441	 8.7%
-4	(-4)	254	 5.0%
-3	(-3)	341	 6.7%
-2	(-2)	335	 6.6%
-1	(-1)	290	 5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1669	 32.8%
1	(+1)	340	 6.7%
2	(+2)	234	 4.6%
3	(+3)	155	 3.0%
4	(+4)	50	 1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	39	 0.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	464	 9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	130	 2.6%
98	Several responses given	19	 0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	322	 6.3%
96	Question not asked	27535	
Sysmiss		145566	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # cc11av: Like/dislike: Per Bolund

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6753 /-] [Invalid=171431 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Per Bolund
<b>Notes</b>	2019- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	883	13.1%
-4	(-4)	279	4.1%
-3	(-3)	343	5.1%
-2	(-2)	248	3.7%
-1	(-1)	272	4.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1828	27.1%
1	(+1)	354	5.2%
2	(+2)	282	4.2%
3	(+3)	225	3.3%
4	(+4)	114	1.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	74	1.1%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1201	17.8%
97	No response - part of the question	191	2.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	440	6.5%
96	Question not asked	38209	
Sysmiss		133222	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cc11ax: Like/dislike: Nooshi Dadgostar

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23767 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Nooshi Dadgostar
<b>Notes</b>	2021-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	353	1.5%
-4	(-4)	177	0.7%
-3	(-3)	204	0.9%
-2	(-2)	185	0.8%
-1	(-1)	132	0.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	736	3.1%
1	(+1)	263	1.1%
2	(+2)	200	0.8%
3	(+3)	184	0.8%
4	(+4)	125	0.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	145	0.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	217	0.9%
96	Question not asked	20479	86.2%
97	No response - part of the question	100	0.4%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%

<b># cc11ax: Like/dislike: Nooshi Dadgostar</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	255	1.1%
Sysmiss		154417	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11ay: Like/dislike: Johan Pehrson</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12344 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Johan Pehrson		
<b>Notes</b>	2022-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	95	0.8%
-4	(-4)	75	0.6%
-3	(-3)	91	0.7%
-2	(-2)	94	0.8%
-1	(-1)	95	0.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	493	4.0%
1	(+1)	129	1.0%
2	(+2)	131	1.1%
3	(+3)	90	0.7%
4	(+4)	31	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	17	0.1%
9	I am not familiar with the person	153	1.2%
96	Question not asked	10674	86.5%
97	No response - part of the question	54	0.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	118	1.0%
Sysmiss		165840	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100a: Importance of political issues: The taxes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The taxes		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	3415	49.3%
2	Rather important issue	2465	35.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	556	8.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	98	1.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	19	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	79	1.1%
98	Several responses given	70	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	

<b># cd100a: Importance of political issues: The taxes</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100b: Importance of political issues: The environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	3289	47.5%
2	Rather important issue	2647	38.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	554	8.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	89	1.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	28	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100c: Importance of political issues: Employment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Employment		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	4444	64.2%
2	Rather important issue	1809	26.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	293	4.2%
4	Rather unimportant issue	33	0.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	24	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5473	
Sysmiss		165787	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100d: Importance of political issues: Law and order</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Law and order		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	4077	58.9%
2	Rather important issue	2010	29.0%

<b># cd100d: Importance of political issues: Law and order</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	447	6.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	53	0.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	21	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	91	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100e: Importance of political issues: Refugees/Immigration</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Refugees/Immigration		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1983	28.6%
2	Rather important issue	2700	39.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1413	20.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	318	4.6%
5	Completely unimportant issue	172	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	111	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100f: Importance of political issues: EU/EMU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5146 /-] [Invalid=173038 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EU\EMU		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1355	26.3%
2	Rather important issue	1724	33.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1177	22.9%
4	Rather unimportant issue	348	6.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	254	4.9%
97	No response - part of the question	133	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5541	
Sysmiss		167497	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># cd100g: Importance of political issues: EMU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EMU		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	405	22.8%
2	Rather important issue	577	32.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	460	25.9%
4	Rather unimportant issue	129	7.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	92	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	45	2.5%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.8%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		174575	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100h: Importance of political issues: School/education</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - School/education		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	5184	74.9%
2	Rather important issue	1255	18.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	161	2.3%
4	Rather unimportant issue	12	0.2%
5	Completely unimportant issue	15	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	72	1.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100i: Importance of political issues: The child care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The child care		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	3844	55.5%
2	Rather important issue	2085	30.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	582	8.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	77	1.1%

<b># cd100i: Importance of political issues: The child care</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Completely unimportant issue	33	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100j: Importance of political issues: Medical services</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Medical services		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	2716	79.7%
2	Rather important issue	503	14.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	58	1.7%
4	Rather unimportant issue	6	0.2%
5	Completely unimportant issue	6	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	18	0.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	99	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100k: Importance of political issues: The healthcare sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The healthcare sector		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	2741	77.9%
2	Rather important issue	551	15.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	62	1.8%
4	Rather unimportant issue	4	0.1%
5	Completely unimportant issue	5	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	27	0.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100l: Importance of political issues: The elderly care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		



<b># cd100l: Importance of political issues: The elderly care</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The elderly care		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	4818	69.6%
2	Rather important issue	1524	22.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	256	3.7%
4	Rather unimportant issue	34	0.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	18	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Systemiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100m: Importance of political issues: The nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=174777 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1133	33.3%
2	Rather important issue	1251	36.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	666	19.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	151	4.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	40	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	66	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	99	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Systemiss		171135	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100n: Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Energy/nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1249	35.5%
2	Rather important issue	1526	43.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	480	13.6%
4	Rather unimportant issue	52	1.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	14	0.4%

<b># cd100n: Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	73	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100o: Importance of political issues: The government issue</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=171260 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The government issue		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1787	25.8%
2	Rather important issue	2383	34.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1895	27.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	328	4.7%
5	Completely unimportant issue	121	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	185	2.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		163888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100p: Importance of political issues: Mass media</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Mass media		
<b>Notes</b>	2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	169	9.9%
2	Rather important issue	503	29.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	683	40.1%
4	Rather unimportant issue	185	10.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	58	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		174638	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100q: Importance of political issues: IT</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]		

<b># cd100q: Importance of political issues: IT</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - IT		
<b>Notes</b>	2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	231	13.6%
2	Rather important issue	564	33.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	589	34.6%
4	Rather unimportant issue	151	8.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	65	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	46	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		174638	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100r: Importance of political issues: Conditions for businesses/companies</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Conditions for businesses/companies		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	922	26.2%
2	Rather important issue	1568	44.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	704	20.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	90	2.6%
5	Completely unimportant issue	26	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	80	2.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100s: Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The Swedish economy		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	2330	66.2%
2	Rather important issue	846	24.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	153	4.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	11	0.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	9	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># cd100s: Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100t: Importance of political issues: The access to housing</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The access to housing		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1387	39.4%
2	Rather important issue	1342	38.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	498	14.2%
4	Rather unimportant issue	83	2.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	57	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100u: Importance of political issues: Gender equality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Gender equality		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important issue	1316	37.4%
2	Rather important issue	1260	35.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	543	15.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	171	4.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	51	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	50	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd100v: Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Foreign and security issues		

### # cd100v: Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues

Notes 2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	481	27.1%
2	Rather important issue	723	40.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	365	20.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	67	3.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	23	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	51	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.8%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		174575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110a: Opinion about policy area: Unemployment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]

Literal question What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Unemployment

Notes 1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	81	1.6%
2	Rather good policy	940	18.3%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1674	32.5%
4	Rather bad policy	1653	32.1%
5	Very bad policy	609	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]

Literal question What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality

Notes 1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	139	2.7%
2	Rather good policy	1416	27.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2084	40.5%
4	Rather bad policy	1032	20.1%
5	Very bad policy	282	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%

<b># cd110b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110c: Opinion about policy area: The environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	145	2.8%
2	Rather good policy	1653	32.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1942	37.7%
4	Rather bad policy	956	18.6%
5	Very bad policy	230	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	88	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110d: Opinion about policy area: The elderly care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	81	1.6%
2	Rather good policy	563	10.9%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1234	24.0%
4	Rather bad policy	2049	39.8%
5	Very bad policy	1033	20.1%
97	No response - part of the question	61	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		

### # cd110e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	91	1.8%
2	Rather good policy	775	15.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1405	27.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1832	35.6%
5	Very bad policy	830	16.1%
97	No response - part of the question	85	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	96	1.9%
2	Rather good policy	899	17.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2389	46.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1105	21.5%
5	Very bad policy	395	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	137	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	63	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	622	12.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2008	39.0%
4	Rather bad policy	1498	29.1%
5	Very bad policy	730	14.2%
97	No response - part of the question	99	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%

<b># cd110g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	87	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	873	17.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2027	39.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1288	25.0%
5	Very bad policy	636	12.4%
97	No response - part of the question	111	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110i: Opinion about policy area: Law and order</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	86	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	1103	21.4%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1761	34.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1366	26.5%
5	Very bad policy	622	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	83	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110j: Opinion about policy area: The child care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		



### # cd110j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	138	2.7%
2	Rather good policy	1192	23.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1868	36.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1249	24.3%
5	Very bad policy	467	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	106	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110k: Opinion about policy area: Healthcare

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	110	2.1%
2	Rather good policy	879	17.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1247	24.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1820	35.4%
5	Very bad policy	913	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		167574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd110l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	203	3.9%
2	Rather good policy	1421	27.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1787	34.7%
4	Rather bad policy	1039	20.2%
5	Very bad policy	480	9.3%
97	No response - part of the question	91	1.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	

<b># cd110l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		167574	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110m: Opinion about policy area: Mass media</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Mass media		
<b>Notes</b>	2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	24	1.4%
2	Rather good policy	372	21.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	957	56.2%
4	Rather bad policy	187	11.0%
5	Very bad policy	61	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	49	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		174638	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd110n: Opinion about policy area: IT</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=176480 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - IT		
<b>Notes</b>	2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	109	6.4%
2	Rather good policy	562	33.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	742	43.5%
4	Rather bad policy	133	7.8%
5	Very bad policy	43	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	61	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		174638	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111a: Opinion about policy area: The unemployment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The unemployment		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		

### # cd111a: Opinion about policy area: The unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	41	0.8%
2	Rather good policy	757	14.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1669	31.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1457	27.3%
5	Very bad policy	807	15.1%
6	No opinion	308	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	98	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cd111b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	70	2.0%
2	Rather good policy	780	22.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1145	32.6%
4	Rather bad policy	793	22.5%
5	Very bad policy	393	11.2%
6	No opinion	167	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	35	1.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cd111c: Opinion about policy area: The environment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	118	2.2%
2	Rather good policy	1444	27.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1958	36.7%
4	Rather bad policy	936	17.6%
5	Very bad policy	361	6.8%
6	No opinion	225	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	86	1.6%

<b># cd111c: Opinion about policy area: The environment</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	12	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111d: Opinion about policy area: The elderly care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	80	1.5%
2	Rather good policy	805	15.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1551	29.1%
4	Rather bad policy	1388	26.0%
5	Very bad policy	895	16.8%
6	No opinion	327	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	101	1.9%
2	Rather good policy	791	14.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1378	25.8%
4	Rather bad policy	1596	29.9%
5	Very bad policy	969	18.2%
6	No opinion	218	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	84	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

<b># cd111f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	65	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	738	13.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1861	34.9%
4	Rather bad policy	972	18.2%
5	Very bad policy	564	10.6%
6	No opinion	813	15.2%
97	No response - part of the question	118	2.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	42	0.8%
2	Rather good policy	437	8.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1345	25.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1429	26.8%
5	Very bad policy	1362	25.5%
6	No opinion	430	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	89	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	819	15.4%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1683	31.6%

### # cd111h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad policy	1153	21.6%
5	Very bad policy	732	13.7%
6	No opinion	547	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	111	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd111i: Opinion about policy area: Law and order

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)










Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	70	2.0%
2	Rather good policy	825	23.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1096	31.2%
4	Rather bad policy	728	20.7%
5	Very bad policy	403	11.5%
6	No opinion	186	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	73	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # cd111j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	133	2.5%
2	Rather good policy	1268	23.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1566	29.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1037	19.4%
5	Very bad policy	515	9.7%
6	No opinion	522	9.8%
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	

<b># cd111j: Opinion about policy area: The child care</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111k: Opinion about policy area: Healthcare</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	127	 2.4%
2	Rather good policy	906	 17.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1220	 22.9%
4	Rather bad policy	1559	 29.2%
5	Very bad policy	1115	 20.9%
6	No opinion	144	 2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	63	 1.2%
98	Several responses given	6	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	 3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		167262	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># cd111l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy		
Notes	2001-2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	92	2.6%
2	Rather good policy	767	21.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1160	33.0%
4	Rather bad policy	677	19.2%
5	Very bad policy	363	10.3%
6	No opinion	251	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	74	2.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111m: Opinion about policy area: The taxes</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The taxes		
Notes	2001-2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	63	1.8%
2	Rather good policy	548	15.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	887	25.2%
4	Rather bad policy	887	25.2%
5	Very bad policy	774	22.0%
6	No opinion	163	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	58	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
Sysmiss		174667	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111n: Opinion about policy area: EU policies</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=172851 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EU policies		
Notes	2001-2003 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	67	1.3%
2	Rather good policy	653	12.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1641	30.8%



<b># cd111n: Opinion about policy area: EU policies</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Rather bad policy	1071	20.1%
5	Very bad policy	994	18.6%
6	No opinion	596	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	116	2.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
Sysmiss		172851	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111o: Opinion about policy area: The access to housing</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The access to housing		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	31	0.9%
2	Rather good policy	339	9.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	902	25.6%
4	Rather bad policy	857	24.4%
5	Very bad policy	849	24.1%
6	No opinion	339	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
Sysmiss		174667	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd111p: Opinion about policy area: EMU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3594 /-] [Invalid=174590 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EMU		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	43	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	353	9.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1097	30.5%
4	Rather bad policy	682	19.0%
5	Very bad policy	727	20.2%
6	No opinion	477	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	83	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	3.6%
Sysmiss		174590	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># cd111q: Opinion about policy area: Foreign and security issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3594 /-] [Invalid=174590 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Foreign and security issues		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good policy	103	2.9%
2	Rather good policy	834	23.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1279	35.6%
4	Rather bad policy	417	11.6%
5	Very bad policy	183	5.1%
6	No opinion	542	15.1%
97	No response - part of the question	101	2.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	3.6%
Sysmiss		174590	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd200: Sweden developing: In the right or wrong direction?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28961 /-] [Invalid=149223 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally, do you find that Sweden is developing in the right direction or wrong direction?		
<b>Notes</b>	2012- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	In the right direction	5961	20.6%
2	In the wrong direction	15810	54.6%
3	No opinion	6316	21.8%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	842	2.9%
96	Question not asked	75466	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd240a: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28590 /-] [Invalid=149594 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic with an elected president		
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 1999-2001, 2003, 2005-2015 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2038	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	2017	7.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5735	20.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	4974	17.4%

<b># cd240a: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Very bad proposal	12539	43.9%
97	No response - part of the question	516	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	757	2.6%
96	Question not asked	54556	
Sysmiss		95038	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd240b: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10221 /-] [Invalid=167963 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2005, 2010-2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	956	9.4%
2	Rather good proposal	753	7.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2543	24.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1898	18.6%
5	Very bad proposal	3483	34.1%
97	No response - part of the question	289	2.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	288	2.8%
96	Question not asked	19572	
Sysmiss		148391	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd245: Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25599 /-] [Invalid=152585 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Keep the monarchy	13928	54.4%
2	Abolish the monarchy	5270	20.6%
3	No opinion	5850	22.9%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	9	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	542	2.1%
96	Question not asked	97156	
Sysmiss		55429	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd900a: Proposal: Hold more municipal referendums</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># cd900a: Proposal: Hold more municipal referendums</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10092 /-] [Invalid=168092 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more municipal referendums		
<b>Notes</b>	1996, 1998, 2000, 2006, 2012 (Riks)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1125	11.1%
2	Rather good proposal	2929	29.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3412	33.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	1422	14.1%
5	Very bad proposal	637	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	275	2.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	290	2.9%
96	Question not asked	13117	
Sysmiss		154975	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd900b: Proposal: Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8355 /-] [Invalid=169829 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1998, 2000 (National): " Lower the voting age to 16"; 2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks): "Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections		
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2000-2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	230	2.8%
2	Rather good proposal	562	6.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1114	13.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	2681	32.1%
5	Very bad proposal	3434	41.1%
97	No response - part of the question	61	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	271	3.2%
96	Question not asked	12015	
Sysmiss		157814	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd900c: Proposal: Delegate decisions in important issues to experts</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10180 /-] [Invalid=168004 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Delegate decisions in important issues to experts		
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2000-2001, 2012 (Riks)		

### # cd900c: Proposal: Delegate decisions in important issues to experts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1309	12.9%
2	Rather good proposal	2714	26.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2819	27.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1750	17.2%
5	Very bad proposal	1068	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	221	2.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96	Question not asked	27809	
Sysmiss		140195	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cd900d: Proposal: Lower the election threshold to the parliament

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4912 /-] [Invalid=173272 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the election threshold to the parliament
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2006, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	126	2.6%
2	Rather good proposal	330	6.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1303	26.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1354	27.6%
5	Very bad proposal	1560	31.8%
97	No response - part of the question	109	2.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	129	2.6%
96	Question not asked	8274	
Sysmiss		164998	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # cd900e: Proposal: Hold more national referendums

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8403 /-] [Invalid=169781 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more national referendums
<b>Notes</b>	1998, 2000-2001, 2006 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	799	9.5%
2	Rather good proposal	2077	24.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2872	34.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	1400	16.7%
5	Very bad proposal	750	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	233	2.8%

<b># cd900e: Proposal: Hold more national referendums</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	271	3.2%
96	Question not asked	15506	
Sysmiss		154275	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cd900f: Proposal: Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11755 /-] [Invalid=166429 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	943	8.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1875	16.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3961	33.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	2270	19.3%
5	Very bad proposal	2102	17.9%
97	No response - part of the question	257	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	344	2.9%
96	Question not asked	22186	
Sysmiss		144243	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ce900a: Proposal: Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24917 /-] [Invalid=153267 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	2011-2011, 2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	6318	25.4%
2	Rather good proposal	9469	38.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6463	25.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1127	4.5%
5	Very bad proposal	375	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	490	2.0%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	655	2.6%
96	Question not asked	77627	
Sysmiss		75640	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ce900b: Proposal: Increase the number of wolves in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8215 /-] [Invalid=169969 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase the number of wolves in Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	2011-2011, 2015-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	659	8.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1082	13.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3404	41.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	1222	14.9%
5	Very bad proposal	1495	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	196	2.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	1.9%
96	Question not asked	31695	
Sysmiss		138274	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	1147	1.7%
200	Lägre skatter	170	0.3%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	7	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	9	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	1	0.0%
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	5	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	28	0.0%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	9	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	33	0.0%
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	6	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	0	
1200	Värnskatt	0	
1300	Skatteväxling	6	0.0%
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	4	0.0%
1500	Fastighetsskatt	60	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	8	0.0%
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	

## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	3129	4.6%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	193	0.3%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	109	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	11	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	8	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	34	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	45	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	98	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	24	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	149	0.2%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	113	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	209	0.3%
3500	Privatiseringar	59	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	481	0.7%
4100	Sociala reformer	25	0.0%
4200	Socialbidrag	55	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	42	0.1%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	407	0.6%
4500	Fattigdom	2	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	23	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	284	0.4%
4800	Hemlöshet	36	0.1%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandraperspektiv	102	0.2%
4910	Bidragfusk	2	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	477	0.7%
5100	ATP-fonder	6	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	0	
5300	Det nya pensionssystemet	6	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	0	
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	2315	3.4%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	2	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	0	
6900	Färdtjänst	0	
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	7532	11.2%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	4	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	42	0.1%
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	39	0.1%
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	19	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	2	0.0%
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	61	0.1%
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	49	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	62	0.1%
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	0	



## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7900	Psykvård	135	0.2%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	647	1.0%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	2	0.0%
8200	Alkohol	6	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	24	0.0%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1178	1.7%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	14	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	3	0.0%
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	5	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	14	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	1	0.0%
9200	Barndaghem	17	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	19	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	11	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	2	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1024	1.5%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	25	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	5	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	3707	5.5%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	4	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	7	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	3	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	4	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	15	0.0%
10900	Läraryr	20	0.0%
11000	Fritid/idrott	15	0.0%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	566	0.8%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	25	0.0%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	174	0.3%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	2	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	4	0.0%
12300	Hyseskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	26	0.0%
12400	Bostadsbrist	119	0.2%
12600	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringpolitik, regionalpolitik, u	114	0.2%
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	10	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	7	0.0%
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	70	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	26	0.0%
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	
14400	Matpriser	3	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	1	0.0%
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	0	
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	

## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	30	0.0%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemangen, makteliten	28	0.0%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	27	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	0	
16000	Miljö, miljövård, naturvård	5543	8.2%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	11	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	13	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	4	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	10	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	4	0.0%
16700	Luftföroreningar	26	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	91	0.1%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	144	0.2%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	4	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	4	0.0%
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	117	0.2%
17100	Scan-link	0	
17200	Öresundsbron	2	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	0	
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	2	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	65	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	5	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	1886	2.8%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	297	0.4%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	1013	1.5%
18300	Lag och ordning	62	0.1%
18400	Kravaller	1	0.0%
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	18	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	18	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	4	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	197	0.3%
19100	Porrt och prostitution	5	0.0%
19200	Abort	8	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	2	0.0%
19400	Hederlighet	21	0.0%
19500	Kyrka-stat	4	0.0%
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	1	0.0%
20000	Massmedia	32	0.0%
20100	Reklam-TV	2	0.0%
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	1	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	7	0.0%
20500	Internet	0	
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	10	0.0%

## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	67	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	59	0.1%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	213	0.3%
22300	Vapenexport	1	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	14	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	5	0.0%
22700	Nato	2	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	49	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	0	
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	2	0.0%
23200	Baltikum	0	
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	0	
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	3	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	5	0.0%
23700	Asien	0	
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	0	
23900	Terrorism	26	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	0	
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	11417	16.9%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	446	0.7%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	1	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	64	0.1%
24500	Semester	0	
24700	A-kassa	42	0.1%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	155	0.2%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	63	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	165	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	139	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	262	0.4%
26100	Kärnkraft	147	0.2%
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	7	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	1	0.0%
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	3	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	15	0.0%
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	19	0.0%
27100	Marknadsekonomi	16	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	5	0.0%
28100	Arbetsrätten	10	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%

## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29000	Löntagarfonder	7	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	6	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	302	0.4%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	36	0.1%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	0	
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	3	0.0%
29900	HBQ-frågor	14	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättsäkerhet	151	0.2%
30100	Affärer	5	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	22	0.0%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	23	0.0%
30400	Korruption	3	0.0%
31000	Invandrapolitik, invandrare	2714	4.0%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	274	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	347	0.5%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	1	0.0%
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	2	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	328	0.5%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	121	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	21	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	22	0.0%
33100	Socialism	3	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	5	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	2	0.0%
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	134	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	30	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	3	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	158	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	1	0.0%
34000	Högerpolitik	7	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	1	0.0%
34200	Systemskifte	1	0.0%
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	6	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	72	0.1%
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	3	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	14	0.0%
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	37	0.1%
34800	Feminism	8	0.0%
34900	Nazism	53	0.1%
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	60	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	2	0.0%
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	31	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	225	0.3%

## # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36100	Folkpartiets dataintränsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	5	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	0	
37200	Övriga personfrågor	8	0.0%
38000	Klassamhället (gruppreferenser)	191	0.3%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	130	0.2%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	3	0.0%
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	1	0.0%
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	2	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	47	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		10	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	640	0.9%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	2	0.0%
80200	EU - ekonomin	1	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	228	0.3%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	0	
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	1	0.0%
80600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldreomsorg	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	0	
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	0	
81800	EU - byråkrati	0	
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	0	
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	1	0.0%
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	0	
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	2	0.0%
83100	EU - demokrati	4	0.0%

### # samprob1\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	4	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	0	
83400	EU - allemansrätten	0	
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	2	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	1	0.0%
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	44	0.1%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	1	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	11	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	80	0.1%
99991	”Alla frågor”	23	0.0%
99992	Övrigt	229	0.3%
99995	Det finns inte något viktigt samhällsproblem	44	0.1%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	0	
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	285	0.4%
99999	Ej svar – hela frågan	11191	16.6%
Sysmiss		110771	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	1176	1.7%
200	Lägre skatter	133	0.2%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	13	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	8	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	0	
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	8	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	50	0.1%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	16	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	34	0.1%
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	10	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	6	0.0%
1200	Värnskatt	1	0.0%
1300	Skatteväxling	6	0.0%
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	11	0.0%
1500	Fastighetsskatt	51	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	5	0.0%

## # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	2083	3.1%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	202	0.3%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	156	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	16	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	11	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	61	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	41	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	66	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	24	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	167	0.2%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	129	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	198	0.3%
3500	Privatiseringar	57	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	599	0.9%
4100	Sociala reformer	44	0.1%
4200	Socialbidrag	90	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	188	0.3%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	506	0.8%
4500	Fattigdom	5	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	30	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	239	0.4%
4800	Hemlöshet	36	0.1%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandarperspektiv	101	0.1%
4910	Bidragfusk	1	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	549	0.8%
5100	ATP-fonder	1	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	0	
5300	Det nya pensionssystemet	8	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	4136	6.1%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	1	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	7	0.0%
6900	Färdtjänst	1	0.0%
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	7376	10.9%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	17	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	51	0.1%
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	46	0.1%
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	10	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	5	0.0%
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	56	0.1%
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	68	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	72	0.1%

## # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	0	
7900	Psykvård	126	0.2%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	917	1.4%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	1	0.0%
8200	Alkohol	16	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	34	0.1%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1412	2.1%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	19	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	0	
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	4	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	16	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	1	0.0%
9200	Barndaghem	23	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	17	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	12	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	8	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1540	2.3%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	32	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	4	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	4258	6.3%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	15	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	5	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	11	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	17	0.0%
10900	Läraryr	34	0.1%
11000	Fritid/idrott	29	0.0%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	522	0.8%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	54	0.1%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	349	0.5%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	2	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	2	0.0%
12300	Hyreskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	72	0.1%
12400	Bostadsbrist	175	0.3%
12600	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringpolitik, regionalpolitik, u	147	0.2%
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	18	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	7	0.0%
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	86	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	37	0.1%
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	
14400	Matpriser	16	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	2	0.0%



## # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	5	0.0%
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	51	0.1%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemang, makteliten	46	0.1%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	15	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	1	0.0%
16000	Miljö, miljövärd, naturvård	2928	4.3%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	21	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	14	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	13	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	15	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	0	
16700	Luftföroreningar	10	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	36	0.1%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	62	0.1%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	3	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	3	0.0%
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	258	0.4%
17100	Scan-link	1	0.0%
17200	Öresundsbron	10	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	1	0.0%
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	1	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	61	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	3	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	2320	3.4%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	279	0.4%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	844	1.3%
18300	Lag och ordning	82	0.1%
18400	Kravaller	0	
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	25	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	23	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	6	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	190	0.3%
19100	Porrt och prostitution	7	0.0%
19200	Abort	10	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	1	0.0%
19400	Hederlighet	35	0.1%
19500	Kyrka-stat	7	0.0%
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	0	
20000	Massmedia	45	0.1%
20100	Reklam-TV	4	0.0%
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	1	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	5	0.0%

# samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20500	Internet	4	0.0%
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	10	0.0%
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	66	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	113	0.2%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	275	0.4%
22300	Vapenexport	2	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	29	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	5	0.0%
22700	Nato	6	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	3	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	49	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	2	0.0%
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	4	0.0%
23200	Baltikum	2	0.0%
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	0	
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	2	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	7	0.0%
23700	Asien	0	
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	0	
23900	Terrorism	20	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	1	0.0%
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	4889	7.3%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	197	0.3%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	1	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	58	0.1%
24500	Semester	1	0.0%
24700	A-kassa	62	0.1%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	204	0.3%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	70	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	155	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	154	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	340	0.5%
26100	Kärnkraft	161	0.2%
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	6	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	0	
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	5	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	19	0.0%
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	15	0.0%
27100	Marknadsekonomi	16	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	12	0.0%

## # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28100	Arbetsrätten	12	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
29000	Löntagarfonder	9	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	13	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	332	0.5%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	37	0.1%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	4	0.0%
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	2	0.0%
29900	HBTQ-frågor	11	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättssäkerhet	220	0.3%
30100	Affärer	11	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	45	0.1%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	18	0.0%
30400	Korruption	7	0.0%
31000	Invandrapolitik, invandrare	2066	3.1%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	283	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	423	0.6%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	5	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	338	0.5%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	137	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	17	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	25	0.0%
33100	Socialism	6	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	4	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	2	0.0%
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	132	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	32	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	2	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	102	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	0	
34000	Högerpolitik	10	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	0	
34200	Systemskifte	0	
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	5	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	71	0.1%
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	6	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	15	0.0%
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	22	0.0%
34800	Feminism	4	0.0%
34900	Nazism	33	0.0%
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	62	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	1	0.0%

## # samprob2\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	8	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	215	0.3%
36100	Folkpartiets datainfrångsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	2	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	1	0.0%
37200	Övriga personfrågor	3	0.0%
38000	Klassanhället (gruppreferenser)	125	0.2%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	64	0.1%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	0	
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	0	
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	2	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	45	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		7	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	549	0.8%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	0	
80200	EU - ekonomin	2	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	132	0.2%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	2	0.0%
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	0	
80600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldreomsorg	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	0	
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	0	
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	0	
81800	EU - byråkrati	1	0.0%
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	0	
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	0	
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	3	0.0%
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	

# samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	1	0.0%
83100	EU - demokrati	1	0.0%
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	2	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	0	
83400	EU - allemansrätten	0	
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	4	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	0	
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	16	0.0%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	2	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	4	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	162	0.2%
99991	"All issues"	13	0.0%
99992	Other	256	0.4%
99995	There	18	0.0%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	8129	12.1%
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	165	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9509	14.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	868	1.3%
200	Lägre skatter	141	0.2%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	6	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	4	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	1	0.0%
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	8	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	56	0.1%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	12	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	34	0.1%
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	1	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	3	0.0%
1200	Värns katt	0	
1300	Skatteväxling	3	0.0%
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	4	0.0%

### # samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1500	Fastighetsskatt	51	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	7	0.0%
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	1316	2.0%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	106	0.2%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	158	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	14	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	10	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	53	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	47	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	34	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	12	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	93	0.1%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	118	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	160	0.2%
3500	Privatiseringar	60	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	510	0.8%
4100	Sociala reformer	40	0.1%
4200	Socialbidrag	55	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	226	0.3%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	447	0.7%
4500	Fattigdom	3	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	15	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	200	0.3%
4800	Hemlöshet	25	0.0%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandarperspektiv	77	0.1%
4910	Bidragfusks	2	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	525	0.8%
5100	ATP-fonder	6	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	2	0.0%
5300	Det nya pensionsystemet	2	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	3548	5.3%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	1	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	3	0.0%
6900	Färdtjänst	2	0.0%
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	3962	5.9%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	17	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	45	0.1%
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	36	0.1%
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	9	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	3	0.0%
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	45	0.1%

### # samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	49	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	51	0.1%
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	1	0.0%
7900	Psykvård	94	0.1%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	592	0.9%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	0	
8200	Alkohol	6	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	20	0.0%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1129	1.7%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	11	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	4	0.0%
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	3	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	9	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	0	
9200	Barndaghem	19	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	17	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	9	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	2	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1315	2.0%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	18	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	5	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	2854	4.2%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	13	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	8	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	17	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	12	0.0%
10900	Läraryr	12	0.0%
11000	Fritid/idrott	51	0.1%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	460	0.7%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	82	0.1%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	315	0.5%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	3	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	1	0.0%
12300	Hyreskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	58	0.1%
12400	Bostadsbrist	134	0.2%
12600	Boendemiljö	3	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringpolitik, regionalpolitik, u	152	0.2%
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	15	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	6	0.0%
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	68	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	33	0.0%
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	

### # samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14400	Matpriser	7	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	3	0.0%
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	0	
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	56	0.1%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemangen, makteliten	75	0.1%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	11	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	1	0.0%
16000	Miljö, miljövård, naturvård	2755	4.1%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	16	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	8	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	15	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	7	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	0	
16700	Luftföroreningar	3	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	33	0.0%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	36	0.1%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	2	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	0	
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	324	0.5%
17100	Scan-link	1	0.0%
17200	Öresundsbron	4	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	4	0.0%
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	5	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	44	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	3	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	2230	3.3%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	206	0.3%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	603	0.9%
18300	Lag och ordning	102	0.2%
18400	Kravaller	3	0.0%
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	14	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	16	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	5	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	219	0.3%
19100	Porr och prostitution	13	0.0%
19200	Abort	7	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	2	0.0%
19400	Hederlighet	25	0.0%
19500	Kyrka-stat	7	0.0%
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	1	0.0%
20000	Massmedia	43	0.1%
20100	Reklam-TV	2	0.0%



# samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	2	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	2	0.0%
20500	Internet	3	0.0%
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	4	0.0%
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	83	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	140	0.2%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	308	0.5%
22300	Vapenexport	3	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	31	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	7	0.0%
22700	Nato	4	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	78	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	7	0.0%
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	0	
23200	Baltikum	0	
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	3	0.0%
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	2	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	3	0.0%
23700	Asien	1	0.0%
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	0	
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	1	0.0%
23900	Terrorism	18	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	0	
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	3086	4.6%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	141	0.2%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	2	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	64	0.1%
24500	Semester	0	
24700	A-kassa	30	0.0%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	107	0.2%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	61	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	136	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	122	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	230	0.3%
26100	Kärnkraft	115	0.2%
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	8	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	0	
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	5	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	12	0.0%
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	8	0.0%

### # samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27100	Marknadsekonomi	17	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	9	0.0%
28100	Arbetsrätten	4	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	5	0.0%
29000	Löntagarfonder	2	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	11	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	248	0.4%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	25	0.0%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	1	0.0%
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	0	
29900	HBTQ-frågor	6	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättssäkerhet	273	0.4%
30100	Affärer	7	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	55	0.1%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	11	0.0%
30400	Korruption	10	0.0%
31000	Invandrapolitik, invandrare	1760	2.6%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	238	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	325	0.5%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	8	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	234	0.3%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	162	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	22	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	23	0.0%
33100	Socialism	4	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	3	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	0	
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	1	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	125	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	30	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	3	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	129	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	2	0.0%
34000	Högerpolitik	5	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	0	
34200	Systemskifte	5	0.0%
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	86	0.1%
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	4	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	11	0.0%
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	16	0.0%
34800	Feminism	6	0.0%
34900	Nazism	25	0.0%

### # samprob3\_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	59	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	4	0.0%
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	7	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	267	0.4%
36100	Folkpartiets datainrånsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	8	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	0	
37200	Övriga personfrågor	3	0.0%
38000	Klassanhället (gruppreferenser)	136	0.2%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	66	0.1%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	1	0.0%
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	0	
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	54	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		3	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	528	0.8%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	0	
80200	EU - ekonomin	2	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	85	0.1%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	0	
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	1	0.0%
80600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldreomsorg	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	3	0.0%
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	1	0.0%
81800	EU - byråkrati	2	0.0%
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	1	0.0%
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	1	0.0%
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	4	0.0%

# samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	0	
83100	EU - demokrati	4	0.0%
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	6	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	1	0.0%
83400	EU - allemansrätten	1	0.0%
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	4	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	1	0.0%
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	4	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	20	0.0%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	1	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	4	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	405	0.6%
99991	"All issues"	12	0.0%
99992	Other	411	0.6%
99995	There	22	0.0%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	17494	26.0%
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	165	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	11120	16.5%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60453 /-] [Invalid=117731 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	142	0.2%
200	Välfärd	546	0.9%
300	Trygghet	298	0.5%
400	Samhälle	55	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	15	0.0%
600	Befolkningspyramid	23	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	7	0.0%
1000	Ekonomi	1496	2.5%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	74	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	31	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	14	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	6	0.0%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	32	0.1%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	26	0.0%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	7	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	21	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	14	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	5	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	14	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	25	0.0%
2300	Banker	15	0.0%
3000	Skatter	396	0.7%
3100	Lägre skatter	40	0.1%
3200	Högre skatter	8	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	2	0.0%
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	2	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	0	
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	7	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	1	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	0	
4000	Skatteväxling	1	0.0%
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	4	0.0%
4200	Fastighetsskatt	1	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	1099	1.8%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	3017	5.0%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	565	0.9%
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	27	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	26	0.0%
5500	Semester	1	0.0%
5600	A-kassa	9	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	10	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	17	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	4	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	1	0.0%
6100	Arbetsrätten	21	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	36	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	3	0.0%
7200	Renhållning i tätort	1	0.0%
7300	Sophämtning	2	0.0%
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	49	0.1%
7600	Privatiseringar	86	0.1%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	6	0.0%
7800		82	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	4752	7.9%
9100	Vård	2318	3.8%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	1	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	19	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	2	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	49	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	12	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	1	0.0%
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	14	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	3	0.0%
10000	Specifika sjukhus	2	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	7	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	0	
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	5	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	31	0.1%
10500		43	0.1%
10600		3	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	29	0.0%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	73	0.1%
12000	Tandvård	6	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	1	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	0	
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	1	0.0%
13000	Äldreomsorg	738	1.2%
13100	Äldrevård	842	1.4%
13200	Vårdhem	0	
13300	Äldreboende	31	0.1%
13400	Hemtjänst	3	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	0	
13600	Platsbrist	1	0.0%
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600)	139	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikernas pensioner 39600)	484	0.8%
14200	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	0	
14400	Privata pensioner	2	0.0%
14500	Pensionsskatt	98	0.2%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärers situation	94	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400)	38	0.1%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshinder situation	2	0.0%
16000	Socialpolitik	59	0.1%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	99	0.2%
16200	Försäkringskassan	24	0.0%
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	98	0.2%
16400	Sjukpension	5	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	2	0.0%
16600	Socialbidrag	6	0.0%
16700	Socialförsäkring	8	0.0%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17000	Sociala frågor	106	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	65	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	44	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	176	0.3%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	112	0.2%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	359	0.6%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	104	0.2%
18200	Utjämning	16	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	162	0.3%
18400		73	0.1%
19000	Missbruksproblem	28	0.0%
19100	Alkohol	19	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	97	0.2%
19300	Spel	1	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	53	0.1%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	263	0.4%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	0	
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	20	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	1	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	2	0.0%
20700	Föräldradedighet	2	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	1	0.0%
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	6	0.0%
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	8	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	650	1.1%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	5053	8.4%
22200	Grundskola	49	0.1%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	21	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	1	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	17	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	10	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	47	0.1%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	8	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	2	0.0%
23100	Lärare	28	0.0%
23200	Löner i skolan	18	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	15	0.0%
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	4	0.0%
23500		6	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	14	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	35	0.1%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	8	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200)	213	0.4%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	57	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	301	0.5%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	23	0.0%
26200	Hysesmarknad	12	0.0%
26300	Hyeskostnader	6	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	159	0.3%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	19	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	1	0.0%
26700	Studentbostäder	11	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	0	
26900	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
27000	Seniorbostäder	3	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	35	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	2	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	1	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	4	0.0%
28300	Parker	0	
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	87	0.1%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	17	0.0%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	22	0.0%
29300	Vägar	9	0.0%
29400	Vägenderhåll	1	0.0%
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	5	0.0%
29600	Cykelvägar	1	0.0%
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	1	0.0%
29900	Privatbilism	4	0.0%
30000	Parkering	4	0.0%
30100	Vägtullar	7	0.0%
30200	Transporter	7	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	73	0.1%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	4	0.0%
30600	Trafik	20	0.0%
31000		12	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	10	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	14	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	145	0.2%
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	0	
31500	Service på glesbygden	9	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	24	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	18	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	26	0.0%



## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31900	Jaktfrågor	3	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	22	0.0%
32100	Djurhållning	10	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	8	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	0	
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	23	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	3	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	21	0.0%
32700		1	0.0%
33000	Miljö	3149	5.2%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	31	0.1%
33200	Nedskräpning	5	0.0%
33300	Klimatfrågan	1419	2.3%
33400	Utsläppsrätter	0	
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	7	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	2	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	56	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	1	0.0%
35000	Energi	290	0.5%
35100	Kärnkraft	39	0.1%
35200	Vindkraft	2	0.0%
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	7	0.0%
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	0	
35500	Energipriser	58	0.1%
35600		48	0.1%
37000	Lag och ordning	298	0.5%
37100	Våld	435	0.7%
37200	Brottslighet	2283	3.8%
37300	Polisväsende	273	0.5%
37400	Straff och påföljder	76	0.1%
37500	Kriminalvård	6	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	52	0.1%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	1	0.0%
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	506	0.8%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	53	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	4	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	6	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	9	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	128	0.2%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	0	
38500		10	0.0%
38700		2	0.0%
39000		4	0.0%
39100	Korruption	30	0.0%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
39200	Skatteffettel	5	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	3	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragfusk	8	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	8	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	7	0.0%
39800		4	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	56	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	8	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	23	0.0%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	14	0.0%
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	14	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	4	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	1	0.0%
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	5	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	237	0.4%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	16	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	48	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	424	0.7%
42500	Grundlag	4	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46)	25	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	2220	3.7%
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	466	0.8%
43200	Segregation	152	0.3%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	918	1.5%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	5282	8.7%
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrapolitik)	1076	1.8%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	6	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	12	0.0%
45000	Religion	24	0.0%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	3	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	0	
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	0	
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	19	0.0%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	5	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	2	0.0%
46000	Medier	48	0.1%
46100	Reklam	0	
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	4	0.0%
47000	Internet	18	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	6	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	5	0.0%
47300	Övervakning	10	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	65	0.1%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49100	Näringspolitik	7	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	41	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	0	
49400	Omnämmande av enskilda företag	1	0.0%
49500	Turism	0	
50000	Konsumtion	4	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	0	
51000	Byråkrati	16	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	3	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	94	0.2%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	14	0.0%
52200	Partiledare	4	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	49	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	11	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	67	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	16	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemangen, makteliten	6	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	10	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	5	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	118	0.2%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	3	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	3	0.0%
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	69	0.1%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	246	0.4%
56200	Vapenexport	2	0.0%
56300	Bistånd	5	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	2	0.0%
56500	Nato	33	0.1%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	1	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	4	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	21	0.0%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	30	0.0%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	15	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	25	0.0%
58400	Globalisering	6	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	2	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	5	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	2	0.0%
58900	USA	0	
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	2	0.0%
59200	Iran	0	
59300	Afghanistan	1	0.0%

# samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59400	Nordkorea	0	
59500	Afrika	0	
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	6	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	2	0.0%
61000	Regionala frågor	13	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	3	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	1	0.0%
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	2	0.0%
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	4	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	1	0.0%
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	0	
61900	Den politiska organisationen	0	
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	4	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	15	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	4	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	0	
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	0	
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	1	0.0%
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	1	0.0%
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	
63800	Den politiska organisationen	0	
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	1	0.0%
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	4	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	37	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	0	
66200	EU - ekonomi	6	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	3	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	0	
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	1	0.0%
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	11	0.0%

## # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67300	EU - asylpolitik	1	0.0%
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	0	
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	2	0.0%
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	0	
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	14	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	2	0.0%
68200		2	0.0%
69000	Moral och etik	78	0.1%
69100	Egoism	15	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	48	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	4	0.0%
69400	Respekt	18	0.0%
69500	Porr	0	
69600	Prostitution	0	
69700	Abort	8	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	3	0.0%
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	1	0.0%
70100	Girighet	6	0.0%
71000	Ideologibegrepp	50	0.1%
71100	Socialism	3	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	1	0.0%
71300	Klasskamp	2	0.0%
71400	Klassanhållning	9	0.0%
71500	Solidaritét	34	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	2	0.0%
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	4	0.0%
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	3	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	0	
72000	Vänsterpolitik	0	
72100	Högerpolitik	8	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	2	0.0%
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	16	0.0%
72600	Feminism	54	0.1%
72700	Nazism	25	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	6	0.0%
72900		13	0.0%
73000		32	0.1%
73100		7	0.0%
73200		4	0.0%
73300		22	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	111	0.2%

### # samprob1\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90000		189	0.3%
99300		7	0.0%
99993		45	0.1%
99994	Vet inte, vill ej svara	198	0.3%
99997	No further response	3	0.0%
99998	Do not know/refused to answer	195	0.3%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9893	16.4%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		107764	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60453 /-] [Invalid=117731 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	622	1.0%
200	Välfärd	443	0.7%
300	Trygghet	275	0.5%
400	Samhälle	51	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	17	0.0%
600	Befolkningspyramid	18	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	10	0.0%
1000	Ekonomi	1132	1.9%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	36	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	59	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	16	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	4	0.0%
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	39	0.1%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	57	0.1%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	11	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	22	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	7	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	5	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	10	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	18	0.0%
2300	Banker	17	0.0%
3000	Skatter	509	0.8%
3100	Lägre skatter	36	0.1%
3200	Högre skatter	11	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	5	0.0%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	1	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	0	
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	6	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	13	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	2	0.0%
4000	Skatteväxling	0	
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	3	0.0%
4200	Fastighetsskatt	3	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	824	1.4%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	1689	2.8%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	257	0.4%
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	28	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	38	0.1%
5500	Semester	1	0.0%
5600	A-kassa	17	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	6	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	22	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	6	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	2	0.0%
6100	Arbetsrätten	25	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	56	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	1	0.0%
7200	Renhållning i tätort	0	
7300	Sophämtning	3	0.0%
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	43	0.1%
7600	Privatiseringar	100	0.2%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	13	0.0%
7800		58	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	4594	7.6%
9100	Vård	2362	3.9%
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	3	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	26	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	2	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	67	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	23	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	3	0.0%
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	22	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	1	0.0%
10000	Specifika sjukhus	2	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	5	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	1	0.0%
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	5	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	34	0.1%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10500		51	0.1%
10600		2	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	38	0.1%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	93	0.2%
12000	Tandvård	19	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	3	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	2	0.0%
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	6	0.0%
13000	Äldreomsorg	1279	2.1%
13100	Äldrevård	1264	2.1%
13200	Vårdhem	1	0.0%
13300	Äldreboende	59	0.1%
13400	Hemtjänst	11	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	1	0.0%
13600	Platsbrist	0	
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600)	125	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikernas pensioner 39600)	546	0.9%
14200	Pensionsfonder	2	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	1	0.0%
14400	Privata pensioner	0	
14500	Pensionsskatt	74	0.1%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärens situation	112	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400))	37	0.1%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshinder situation	3	0.0%
16000	Socialpolitik	90	0.1%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	87	0.1%
16200	Försäkringskassan	41	0.1%
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	104	0.2%
16400	Sjukpension	1	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	2	0.0%
16600	Socialbidrag	31	0.1%
16700	Socialförsäkring	27	0.0%
17000	Sociala frågor	118	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	74	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	41	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	189	0.3%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	153	0.3%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	296	0.5%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	86	0.1%
18200	Utjämning	17	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	186	0.3%
18400		117	0.2%
19000	Missbruksproblem	41	0.1%
19100	Alkohol	12	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	119	0.2%



## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19300	Spel	3	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	34	0.1%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	365	0.6%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	0	
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	14	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	4	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	5	0.0%
20700	Föräldraledighet	1	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	0	
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	6	0.0%
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	15	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	796	1.3%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	5764	9.5%
22200	Grundskola	37	0.1%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	28	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	4	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	17	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	16	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	2	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	57	0.1%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	9	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	6	0.0%
23100	Lärare	33	0.1%
23200	Löner i skolan	20	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	32	0.1%
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	4	0.0%
23500		10	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	18	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	49	0.1%
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	6	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200)	194	0.3%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	49	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	420	0.7%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	44	0.1%
26200	Hysesmarknad	16	0.0%
26300	Hyeskostnader	17	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	223	0.4%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	19	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	2	0.0%
26700	Studentbostäder	7	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	1	0.0%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26900	Boendemiljö	0	
27000	Seniorbostäder	4	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	45	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	6	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	3	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	3	0.0%
28300	Parker	1	0.0%
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	176	0.3%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	33	0.1%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	24	0.0%
29300	Vägar	10	0.0%
29400	Vägenderhåll	7	0.0%
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	4	0.0%
29600	Cykelvägar	0	
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	0	
29900	Privatbilism	4	0.0%
30000	Parkering	4	0.0%
30100	Vägtullar	10	0.0%
30200	Transporter	14	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	99	0.2%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	2	0.0%
30600	Trafik	38	0.1%
31000		19	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	8	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	22	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	141	0.2%
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	2	0.0%
31500	Service på glesbygden	13	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	10	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	15	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	22	0.0%
31900	Jaktfrågor	1	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	43	0.1%
32100	Djurhållning	15	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	25	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	1	0.0%
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	25	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	2	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	31	0.1%
32700		4	0.0%
33000	Miljö	1725	2.9%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	21	0.0%
33200	Nedskräpning	10	0.0%
33300	Klimatfrågan	534	0.9%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33400	Utsläppsrätter	0	
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	7	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	5	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	66	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	4	0.0%
34300		3	0.0%
35000	Energi	261	0.4%
35100	Kärnkraft	35	0.1%
35200	Vindkraft	4	0.0%
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	8	0.0%
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	1	0.0%
35500	Energipriser	58	0.1%
35600		55	0.1%
37000	Lag och ordning	337	0.6%
37100	Våld	404	0.7%
37200	Brottslighet	1963	3.2%
37300	Polisväsende	557	0.9%
37400	Straff och påföljder	130	0.2%
37500	Kriminalvård	18	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	38	0.1%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	0	
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	363	0.6%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	64	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	21	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	7	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	21	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	206	0.3%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	2	0.0%
38500		9	0.0%
38600		2	0.0%
38700		7	0.0%
39000		5	0.0%
39100	Korruption	49	0.1%
39200	Skattefffel	6	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	12	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragfusk	17	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	10	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	13	0.0%
39800		8	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	82	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	22	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	29	0.0%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	16	0.0%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	15	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	3	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	0	
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	11	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	175	0.3%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	23	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	53	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	420	0.7%
42500	Grundlag	3	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46)	15	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	1899	3.1%
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	364	0.6%
43200	Segregation	204	0.3%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	449	0.7%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	2338	3.9%
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrapolitik)	601	1.0%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	12	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	2	0.0%
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	20	0.0%
45000	Religion	30	0.0%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	4	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	2	0.0%
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	2	0.0%
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	31	0.1%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	5	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	0	
46000	Medier	44	0.1%
46100	Reklam	2	0.0%
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	0	
47000	Internet	21	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	5	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	11	0.0%
47300	Övervakning	8	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	104	0.2%
49100	Näringspolitik	3	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	59	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	4	0.0%
49400	Omnämmande av enskilda företag	1	0.0%
49500	Turism	3	0.0%
50000	Konsumtion	8	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
51000	Byråkrati	25	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	12	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	80	0.1%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	23	0.0%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52200	Partiledare	3	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	52	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	10	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	61	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	28	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemangen, makteliten	8	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	10	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	1	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	42	0.1%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	2	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	2	0.0%
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	97	0.2%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	317	0.5%
56200	Vapenexport	3	0.0%
56300	Bistånd	13	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	3	0.0%
56500	Nato	33	0.1%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	2	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	5	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	28	0.0%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	28	0.0%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	9	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	29	0.0%
58400	Globalisering	8	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	6	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	3	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	3	0.0%
58900	USA	7	0.0%
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	1	0.0%
59200	Iran	1	0.0%
59300	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
59400	Nordkorea	0	
59500	Afrika	1	0.0%
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	10	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	1	0.0%
61000	Regionala frågor	15	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	5	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	1	0.0%
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	0	

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	2	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	1	0.0%
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	0	
61900	Den politiska organisationen	0	
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	6	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	12	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	2	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	1	0.0%
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	0	
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	0	
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	1	0.0%
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	
63800	Den politiska organisationen	1	0.0%
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	1	0.0%
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	0	
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	3	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	40	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	2	0.0%
66200	EU - ekonomi	5	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	8	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	1	0.0%
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	0	
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	15	0.0%
67300	EU - asylpolitik	0	
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	0	
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	0	
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	9	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	2	0.0%
68100		1	0.0%
68200		3	0.0%
68300		2	0.0%

## # samprob2\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
69000	Moral och etik	81	0.1%
69100	Egoism	17	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	41	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	5	0.0%
69400	Respekt	17	0.0%
69500	Porr	1	0.0%
69600	Prostitution	1	0.0%
69700	Abort	7	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	0	
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	0	
70100	Girighet	8	0.0%
71000	Ideologibegrepp	45	0.1%
71100	Socialism	1	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	0	
71300	Klasskamp	1	0.0%
71400	Klassamhälle	8	0.0%
71500	Solidaritet	32	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	0	
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	6	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	1	0.0%
72000	Vänsterpolitik	1	0.0%
72100	Högerpolitik	5	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	0	
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	2	0.0%
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	4	0.0%
72600	Feminism	36	0.1%
72700	Nazism	10	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	4	0.0%
72900		12	0.0%
73000		37	0.1%
73100		10	0.0%
73200		6	0.0%
73300		11	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	118	0.2%
90000		81	0.1%
99993		3	0.0%
99994	Do not know/refused to answer	13	0.0%
99997	Ej svar - del av frågan	5964	9.9%
99998	Not applicable/codeable	99	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9889	16.4%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		107764	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60453 /-] [Invalid=117731 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	713	1.2%
200	Välfärd	389	0.6%
300	Trygghet	274	0.5%
400	Samhälle	86	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	34	0.1%
600	Befolkningspyramid	12	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	13	0.0%
1000	Ekonomi	871	1.4%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	50	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	32	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	6	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	5	0.0%
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	46	0.1%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	39	0.1%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	13	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	23	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	6	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	1	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	10	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	21	0.0%
2300	Banker	24	0.0%
3000	Skatter	446	0.7%
3100	Lägre skatter	32	0.1%
3200	Högre skatter	10	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	1	0.0%
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	2	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	1	0.0%
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	11	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	6	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	2	0.0%
4000	Skatteväxling	0	
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	1	0.0%
4200	Fastighetsskatt	3	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	690	1.1%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	1233	2.0%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	159	0.3%



# samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	21	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	35	0.1%
5500	Semester	0	
5600	A-kassa	19	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	14	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	20	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	3	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	0	
6100	Arbetsrätten	17	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	49	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	0	
7200	Renhållning i tätort	0	
7300	Sophämtning	0	
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	38	0.1%
7600	Privatiseringar	110	0.2%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	18	0.0%
7800		53	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	2976	4.9%
9100	Vård	1302	2.2%
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	2	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	28	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	7	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	40	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	14	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	0	
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	11	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	0	
10000	Specifika sjukhus	1	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	3	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	1	0.0%
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	4	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	22	0.0%
10500		37	0.1%
10600		5	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	41	0.1%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	90	0.1%
12000	Tandvård	13	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	2	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	0	
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	6	0.0%
13000	Äldreomsorg	1006	1.7%
13100	Äldrevård	1004	1.7%
13200	Vårdhem	1	0.0%
13300	Äldreboende	37	0.1%

# samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13400	Hemtjänst	8	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	0	
13600	Platsbrist	1	0.0%
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600)	102	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikers pensioner 39600)	561	0.9%
14200	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	1	0.0%
14400	Privata pensioner	0	
14500	Pensionsskatt	65	0.1%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärs situation	119	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400)	25	0.0%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshinder situation	0	
16000	Socialpolitik	88	0.1%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	68	0.1%
16200	Försäkringskassan	22	0.0%
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	74	0.1%
16400	Sjukpension	3	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	0	
16600	Socialbidrag	14	0.0%
16700	Socialförsäkring	15	0.0%
17000	Sociala frågor	121	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	52	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	33	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	148	0.2%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	128	0.2%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	235	0.4%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	61	0.1%
18200	Utjämning	5	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	149	0.2%
18400		57	0.1%
19000	Missbruksproblem	31	0.1%
19100	Alkohol	9	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	101	0.2%
19300	Spel	3	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	24	0.0%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	214	0.4%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	1	0.0%
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	6	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	3	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	5	0.0%
20700	Föräldradedighet	1	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	0	
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	6	0.0%

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	1	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	618	1.0%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	3909	6.5%
22200	Grundskola	25	0.0%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	19	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	2	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	10	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	16	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	2	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	27	0.0%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	5	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	3	0.0%
23100	Lärare	21	0.0%
23200	Löner i skolan	23	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	28	0.0%
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	9	0.0%
23500		1	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	20	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	80	0.1%
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	3	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200)	182	0.3%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	46	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	417	0.7%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	45	0.1%
26200	Hysesmarknad	14	0.0%
26300	Hyeskostnader	14	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	169	0.3%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	23	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	1	0.0%
26700	Studentbostäder	7	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	1	0.0%
26900	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
27000	Seniorbostäder	1	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	31	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	2	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	2	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	8	0.0%
28300	Parker	0	
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	290	0.5%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	55	0.1%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	30	0.0%
29300	Vägar	14	0.0%
29400	Vägunderhåll	8	0.0%

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	1	0.0%
29600	Cykelvägar	4	0.0%
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	1	0.0%
29900	Privatbilism	2	0.0%
30000	Parkering	0	
30100	Vägtullar	10	0.0%
30200	Transporter	20	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	65	0.1%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	3	0.0%
30500		1	0.0%
30600	Trafik	40	0.1%
30700		2	0.0%
31000		9	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	9	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	11	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	167	0.3%
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	0	
31500	Service på glesbygden	7	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	18	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	10	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	25	0.0%
31900	Jaktfrågor	2	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	37	0.1%
32100	Djurhållning	16	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	19	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	2	0.0%
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	26	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	8	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	43	0.1%
32700		3	0.0%
33000	Miljö	1665	2.8%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	22	0.0%
33200	Nedskräpning	4	0.0%
33300	Klimatfrågan	404	0.7%
33400	Utsläppsrätter	1	0.0%
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	9	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	1	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	52	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	3	0.0%
35000	Energi	219	0.4%
35100	Kärnkraft	25	0.0%
35200	Vindkraft	1	0.0%
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	5	0.0%

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	1	0.0%
35500	Energipriser	52	0.1%
35600		41	0.1%
37000	Lag och ordning	277	0.5%
37100	Våld	270	0.4%
37200	Brottslighet	1437	2.4%
37300	Polisväsende	575	1.0%
37400	Straff och påföljder	126	0.2%
37500	Kriminalvård	21	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	31	0.1%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	3	0.0%
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	219	0.4%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	51	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	13	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	9	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	11	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	237	0.4%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	4	0.0%
38500		17	0.0%
38600		2	0.0%
38700		6	0.0%
39000		7	0.0%
39100	Korruption	46	0.1%
39200	Skattefiffel	11	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	7	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragsfusk	23	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	10	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	9	0.0%
39800		15	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	56	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	16	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	25	0.0%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	12	0.0%
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	5	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	6	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	4	0.0%
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	7	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	198	0.3%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	17	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	51	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	310	0.5%
42500	Grundlag	2	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46)	9	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	1455	2.4%

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	285	0.5%
43200	Segregation	121	0.2%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	353	0.6%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	1910	3.2%
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrarpolitik)	479	0.8%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	3	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	1	0.0%
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	12	0.0%
45000	Religion	32	0.1%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	2	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	1	0.0%
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	1	0.0%
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	18	0.0%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	4	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	2	0.0%
46000	Medier	52	0.1%
46100	Reklam	0	
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	3	0.0%
47000	Internet	21	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	2	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	12	0.0%
47300	Övervakning	2	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	96	0.2%
49100	Näringspolitik	3	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	58	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	3	0.0%
49400	Omnämmande av enskilda företag	2	0.0%
49500	Turism	2	0.0%
50000	Konsumtion	9	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
51000	Byråkrati	30	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	15	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	89	0.1%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	14	0.0%
52200	Partiledare	3	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	64	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	11	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	33	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	24	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemangen, makteliten	9	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	5	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	5	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	43	0.1%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	2	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	1	0.0%

# samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	0	
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	103	0.2%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	345	0.6%
56200	Vapenexport	2	0.0%
56300	Bistånd	18	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	4	0.0%
56500	Nato	29	0.0%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	2	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	4	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	55	0.1%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	36	0.1%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	8	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	25	0.0%
58400	Globalisering	13	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	5	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	6	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	2	0.0%
58900	USA	7	0.0%
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	0	
59200	Iran	0	
59300	Afghanistan	0	
59400	Nordkorea	1	0.0%
59500	Afrika	0	
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	4	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	0	
61000	Regionala frågor	17	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	5	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	0	
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	0	
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	1	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	0	
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	2	0.0%
61900	Den politiska organisationen	2	0.0%
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	1	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	15	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	7	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	1	0.0%
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	1	0.0%

### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	0	
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	0	
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	
63800	Den politiska organisationen	2	0.0%
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	2	0.0%
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	1	0.0%
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	6	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	53	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	0	
66200	EU - ekonomi	3	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	4	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	1	0.0%
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	10	0.0%
67300	EU - asylpolitik	0	
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	1	0.0%
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	1	0.0%
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	1	0.0%
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	8	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	2	0.0%
68100		1	0.0%
68200		3	0.0%
69000	Moral och etik	89	0.1%
69100	Egoism	26	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	49	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	8	0.0%
69400	Respekt	11	0.0%
69500	Porr	1	0.0%
69600	Prostitution	0	
69700	Abort	5	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	0	
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	0	
70100	Girighet	11	0.0%



### # samprob3\_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71000	Ideologibegrepp	45	0.1%
71100	Socialism	1	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	0	
71300	Klasskamp	1	0.0%
71400	Klassamhälle	1	0.0%
71500	Solidaritet	34	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	5	0.0%
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	0	
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	7	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	1	0.0%
72000	Vänsterpolitik	2	0.0%
72100	Högerpolitik	9	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	0	
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	9	0.0%
72600	Feminism	34	0.1%
72700	Nazism	9	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	7	0.0%
72900		6	0.0%
73000		30	0.0%
73100		10	0.0%
73200		5	0.0%
73300		20	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	131	0.2%
90000		55	0.1%
99993		3	0.0%
99994	Do not know/refused to answer	13	0.0%
99997	Ej svar - del av frågan	15433	25.5%
99998	Not applicable/codeable	94	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9889	16.4%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		107764	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sprobnamnt: Important societal issues or problems: Mentioned an issue

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=127866 /-] [Invalid=50318 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3 (_1987 and _2011). 0=the respondent has not mentioned any problems at all, 1=the respondent has at least mentioned one problem.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	1987- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	21662	16.9%
1	Mentioned	106204	83.1%
96		9967	

<b># sprobnamnt: Important societal issues or problems: Mentioned an issue</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		40351	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># antalsprobnamt: Important societal issues or problems: Number of issues mentioned</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=127866 /-] [Invalid=50318 /-] [Mean=2.141 /-] [StdDev=1.153 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3 (_1987 and _2011). Shows the number of problems mentioned by the respondent (between 0 and 3).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987- (National)		
<b># sprob100: Important societal issues or problems: Taxes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 100-1900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	63296	93.9%
1	Mentioned	4117	6.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob2000: Important societal issues or problems: Economy in general</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 2000-2900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	59123	87.7%
1	Mentioned	8290	12.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob3000: Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 3000-3500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		

<b># sprob3000: Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66331	98.4%
1	Mentioned	1082	1.6%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob4000: Important societal issues or problems: Social policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 4000-4910. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	62710	93.0%
1	Mentioned	4703	7.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob5000: Important societal issues or problems: Pensions, pensioner issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 5000-5400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	65843	97.7%
1	Mentioned	1570	2.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob6000: Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 6000-6900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		

### # sprob6000: Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	57415	85.2%
1	Mentioned	9998	14.8%
Sysmiss		110771	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sprob7000: Important societal issues or problems: Health care

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 7000-7900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	47633	70.7%
1	Mentioned	19780	29.3%
Sysmiss		110771	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sprob8000: Important societal issues or problems: Alcohol/narcotics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 8000-8300. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	65201	96.7%
1	Mentioned	2212	3.3%
Sysmiss		110771	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sprob8500: Important societal issues or problems: Family policy, child care

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 8500-9700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	63488	94.2%
1	Mentioned	3925	5.8%

<b># sprob8500: Important societal issues or problems: Family policy, child care</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob10000: Important societal issues or problems: Education policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 10000-10900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	52602	78.0%
1	Mentioned	14811	22.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob11000: Important societal issues or problems: Sports, recreation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 11000-11500 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	65628	97.4%
1	Mentioned	1785	2.6%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob12000: Important societal issues or problems: Housing policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 12000-12600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	65979	97.9%
1	Mentioned	1434	2.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># sprob13000: Important societal issues or problems: Regional policy, decentralization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 13000-13200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66947	99.3%
1	Mentioned	466	0.7%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob14000: Important societal issues or problems: Agricultural policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 14000-14700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67064	99.5%
1	Mentioned	349	0.5%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob15000: Important societal issues or problems: Bureaucracy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 15000-15400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67080	99.5%
1	Mentioned	333	0.5%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob16000: Important societal issues or problems: Environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 16000-16910.		

<b># sprob16000: Important societal issues or problems: Environment</b>			
	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	55771	82.7%
1	Mentioned	11642	17.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob17000: Important societal issues or problems: Communications, traffic</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 17000-17700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66514	98.7%
1	Mentioned	899	1.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob18000: Important societal issues or problems: Correctional treatment, police, crime</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 18000-18700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	57847	85.8%
1	Mentioned	9566	14.2%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob19000: Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 19000-19600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		

<b># sprob19000: Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66678	98.9%
1	Mentioned	735	1.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob20000: Important societal issues or problems: Mass media</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 20000-20600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67242	99.7%
1	Mentioned	171	0.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob21000: Important societal issues or problems: Peace, international disarmament</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 21000 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67198	99.7%
1	Mentioned	215	0.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob22000: Important societal issues or problems: Swedish foreign and security policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 22000-22800. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66206	98.2%
1	Mentioned	1207	1.8%



<b># sprob22000: Important societal issues or problems: Swedish foreign and security policy</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob23000: Important societal issues or problems: International issues in general</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 23000-23910. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67130	99.6%
1	Mentioned	283	0.4%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob24000: Important societal issues or problems: Employment, unemployment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 24000-24900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	46719	69.3%
1	Mentioned	20694	30.7%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># sprob25000: Important societal issues or problems: Commercial policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 25000-25100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66553	98.7%
1	Mentioned	860	1.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob26000: Important societal issues or problems: Energy policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 26000-26500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66096	98.0%
1	Mentioned	1317	2.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob27000: Important societal issues or problems: State control of businesses</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 27000-27100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67324	99.9%
1	Mentioned	89	0.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob28000: Important societal issues or problems: Participation in decision-making</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 28000-28200.		

<b># sprob28000: Important societal issues or problems: Participation in decision-making</b>			
	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67355	99.9%
1	Mentioned	58	0.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob29000: Important societal issues or problems: Wage earner funds</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29000-29300. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67395	100.0%
1	Mentioned	18	0.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob29400: Important societal issues or problems: Discrimination, equity</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29400 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67383	100.0%
1	Mentioned	30	0.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob29500: Important societal issues or problems: Gender equality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29500-29800. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		

<b># sprob29500: Important societal issues or problems: Gender equality</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66436	98.6%
1	Mentioned	977	1.4%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob29900: Important societal issues or problems: LGBTQ issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67382	100.0%
1	Mentioned	31	0.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob30000: Important societal issues or problems: Rule of law</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 30000-30400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66568	98.7%
1	Mentioned	845	1.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob31000: Important societal issues or problems: Immigration policy, immigrants</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 31000-31500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	58293	86.5%
1	Mentioned	9120	13.5%













<b># sprob31000: Important societal issues or problems: Immigration policy, immigrants</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob32000: Important societal issues or problems: Other specific issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 32000 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67003	99.4%
1	Mentioned	410	0.6%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob32500: Important societal issues or problems: Future issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 32500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67353	99.9%
1	Mentioned	60	0.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob33000: Important societal issues or problems: Ideology</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 33000-34900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	65989	97.9%
1	Mentioned	1424	2.1%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># sprob35000: Important societal issues or problems: Party politics (specific parties)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 35000-35200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67180	99.7%
1	Mentioned	233	0.3%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob36000: Important societal issues or problems: Trust in parties and politicians</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 36000-36100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66713	99.0%
1	Mentioned	700	1.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob37000: Important societal issues or problems: Party leaders</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 37000-37200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67383	100.0%
1	Mentioned	30	0.0%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob38000: Important societal issues or problems: Social class</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 38000.		

<b># sprob38000: Important societal issues or problems: Social class</b>			
	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	66961	99.3%
1	Mentioned	452	0.7%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob39000: Important societal issues or problems: The current government/cabinet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 39000-39400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67146	99.6%
1	Mentioned	267	0.4%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob50000: Important societal issues or problems: Local and municipal issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 50000-50100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	67269	99.8%
1	Mentioned	144	0.2%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sprob80000: Important societal issues or problems: EU in general</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=110771 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 80000-84900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-2010 (National)		

<b># sprob80000: Important societal issues or problems: EU in general</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not mentioned	65152	96.6%
1	Mentioned	2261	3.4%
Sysmiss		110771	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da10a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=97290 /-] [Invalid=80894 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da11a (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten better	23223	23.9%
2	Stayed about the same	50567	52.0%
3	Gotten worse	20182	20.7%
97	No response - part of the question	633	0.7%
98	Several responses given	27	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2658	2.7%
96	Question not asked	80894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da10b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63791 /-] [Invalid=114393 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da11b (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National); 1992- (West)		



<b># da10b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten better	3753	 5.9%
2	Stayed about the same	32540	 51.0%
3	Gotten worse	21863	 34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	3930	 6.2%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1687	 2.6%
96	Question not asked	38152	
Sysmiss		76241	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da10c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=97290 /-] [Invalid=80894 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da11c (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten better	15691	 16.1%
2	Stayed about the same	37103	 38.1%
3	Gotten worse	36218	 37.2%
97	No response - part of the question	5554	 5.7%
98	Several responses given	40	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2684	 2.8%
96	Question not asked	80894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da11a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21828 /-] [Invalid=156356 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da10a (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten much better	1814	 8.3%
2	Gotten somewhat better	4346	 19.9%

<b># da11a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Stayed about the same	9950	45.6%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	3187	14.6%
5	Gotten much worse	1645	7.5%
97	No response - part of the question	102	0.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	777	3.6%
96	Question not asked	87319	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da11b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11324 /-] [Invalid=166860 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da10b (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten much better	106	0.9%
2	Gotten somewhat better	732	6.5%
3	Stayed about the same	6114	54.0%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	2403	21.2%
5	Gotten much worse	752	6.6%
97	No response - part of the question	828	7.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	388	3.4%
96	Question not asked	31253	
Sysmiss		135607	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da11c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21828 /-] [Invalid=156356 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da10b (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gotten much better	503	2.3%
2	Gotten somewhat better	2610	12.0%
3	Stayed about the same	7942	36.4%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	5905	27.1%
5	Gotten much worse	2452	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1629	7.5%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%

<b># da11c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	777	3.6%
96	Question not asked	87319	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da20a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=85795 /-] [Invalid=92389 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c.</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get better	17495	20.4%
2	Stay about the same	50256	58.6%
3	Get worse	14625	17.0%
97	No response - part of the question	864	1.0%
98	Several responses given	43	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2512	2.9%
96	Question not asked	82718	
Sysmiss		9671	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da20b: Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63791 /-] [Invalid=114393 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c.</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get better	4498	7.1%
2	Stay about the same	36950	57.9%

<b># da20b: Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Get worse	17050	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3590	5.6%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1692	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38152	
Sysmiss		76241	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da20c: Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=85795 /-] [Invalid=92389 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c.</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get better	12838	15.0%
2	Stay about the same	39320	45.8%
3	Get worse	26380	30.7%
97	No response - part of the question	4726	5.5%
98	Several responses given	19	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2512	2.9%
96	Question not asked	82718	
Sysmiss		9671	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da21a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21828 /-] [Invalid=156356 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da20a (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get much better	1162	5.3%
2	Get somewhat better	4179	19.1%
3	Stay about the same	11234	51.5%
4	Get somewhat worse	3048	14.0%
5	Get much worse	1221	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	193	0.9%

<b># da21a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	777	3.6%
96	Question not asked	87319	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da21b: Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11324 /-] [Invalid=166860 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da20b (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get much better	73	0.6%
2	Get somewhat better	781	6.9%
3	Stay about the same	6018	53.1%
4	Get somewhat worse	2649	23.4%
5	Get much worse	696	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	717	6.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	388	3.4%
96	Question not asked	31253	
Sysmiss		135607	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># da21c: Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21828 /-] [Invalid=156356 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also da20c (3 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Get much better	298	1.4%
2	Get somewhat better	2458	11.3%
3	Stay about the same	8330	38.2%
4	Get somewhat worse	6044	27.7%
5	Get much worse	2595	11.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1322	6.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	777	3.6%
96	Question not asked	87319	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># db900a: Proposal: Liberalize labour legislation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22218 /-] [Invalid=155966 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1996, 1998-2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Liberalize labour legislation		
<b>Notes</b>	1996, 1998-2002, 2011-2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1981	8.9%
2	Rather good proposal	5261	23.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	7582	34.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	3052	13.7%
5	Very bad proposal	2531	11.4%
97	No response - part of the question	967	4.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	833	3.7%
96	Question not asked	59992	
Sysmiss		95974	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># db900b: Proposal: Introduce six-hour workday</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60605 /-] [Invalid=117579 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"  West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2005 (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce six-hour workday		
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996-2005 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	14620	24.1%
2	Rather good proposal	14938	24.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12851	21.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	8682	14.3%
5	Very bad proposal	6701	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1128	1.9%
98	Several responses given	57	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1628	2.7%
96	Question not asked	90853	
Sysmiss		26726	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># db900c: Proposal: Set a time limit on unemployment benefits</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=173037 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Set a time limit on unemployment benefits		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	457	8.9%
2	Rather good proposal	991	19.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1262	24.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1044	20.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1096	21.3%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	218	4.2%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Systemmiss		169416	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># db900d: Proposal: Increase wage differences</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5182 /-] [Invalid=173002 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase wage differences		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-1999, 2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	224	4.3%
2	Rather good proposal	548	10.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1169	22.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1365	26.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1591	30.7%
97	No response - part of the question	101	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	179	3.5%
96	Question not asked	5520	
Systemmiss		167482	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># db900e: Proposal: Prioritize labor market politics</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10443 /-] [Invalid=167741 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Prioritize labor market politics		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002 (National)		

### # db900e: Proposal: Prioritize labor market politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	658	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	2168	20.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3643	34.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	2223	21.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1002	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	458	4.4%
96	Question not asked	7351	
Sysmiss		160390	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # db900f: Proposal: Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	815	23.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1269	36.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	693	19.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	370	10.5%
5	Very bad proposal	155	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	38	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # db900g: Proposal: Stricter requirem. for unemployed to move to where jobs are available

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=174667 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. National (2001): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to move to where there are jobs available
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	245	7.0%
2	Rather good proposal	640	18.2%



**# db900g: Proposal: Stricter requirem. for unemployed to move to where jobs are available**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	985	28.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	889	25.3%
5	Very bad proposal	540	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	42	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	176	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		170937	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# db900i: Proposal: Increase unemployment benefits**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21498 /-] [Invalid=156686 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has not changed over time (see above).  West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: "The following list contains a number of proposals that have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Increase unemployment benefits
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National); 2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	4095	19.0%
2	Rather good proposal	5170	24.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6475	30.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	3307	15.4%
5	Very bad proposal	1400	6.5%
97	No response - part of the question	490	2.3%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	540	2.5%
96	Question not asked	71658	
Sysmiss		85028	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# db900j: Proposal: Increase labor migration to Sweden**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22558 /-] [Invalid=155626 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? Increase labor migration to Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2013, 2014, 2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1064	4.7%
2	Rather good proposal	3511	15.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6698	29.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	3611	16.0%
5	Very bad proposal	3107	13.8%

### # db900j: Proposal: Increase labor migration to Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	4063	18.0%
98	Several responses given	9	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	495	2.2%
96	Question not asked	79189	
Sysmiss		76437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # dc900a: Proposal: Raise taxes

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21033 /-] [Invalid=157151 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Raise taxes
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)













Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	882	4.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2912	13.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5559	26.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	5492	26.1%
5	Very bad proposal	4985	23.7%
97	No response - part of the question	568	2.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	627	3.0%
96		75812	
Sysmiss		81339	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # dc900b: Proposal: Lower taxes









<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=64090 /-] [Invalid=114094 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. National (1995-1997): "A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion?"; 1998- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"  West: The question wording has not changed over time (see above).
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Lower taxes
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National); 1999, 2002- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	16434	25.6%
2	Rather good proposal	16108	25.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	15413	24.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	8847	13.8%
5	Very bad proposal	4069	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1250	2.0%
98	Several responses given	40	0.1%

<b># dc900b: Proposal: Lower taxes</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	1929	 3.0%
96	Question not asked	98973	
Sysmiss		15121	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ea100n: Opinion about public services: Libraries</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44278 /-] [Invalid=133906 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1989 (National): "Municipalities and counties have various tasks to manage. To what extent are you satisfied with the service in your municipality within the following areas?"; 1991 (National): "To what extent are you satisfied with the service in your municipality in the following areas?"; 1992-1996 (National): "What is your opinion about the service in your municipality in regards to the following areas?"; 1998- (National): "What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas?". Note that the scale has changed over time. Prior to 2006 the alternative "Do not know" was given rather than "No opinion".</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "What is your opinion about the service in your municipality in regards to the following areas?"; 1998- (West): "What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas?". Note that the scale has changed over time. Prior to 2006 the alternative "Do not know" was given rather than "No opinion".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas: - Libraries		
<b>Notes</b>	1989, 1991-1996, 1998-2008, 2010 (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	10256	 23.2%
2	Rather satisfied	17642	 39.8%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	7207	 16.3%
4	Rather dissatisfied	954	 2.2%
5	Very dissatisfied	376	 0.8%
6	No opinion	6049	 13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	743	 1.7%
98	Several responses given	42	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1009	 2.3%
96	Question not asked	54136	
Sysmiss		79770	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ea151a: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The municipality where you live</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=47190 /-] [Invalid=130994 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (National): "On the whole, how well do you think that the municipal services function in your municipality"; 2002- (National): "Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in:". ea151a was a single question 1998-2001; since 2002 in a question battery with ea151b.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The municipality where you live		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2004, 2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good	2493	 5.3%
2	Rather good	18904	 40.1%

# ea151a: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The municipality where you live			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither good nor bad	13881	29.4%
4	Rather bad	3722	7.9%
5	Very bad	1073	2.3%
6	No opinion	5704	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	147	0.3%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1241	2.6%
96	Question not asked	105411	
Sysmiss		25583	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ea151b: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The county/region where you live			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38483 /-] [Invalid=139701 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat över tid. 2002-2008 (Riks): "Hur tycker du på det hela taget att servicen har fungerat under de senaste 12 månaderna i:"; 2009 (Riks): "Hur tycker du på det hela taget att den offentliga servicen har fungerat under de senaste 12 månaderna i:".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The county where you live		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004, 2006- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1731	4.5%
2	Rather good	12410	32.2%
3	Neither good nor bad	11502	29.9%
4	Rather bad	3839	10.0%
5	Very bad	1380	3.6%
6	No opinion	6090	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	471	1.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1048	2.7%
96	Question not asked	91389	
Sysmiss		48312	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ea900a: Proposal: Reduce the public sector			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=102017 /-] [Invalid=76167 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"  West: The question wording has not changed over time (see above).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce the public sector		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	9592	9.4%

<b># ea900a: Proposal: Reduce the public sector</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	Rather good proposal	17231	16.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	27178	26.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	22424	22.0%
5	Very bad proposal	18084	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	4907	4.8%
98	Several responses given	26	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2575	2.5%
96		76167	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ea900b: Proposal: Reduce spending in the public sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=172874 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Reduce spending in the public sector		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	635	12.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1448	27.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1330	25.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	953	17.9%
5	Very bad proposal	377	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	192	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	7.1%
Systemmiss		172874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eb900a: Proposal: Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18914 /-] [Invalid=159270 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Convert public utilities, like Swedish Telecom, into private enterprises		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988, 1990, 1993-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2265	12.0%
2	Rather good proposal	4243	22.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4949	26.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	3583	18.9%
5	Very bad proposal	2959	15.6%
97	No response - part of the question	434	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	480	2.5%

<b># eb900a: Proposal: Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		155649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eb900b: Proposal: Sell government owned companies that run business activities</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sell government owned companies that run business activities		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	399	 6.1%
2	Rather good proposal	1063	 16.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1993	 30.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1444	 22.2%
5	Very bad proposal	1262	 19.4%
97	No response - part of the question	142	 2.2%
98	Several responses given	6	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	189	 2.9%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># eb900f: Proposal: Have the state take over responsibility for the schools from the municipalities</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16991 /-] [Invalid=161193 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Have the state take over responsibility for the schools from the municipalities		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	5398	31.8%
2	Rather good proposal	4197	24.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4238	24.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1565	9.2%
5	Very bad proposal	693	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	499	2.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.3%
96	Question not asked	76039	
Sysmiss		85154	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ed900a: Proposal: Increase the privatization of health care</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=79987 /-] [Invalid=98197 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1989, 1991-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the privatization of health care		
<b>Notes</b>	1987- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	6149	7.7%
2	Rather good proposal	14936	18.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	19310	24.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	18441	23.1%
5	Very bad proposal	17305	21.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1615	2.0%
98	Several responses given	48	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2183	2.7%
96	Question not asked	96573	
Sysmiss		1624	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ed900c: Proposal: Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

<b># ed900c: Proposal: Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=53712 /-] [Invalid=124472 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1992-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988, 1990, 1992-2010 (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2739	5.1%
2	Rather good proposal	8435	15.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13225	24.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	12554	23.4%
5	Very bad proposal	14085	26.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1145	2.1%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1497	2.8%
96	Question not asked	89588	
Sysmiss		34884	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ed900i: Proposal: Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20457 /-] [Invalid=157727 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	8377	40.9%
2	Rather good proposal	3860	18.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3222	15.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	2311	11.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1712	8.4%
97		549	2.7%
98		11	0.1%
99		415	2.0%
96		83970	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ed900h: Proposal: Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		



<b># ed900h: Proposal: Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8049 /-] [Invalid=170135 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals		
<b>Notes</b>	2011-2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mycket bra fÃ¶rslag	2959	36.8%
2	Ganska bra fÃ¶rslag	1658	20.6%
3	Varken bra eller dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	1396	17.3%
4	Ganska dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	1049	13.0%
5	Mycket dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	685	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	132	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	163	2.0%
96	Question not asked	27652	
Sysmiss		142483	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eg10: Past 12 months: Visited a library</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=83248 /-] [Invalid=94936 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1995-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited a library		
<b>Notes</b>	1988- (National); 1995-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	34821	41.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	11703	14.1%
3	About once every half year	6766	8.1%
4	About once every quarter	8309	10.0%
5	About once every month	12207	14.7%
6	About once a week	4461	5.4%
7	Several times a week	1840	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1416	1.7%
98	Several responses given	99	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1626	2.0%
96	Question not asked	79815	
Sysmiss		15121	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eg200: Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33320 /-] [Invalid=144864 /-]		

<b># eg200: Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	Overall, how do you feel about the library services provided in your municipality?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2006, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good	8466	25.4%
2	Rather good	10304	30.9%
3	Neither good nor bad	2550	7.7%
4	Rather bad	402	1.2%
5	Very bad	172	0.5%
6	No opinion	10484	31.5%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	923	2.8%
96	Question not asked	66184	
Sysmiss		78680	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eh10a: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: The pension system</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15187 /-] [Invalid=162997 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? The pension system		
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	485	3.2%
2	Rather satisfied	2750	18.1%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3647	24.0%
4	Rather dissatisfied	3056	20.1%
5	Very dissatisfied	1975	13.0%
6	No opinion	2776	18.3%
97	No response - part of the question	57	0.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	433	2.9%
96	Question not asked	62983	
Sysmiss		100014	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># eh10b: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11716 /-] [Invalid=166468 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? Health insurance		
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	354	3.0%
2	Rather satisfied	2030	17.3%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2925	25.0%
4	Rather dissatisfied	1704	14.5%
5	Very dissatisfied	1104	9.4%

**# eh10b: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	3133	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.2%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	314	2.7%
96	Question not asked	42687	
Sysmiss		123781	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# eh10c: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: A-kassan (the unemployment insurance fund)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15187 /-] [Invalid=162997 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? A-kassan (the unemployment insurance)
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	340	2.2%
2	Rather satisfied	2144	14.1%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3651	24.0%
4	Rather dissatisfied	1567	10.3%
5	Very dissatisfied	1101	7.2%
6	No opinion	5707	37.6%
97	No response - part of the question	236	1.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	433	2.9%
96	Question not asked	62983	
Sysmiss		100014	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# fa900b: Proposal: Reduce defence spending**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54126 /-] [Invalid=124058 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999- (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Reduce defence spending
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988-1997, 1999-2001, 2003-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	7243	13.4%
2	Rather good proposal	10912	20.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	16081	29.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	10937	20.2%
5	Very bad proposal	6008	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1175	2.2%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1752	3.2%
96	Question not asked	102366	
Sysmiss		21692	

<b># fa900b: Proposal: Reduce defence spending</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa900i: Proposal: Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=58591 /-] [Invalid=119593 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed over time. 1987-1988 (National): "Sweden should reduce aid to developing countries"; 1993-1997, 1999-2007, 2009 (R2) (National): "Reduce development aid"; 2009-2010 (National-1), 2011- "Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1987, 1993-1995 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1996-1997, 1999: "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2000-2003 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2005-2007, 2009-2010 (R1) (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2009-2010 (National-2), 2011- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988, 1993-1997, 1999-2007, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	5248	9.0%
2	Rather good proposal	8409	14.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	17768	30.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	14558	24.8%
5	Very bad proposal	9507	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1231	2.1%
98	Several responses given	29	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1841	3.1%
96	Question not asked	94459	
Sysmiss		25134	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa900t: Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=48874 /-] [Invalid=129310 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The question wording has changed. 1994-1998 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999-2003 (National): "Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag som bland annat gäller svensk utrikes- och säkerhetspolitik?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2005-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should apply for NATO membership		
<b>Notes</b>	1994- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	4193	8.6%
2	Rather good proposal	6853	14.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	16229	33.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	8347	17.1%
5	Very bad proposal	10157	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1182	2.4%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1890	3.9%

<b># fa900t: Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	101707	
Sysmiss		27603	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa900a1: Proposal: Re-establish compulsory national service</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8119 /-] [Invalid=170065 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Re-establish compulsory national service		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1411	17.4%
2	Rather good proposal	2014	24.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2242	27.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1160	14.3%
5	Very bad proposal	954	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	159	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	177	2.2%
96	Question not asked	26300	
Sysmiss		143765	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa900am: Proposal: Sweden should become a member of NATO</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12344 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of NATO		
<b>Notes</b>	2022		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	611	4.9%
2	Rather good proposal	395	3.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	342	2.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	94	0.8%
5	Very bad proposal	123	1.0%
96	Question not asked	10674	86.5%
97	No response - part of the question	21	0.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	84	0.7%
Sysmiss		165840	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa901a: Proposal: Stop Sweden's participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9599 /-] [Invalid=168585 /-]		

<b># fa901a: Proposal: Stop Sweden's participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2007, 2009 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the recent debate?"; 2010 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Discontinue Sweden's participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan		
<b>Notes</b>	2007, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1554	16.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1650	17.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1978	20.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1451	15.1%
5	Very bad proposal	795	8.3%
6	No opinion	1726	18.0%
97	No response - part of the question	59	0.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	380	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19612	
Sysmiss		148973	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa901c: Proposal: The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1652 /-] [Invalid=176532 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy? - The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state		
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	126	7.6%
2	Rather good proposal	294	17.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	318	19.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	248	15.0%
5	Very bad proposal	250	15.1%
6	No opinion	331	20.0%
97	No response - part of the question	18	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	66	4.0%
96	Question not asked	3355	
Sysmiss		173177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fa901d: Proposal: Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6408 /-] [Invalid=171776 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		

**# fa901d: Proposal: Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	979	15.3%
2	Rather good proposal	909	14.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1311	20.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1186	18.5%
5	Very bad proposal	794	12.4%
6	No opinion	941	14.7%
97	No response - part of the question	48	0.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	237	3.7%
96	Question not asked	18080	
Sysmiss		153696	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# fb10: In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=117350 /-] [Invalid=60834 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	10822	9.2%
2	Rather positive	41658	35.5%
3	Neither positive nor negative	30394	25.9%
4	Rather negative	18417	15.7%
5	Very negative	8618	7.3%
6	No opinion	5066	4.3%
98	Several responses given	90	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2285	1.9%
96	Question not asked	8424	
Sysmiss		52410	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# fb100: View on membership in the EU/EG**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=77418 /-] [Invalid=100766 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1991-1993 (National): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EC?"; 1994 (National): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EU?"; 1995- (National): "What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?"  West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1993 (West): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EC?"; 1994 (West): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EU?"; 2000- (West): "What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?"
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National); 1992-1994, 2000-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Generally for the Swedish membership	33170	42.8%

<b># fb100: View on membership in the EU/EG</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	Generally against the Swedish membership	23903	30.9%
3	Have no clear opinion	18187	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	258	0.3%
98	Several responses given	43	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1857	2.4%
96	Question not asked	92668	
Sysmiss		8098	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb110: Determined view on membership in the EG/EU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26581 /-] [Invalid=151603 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Is your opinion on this issue definite or do you feel a bit uncertain?		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-2001 (National); 2000-2001 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Generally for the Swedish membership	13979	52.6%
2	Generally against the Swedish membership	10670	40.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1307	4.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	622	2.3%
96	Fråga ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		151603	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb200a: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=168001 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1989-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	156	1.5%
2	Some improvements	1228	12.1%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	4345	42.7%
4	Some deteriorations	2703	26.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1180	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	105	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		168001	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># fb200b: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The labor market</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=168001 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The labor market		
<b>Notes</b>	1989-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	548	5.4%
2	Some improvements	3010	29.6%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	2672	26.2%
4	Some deteriorations	2283	22.4%
5	Great deteriorations	1084	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	120	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		168001	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb200c: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The social security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=168001 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The social security		
<b>Notes</b>	1989-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	130	1.3%
2	Some improvements	662	6.5%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	4264	41.9%
4	Some deteriorations	3071	30.2%
5	Great deteriorations	1452	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	138	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		168001	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb200d: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The economic development</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=168001 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National:		

<b># fb200d: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The economic development</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The economic development		
<b>Notes</b>	1989-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	1109	10.9%
2	Some improvements	4040	39.7%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	2643	26.0%
4	Some deteriorations	1270	12.5%
5	Great deteriorations	539	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	116	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		168001	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb200e: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The credibility of Swedish neutrality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8479 /-] [Invalid=169705 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The credibility of Swedish neutrality		
<b>Notes</b>	1989-1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	149	1.8%
2	Some improvements	397	4.7%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	2893	34.1%
4	Some deteriorations	2602	30.7%
5	Great deteriorations	1906	22.5%
97	No response - part of the question	120	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	412	4.9%
Sysmiss		169705	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210a: EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	11	0.6%

<b># fb210a: EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Some improvements	182	10.4%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	995	56.7%
4	Some deteriorations	319	18.2%
5	Great deteriorations	90	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	28	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># fb210b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	15	0.9%
2	Some improvements	242	13.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	755	43.0%
4	Some deteriorations	399	22.7%
5	Great deteriorations	182	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># fb210c: EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	11	0.6%
2	Some improvements	146	8.3%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	969	55.2%
4	Some deteriorations	307	17.5%
5	Great deteriorations	159	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># fb210d: EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	65	3.7%
2	Some improvements	365	20.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	578	33.0%
4	Some deteriorations	397	22.6%
5	Great deteriorations	173	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	47	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210e: EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	5	0.3%
2	Some improvements	45	2.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1031	58.8%
4	Some deteriorations	348	19.8%
5	Great deteriorations	144	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	52	3.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	7	0.4%
2	Some improvements	84	4.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1257	71.7%
4	Some deteriorations	162	9.2%

**# fb210f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Great deteriorations	67	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# fb210g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	28	1.6%
2	Some improvements	290	16.5%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1088	62.0%
4	Some deteriorations	102	5.8%
5	Great deteriorations	63	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	54	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# fb210h: EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	7	0.4%
2	Some improvements	44	2.5%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	695	39.6%
4	Some deteriorations	561	32.0%
5	Great deteriorations	258	14.7%
97	No response - part of the question	60	3.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# fb210i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]

<b># fb210i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	79	4.5%
2	Some improvements	459	26.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	660	37.6%
4	Some deteriorations	189	10.8%
5	Great deteriorations	184	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	54	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210j: EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	44	2.5%
2	Some improvements	509	29.0%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	645	36.8%
4	Some deteriorations	286	16.3%
5	Great deteriorations	103	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	38	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	6	0.3%
2	Some improvements	102	5.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	818	46.6%
4	Some deteriorations	393	22.4%
5	Great deteriorations	267	15.2%
97	No response - part of the question	39	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># fb210k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb210l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=176430 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness		
<b>Notes</b>	1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	74	4.2%
2	Some improvements	601	34.3%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	709	40.4%
4	Some deteriorations	123	7.0%
5	Great deteriorations	57	3.2%
97	No response - part of the question	61	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		176430	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211a: EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=156322 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003, 2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	254	1.2%
2	Some improvements	3429	15.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	9685	44.3%
4	Some deteriorations	2656	12.1%
5	Great deteriorations	664	3.0%
6	No opinion	3954	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	252	1.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		131952	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=156322 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy		

### # fb211b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy

Notes 1998-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	284	1.3%
2	Some improvements	3481	15.9%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	7753	35.5%
4	Some deteriorations	3833	17.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1574	7.2%
6	No opinion	3671	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	301	1.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		131952	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb211c: EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=156322 /-]

Literal question What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment

Notes 1998-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	188	0.9%
2	Some improvements	2965	13.6%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	9573	43.8%
4	Some deteriorations	2729	12.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1100	5.0%
6	No opinion	3953	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	385	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		131952	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb211d: EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=18544 /-] [Invalid=159640 /-]

Literal question What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture

Notes 1998-2003, 2005-2006, 2008-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	385	2.1%
2	Some improvements	2975	16.0%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	4368	23.6%
4	Some deteriorations	3799	20.5%



### # fb211d: EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Great deteriorations	2162	11.7%
6	No opinion	3782	20.4%
97	No response - part of the question	245	1.3%
98	Several responses given	28	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	800	4.3%
96		19246	
Sysmiss		140394	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # fb211e: EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16693 /-] [Invalid=161491 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002, 2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	81	0.5%
2	Some improvements	804	4.8%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	7999	47.9%
4	Some deteriorations	2238	13.4%
5	Great deteriorations	856	5.1%
6	No opinion	3654	21.9%
97	No response - part of the question	282	1.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	769	4.6%
96		22511	
Sysmiss		138980	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # fb211f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15041 /-] [Invalid=163143 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002, 2007-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	86	0.6%
2	Some improvements	1004	6.7%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	8249	54.8%
4	Some deteriorations	1135	7.5%
5	Great deteriorations	372	2.5%
6	No opinion	3268	21.7%
97	No response - part of the question	224	1.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	4.6%
96		19156	

<b># fb211f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		143987	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15259 /-] [Invalid=162925 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003, 2007, 2009, 2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	374	2.5%
2	Some improvements	2621	17.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	5979	39.2%
4	Some deteriorations	1132	7.4%
5	Great deteriorations	620	4.1%
6	No opinion	3584	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	232	1.5%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	703	4.6%
96		19354	
Sysmiss		143571	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211h: EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11982 /-] [Invalid=166202 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002, 2007, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	54	0.5%
2	Some improvements	336	2.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	3446	28.8%
4	Some deteriorations	3157	26.3%
5	Great deteriorations	2081	17.4%
6	No opinion	2080	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	203	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	617	5.1%
96		14317	
Sysmiss		151885	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13564 /-] [Invalid=164620 /-]		

**# fb211i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development**

**Literal question** What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU

**Notes** 1998-2002, 2007, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	672	5.0%
2	Some improvements	3346	24.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	3729	27.5%
4	Some deteriorations	1177	8.7%
5	Great deteriorations	1258	9.3%
6	No opinion	2449	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	250	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	669	4.9%
96		17661	
Sysmiss		146959	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# fb211j: EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=18749 /-] [Invalid=159435 /-]

**Literal question** What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices

**Notes** 1998-2003, 2005-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	477	2.5%
2	Some improvements	4438	23.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6164	32.9%
4	Some deteriorations	2799	14.9%
5	Great deteriorations	1088	5.8%
6	No opinion	2679	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	234	1.2%
98	Several responses given	16	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	854	4.6%
96		17837	
Sysmiss		141598	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# fb211k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=18665 /-] [Invalid=159519 /-]

**Literal question** What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime

**Notes** 1998-2003, 2005-2006, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	206	1.1%
2	Some improvements	2728	14.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6993	37.5%

<b># fb211k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Some deteriorations	2463	13.2%
5	Great deteriorations	1710	9.2%
6	No opinion	3451	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	277	1.5%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	825	4.4%
96		19412	
Sysmiss		140107	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># fb211l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8664 /-] [Invalid=169520 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	224	2.6%
2	Some improvements	2072	23.9%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	2756	31.8%
4	Some deteriorations	638	7.4%
5	Great deteriorations	297	3.4%
6	No opinion	2046	23.6%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	462	5.3%
96		9193	
Sysmiss		160327	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># fb211m: EU membership has meant for Sw: Conditions for businesses/companies</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8298 /-] [Invalid=169886 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Conditions for businesses/companies		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2005-2006, 2008, 2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	152	1.8%
2	Some improvements	1679	20.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2943	35.5%
4	Some deteriorations	589	7.1%
5	Great deteriorations	254	3.1%
6	No opinion	2262	27.3%
97	No response - part of the question	126	1.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	286	3.4%
96		4850	
Sysmiss		165036	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211n: EU membership has meant for Sw: Higher education/research</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16933 /-] [Invalid=161251 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Higher education/research		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002, 2005-2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Great improvements	361	2.1%
2	Some improvements	3564	21.0%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6483	38.3%
4	Some deteriorations	475	2.8%
5	Great deteriorations	258	1.5%
6	No opinion	4670	27.6%
97	No response - part of the question	318	1.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	795	4.7%
96		15978	
Sysmiss		145273	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb211o: EU membership has meant for Sw: Immigrants and refugees</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18306 /-] [Invalid=159878 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Immigrants and refugees		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2002, 2005- (National)		

### # fb211o: EU membership has meant for Sw: Immigrants and refugees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	119	0.7%
2	Some improvements	1173	6.4%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	7326	40.0%
4	Some deteriorations	2532	13.8%
5	Great deteriorations	1584	8.7%
6	No opinion	4425	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	299	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	845	4.6%
96		20690	
Sysmiss		139188	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # fb211p: EU membership has meant for Sw: The alcohol policy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8148 /-] [Invalid=170036 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The policy on alcohol
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	140	1.7%
2	Some improvements	1126	13.8%
3	Neither improvements nor deteriorations	2849	35.0%
4	Some deteriorations	1213	14.9%
5	Great deteriorations	866	10.6%
6	No opinion	1548	19.0%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	308	3.8%
96		6619	
Sysmiss		163417	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # fb212a: EU membership has affected Sweden: The environment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18303 /-] [Invalid=159881 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The environment
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	775	4.2%
2	Rather positive	4811	26.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	6049	33.0%
4	Rather negative	2100	11.5%
5	Very negative	667	3.6%
6	No opinion	2956	16.2%

### # fb212a: EU membership has affected Sweden: The environment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	187	1.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	748	4.1%
96	Question not asked	86124	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212b: EU membership has affected Sweden: The economy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18303 /-] [Invalid=159881 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The economy
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	811	4.4%
2	Rather positive	4811	26.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4805	26.3%
4	Rather negative	2918	15.9%
5	Very negative	1170	6.4%
6	No opinion	2826	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	205	1.1%
98	Several responses given	9	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	748	4.1%
96	Question not asked	86124	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212c: EU membership has affected Sweden: The employment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18303 /-] [Invalid=159881 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The employment
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	577	3.2%
2	Rather positive	4280	23.4%
3	Neither positive nor negative	6244	34.1%
4	Rather negative	1996	10.9%
5	Very negative	825	4.5%
6	No opinion	3342	18.3%
97	No response - part of the question	278	1.5%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	748	4.1%
96	Question not asked	86124	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># fb212d: EU membership has affected Sweden: The social security</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16723 /-] [Invalid=161461 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The social security		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	330	2.0%
2	Rather positive	2521	15.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	6794	40.6%
4	Rather negative	2067	12.4%
5	Very negative	1005	6.0%
6	No opinion	3039	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	247	1.5%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	705	4.2%
96	Question not asked	77876	
Sysmiss		83585	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb212e: EU membership has affected Sweden: The national independence</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=173371 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The national independence		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	68	1.4%
2	Rather positive	414	8.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1540	32.0%
4	Rather negative	1106	23.0%
5	Very negative	602	12.5%
6	No opinion	836	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	3.8%
96	Question not asked	18180	
Sysmiss		155191	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb212f: EU membership has affected Sweden: The opportunity of influencing EU development</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16594 /-] [Invalid=161590 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	720	4.3%



### # fb212f: EU membership has affected Sweden: The opportunity of influencing EU development

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather positive	4000	24.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4434	26.7%
4	Rather negative	2322	14.0%
5	Very negative	1497	9.0%
6	No opinion	2699	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	232	1.4%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	677	4.1%
96	Question not asked	80957	
Sysmiss		80633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212g: EU membership has affected Sweden: Higher education/research

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=173371 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Higher education/research
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	264	5.5%
2	Rather positive	1503	31.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1467	30.5%
4	Rather negative	179	3.7%
5	Very negative	95	2.0%
6	No opinion	1051	21.8%
97	No response - part of the question	67	1.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	3.8%
96	Question not asked	18180	
Sysmiss		155191	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212h: EU membership has affected Sweden: The fight against crime

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16594 /-] [Invalid=161590 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The fight against crime
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	619	3.7%
2	Rather positive	3983	24.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4943	29.8%
4	Rather negative	1825	11.0%
5	Very negative	1276	7.7%
6	No opinion	3015	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	241	1.5%

### # fb212h: EU membership has affected Sweden: The fight against crime

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	677	4.1%
96	Question not asked	80957	
Sysmiss		80633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212i: EU membership has affected Sweden: The immigration

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18303 /-] [Invalid=159881 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The immigration
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	302	1.7%
2	Rather positive	2007	11.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5698	31.1%
4	Rather negative	3387	18.5%
5	Very negative	2935	16.0%
6	No opinion	3002	16.4%
97	No response - part of the question	211	1.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	748	4.1%
96	Question not asked	86124	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212j: EU membership has affected Sweden: The alcohol policy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3233 /-] [Invalid=174951 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The alcohol policy
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	52	1.6%
2	Rather positive	322	10.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1353	41.8%
4	Rather negative	425	13.1%
5	Very negative	187	5.8%
6	No opinion	718	22.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	139	4.3%
96	Question not asked	9932	
Sysmiss		165019	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb212k: EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
--------------------	---

<b># fb212k: EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=13490 /-] [Invalid=164694 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The agriculture	
<b>Notes</b>		2013 (Riks)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	409	3.0%
2	Rather positive	2900	21.5%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3417	25.3%
4	Rather negative	2331	17.3%
5	Very negative	1069	7.9%
6	No opinion	2582	19.1%
97	No response - part of the question	202	1.5%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	566	4.2%
96	Question not asked	67944	
Sysmiss		96750	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb212l: EU membership has affected Sweden: The equality between women and men</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=13490 /-] [Invalid=164694 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The equality between women and men	
<b>Notes</b>		2013 (Riks)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	368	2.7%
2	Rather positive	2185	16.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5871	43.5%
4	Rather negative	1284	9.5%
5	Very negative	477	3.5%
6	No opinion	2551	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	177	1.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	566	4.2%
96	Question not asked	67944	
Sysmiss		96750	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb212m: EU membership has affected Sweden: Conditions for businesses/companies</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=173251 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies	
<b>Notes</b>		2013 (Riks)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	186	3.8%
2	Rather positive	1179	23.9%

# fb212m: EU membership has affected Sweden: Conditions for businesses/companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither positive nor negative	1524	30.9%
4	Rather negative	384	7.8%
5	Very negative	180	3.6%
6	No opinion	1191	24.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	192	3.9%
96	Question not asked	18374	
Sysmiss		154877	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# fb212n: EU membership has affected Sweden: The military security

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15135 /-] [Invalid=163049 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? The military security
<b>Notes</b>	2014,2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	811	5.4%
2	Rather positive	4167	27.5%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4862	32.1%
4	Rather negative	975	6.4%
5	Very negative	568	3.8%
6	No opinion	2944	19.5%
97		191	1.3%
98		15	0.1%
99		602	4.0%
96		76400	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# fb900g: Proposal: Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12906 /-] [Invalid=165278 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2005-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1245	9.6%
2	Rather good proposal	3500	27.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4430	34.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1606	12.4%
5	Very bad proposal	1391	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	210	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%

<b># fb900g: Proposal: Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	517	4.0%
96		21565	
Sysmiss		143713	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900j1: Proposal: Introduce a common currency in the EU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=172874 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Introduce a common currency in the EU		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	306	5.8%
2	Rather good proposal	651	12.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1460	27.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	851	16.0%
5	Very bad proposal	1473	27.7%
97	No response - part of the question	194	3.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	7.1%
Sysmiss		172874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900j2: Proposal: Sweden should become a member of the EMU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23171 /-] [Invalid=155013 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2000 (National): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)"; 2001-2005 (National): "Sweden should become a member of the EMU".</p> <p>West: The item wording in West SOM is the following: 1999-2001 (West): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)" The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1999-2001 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of the EMU		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005 (National); 1999-2001 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3600	15.5%
2	Rather good proposal	3679	15.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6139	26.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	3028	13.1%
5	Very bad proposal	5421	23.4%
97	No response - part of the question	576	2.5%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	709	3.1%
96		5472	

<b># fb900j2: Proposal: Sweden should become a member of the EMU</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		149541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900j3: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31298 /-] [Invalid=146886 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2215	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	3116	10.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5768	18.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	6423	20.5%
5	Very bad proposal	12383	39.6%
97	No response - part of the question	374	1.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	998	3.2%
96		97812	
Sysmiss		49074	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900j: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=59779 /-] [Invalid=118405 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable including fb900j1-j3 (1995-1997 (National): fb900j1; 1998-2005 (National): fb900j2; 2006- (National): fb900j3). The item wording has changed over time. 1995-1997 (National): "Introduce a common EU currency"; 1998-2000 (National): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)"; 2001-2005 (National): "Sweden should become a member of the EMU"; 2006- (National): "Sweden should introduce the euro as currency". The question wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion?"; 1998-2005 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency		
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	6121	10.2%
2	Rather good proposal	7446	12.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13367	22.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	10302	17.2%
5	Very bad proposal	19277	32.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1144	1.9%
98	Several responses given	40	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2082	3.5%
96		29785	

<b># fb900j: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		88620	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900l: Proposal: Sweden should withdraw from the EU</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29985 /-] [Invalid=148199 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should withdraw from the EU		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2981	9.9%
2	Rather good proposal	2625	8.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6932	23.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	5976	19.9%
5	Very bad proposal	9942	33.2%
97	No response - part of the question	670	2.2%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	841	2.8%
96		99125	
Sysmiss		49074	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900m: Proposal: Turkey should be granted EU membership</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9838 /-] [Invalid=168346 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Turkey should be granted EU membership		
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	266	2.7%
2	Rather good proposal	674	6.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3185	32.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	2224	22.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2904	29.5%
97	No response - part of the question	273	2.8%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	3.1%
96		18195	
Sysmiss		150151	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fb900n: Proposal: The EU should have a common fiscal policy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8836 /-] [Invalid=169348 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - The EU should have a common fiscal policy		

### # fb900n: Proposal: The EU should have a common fiscal policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	276	3.1%
2	Rather good proposal	973	11.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2916	33.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	2190	24.8%
5	Very bad proposal	1982	22.4%
97	No response - part of the question	317	3.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	179	2.0%
96	Question not asked	46922	
Sysmiss		122426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fb900o: Proposal: Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8744 /-] [Invalid=169440 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1523	17.4%
2	Rather good proposal	2504	28.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3245	37.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	587	6.7%
5	Very bad proposal	351	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	345	3.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	2.1%
96	Question not asked	47014	
Sysmiss		122426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # fc10a: Statement: There are too many foreigners in Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=167848 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - There are too many foreigners in Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	2451	23.7%
2	Agree for the most part	1579	15.3%
3	Agree somewhat	2837	27.4%
4	Do not agree at all	2443	23.6%
5	Do not know	663	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	61	0.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	



<b># fc10a: Statement: There are too many foreigners in Sweden</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		162441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc10b: Statement: Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=167848 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also fc20b (a different 4 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion		
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	1703	16.5%
2	Agree for the most part	1999	19.3%
3	Agree somewhat	3453	33.4%
4	Do not agree at all	2325	22.5%
5	Do not know	444	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	112	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		162441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc10c: Statement: Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=167848 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others		
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	275	2.7%
2	Agree for the most part	368	3.6%
3	Agree somewhat	921	8.9%
4	Do not agree at all	6874	66.5%
5	Do not know	1432	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		162441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc10d: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=167848 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also fc20e (a different 4 point scale).		

<b># fc10d: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia		
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Agree completely	1754	17.0%
2	Agree for the most part	1661	16.1%
3	Agree somewhat	1886	18.2%
4	Do not agree at all	2564	24.8%
5	Do not know	2020	19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	150	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		162441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc10e: Statement: I would not appreciate having an immigrant marrying into the family</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=167848 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opinion about the following standpoints? - I would not appreciate having an immigrant from another part of the world marrying into the family		
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Agree completely	824	8.0%
2	Agree for the most part	559	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1541	14.9%
4	Do not agree at all	5265	50.9%
5	Do not know	1705	16.5%
97	No response - part of the question	141	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		162441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20a: Statement: Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13351 /-] [Invalid=164833 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	1889	14.1%
2	Partly true	3393	25.4%
3	Partly false	2413	18.1%
4	Completely false	4570	34.2%

<b># fc20a: Statement: Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Do not know	758	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	72	0.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	248	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	33989	
Sysmiss		130844	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14931 /-] [Invalid=163253 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also fc10b (a different 4 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	3471	23.2%
2	Partly true	4509	30.2%
3	Partly false	3134	21.0%
4	Completely false	2394	16.0%
5	Do not know	1002	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	131	0.9%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	282	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	42237	
Sysmiss		121016	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20c: Statement: I know many who feel that immigration is the most important soc issue</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=174964 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I now many people who feel that the problems related to immigration form the most important societal issue of today		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	683	21.2%
2	Partly true	894	27.8%
3	Partly false	508	15.8%
4	Completely false	658	20.4%
5	Do not know	358	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	31	1.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		158600	

<b># fc20c: Statement: I know many who feel that immigration is the most important soc issue</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20d: Statement: Swedish media will not tell the truth about the immigration problems</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6542 /-] [Invalid=171642 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Swedish media will not tell the truth about the problems in society related to immigration		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	1620	24.8%
2	Partly true	2005	30.6%
3	Partly false	887	13.6%
4	Completely false	676	10.3%
5	Do not know	1144	17.5%
97	No response - part of the question	65	1.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	141	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	29746	
Sysmiss		141896	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20e: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=174964 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also fc10d (a different 4 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	585	18.2%
2	Partly true	817	25.4%
3	Partly false	284	8.8%
4	Completely false	633	19.7%
5	Do not know	769	23.9%
97	No response - part of the question	42	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		158600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc20f: Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=174964 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I would consider joining an organisation that wants to stop immigration to Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		

<b># fc20f: Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	131	4.1%
2	Partly true	233	7.2%
3	Partly false	263	8.2%
4	Completely false	2045	63.5%
5	Do not know	423	13.1%
97	No response - part of the question	36	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		158600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc30b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18633 /-] [Invalid=159551 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here		
<b>Notes</b>	1993,2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	3851	20.7%
2	Partly true	4523	24.3%
3	Partly false	5109	27.4%
4	Completely false	3527	18.9%
5	Do not know	970	5.2%
97		176	0.9%
98		8	0.0%
99		469	2.5%
Sysmiss		159551	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc30e: Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13556 /-] [Invalid=164628 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2011		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely true	2339	17.3%
2	Partly true	2478	18.3%
3	Partly false	2170	16.0%
4	Completely false	3197	23.6%
5	Do not know	2789	20.6%
97		192	1.4%
98		7	0.1%
99		384	2.8%

<b># fc30e: Statement: I'd consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		164628	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc900a: Proposal: Accept more refugees into Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41218 /-] [Invalid=136966 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed over time. 1987-1988, 1991-1997 (National): "Sweden should accept more refugees from other countries"; 1999 (National): "Accept more refugees into Sweden" The question wording has changed. 1987-1988, 1991-1997 (National): ; 1999 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag som bland annat gäller svensk utrikes- och säkerhetspolitik?		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept more refugees into Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988, 1991-1997, 1999 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2021	4.9%
2	Rather good proposal	4877	11.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	10626	25.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	9831	23.9%
5	Very bad proposal	12091	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	637	1.5%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1108	2.7%
96		73600	
Sysmiss		63366	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc900b: Proposal: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=133250 /-] [Invalid=44934 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept fewer refugees into Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	1990- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	33998	25.5%
2	Rather good proposal	30306	22.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	31432	23.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	19492	14.6%
5	Very bad proposal	12473	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2173	1.6%
98	Several responses given	78	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3298	2.5%
96		38331	
Sysmiss		6603	

<b># fc900b: Proposal: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc900e: Proposal: Immigration policies should adjust refugees/immigrants to Sw culture</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6697 /-] [Invalid=171487 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2011 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants adjust to Swedish traditions and culture		
<b>Notes</b>	1994, 1999, 2004, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2379	35.5%
2	Rather good proposal	2533	37.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1110	16.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	216	3.2%
5	Very bad proposal	132	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	54	0.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	270	4.0%
96	Question not asked	13399	
Sysmiss		158088	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># fc900f: Proposal: Immgration pol. should help refugees/immigrants maintain their culture</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6697 /-] [Invalid=171487 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1999 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2011 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants maintain their national traditions and culture		
<b>Notes</b>	1994, 1999, 2004, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	484	7.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1188	17.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2389	35.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1439	21.5%
5	Very bad proposal	894	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	98	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	202	3.0%
96	Question not asked	11599	
Sysmiss		159888	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ga10a: Concern about: Terrorism</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62257 /-] [Invalid=115927 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed over time. 1986, 1988 (National): "The terrorism"; 1991- (National): "Terrorism".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Terrorism		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 1991, 1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	23955	38.5%
2	Of some concern	19770	31.8%
3	Of little concern	12470	20.0%
4	Of no concern at all	2198	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2477	4.0%
98	Several responses given	20	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1367	2.2%
96	Question not asked	98612	
Sysmiss		17315	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10b: Concern about: Tensions between rich and poor countries</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8280 /-] [Invalid=169904 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed over time. 1986 (National): "The tensions between rich and poor countries"; 1988 (National): "Tensions between rich and poor countries".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Tensions between rich and poor countries		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1997	24.1%
2	Of some concern	3687	44.5%
3	Of little concern	2006	24.2%
4	Of no concern at all	222	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	175	2.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	192	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	19006	
Sysmiss		150898	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10c: Concern about: Military arms races</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3267 /-] [Invalid=174917 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military arms races		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1566	47.9%
2	Of some concern	1098	33.6%
3	Of little concern	421	12.9%



### # ga10c: Concern about: Military arms races

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Of no concern at all	34	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	87	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	61	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		174917	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ga10d: Concern about: Population growth

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6707 /-] [Invalid=171477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Population growth
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988, 2004, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1133	16.9%
2	Of some concern	2310	34.4%
3	Of little concern	2547	38.0%
4	Of no concern at all	379	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	183	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	2.3%
96	Question not asked	3607	
Sysmiss		167870	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ga10f: Concern about: The risk of a war between world superpowers

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=176541 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The risk of a war between world superpowers
<b>Notes</b>	1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	377	22.9%
2	Of some concern	421	25.6%
3	Of little concern	668	40.7%
4	Of no concern at all	102	6.2%
97	No response - part of the question	30	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	45	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176541	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ga10g: Concern about: Environmental degradation

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60614 /-] [Invalid=117570 /-]

**# ga10g: Concern about: Environmental degradation**

<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed over time. 1986 (National): "The environmental degradation"; 1993- (National): "Environmental degradation".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Environmental degradation		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	28324	46.7%
2	Of some concern	22428	37.0%
3	Of little concern	5483	9.0%
4	Of no concern at all	636	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2403	4.0%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1322	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	98612	
Sysmiss		18958	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ga10h: Concern about: Economic crisis</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=58800 /-] [Invalid=119384 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Economic crisis		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	11636	19.8%
2	Of some concern	25807	43.9%
3	Of little concern	16267	27.7%
4	Of no concern at all	992	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2708	4.6%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1367	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	98802	
Sysmiss		20582	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10i: Concern about: The situation in Russia</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20565 /-] [Invalid=157619 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The situation in Russia		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1994, 1996, 2000, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	5382	26.2%
2	Of some concern	8263	40.2%
3	Of little concern	5160	25.1%
4	Of no concern at all	891	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	415	2.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	444	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	56372	
Sysmiss		101247	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10j: Concern about: Increased number of refugees</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=47597 /-] [Invalid=130587 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased number of refugees		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1994, 1996, 2000-2005, 2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	12741	26.8%
2	Of some concern	15209	32.0%
3	Of little concern	13163	27.7%
4	Of no concern at all	2904	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2463	5.2%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%

<b># ga10j: Concern about: Increased number of refugees</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	1094	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	105096	
Sysmiss		25491	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10k: Concern about: Spreading of the Balkan war</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3561 /-] [Invalid=174623 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Spreading of the Balkan war		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1994 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	781	21.9%
2	Of some concern	1616	45.4%
3	Of little concern	991	27.8%
4	Of no concern at all	84	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	48	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	41	1.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		174623	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10l: Concern about: High unemployment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=55572 /-] [Invalid=122612 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - High unemployment		
<b>Notes</b>	1994, 1996, 2000-2003, 2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	18510	33.3%
2	Of some concern	22741	40.9%
3	Of little concern	9901	17.8%
4	Of no concern at all	852	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2334	4.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1220	2.2%
96	Question not asked	98418	
Sysmiss		24194	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10m: Concern about: Poverty in the Third World</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11988 /-] [Invalid=166196 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Poverty in the Third World		
<b>Notes</b>	1996, 2000-2002, 2005, 2007-2008 (National)		

### # ga10m: Concern about: Poverty in the Third World

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4354	36.3%
2	Of some concern	5100	42.5%
3	Of little concern	1669	13.9%
4	Of no concern at all	283	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	202	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	374	3.1%
96	Question not asked	10777	
Sysmiss		155419	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10p: Concern about: Neo nazism

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8768 /-] [Invalid=169416 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Neo nazism
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4532	51.7%
2	Of some concern	2039	23.3%
3	Of little concern	1440	16.4%
4	Of no concern at all	354	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	108	1.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	293	3.3%
96	Question not asked	12576	
Sysmiss		156840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10q: Concern about: Changes in the Earth's climate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=57417 /-] [Invalid=120767 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Changes in the Earth's climate
<b>Notes</b>	2001- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	25815	45.0%
2	Of some concern	20893	36.4%
3	Of little concern	7569	13.2%
4	Of no concern at all	1174	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	633	1.1%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	2.3%
96	Question not asked	89726	
Sysmiss		31041	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># ga10r: Concern about: Organised crime</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37327 /-] [Invalid=140857 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Organised crime		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2004, 2006, 2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	15766	42.2%
2	Of some concern	12629	33.8%
3	Of little concern	5301	14.2%
4	Of no concern at all	563	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2178	5.8%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	856	2.3%
96	Question not asked	94697	
System		46160	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10s: Concern about: A new world war</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6753 /-] [Invalid=171431 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - A new world war		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1427	21.1%
2	Of some concern	1542	22.8%
3	Of little concern	2537	37.6%
4	Of no concern at all	941	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.3%
96	Question not asked	18583	
System		152848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10u: Concern about: Religious antagonism</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14920 /-] [Invalid=163264 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Religious antagonism		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2008, 2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	4222	28.3%
2	Of some concern	5718	38.3%
3	Of little concern	3751	25.1%
4	Of no concern at all	644	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	248	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%

<b># ga10u: Concern about: Religious antagonism</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	329	2.2%
96	Question not asked	43471	
Sysmiss		119793	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10v: Concern about: Increased alcohol consumption</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19936 /-] [Invalid=158248 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased alcohol consumption		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2007, 2009, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	3348	16.8%
2	Of some concern	6280	31.5%
3	Of little concern	8072	40.5%
4	Of no concern at all	1517	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	294	1.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.1%
96	Question not asked	50433	
Sysmiss		107815	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10w: Concern about: Natural disasters</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6671 /-] [Invalid=171513 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Natural disasters		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2007, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1910	28.6%
2	Of some concern	2427	36.4%
3	Of little concern	1809	27.1%
4	Of no concern at all	254	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	89	1.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	2.6%
96	Question not asked	8606	
Sysmiss		162907	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10x: Concern about: Military conflicts</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31705 /-] [Invalid=146479 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military conflicts		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2007, 2009, 2011 (National)		

### # ga10x: Concern about: Military conflicts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6900	21.8%
2	Of some concern	11443	36.1%
3	Of little concern	9086	28.7%
4	Of no concern at all	1271	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2338	7.4%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	653	2.1%
96	Question not asked	94211	
Sysmiss		52268	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10z: Concern about: Global epidemics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32286 /-] [Invalid=145898 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Global epidemics
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6611	20.5%
2	Of some concern	11218	34.7%
3	Of little concern	9939	30.8%
4	Of no concern at all	1398	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2463	7.6%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	644	2.0%
96	Question not asked	90130	
Sysmiss		55768	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10aa: Concern about: Weakened democracy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25206 /-] [Invalid=152978 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Weakened democracy
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6519	25.9%
2	Of some concern	8246	32.7%
3	Of little concern	6594	26.2%
4	Of no concern at all	1096	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2245	8.9%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	492	2.0%
96	Question not asked	84023	
Sysmiss		68955	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



<b># ga10ab: Concern about: Increased social inequality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38223 /-] [Invalid=139961 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased social inequality		
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	10859	28.4%
2	Of some concern	14889	39.0%
3	Of little concern	8269	21.6%
4	Of no concern at all	953	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2413	6.3%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	811	2.1%
96	Question not asked	84193	
Sysmiss		55768	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10ac: Concern about: Degradation of the marine environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28717 /-] [Invalid=149467 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Degradation of the marine environment		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	13275	46.2%
2	Of some concern	11047	38.5%
3	Of little concern	2883	10.0%
4	Of no concern at all	381	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	505	1.8%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	600	2.1%
96	Question not asked	72092	
Sysmiss		77375	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10ae: Concern about: Widespread corruption</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11300 /-] [Invalid=166884 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Widespread corruption		
<b>Notes</b>	2009, 2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	2723	24.1%
2	Of some concern	3921	34.7%
3	Of little concern	3461	30.6%
4	Of no concern at all	598	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	299	2.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%

<b># ga10ae: Concern about: Widespread corruption</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	290	2.6%
96	Question not asked	36203	
Sysmiss		130681	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10af: Concern about: Political extremism</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21887 /-] [Invalid=156297 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Political extremism		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	7480	34.2%
2	Of some concern	6879	31.4%
3	Of little concern	4098	18.7%
4	Of no concern at all	681	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2284	10.4%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	452	2.1%
96	Question not asked	80657	
Sysmiss		75640	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10ag: Concern about: Worsened welfare</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18846 /-] [Invalid=159338 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Worsened welfare		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-2016		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	4699	24.9%
2	Of some concern	7217	38.3%
3	Of little concern	4096	21.7%
4	Of no concern at all	370	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2069	11.0%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	383	2.0%
96		78705	
Sysmiss		80633	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10ah: Concern about: Increased xenophobia</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19034 /-] [Invalid=159150 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Increased xenophobia		
<b>Notes</b>	2013-2016		

### # ga10ah: Concern about: Increased xenophobia

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6679	35.1%
2	Of some concern	6397	33.6%
3	Of little concern	2871	15.1%
4	Of no concern at all	591	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2104	11.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96		79104	
Sysmiss		80046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10ai: Concern about: Restrictions on freedom of expression

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12066 /-] [Invalid=166118 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Restrictions on freedom of expression

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	3746	31.0%
2	Of some concern	2918	24.2%
3	Of little concern	2689	22.3%
4	Of no concern at all	508	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1976	16.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	1.9%
96	Question not asked	49981	
Sysmiss		116137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10aj: Concern about: Increased usage of narcotics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12063 /-] [Invalid=166121 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased usage of narcotics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4867	40.3%
2	Of some concern	3023	25.1%
3	Of little concern	1728	14.3%
4	Of no concern at all	343	2.8%
97		1885	15.6%
98		9	0.1%
99		208	1.7%
96		50298	
Sysmiss		115823	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ga10ak: Concern about: Housing shortage

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
--------------------	--

<b># ga10ak: Concern about: Housing shortage</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15614 /-] [Invalid=162570 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Housing shortage		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	3628	23.2%
2	Of some concern	5349	34.3%
3	Of little concern	3861	24.7%
4	Of no concern at all	519	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1965	12.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	284	1.8%
96	Question not asked	67387	
Sysmiss		95183	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga10al: Concern about: Increased antibiotic resistance</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13989 /-] [Invalid=164195 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased antibiotic resistance		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	5356	38.3%
2	Of some concern	4273	30.5%
3	Of little concern	1805	12.9%
4	Of no concern at all	260	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2053	14.7%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	233	1.7%
96	Question not asked	62409	
Sysmiss		101786	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100a: Combat security threats: Camera surveillance of public places</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Should always be available for use	3980	57.9%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2312	33.6%
3	Should never be available for use	162	2.4%
4	No opinion	197	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	42	0.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ga100b: Combat security threats: Telephone tapping</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	1464	21.3%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	4368	63.6%
3	Should never be available for use	490	7.1%
4	No opinion	300	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	68	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100c: Combat security threats: Registering of citizen opinions</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	436	6.3%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2198	32.0%
3	Should never be available for use	3144	45.7%
4	No opinion	784	11.4%
97	No response - part of the question	128	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100d: Combat security threats: Screening of mail and parcels</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	1614	23.5%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	3882	56.5%
3	Should never be available for use	674	9.8%
4	No opinion	416	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	103	1.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%

<b># ga100d: Combat security threats: Screening of mail and parcels</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100e: Combat security threats: Censoring of the press, radio and tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Should always be available for use	405	5.9%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	1540	22.4%
3	Should never be available for use	4013	58.4%
4	No opinion	628	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	101	1.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100f: Combat security threats: Banning of meetings and demonstrations</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=171311 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
<b>Notes</b>	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Should always be available for use	425	6.2%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2631	38.3%
3	Should never be available for use	3016	43.9%
4	No opinion	527	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		155562	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ga100g: Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/data traffic to/from Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5095 /-] [Invalid=173089 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -		
<b>Notes</b>	2008, 2013 (National)		

### # ga100g: Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/data traffic to/from Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	924	18.1%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2623	51.5%
3	Should never be available for use	947	18.6%
4	No opinion	453	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	43	0.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	2.0%
96	Question not asked	15579	
Sysmiss		157510	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # gb10a: Anxiety about your own situation: Lacking money for an unexpected expense

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=169977 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Lacking money for an unexpected expense
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1333	16.2%
2	Of some concern	2062	25.1%
3	Of little concern	3126	38.1%
4	Of no concern at all	1297	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	123	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		148689	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # gb10b: Anxiety about your own situation: Not receiving a sufficiently large pension

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=169977 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Not receiving a sufficiently large pension
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	2063	25.1%
2	Of some concern	2733	33.3%
3	Of little concern	2300	28.0%
4	Of no concern at all	712	8.7%
97	No response - part of the question	133	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		148689	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># gb10c: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming unemployed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=169977 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming unemployed		
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2014		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1173	14.3%
2	Of some concern	1192	14.5%
3	Of little concern	2490	30.3%
4	Of no concern at all	2563	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	524	6.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
System		148689	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># gb10d: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming seriously ill</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=169977 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming seriously ill		
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2014		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	2542	31.0%
2	Of some concern	2433	29.6%
3	Of little concern	2463	30.0%
4	Of no concern at all	425	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	76	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
System		148689	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># gb10e: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming a victim of crime</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=169977 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming a victim of crime		
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2014		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Of major concern	1639	20.0%
2	Of some concern	2187	26.6%
3	Of little concern	3350	40.8%
4	Of no concern at all	662	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%



<b># gb10e: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming a victim of crime</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		148689	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=45918 /-] [Invalid=132266 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?		
<b>Notes</b>	1997- (National); 1994-2000, 2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	7676	16.7%
2	Rather interested	25940	56.5%
3	Not particularly interested	9844	21.4%
4	Not at all interested	1125	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1311	2.9%
96	Question not asked	111747	
Sysmiss		20519	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha20a: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Pollution from industries</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Pollution from industries		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very limited threat (1)	323	1.2%
2	(2)	317	1.2%
3	(3)	941	3.6%
4	(4)	1104	4.2%
5	(5)	2632	10.1%
6	(6)	2109	8.1%
7	(7)	3542	13.6%
8	(8)	5006	19.2%
9	(9)	2483	9.5%
10	Very large threat (10)	6327	24.3%
97	No response - part of the question	180	0.7%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		132565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ha20b: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Exhaust from car traffic</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]		
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Exhaust from car traffic		
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	368	1.4%
2	(2)	363	1.4%
3	(3)	873	3.4%
4	(4)	1061	4.1%
5	(5)	2658	10.2%
6	(6)	2375	9.1%
7	(7)	3685	14.2%
8	(8)	5011	19.3%
9	(9)	3011	11.6%
10	Very large threat (10)	5375	20.7%
97	No response - part of the question	187	0.7%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		132565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha20c: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Oil spillage on the coasts</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]		
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Oil spillage on the coasts		
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	209	0.8%
2	(2)	302	1.2%
3	(3)	660	2.5%
4	(4)	864	3.3%
5	(5)	1784	6.9%
6	(6)	1894	7.3%
7	(7)	2926	11.2%
8	(8)	4178	16.1%
9	(9)	3412	13.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	8556	32.9%
97	No response - part of the question	176	0.7%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		132565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ha20d: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of industry pollution</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12264 /-] [Invalid=165920 /-]		
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of industry pollution		
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2000, 2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	97	0.8%
2	(2)	136	1.1%
3	(3)	382	3.1%
4	(4)	553	4.5%
5	(5)	1333	10.9%
6	(6)	1244	10.1%
7	(7)	1820	14.8%
8	(8)	2187	17.8%
9	(9)	1448	11.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	2418	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	211	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	429	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		162299	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha20e: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of household garbage</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12264 /-] [Invalid=165920 /-]		
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of household garbage		
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2000, 2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	703	5.7%
2	(2)	825	6.7%
3	(3)	1401	11.4%
4	(4)	1370	11.2%
5	(5)	2246	18.3%
6	(6)	1537	12.5%
7	(7)	1347	11.0%
8	(8)	1136	9.3%
9	(9)	464	3.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	590	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	211	1.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	429	3.5%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		160457	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # ha20f: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Depletion of animal and plant species

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22761 /-] [Invalid=155423 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Depletion of animal and plant species
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	547	2.4%
2	(2)	629	2.8%
3	(3)	1163	5.1%
4	(4)	1165	5.1%
5	(5)	2487	10.9%
6	(6)	2046	9.0%
7	(7)	2567	11.3%
8	(8)	3103	13.6%
9	(9)	2353	10.3%
10	Very large threat (10)	5454	24.0%
97	No response - part of the question	320	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	916	4.0%
96	Question not asked	12761	
Sysmiss		142662	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ha20g: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The use of chemicals in agriculture

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The use of chemicals in agriculture
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	289	1.1%
2	(2)	292	1.1%
3	(3)	665	2.6%
4	(4)	903	3.5%
5	(5)	2248	8.6%
6	(6)	2262	8.7%
7	(7)	3402	13.1%
8	(8)	4668	17.9%
9	(9)	3675	14.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	6306	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	260	1.0%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	17777	
Sysmiss		134396	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha20h: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The depletion of the ozone layer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The depletion of the ozone layer		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very limited threat (1)	279	1.1%
2	(2)	266	1.0%
3	(3)	462	1.8%
4	(4)	597	2.3%
5	(5)	1599	6.1%
6	(6)	1393	5.4%
7	(7)	2256	8.7%
8	(8)	3717	14.3%
9	(9)	3915	15.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	10213	39.3%
97	No response - part of the question	274	1.1%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	17777	
Sysmiss		134396	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha20i: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The management of high level nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=152173 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The management of high level nuclear waste		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very limited threat (1)	1069	4.1%
2	(2)	1061	4.1%
3	(3)	1537	5.9%
4	(4)	1177	4.5%
5	(5)	2394	9.2%
6	(6)	1689	6.5%
7	(7)	2097	8.1%
8	(8)	2895	11.1%
9	(9)	2655	10.2%
10	Very large threat (10)	8111	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	284	1.1%
98	Several responses given	12	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		132565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# ha20j: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The greenhouse effect	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8758 /-] [Invalid=169426 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The greenhouse effect
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	137	1.6%
2	(2)	97	1.1%
3	(3)	118	1.3%
4	(4)	164	1.9%
5	(5)	560	6.4%
6	(6)	534	6.1%
7	(7)	850	9.7%
8	(8)	1367	15.6%
9	(9)	1382	15.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	3074	35.1%
97	No response - part of the question	78	0.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	396	4.5%
96	Question not asked	9072	
Sysmiss		160354	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ha20k: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1666 /-] [Invalid=176518 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	7	0.4%
2	(2)	7	0.4%
3	(3)	18	1.1%
4	(4)	33	2.0%
5	(5)	100	6.0%
6	(6)	124	7.4%
7	(7)	190	11.4%
8	(8)	289	17.3%
9	(9)	269	16.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	532	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	16	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.9%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		174749	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha20l: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Climate changes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=173268 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Climate changes		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very limited threat (1)	98	2.0%
2	(2)	80	1.6%
3	(3)	157	3.2%
4	(4)	158	3.2%
5	(5)	406	8.3%
6	(6)	322	6.6%
7	(7)	491	10.0%
8	(8)	749	15.2%
9	(9)	676	13.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	1536	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	4.0%
96	Question not asked	6785	
Sysmiss		166483	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha30a: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	2120	32.6%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2780	42.8%
3	Not very serious threat	599	9.2%
4	Not a serious threat at all	66	1.0%
5	No opinion	680	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha30b: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Toxic antifouling paints		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		

<b># ha30b: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	1367	21.0%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2339	36.0%
3	Not very serious threat	1413	21.7%
4	Not a serious threat at all	226	3.5%
5	No opinion	887	13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	57	0.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha30c: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Poisonous emissions from industry</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Poisonous emissions from industry		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	2852	43.9%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2492	38.4%
3	Not very serious threat	398	6.1%
4	Not a serious threat at all	42	0.6%
5	No opinion	457	7.0%
97	No response - part of the question	49	0.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha30d: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfishing</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfishing		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	2426	37.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2579	39.7%
3	Not very serious threat	602	9.3%
4	Not a serious threat at all	88	1.4%
5	No opinion	533	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	60	0.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%



### # ha30d: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfishing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ha30e: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	2167	33.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2372	36.5%
3	Not very serious threat	915	14.1%
4	Not a serious threat at all	120	1.8%
5	No opinion	658	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	50	0.8%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ha30f: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Algal bloom

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Algal bloom
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	1404	21.6%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2798	43.1%
3	Not very serious threat	1156	17.8%
4	Not a serious threat at all	103	1.6%
5	No opinion	735	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ha30g: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Spreading of alien plant and animal species

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Spreading of alien plant and animal species

<b># ha30g: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Spreading of alien plant and animal species</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		2007-2010 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	1183	18.2%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2268	34.9%
3	Not very serious threat	1467	22.6%
4	Not a serious threat at all	225	3.5%
5	No opinion	1075	16.5%
97	No response - part of the question	70	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha30h: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4832 /-] [Invalid=173352 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago	
<b>Notes</b>		2008-2010 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very serious threat	158	3.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	404	8.4%
3	Not very serious threat	1537	31.8%
4	Not a serious threat at all	1808	37.4%
5	No opinion	746	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	42	0.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	137	2.8%
96	Question not asked	8360	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha100a1: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=15711 /-] [Invalid=162473 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		National: The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"  West: Question wording West: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"	
<b>Literal question</b>		How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport (car, bus etc.)	
<b>Notes</b>		1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2001 (National); 1997-1999 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2511	16.0%
2	Sometimes	5956	37.9%

**# ha100a1: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Quite often	2968	18.9%
4	Very often	2853	18.2%
5	Always	712	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	345	2.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	361	2.3%
96	Question not asked	3699	
Sysmiss		158774	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha100a2: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	259	14.6%
2	Sometimes	604	34.0%
3	Quite often	290	16.3%
4	Very often	347	19.5%
5	Always	136	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	61	3.4%
Sysmiss		176406	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha100a3: How often do you: Chose public transport instead of driving**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose public transport instead of driving
<b>Notes</b>	1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	611	34.4%
2	Sometimes	535	30.1%
3	Quite often	155	8.7%
4	Very often	209	11.8%
5	Always	118	6.6%
97	No response - part of the question	88	4.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	61	3.4%
Sysmiss		176406	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha100b: How often do you: Sort household waste</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15907 /-] [Invalid=162277 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"  West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you personally do the following things? - Sort household waste
<b>Notes</b>	1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2001 (National); 1997-1999 (West)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2252	14.2%
2	Sometimes	3389	21.3%
3	Quite often	2830	17.8%
4	Very often	2667	16.8%
5	Always	4110	25.8%
97	No response - part of the question	260	1.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	395	2.5%
Sysmiss		162277	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha100c: How often do you: Eat biodynamically grown vegetables</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17489 /-] [Invalid=160695 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed over time. 1990-2001 (National): "Eat biodynamically grown vegetables"; 2002 (National): "Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits" The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you personally do the following things? - Eat biodynamically grown vegetables
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4194	24.0%
2	Sometimes	8054	46.1%
3	Quite often	2533	14.5%
4	Very often	1532	8.8%
5	Always	410	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	343	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	422	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3699	
Sysmiss		156996	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha100d: How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15907 /-] [Invalid=162277 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National:

<b># ha100d: How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"  West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you personally do the following things? - Buy environmentally friendly marked products		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National); 1997-1999 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1014	6.4%
2	Sometimes	6291	39.5%
3	Quite often	4231	26.6%
4	Very often	2986	18.8%
5	Always	746	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	237	1.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	395	2.5%
Sysmiss		162277	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101a: Do for environmental reasons: Reduce speed when driving</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27696 /-] [Invalid=150488 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National): "For environmental reasons, reduces car speed in traffic"; 2003-2008 (National): "Reduce speed when driving" The question wording has changed. 1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?" 2003-2008 (National): "How often do you do the following for environmental reasons?"  West: The item and question wording in West SOM is as given above.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Reduce speed when driving		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2008 (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7298	26.4%
2	Sometimes	7930	28.6%
3	Quite often	3958	14.3%
4	Very often	1724	6.2%
5	Always	889	3.2%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	4839	17.5%
97	No response - part of the question	355	1.3%
98	Several responses given	43	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	660	2.4%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		148827	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101b1: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6943 /-] [Invalid=171241 /-]		

<b># ha101b1: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1196	17.2%
2	Sometimes	2235	32.2%
3	Quite often	1053	15.2%
4	Very often	1032	14.9%
5	Always	333	4.8%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	769	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	129	1.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	179	2.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		171241	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101b2: Do for environmental reasons: Chose public transport instead of driving</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8587 /-] [Invalid=169597 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose public transport instead of driving		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3203	37.3%
2	Sometimes	2290	26.7%
3	Quite often	618	7.2%
4	Very often	768	8.9%
5	Always	327	3.8%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	920	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	197	2.3%
98	Several responses given	35	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	4959	
Sysmiss		164638	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101b3: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk/bike/use PT instead of driving</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9673 /-] [Invalid=168511 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk, bike or use public transport instead of driving		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1627	16.8%
2	Sometimes	2997	31.0%
3	Quite often	1457	15.1%
4	Very often	1566	16.2%

<b># ha101b3: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk/bike/use PT instead of driving</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Always	631	6.5%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	959	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	121	1.3%
98	Several responses given	128	1.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	187	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	18277	
Sysmiss		150234	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101c: Do for environmental reasons: Sort household waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16616 /-] [Invalid=161568 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Sort household waste		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	952	5.7%
2	Sometimes	2126	12.8%
3	Quite often	2431	14.6%
4	Very often	3148	18.9%
5	Always	7435	44.7%
97	No response - part of the question	153	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.2%
96	Question not asked	8148	
Sysmiss		153420	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101d: Do for environmental reasons: Buy eco-labelled products</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16616 /-] [Invalid=161568 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy eco-labelled products		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1454	8.8%
2	Sometimes	7244	43.6%
3	Quite often	3980	24.0%
4	Very often	2780	16.7%
5	Always	578	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	213	1.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.2%
96	Question not asked	8148	
Sysmiss		153420	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ha101e: Do for environmental reasons: Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10207 /-] [Invalid=167977 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2008 (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1759	17.2%
2	Sometimes	4716	46.2%
3	Quite often	1826	17.9%
4	Very often	1239	12.1%
5	Always	281	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	238	2.3%
96	Question not asked	5466	
Sysmiss		162511	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101f: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to take the train instead of flying</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11851 /-] [Invalid=166333 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to take the train instead of flying		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2008 (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5370	45.3%
2	Sometimes	3135	26.5%
3	Quite often	869	7.3%
4	Very often	788	6.6%
5	Always	794	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	595	5.0%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	288	2.4%
96	Question not asked	15568	
Sysmiss		150765	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha101g: Do for environmental reasons: Buy locally produced food</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=171821 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy locally produced food		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	605	9.5%
2	Sometimes	2802	44.0%
3	Quite often	1611	25.3%
4	Very often	969	15.2%



<b># ha101g: Do for environmental reasons: Buy locally produced food</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Always	177	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	107	1.7%
96	Question not asked	11549	
Sysmiss		160272	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha150a: How often decrease energy consumption: Home heating</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=169860 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Home heating		
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2007, 2011 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1093	13.1%
2	Sometimes	2280	27.4%
3	Quite often	2420	29.1%
4	Very often	1239	14.9%
5	Always	878	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		159582	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha150b: How often decrease energy consumption: Use of electric devices/lights</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4826 /-] [Invalid=173358 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Use of electric devices/lights		
<b>Notes</b>	2006-2007, 2011 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	272	5.6%
2	Sometimes	1274	26.4%
3	Quite often	1756	36.4%
4	Very often	925	19.2%
5	Always	405	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	33	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	157	3.3%
96	Question not asked	6665	
Sysmiss		166693	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha150c: How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ha150c: How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4826 /-] [Invalid=173358 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Purchases of electrical devices/lights	
<b>Notes</b>		2006-2007, 2011 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	515	10.7%
2	Sometimes	1554	32.2%
3	Quite often	1445	29.9%
4	Very often	742	15.4%
5	Always	349	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	157	3.3%
96	Question not asked	6665	
Sysmiss		166693	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha150d: How often decrease energy consumption: Hot water consumption</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=169860 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Hot water consumption	
<b>Notes</b>		2004-2007, 2011 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1111	13.3%
2	Sometimes	2384	28.6%
3	Quite often	2578	31.0%
4	Very often	1229	14.8%
5	Always	625	7.5%
97	No response - part of the question	88	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		159582	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha150e: How often decrease energy consumption: Transportation/travels</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=169860 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Transportation/travels	
<b>Notes</b>		2004-2007, 2011 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1229	14.8%
2	Sometimes	3119	37.5%
3	Quite often	2112	25.4%
4	Very often	1002	12.0%
5	Always	388	4.7%

### # ha150e: How often decrease energy consumption: Transportation/travels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	165	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		159582	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ha160: How much more is your household willing to pay for so called green electricity?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5108 /-] [Invalid=173076 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Response alternatives are shortened, here are the complete alternatives: 1 "Not willing to pay more for environmentally friendly electricity than for other electricity" 2 "Willing to pay up to about 200 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 3 "Willing to pay up to about 500 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 4 "Willing to pay up to about 1000 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 5 "No opinion".
<b>Literal question</b>	If your household has or will get access to so-called environmentally friendly electricity (green electricity), how much more do you estimate that your household will be willing to pay for it?
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2001, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not willing to pay more	1655	32.4%
2	Up to about SEK 200/year more	958	18.8%
3	Up to about SEK 500/year more	898	17.6%
4	Up to about SEK 1000/year more	464	9.1%
5	No opinion	890	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	14	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	4.5%
96	Question not asked	5468	
Sysmiss		167608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ha200a: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Water power

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40802 /-] [Invalid=137382 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Water power
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	16738	41.0%
2	About the same as today	16314	40.0%
3	Less than today	1848	4.5%
4	Completely abolish	336	0.8%
5	No opinion	3181	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	952	2.3%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1412	3.5%
96	Question not asked	111548	
Sysmiss		25834	

<b># ha200a: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Water power</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha200b: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wind power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40802 /-] [Invalid=137382 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wind power		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	More than today	25967	63.6%
2	About the same as today	7269	17.8%
3	Less than today	2253	5.5%
4	Completely abolish	665	1.6%
5	No opinion	2640	6.5%
97	No response - part of the question	581	1.4%
98	Several responses given	15	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1412	3.5%
96	Question not asked	111548	
Sysmiss		25834	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha200c: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Solar energy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34180 /-] [Invalid=144004 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Solar energy		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	More than today	25844	75.6%
2	About the same as today	3715	10.9%
3	Less than today	521	1.5%
4	Completely abolish	186	0.5%
5	No opinion	2206	6.5%
97	No response - part of the question	482	1.4%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1188	3.5%
96	Question not asked	95944	
Sysmiss		48060	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha200d: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40802 /-] [Invalid=137382 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	More than today	6264	15.4%
2	About the same as today	11727	28.7%

**# ha200d: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Nuclear power**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Less than today	10152	24.9%
4	Completely abolish	6604	16.2%
5	No opinion	3482	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1132	2.8%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1412	3.5%
96	Question not asked	111548	
Sysmiss		25834	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha200e: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Biofuels**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37325 /-] [Invalid=140859 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Biofuels
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	14760	39.5%
2	About the same as today	9774	26.2%
3	Less than today	3307	8.9%
4	Completely abolish	842	2.3%
5	No opinion	6074	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1195	3.2%
98	Several responses given	42	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1331	3.6%
96	Question not asked	94385	
Sysmiss		46474	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha200f: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Fossil/natural gas**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30853 /-] [Invalid=147331 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Fossil/natural gas
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2009, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	6227	20.2%
2	About the same as today	7550	24.5%
3	Less than today	5933	19.2%
4	Completely abolish	2802	9.1%
5	No opinion	6113	19.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1125	3.6%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1069	3.5%
96	Question not asked	85104	
Sysmiss		62227	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ha200g: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Coal</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30826 /-] [Invalid=147358 /-]		
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Coal		
Notes	1999-2006, 2009, 2011 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	487	1.6%
2	About the same as today	1856	6.0%
3	Less than today	8258	26.8%
4	Completely abolish	14078	45.7%
5	No opinion	4059	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	958	3.1%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1098	3.6%
96	Question not asked	85846	
Sysmiss		61512	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha200h: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Oil</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30931 /-] [Invalid=147253 /-]		
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Oil		
Notes	1999-2006, 2009-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	484	1.6%
2	About the same as today	3589	11.6%
3	Less than today	13377	43.2%
4	Completely abolish	7715	24.9%
5	No opinion	3724	12.0%
97	No response - part of the question	931	3.0%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1093	3.5%
96	Question not asked	81872	
Sysmiss		65381	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha200i: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wave power</b>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26914 /-] [Invalid=151270 /-]		
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wave power		
Notes	2006- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	13441	49.9%
2	About the same as today	2877	10.7%
3	Less than today	725	2.7%
4	Completely abolish	580	2.2%

<b># ha200i: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wave power</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	No opinion	7723	28.7%
97	No response - part of the question	753	2.8%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	802	3.0%
96	Question not asked	92368	
Sysmiss		58902	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha800a: How important is it to limit emission sources: Air traffic</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Air traffic		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	2277	35.0%
2	Rather important	2775	42.7%
3	Not particularly important	666	10.2%
4	Not at all important	139	2.1%
5	No opinion	397	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	29	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha800b: How important is it to limit emission sources: Truck transports</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Truck transports		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	2537	39.0%
2	Rather important	2743	42.2%
3	Not particularly important	506	7.8%
4	Not at all important	115	1.8%
5	No opinion	344	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	37	0.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ha800c: How important is it to limit emission sources: Private motoring</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Private motoring		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	1756	27.0%
2	Rather important	2982	45.9%
3	Not particularly important	951	14.6%
4	Not at all important	231	3.6%
5	No opinion	309	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	53	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
System		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha800d: How important is it to limit emission sources: Energy consumption in industry</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Energy consumption in industry		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	2128	32.7%
2	Rather important	2972	45.7%
3	Not particularly important	562	8.6%
4	Not at all important	91	1.4%
5	No opinion	469	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
System		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha800e: How important is it to limit emission sources: Household energy use</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Household energy use		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very important	1288	19.8%
2	Rather important	3069	47.2%



**# ha800e: How important is it to limit emission sources: Household energy use**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not particularly important	1283	19.7%
4	Not at all important	216	3.3%
5	No opinion	388	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	39	0.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha800f: How important is it to limit emission sources: Meat production**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=171686 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Meat production
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	903	13.9%
2	Rather important	2004	30.8%
3	Not particularly important	1797	27.7%
4	Not at all important	630	9.7%
5	No opinion	902	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ha900a: Proposal: Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8872 /-] [Invalid=169312 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?" 1992-1994 och 1996 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.
<b>Literal question</b>	Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem? - Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1992-1994, 1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3848	43.4%
2	Rather good proposal	2861	32.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1298	14.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	424	4.8%
5	Very bad proposal	167	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	122	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># ha900a: Proposal: Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	152	1.7%
Sysmiss		169312	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha900b: Proposal: Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3221 /-] [Invalid=174963 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1989 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	230	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	481	14.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	725	22.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	804	25.0%
5	Very bad proposal	857	26.6%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	75	2.3%
Sysmiss		174963	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha900c: Proposal: Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8811 /-] [Invalid=169373 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1992-1994, 1996 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3176	36.0%
2	Rather good proposal	2770	31.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1549	17.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	790	9.0%
5	Very bad proposal	233	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	150	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	143	1.6%
Sysmiss		169373	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha900d: Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15785 /-] [Invalid=162399 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: Item wording has changed over time. 1990 (National): "Legislate about compulsory recycling of household garbage"; 1992-1999 (National): "Legislate about recycling of household garbage".  West:		

<b># ha900d: Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage</b>			
	The question wording in West SOM is the following (questions are given in Swedish): 1993-1996 (West): "Nedanstående lista omfattar ett antal förslag som gäller miljön i din kommun. Vilken är din åsikt om vart och ett av dem?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Legislate about recycling of household garbage		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1992-1999 (National); 1995-1996 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3757	23.8%
2	Rather good proposal	5519	35.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3321	21.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	1810	11.5%
5	Very bad proposal	792	5.0%
97	No response - part of the question	267	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.0%
96		3621	
Sysmiss		158778	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha900e: Proposal: Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7229 /-] [Invalid=170955 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners		
<b>Notes</b>	1992-1994, 1996 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2979	41.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2688	37.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1048	14.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	197	2.7%
5	Very bad proposal	82	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	122	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	113	1.6%
Sysmiss		170955	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ha900m: Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40677 /-] [Invalid=137507 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ha901a (including "No opinion" alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3569	8.8%
2	Rather good proposal	7192	17.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	9779	24.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	9281	22.8%
5	Very bad proposal	8860	21.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1039	2.6%
98	Several responses given	37	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	920	2.3%
96	Question not asked	68470	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ha901a: Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=176406 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ha900m (excluding "No opinion" alternative).		
<b>Literal question</b>	One important cause of the climate changes that have received attention in recent years is carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from for example automobiles. What is your opinion about the following measures to limit CO2? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	73	4.1%
2	Rather good proposal	250	14.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	434	24.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	387	21.8%
5	Very bad proposal	421	23.7%
6	No opinion	98	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	22	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	92	5.2%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		174575	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10_1986: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=176560 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Following the nuclear power referendum in 1980, the Swedish parliament decided that all nuclear power in the country should be phased out by 2010. How do you feel about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?		

<b># hb10_1986: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Notes</b>	1986 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power immediately.	235	14.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power faster than by 2010.	419	25.8%
3	Abolish nuclear power by 2010.	444	27.3%
4	Abolish nuclear power, but not as soon as by 2010.	262	16.1%
5	Keep nuclear power, do not abolish it.	210	12.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.3%
Sysmiss		176560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10_1987: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18807 /-] [Invalid=159377 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96).		
<b>Literal question</b>	In 1980 we had a referendum about nuclear power in Sweden. After the referendum Parliament ruled that nuclear power should be abolished by the year 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power immediately.	1035	5.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power faster than by 2010.	1924	10.2%
3	Abolish nuclear power by 2010.	3980	21.2%
4	Abolish nuclear power, but not as soon as by 2010.	4739	25.2%
5	Keep nuclear power, do not abolish it.	4353	23.1%
6	Have no clear opinion	2196	11.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	580	3.1%
Sysmiss		159377	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10_1996: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6976 /-] [Invalid=171208 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-1999 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power by 2010 at the latest.	1289	18.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using our 12 nuclear reactor	2393	34.3%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace our 12 reactors as needed so	1356	19.4%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more than 12 reactors in th	415	5.9%

### # hb10\_1996: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Have no clear opinion	1157	16.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	26	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	340	4.9%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		167587	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hb10\_2000: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8811 /-] [Invalid=169373 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-).
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power by 2010 at the latest.	1116	12.7%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the current nuclear po	2296	26.1%
3	Keep nuclear power and keep up the current nuclear reactors,	2548	28.9%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more nuclear reactors in th	1050	11.9%
5	Have no clear opinion	1347	15.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	44	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	410	4.7%
96	Question not asked	9269	
Sysmiss		160104	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hb10\_2005: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18156 /-] [Invalid=160028 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11).
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	1622	8.9%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the current nuclear po	4164	22.9%
3	Keep nuclear power and keep up the current nuclear reactors,	5738	31.6%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more nuclear reactors in th	3350	18.5%
5	Have no clear opinion	2729	15.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	37	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	516	2.8%
96	Question not asked	10026	
Sysmiss		150002	

<b># hb10_2005: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10_2011: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15217 /-] [Invalid=162967 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	2011-2019		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	1753	11.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using our 10 nuclear reactor	5857	38.5%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace the current reactors with at	3253	21.4%
4	Keep nuclear power and build more reactors than the current	1546	10.2%
5	No opinion	2291	15.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	43	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	474	3.1%
96	Question not asked	59036	
Sysmiss		103931	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10_2020: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34894 /-] [Invalid=143290 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	2020-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	391	1.1%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the reactors we have a	1767	5.1%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace the current reactors with at	1097	3.1%
4	Keep nuclear power and build more reactors than the current	1112	3.2%
5	No opinion	737	2.1%
96	Question not asked	29608	84.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	165	0.5%
Sysmiss		143290	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb10: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=57430 /-] [Invalid=120754 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on hb10_86, hb10_87, hb10_96, hb10_00, hb10_05. Recoding: 1986-1995, alternative 1-4 = "Abolish nuclear power", alternativ 5 = "Keep nuclear power"; 1996-, alternativ 1-2 = "Abolish nuclear power", alternativ 3-4 = "Keep nuclear power". Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96) and in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11).		

<b># hb10: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "After the 1980 referendum Parliament adopted a decision of dismantling nuclear power in Sweden by 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?"; 1996- (National): "What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden? Markera endast ett av svarsalternativen		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Abolish nuclear power	26530	46.2%
2	Keep nuclear power	20584	35.8%
3	Have no clear opinion	8212	14.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	132	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1972	3.4%
Sysmiss		120754	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20a: Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=137300 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb21a (11 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	9140	22.4%
2	(2)	6198	15.2%
3	(3)	6389	15.6%
4	(4)	2947	7.2%
5	(5)	5375	13.1%
6	(6)	1890	4.6%
7	(7)	1817	4.4%
8	(8)	1750	4.3%
9	(9)	601	1.5%
10	Very high risk (10)	2389	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	400	1.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1982	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		114154	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20b: Nuclear power risks: More cases of cancer/other diseases if we use nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16900 /-] [Invalid=161284 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of more cases of cancer and other diseases if we use nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1994, 1996, 1998 (National)		



### # hb20b: Nuclear power risks: More cases of cancer/other diseases if we use nuclear power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	3480	20.6%
2	(2)	2397	14.2%
3	(3)	2067	12.2%
4	(4)	1113	6.6%
5	(5)	1813	10.7%
6	(6)	845	5.0%
7	(7)	940	5.6%
8	(8)	994	5.9%
9	(9)	470	2.8%
10	Very high risk (10)	1715	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	339	2.0%
98	Several responses given	149	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.4%
Sysmiss		161284	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hb20c: Nuclear power risks: Increased environmental damage to land, air and water

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16900 /-] [Invalid=161284 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power provides increased environmental damage to land, air and water
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1994, 1996, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	4042	23.9%
2	(2)	2223	13.2%
3	(3)	1749	10.3%
4	(4)	991	5.9%
5	(5)	1581	9.4%
6	(6)	717	4.2%
7	(7)	860	5.1%
8	(8)	910	5.4%
9	(9)	570	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	2190	13.0%
97	No response - part of the question	340	2.0%
98	Several responses given	149	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.4%
Sysmiss		161284	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hb20d: Nuclear power risks: Genetic damage to future generations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15322 /-] [Invalid=162862 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power causes genetic damage to future generations?
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988, 1990-1994, 1996 (National)

### # hb20d: Nuclear power risks: Genetic damage to future generations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	2900	18.9%
2	(2)	2019	13.2%
3	(3)	1687	11.0%
4	(4)	938	6.1%
5	(5)	1576	10.3%
6	(6)	788	5.1%
7	(7)	813	5.3%
8	(8)	921	6.0%
9	(9)	588	3.8%
10	Very high risk (10)	1996	13.0%
97	No response - part of the question	433	2.8%
98	Several responses given	85	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.8%
Sysmiss		162862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hb20e: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=137300 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb21b (11 point scale).
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	5915	14.5%
2	(2)	4666	11.4%
3	(3)	4748	11.6%
4	(4)	2815	6.9%
5	(5)	5168	12.6%
6	(6)	2322	5.7%
7	(7)	2596	6.3%
8	(8)	2823	6.9%
9	(9)	1773	4.3%
10	Very high risk (10)	5456	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	613	1.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1982	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		114154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hb20f: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=137300 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb21c (11 point scale).

<b># hb20f: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	4803	11.7%
2	(2)	3196	7.8%
3	(3)	3683	9.0%
4	(4)	2682	6.6%
5	(5)	5668	13.9%
6	(6)	2617	6.4%
7	(7)	3025	7.4%
8	(8)	3506	8.6%
9	(9)	2028	5.0%
10	Very high risk (10)	6915	16.9%
97	No response - part of the question	773	1.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1981	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		114154	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20g: Nuclear power risks: Accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=171283 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants?		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	1571	22.8%
2	(2)	1151	16.7%
3	(3)	1115	16.2%
4	(4)	481	7.0%
5	(5)	825	12.0%
6	(6)	288	4.2%
7	(7)	264	3.8%
8	(8)	308	4.5%
9	(9)	100	1.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	370	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	151	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		171283	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20h: Nuclear power risks: Accident in connection w transportation of Sw nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=171283 /-]		

<b># hb20h: Nuclear power risks: Accident in connection w transportation of Sw nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in connection with transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	800	11.6%
2	(2)	961	13.9%
3	(3)	1080	15.6%
4	(4)	624	9.0%
5	(5)	961	13.9%
6	(6)	428	6.2%
7	(7)	423	6.1%
8	(8)	431	6.2%
9	(9)	223	3.2%
10	Very high risk (10)	528	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	165	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		171283	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20i: Nuclear power risks: Accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=171283 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste?		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	1016	14.7%
2	(2)	1005	14.6%
3	(3)	890	12.9%
4	(4)	522	7.6%
5	(5)	811	11.8%
6	(6)	368	5.3%
7	(7)	413	6.0%
8	(8)	434	6.3%
9	(9)	280	4.1%
10	Very high risk (10)	712	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	173	2.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		171283	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20j: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism when storing/transp. Sw nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8530 /-] [Invalid=169654 /-]		

<b># hb20j: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism when storing/transp. Sw nuclear waste</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism in connection with storing or transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-1993, 2006, 2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	900	10.6%
2	(2)	1132	13.3%
3	(3)	1173	13.8%
4	(4)	797	9.3%
5	(5)	1173	13.8%
6	(6)	552	6.5%
7	(7)	544	6.4%
8	(8)	552	6.5%
9	(9)	286	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	876	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	194	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	350	4.1%
96		1707	
Sysmiss		167947	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20k: Nuclear power risks: E. Europe unable to safely run/handle nuclear plants/waste</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20992 /-] [Invalid=157192 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that the countries of Eastern Europe are unable to safely run their nuclear power plants and handle nuclear waste		
<b>Notes</b>	1994-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low risk (1)	213	1.0%
2	(2)	163	0.8%
3	(3)	325	1.5%
4	(4)	388	1.8%
5	(5)	1206	5.7%
6	(6)	909	4.3%
7	(7)	1727	8.2%
8	(8)	3104	14.8%
9	(9)	2883	13.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	8707	41.5%
97	No response - part of the question	232	1.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1127	5.4%
96	Question not asked	14665	
Sysmiss		142527	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb20l: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

### # hb20l: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3211 /-] [Invalid=174973 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant?
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	365	11.4%
2	(2)	438	13.6%
3	(3)	518	16.1%
4	(4)	294	9.2%
5	(5)	506	15.8%
6	(6)	237	7.4%
7	(7)	217	6.8%
8	(8)	178	5.5%
9	(9)	96	3.0%
10	Very high risk (10)	169	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	139	4.3%
96	Question not asked	5051	
Sysmiss		169922	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # hb21a: Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=175129 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb20a (10 point scale).
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been discussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low risk (0)	322	10.5%
1	(1)	330	10.8%
2	(2)	461	15.1%
3	(3)	363	11.9%
4	(4)	205	6.7%
5	(5)	462	15.1%
6	(6)	199	6.5%
7	(7)	190	6.2%
8	(8)	127	4.2%
9	(9)	52	1.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	141	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	11	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		167175	

<b># hb21a: Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb21b: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=175129 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb20e (10 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Very low risk (0)	282	9.2%
1	(1)	301	9.9%
2	(2)	381	12.5%
3	(3)	296	9.7%
4	(4)	208	6.8%
5	(5)	425	13.9%
6	(6)	188	6.2%
7	(7)	219	7.2%
8	(8)	181	5.9%
9	(9)	104	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	243	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	36	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		167175	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb21c: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=175129 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also hb20f (10 point scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Very low risk (0)	293	9.6%
1	(1)	218	7.1%
2	(2)	288	9.4%
3	(3)	260	8.5%
4	(4)	192	6.3%
5	(5)	438	14.3%
6	(6)	190	6.2%
7	(7)	251	8.2%
8	(8)	220	7.2%
9	(9)	112	3.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	349	11.4%

<b># hb21c: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	53	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		167175	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb900a: Proposal: Keep nuclear power after 2010</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18677 /-] [Invalid=159507 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Keep nuclear power after 2010		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1996 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	3951	21.2%
2	Rather good proposal	3585	19.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3660	19.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	2609	14.0%
5	Very bad proposal	4110	22.0%
97	No response - part of the question	388	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	372	2.0%
Sysmiss		159507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb900b: Proposal: Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=60547 /-] [Invalid=117637 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	11219	18.5%
2	Rather good proposal	12941	21.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13900	23.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	10536	17.4%
5	Very bad proposal	8849	14.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1602	2.6%
98	Several responses given	48	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1452	2.4%
96	Question not asked	97206	
Sysmiss		20431	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hb900c: Proposal: Keep the nuclear power</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		



<b># hb900c: Proposal: Keep the nuclear power</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3367 /-] [Invalid=174817 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Keep the nuclear power		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	831	24.7%
2	Rather good proposal	808	24.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	822	24.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	387	11.5%
5	Very bad proposal	354	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	72	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.6%
96	Question not asked	4852	
Sysmiss		169965	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ia10a: Trust in research in: Medicine</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=146012 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Medicine		
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	9491	29.5%
2	Quite high trust	14518	45.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3341	10.4%
4	Quite low trust	556	1.7%
5	Very low trust	237	0.7%
6	No opinion	3019	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	67	0.2%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		58446	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ia10b: Trust in research in: Natural science</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=146012 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Natural science		
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	6209	19.3%
2	Quite high trust	13058	40.6%

### # ia10b: Trust in research in: Natural science

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	5716	17.8%
4	Quite low trust	378	1.2%
5	Very low trust	112	0.3%
6	No opinion	5472	17.0%
97	No response - part of the question	295	0.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		58446	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ia10c: Trust in research in: Social sciences

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=146012 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Social sciences
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3640	11.3%
2	Quite high trust	11043	34.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	8658	26.9%
4	Quite low trust	1048	3.3%
5	Very low trust	304	0.9%
6	No opinion	6181	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	360	1.1%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		58446	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ia10d: Trust in research in: Technology

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=146012 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Technology
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	7547	23.5%
2	Quite high trust	13508	42.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4605	14.3%
4	Quite low trust	318	1.0%
5	Very low trust	105	0.3%
6	No opinion	4839	15.0%
97	No response - part of the question	304	0.9%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%

<b># ia10d: Trust in research in: Technology</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		58446	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ia10e: Trust in research in: Humanities</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=146012 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Humanities		
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	3053	9.5%
2	Quite high trust	8324	25.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7934	24.7%
4	Quite low trust	1078	3.4%
5	Very low trust	353	1.1%
6	No opinion	10058	31.3%
97	No response - part of the question	443	1.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		58446	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ia10f: Trust in research in: Educational sciences</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28578 /-] [Invalid=149606 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Educational sciences		
<b>Notes</b>	2004- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	2682	9.4%
2	Quite high trust	8005	28.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7186	25.1%
4	Quite low trust	1542	5.4%
5	Very low trust	516	1.8%
6	No opinion	7554	26.4%
97	No response - part of the question	275	1.0%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	810	2.8%
96	Question not asked	83876	
Sysmiss		65730	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# ic900a: Proposal: Increase the number of private schools			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12013 /-] [Invalid=166171 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1993-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p> <p>West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the number of private schools		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988, 1990, 1993-1996 (National); 1992-1996 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	870	7.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2023	16.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3204	26.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	2782	23.2%
5	Very bad proposal	2535	21.1%
97	No response - part of the question	279	2.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	320	2.7%
Sysmiss		166171	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ic900b: Proposal: Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37392 /-] [Invalid=140792 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]		
<b>Notes</b>	1997- (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2343	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	6017	16.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12495	33.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	8573	22.9%
5	Very bad proposal	6103	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	861	2.3%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%

<b># ic900b: Proposal: Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	981	2.6%
96	Question not asked	64515	
Sysmiss		76277	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja10a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=55845 /-] [Invalid=122339 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  See also ja11a (8 point scale). See ja15a to see which morning paper this question applies to.  The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "If you read any daily newspaper regularly - about how many times a week do you usually read this newspaper?"; 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	955	1.7%
2	2 days/week	1269	2.3%
3	3 days/week	2727	4.9%
4	4 days/week	2106	3.8%
5	5 days/week	5289	9.5%
6	6 days/week	18474	33.1%
7	7 days/week	17716	31.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	7309	13.1%
Sysmiss		122339	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja10b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35414 /-] [Invalid=142770 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  See also ja11b (8 point scale). See ja15b to see which morning paper this question applies to.  1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	1537	4.3%
2	2 days/week	1210	3.4%
3	3 days/week	1408	4.0%

<b># ja10b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4 days/week	905	2.6%
5	5 days/week	1661	4.7%
6	6 days/week	1418	4.0%
7	7 days/week	1292	3.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25983	73.4%
Sysmiss		142770	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja10c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35414 /-] [Invalid=142770 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also ja11c (8 point scale). See ja15c to see which morning paper this question applies to. The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	564	1.6%
2	2 days/week	312	0.9%
3	3 days/week	297	0.8%
4	4 days/week	191	0.5%
5	5 days/week	382	1.1%
6	6 days/week	188	0.5%
7	7 days/week	188	0.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33292	94.0%
Sysmiss		142770	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja11a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29179 /-] [Invalid=149005 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also ja10a (7 point scale). See ja15a to see which morning paper this question applies to.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	8186	28.1%
2	2 days/week	6902	23.7%

<b># ja11a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3 days/week	2407	8.2%
4	4 days/week	1045	3.6%
5	5 days/week	1806	6.2%
6	6 days/week	919	3.1%
7	7 days/week	714	2.4%
8	More seldom	2285	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4532	15.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	375	1.3%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		147380	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja11b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29179 /-] [Invalid=149005 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also ja10b (7 point scale). See ja15b to see which morning paper this question applies to.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	662	2.3%
2	2 days/week	619	2.1%
3	3 days/week	627	2.1%
4	4 days/week	370	1.3%
5	5 days/week	860	2.9%
6	6 days/week	633	2.2%
7	7 days/week	748	2.6%
8	More seldom	998	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	23286	79.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	375	1.3%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		147380	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja11c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16161 /-] [Invalid=162023 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also ja10c (7 point scale). See ja15c to see which morning paper this question applies to.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

# ja11c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	53	0.3%
2	2 days/week	44	0.3%
3	3 days/week	68	0.4%
4	4 days/week	52	0.3%
5	5 days/week	92	0.6%
6	6 days/week	92	0.6%
7	7 days/week	139	0.9%
8	More seldom	284	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	15099	93.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	238	1.5%
96		8040	
Sysmiss		153983	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja12a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	22653	24.7%
2	5-6 days/week	6596	7.2%
3	3-4 days/week	6657	7.3%
4	1-2 days/week	6057	6.6%
5	More seldom	9384	10.3%
95		37465	40.9%
97		866	0.9%
98		305	0.3%
99		1552	1.7%
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja12b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1997	2.2%
2	5-6 days/week	866	0.9%
3	3-4 days/week	1763	1.9%
4	1-2 days/week	2284	2.5%
5	More seldom	3696	4.0%
95		37465	40.9%
97		41885	45.8%
98		27	0.0%
99		1552	1.7%
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



<b># ja14: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscr. daily newsp]: The most read newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/95/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=175806 /-] [Invalid=2378 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Constructed variable based on ja10a-c and ja11a-c. The variable show the highest frequency (the morning paper which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year (ja10a-c: 1986-2007; ja11a-c: 2008-). Some responses are excluded: when an evening tabloid, a online newspaper or any other non morning paper is given by the respondent. Free daily newspapers are, however, included.            Note that the "More seldom" alternative has only been available since 2008.            See each variable this variable is based on for further information.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	48931	27.8%
2	2 days/week	39346	22.4%
3	3 days/week	13995	8.0%
4	4 days/week	9496	5.4%
5	5 days/week	11361	6.5%
6	6 days/week	0	
7	7 days/week	0	
8	More seldom	0	
97	No response - part of the question	43432	24.7%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	9039	5.1%
995		189	0.1%
95	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	753	
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		1625	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176450 /-] [Invalid=1734 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Open question. The title of the morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded.</p> <p>West:            Open question. Shows the newspaper that is read by the respondent that were not included as a response alternative ("Other morning paper"). For frequencies see ja201a and ja211a.            Note that the number of alternatives have changed over time.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National), 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	4364	2.5%
2	City	80	0.0%
3	18 minuter	1	0.0%
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	0	

# ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	0	
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	621	0.4%
11	Extra Östergötland	21	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	16	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	2	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	36	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	4	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	101	0.1%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
22	Fria Tidningen	12	0.0%
102	Arbetar-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	1	0.0%
106	Nya Wärmland	1	0.0%
201	Aftonbladet	47	0.0%
202	Arbetarbladet	862	0.5%
203	Arbetet	590	0.3%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	139	0.1%
205	Dala-Demokraten	598	0.3%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	216	0.1%
207	Folket	150	0.1%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	456	0.3%
209	KarlskogaKuriren	158	0.1%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	10	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	567	0.3%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	1640	0.9%
213	Nya Norrland	131	0.1%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyhetera	23	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	768	0.4%
216	Smålands Folkblad/Höglandet	12	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	506	0.3%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	81	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	716	0.4%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	410	0.2%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	1	0.0%
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	2	0.0%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	17	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	54	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	271	0.2%
226	Nyhetera (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	48	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	0	

# ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	2	0.0%
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyheterna	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	37	0.0%
232	Stockholms-Tidningen	2	0.0%
233	Östgöten	15	0.0%
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	4	0.0%
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	
236	Skaraborgaren	0	
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	203	0.1%
301	Blekinge-Posten	8	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	3	0.0%
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	2	0.0%
305	Hallands Nyheter	1341	0.8%
306	Haningebadet	1	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	542	0.3%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	4	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	3	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	10	0.0%
311	Laholms Tidning	95	0.1%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	3	0.0%
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	380	0.2%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	13	0.0%
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	4	0.0%
316	Nord-Sverige	3	0.0%
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	58	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	805	0.5%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	516	0.3%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	34	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	5	0.0%
323	Skånska Dagbladet	981	0.6%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	14	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	929	0.5%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	17	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	438	0.2%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	2	0.0%
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	25	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	3	0.0%
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	3	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	1032	0.6%
334	Upplands Nyheter	7	0.0%

# ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
335	Västerbottningen	3	0.0%
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	2	0.0%
381		1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407)	317	0.2%
402	Arboga Tidning	135	0.1%
403	Bergslags-Posten	178	0.1%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	291	0.2%
405	Bohusläningen	1248	0.7%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	270	0.2%
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	4	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	1371	0.8%
409	Expressen	23	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	1127	0.6%
411	Gefle Dagblad	1053	0.6%
412	GT	15	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	9506	5.4%
415	Hallands-Posten	1467	0.8%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	10	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	554	0.3%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	1282	0.7%
419	Kvällsposten	5	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	514	0.3%
422	Mellersta Skåne	2	0.0%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	441	0.2%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	2566	1.5%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	1174	0.7%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	950	0.5%
427	Provinstitidningen Dalsland	103	0.1%
428	Skövde Nyheter	99	0.1%
429	Smålands Dagblad	30	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	1124	0.6%
431		1	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	41	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	1362	0.8%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	4989	2.8%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	4	0.0%
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	57	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	71	0.0%
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	355	0.2%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	2646	1.5%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	14	0.0%

# ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	1788	1.0%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430)	98	0.1%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	1868	1.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	956	0.5%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	651	0.4%
447	Afton-Tidningen	1	0.0%
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	6	0.0%
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	0	
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	1	0.0%
453	Jämtlands Tidning	1	0.0%
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	2	0.0%
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	1877	1.1%
502	Borås Tidning	2178	1.2%
503	Enköpings-Posten	410	0.2%
504	Falköpings Tidning	330	0.2%
505	Filipstads Tidning	59	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	369	0.2%
507	Haparandabladet	43	0.0%
508		2	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	26	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	435	0.2%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	1921	1.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	167	0.1%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	2309	1.3%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	110	0.1%
516	Smålandsposten	1854	1.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	6369	3.6%
518	Tranås-Posten	84	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	174	0.1%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	477	0.3%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	1	0.0%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	2705	1.5%
523	Norrlands-Posten	1	0.0%
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	0	
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	1	0.0%
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	0	
530	Sundsvallsposten	2	0.0%
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	

### # ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	39	0.0%
535	Karlsborgstidningen	1	0.0%
552		1	0.0%
601	Arvika Nyheter	316	0.2%
602	Engelholms Tidning	2	0.0%
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	3	0.0%
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1305	0.7%
607	Klippans Tidning	1	0.0%
608	Landskrona-Posten	17	0.0%
609	Smälänningen	486	0.3%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	989	0.6%
611	Norrbottnens-Kuriren	873	0.5%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	938	0.5%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	397	0.2%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	93	0.1%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	121	0.1%
616	Ölandsbladet	111	0.1%
617	Öresunds-Posten	7	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	3	0.0%
701	Arbetaren	5	0.0%
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	3	0.0%
705	Flamman	3	0.0%
708		1	0.0%
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	223	0.1%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	83	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	368	0.2%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	131	0.1%
805	Dagens Nyheter	14619	8.3%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Päevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	175	0.1%
809	Fagersta-Posten	214	0.1%
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	9	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	2229	1.3%
813	Huddinge-Posten	1	0.0%
814	Härjedalen	10	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	2	0.0%
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	1719	1.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	283	0.2%

**# ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
819	Klaraålvbygden	0	
820	Kungälv-Posten	66	0.0%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	8	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	9	0.0%
823	Ljusdals-Posten	230	0.1%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	282	0.2%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	12	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	408	0.2%
827	Mälardalarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölnåls-Posten	18	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	
830	Nybro Tidning	1	0.0%
831	Sala Allehanda	300	0.2%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	3	0.0%
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	75	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	113	0.1%
837	Sätters Tidning (se även kod 838)	1	0.0%
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning (se även kod 837)	229	0.1%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	981	0.6%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	735	0.4%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	3	0.0%
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	4	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	1	0.0%
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	12	0.0%
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	1	0.0%
852	Dagens Industri	621	0.4%
853	Finnveden Fredag	8	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	8	0.0%
857	Östhammars Nyheter	1	0.0%
858	ST tidning	28	0.0%
859		13	0.0%
860		2	0.0%
862		1	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	4	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	101	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
901		9	0.0%

### # ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
912		1	0.0%
913		4	0.0%
914		1	0.0%
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	1	0.0%
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	1	0.0%
991		11	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	5	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	389	0.2%
994		16	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	37465	21.2%
997	No response - part of the question	18797	10.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	1975	1.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	2487	1.4%
9997		1268	0.7%
996	Question not asked	1731	
Systemmiss		3	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=169980 /-] [Invalid=8204 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: Open question. The morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded.  West: Open question. Shows the newspaper that is read by the respondent that were not included as a response alternative ("Other morning paper"). For frequencies see ja201b and ja211b. Note that the number of alternatives have changed over time.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National); 1992-2006, 2010- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	1786	1.1%
2	City	390	0.2%
3	18 minuter	2	0.0%
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	2	0.0%
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	4	0.0%
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	36	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	13	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	24	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	4	0.0%



# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Världen Idag	29	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	14	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	89	0.1%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	6	0.0%
22	Fria Tidningen	16	0.0%
102	Arbeter-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	0	
106	Nya Wärmaland	0	
201	Aftonbladet	74	0.0%
202	Arbeterbladet	120	0.1%
203	Arbetet	98	0.1%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	101	0.1%
205	Dala-Demokraten	224	0.1%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	88	0.1%
207	Folket	51	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	71	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	22	0.0%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	4	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	138	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	210	0.1%
213	Nya Norrland	10	0.0%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyhetera	0	
215	Piteå-Tidningen	36	0.0%
216	Smålands Folkblad/Höglandet	2	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	163	0.1%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	1	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	204	0.1%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	177	0.1%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	0	
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	0	
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	22	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	96	0.1%
226	Nyhetera (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	21	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	1	0.0%
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	2	0.0%
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyhetera	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	11	0.0%
232	Stockholms-Tidningen	0	
233	Östgöten	2	0.0%
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	

# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
236	Skaraborgaren	0	
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	22	0.0%
301	Blekinge-Posten	9	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	4	0.0%
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	106	0.1%
306	Haningebladet	0	
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	34	0.0%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	9	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	8	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	5	0.0%
311	Laholms Tidning	46	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	3	0.0%
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	80	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	2	0.0%
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	3	0.0%
316	Nord-Sverige	6	0.0%
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	97	0.1%
318	Norra Skåne	57	0.0%
319	Norrtejlje Tidning	98	0.1%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	31	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	2	0.0%
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	16	0.0%
323	Skånska Dagbladet	196	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	3	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	96	0.1%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	5	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	76	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	2	0.0%
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	1	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	7	0.0%
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	14	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	151	0.1%
334	Upplands Nyheter	4	0.0%
335	Västerbottningen	5	0.0%
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
400		1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407	130	0.1%
402	Arboga Tidning	19	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	19	0.0%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	21	0.0%

# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	Bohusläningen	126	0.1%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	22	0.0%
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	117	0.1%
409	Expressen	40	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	126	0.1%
411	Gefle Dagblad	110	0.1%
412	GT	18	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	1174	0.7%
415	Hallands-Posten	107	0.1%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	11	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	35	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	92	0.1%
419	Kvällsposten	6	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	29	0.0%
422	Mellersta Skåne	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	51	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	121	0.1%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	49	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	107	0.1%
427	Provinstitidningen Dalsland	22	0.0%
428	Skövde Nyheter	27	0.0%
429	Smålands Dagblad	1	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	46	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	3	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	120	0.1%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	591	0.3%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	0	
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	8	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	3	0.0%
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	74	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	287	0.2%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	1	0.0%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	135	0.1%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430)	4	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	166	0.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	108	0.1%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	33	0.0%
447	Afton-Tidningen	0	
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	1	0.0%
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	1	0.0%

# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	0	
453	Jämtlands Tidning	0	
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	0	
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	156	0.1%
502	Borås Tidning	132	0.1%
503	Enköpings-Posten	62	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	52	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	28	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	92	0.1%
507	Haparandabladet	59	0.0%
508		1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	17	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	38	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	120	0.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	74	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	275	0.2%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	11	0.0%
516	Smålandsposten	102	0.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	2673	1.6%
518	Tranås-Posten	9	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	135	0.1%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	41	0.0%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	0	
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	160	0.1%
523	Norrlands-Posten	0	
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	1	0.0%
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	1	0.0%
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	1	0.0%
530	Sundsvallsposten	1	0.0%
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	3	0.0%
535	Karlsborgstidningen	0	
601	Arvika Nyheter	94	0.1%
602	Engelholms Tidning	0	
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	0	
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	119	0.1%
607	Klippans Tidning	0	

# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
608	Landskrona-Posten	11	0.0%
609	Småläningen	50	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	92	0.1%
611	Norrbottnens-Kuriren	226	0.1%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	109	0.1%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	54	0.0%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	16	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	11	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	152	0.1%
617	Öresunds-Posten	0	
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	1	0.0%
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	2	0.0%
705	Flamman	2	0.0%
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	32	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	25	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	47	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	174	0.1%
805	Dagens Nyheter	3454	2.0%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Päevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	22	0.0%
809	Fagersta-Posten	30	0.0%
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	43	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	126	0.1%
813	Huddinge-Posten	0	
814	Härjedalen	9	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	0	
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	99	0.1%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	30	0.0%
819	Klaraålsbygden	0	
820	Kungälv-Posten	88	0.1%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	14	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	3	0.0%
823	Ljusdals-Posten	21	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	48	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	29	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	54	0.0%
827	Mälaröarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölnåls-Posten	60	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	

# ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
830	Nybro Tidning	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	49	0.0%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	49	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	1	0.0%
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	50	0.0%
837	Sätters Tidning (se även kod 838)	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning (se även kod 837)	17	0.0%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	78	0.0%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	75	0.0%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	0	
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	7	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	0	
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	0	
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	0	
852	Dagens Industri	1362	0.8%
853	Finnveden Fredag	17	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	15	0.0%
857	Östhammars Nyheter	8	0.0%
858	ST tidning	10	0.0%
859		3	0.0%
860		2	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	0	
891	Utländsk dagstidning	102	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
901		9	0.0%
902		1	0.0%
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	18	0.0%
991		1	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	3	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	150	0.1%
994		7	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	37465	22.0%
997	No response - part of the question	102171	60.1%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	2319	1.4%

### # ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999	No response - entire question battery	2472	1.5%
9997		4197	2.5%
996	Question not asked	1685	
Sysmiss		6519	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/996]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=51570 /-] [Invalid=126614 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. The morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	202	0.4%
2	City	174	0.3%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	0	
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	6	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	2	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	1	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	1	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	0	
15	Computer Sweden	2	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	18	0.0%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	4	0.0%
22	Fria Tidningen	2	0.0%
102	Arbeter-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	0	
106	Nya Wärmland	0	
201	Aftonbladet	20	0.0%
202	Arbeterbladet	7	0.0%
203	Arbetet	8	0.0%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	8	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	16	0.0%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	7	0.0%
207	Folket	3	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	3	0.0%

# ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	Karlskogakuriren	6	0.0%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	8	0.0%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	12	0.0%
213	Nya Norrland	3	0.0%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyheterna	2	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	6	0.0%
216	Smålands Folkblad/Högländet	0	
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	6	0.0%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	0	
219	Värmlands Folkblad	12	0.0%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	10	0.0%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	0	
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	0	
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	4	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	10	0.0%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	1	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	0	
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	0	
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyheterna	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	3	0.0%
232	Stockholms-Tidningen	0	
233	Östgöten	0	
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	0	
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	
236	Skaraborgaren	0	
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	4	0.0%
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	0	
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	4	0.0%
306	Haningebadet	0	
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	2	0.0%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	1	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	4	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	2	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	4	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	0	



# ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	5	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	9	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	3	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	2	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	3	0.0%
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	3	0.0%
323	Skånska Dagbladet	12	0.0%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	3	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	1	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	5	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	2	0.0%
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	0	
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	2	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	3	0.0%
334	Upplands Nyheter	2	0.0%
335	Västerbottningen	0	
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407)	5	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	2	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	3	0.0%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	1	0.0%
405	Bohusläningen	10	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	0	
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	9	0.0%
409	Expressen	21	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	10	0.0%
411	Gefle Dagblad	7	0.0%
412	GT	8	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	92	0.2%
415	Hallands-Posten	3	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	3	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	1	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	5	0.0%
419	Kvällsposten	3	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	0	
422	Mellersta Skåne	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	4	0.0%

# ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
424	Nerikes Allehanda	13	0.0%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	3	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	5	0.0%
427	Provinstitidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	4	0.0%
429	Smålands Dagblad	1	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	2	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	0	
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	3	0.0%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	47	0.1%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	0	
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	2	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	5	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	16	0.0%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	0	
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	7	0.0%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430)	0	
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	8	0.0%
445	Ystads Allehanda	8	0.0%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	3	0.0%
447	Afton-Tidningen	0	
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	0	
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	0	
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	0	
453	Jämtlands Tidning	0	
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	0	
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	9	0.0%
502	Borås Tidning	6	0.0%
503	Enköpings-Posten	2	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	5	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	6	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	5	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	1	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	8	0.0%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	7	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	17	0.0%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	0	
516	Smålandsposten	6	0.0%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	220	0.4%

# ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
518	Tranås-Posten	1	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	2	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	5	0.0%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	0	
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	7	0.0%
523	Norrlands-Posten	0	
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	0	
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	0	
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	0	
530	Sundsvallsposten	0	
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	0	
535	Karlsborgstidningen	0	
601	Arvika Nyheter	2	0.0%
602	Engelholms Tidning	0	
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	0	
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1	0.0%
607	Klippans Tidning	0	
608	Landskrona-Posten	0	
609	Smälänningen	1	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	9	0.0%
611	Norrbottnens-Kuriren	17	0.0%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	7	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	6	0.0%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	1	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	11	0.0%
617	Öresunds-Posten	0	
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	0	
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	1	0.0%
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	1	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	2	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	1	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	17	0.0%

# ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
805	Dagens Nyheter	365	0.7%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Päevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	2	0.0%
809	Fagersta-Posten	1	0.0%
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	8	0.0%
813	Huddinge-Posten	0	
814	Härjedalen	2	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	0	
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	2	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	2	0.0%
819	Klaraålsbygden	0	
820	Kungälv-Posten	3	0.0%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	2	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	2	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	1	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	7	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	3	0.0%
827	Mälaröarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölnåls-Posten	6	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	
830	Nybro Tidning	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	4	0.0%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	3	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	3	0.0%
837	Sätters Tidning (se även kod 838)	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning (se även kod 837)	3	0.0%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	2	0.0%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	4	0.0%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	0	
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	4	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	0	

### # ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	0	
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	0	
852	Dagens Industri	232	0.4%
853	Finnveden Fredag	4	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	4	0.0%
857	Östhammars Nyheter	1	0.0%
858	ST tidning	3	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	27	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhets tidningen Sesam	0	
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	10	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	0	
993	Not codeable newspaper	3	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	0	
997	No response - part of the question	48305	93.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	543	1.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	664	1.3%
996	Question not asked	8042	
Sysmiss		118572	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja19: Do not read any daily newspapers

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176559 /-] [Invalid=1625 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The opt-out alternative to ja10a-c, ja11a-c and ja15a-c.</p> <p>The alternative's wording has changed over time. 1986-1997 (National): "Do not read any daily newspapers regularly"; 1998-2000 (National): "Do not read any daily newspapers at least once a week"; 2001-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "No, I do not read any daily newspapers at least once a week"; 2003, 2006 (National): "I do not read any daily newspapers regularly"; 2007- (National): "I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "If you read any daily newspaper regularly - about how many times a week do you usually read this newspaper?"; 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper. - I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	121726	68.9%
1	Ticked	52387	29.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2446	1.4%
96		1625	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># ja20a: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: First newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=147236 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja25a (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	2824	9.1%
2	2 days/week	737	2.4%
3	3 days/week	1565	5.1%
4	4 days/week	1018	3.3%
5	5 days/week	1212	3.9%
6	6 days/week	1163	3.8%
7	7 days/week	1046	3.4%
8	More seldom	6160	19.9%
97	No response - part of the question	14444	46.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		143945	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja20b: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Second newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=147236 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja25b (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	887	2.9%
2	2 days/week	218	0.7%
3	3 days/week	513	1.7%
4	4 days/week	263	0.8%
5	5 days/week	437	1.4%
6	6 days/week	388	1.3%
7	7 days/week	322	1.0%
8	More seldom	1103	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	26041	84.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja20c: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Third newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17930 /-] [Invalid=160254 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja25c (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1 day/week	191	1.1%
2	2 days/week	50	0.3%
3	3 days/week	100	0.6%
4	4 days/week	57	0.3%
5	5 days/week	67	0.4%
6	6 days/week	62	0.3%
7	7 days/week	75	0.4%
8	More seldom	315	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	16507	92.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	506	2.8%
96	Question not asked	9706	

<b># ja20c: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Third newspaper</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		150548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja24: Morning paper online reading freq [daily newsp]: The most read newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/95/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27006 /-] [Invalid=151178 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja20a-c. The variable show the highest frequency (the morning paper on the internet which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Some responses are excluded: when an evening tabloid, a online newspaper or any other non morning paper is given by the respondent. Free daily newspapers are, however, included. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	2826	10.5%
2	2 days/week	738	2.7%
3	3 days/week	1552	5.7%
4	4 days/week	989	3.7%
5	5 days/week	1191	4.4%
6	6 days/week	1135	4.2%
7	7 days/week	1020	3.8%
8	More seldom	6099	22.6%
97	No response - part of the question	10850	40.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	606	2.2%
95	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	264	
96	Question not asked	6969	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30930 /-] [Invalid=147254 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	48	0.2%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	3	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	



# ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	43	0.1%
11	Extra Östergötland	0	
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	1	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	0	
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	1	0.0%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
22		1	0.0%
202	Arbetarbladet	61	0.2%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	13	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	44	0.1%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	12	0.0%
207	Folket	6	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	11	0.0%
209	Karlskoga-kuriren	1	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	36	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	160	0.5%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	40	0.1%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	13	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	54	0.2%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	30	0.1%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	9	0.0%
226	Nyheter (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	2	0.0%
301	Blekinge-Posten	1	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	68	0.2%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	23	0.1%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	1	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	18	0.1%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	0	
318	Norra Skåne	29	0.1%
319	Norrteje Tidning	8	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	0	
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	

# ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	42	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	1	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	55	0.2%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	0	
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	7	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	3	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	101	0.3%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	8	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	3	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	1	0.0%
405	Bohusläningen	43	0.1%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	1	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	71	0.2%
410	Falu-Kuriren	48	0.2%
411	Gefle Dagblad	52	0.2%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	851	2.8%
415	Hallands-Posten	62	0.2%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	2	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	18	0.1%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	55	0.2%
421	Ljusnan	17	0.1%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	14	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	214	0.7%
425	Norra Västerbotten	89	0.3%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	14	0.0%
427	Provinstitidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	1	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	6	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	95	0.3%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	432	1.4%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	13	0.0%
440	Uppsala Nya Tidning	157	0.5%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	137	0.4%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	206	0.7%
445	Ystads Allehanda	46	0.1%
446	Örnköldsviks Allehanda	47	0.2%

# ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
501	Barometern/OT	89	0.3%
502	Borås Tidning	99	0.3%
503	Enköpings-Posten	4	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	3	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	1	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	11	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	5	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	112	0.4%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	2	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	119	0.4%
516	Smålandsposten	97	0.3%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	881	2.8%
518	Tranås-Posten	3	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	8	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	18	0.1%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	157	0.5%
601	Arvika Nyheter	3	0.0%
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	89	0.3%
609	Smälänningen	5	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	23	0.1%
611	Norrbottnens-Kuriren	72	0.2%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	13	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	2	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	3	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	1	0.0%
701	Arbetaren	2	0.0%
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	2	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	1	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	20	0.1%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	10	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	2528	8.2%
807	Eesti Päevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	1	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	216	0.7%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	15	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	5	0.0%
820	Kungälv-Posten	0	

# ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	11	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	11	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	0	
826	Mora tidning	7	0.0%
828	Mölnåls-Posten	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	4	0.0%
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning	11	0.0%
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	40	0.1%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	5	0.0%
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	262	0.8%
853	Finnveden Fredag	1	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	0	
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	11	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	131	0.4%
901	Aftonbladet.se	2018	6.5%
902	Expressen.se	189	0.6%
903	GT.se	24	0.1%
904	Kvallsporten.se	9	0.0%
911	E24	6	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	4	0.0%
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	69	0.2%
914	HelaGotland.se	11	0.0%
915	Jnytt	19	0.1%
916	8 sidor.se	3	0.0%
917	helahalsingland.se	2	0.0%
985	Nyhetsidningen Sesam	0	
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	8	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	24	0.1%
994		16	0.1%
997	No response - part of the question	15996	51.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	180	0.6%
999	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
9997		2807	9.1%
996	Question not asked	3309	
Sysmiss		143945	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=\*/996]

# ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30936 /-] [Invalid=147248 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	19	0.1%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	7	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	2	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	1	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	0	
14	Världen Idag	1	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	0	
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
202	Arbetarbladet	16	0.1%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	15	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	30	0.1%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	10	0.0%
207	Folket	5	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	7	0.0%
209	KarlskogaKuriren	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	24	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	46	0.1%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	10	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	15	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	39	0.1%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	28	0.1%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	20	0.1%
226	Nyhetera (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	0	
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	1	0.0%

# ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
305	Hallands Nyheter	15	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	3	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	1	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	10	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	1	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	9	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	3	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	1	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	22	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	11	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	1	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	3	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	1	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	21	0.1%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	4	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	0	
403	Bergslags-Posten	0	
405	Bohusläningen	8	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	1	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	13	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	7	0.0%
411	Gefle Dagblad	24	0.1%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	160	0.5%
415	Hallands-Posten	15	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	0	
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	4	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	14	0.0%
421	Ljusnan	3	0.0%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	6	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	28	0.1%
425	Norra Västerbotten	20	0.1%

# ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	2	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	3	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	1	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	25	0.1%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	96	0.3%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	1	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	35	0.1%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	11	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	40	0.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	8	0.0%
446	Örnköldsviks Allehanda	9	0.0%
501	Barometern/OT	18	0.1%
502	Borås Tidning	23	0.1%
503	Enköpings-Posten	0	
504	Falköpings Tidning	0	
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	4	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	2	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	1	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	18	0.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	1	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	37	0.1%
516	Smålandsposten	17	0.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	611	2.0%
518	Tranås-Posten	0	
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	6	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	3	0.0%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	16	0.1%
601	Arvika Nyheter	1	0.0%
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	17	0.1%
609	Smålänningen	1	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	7	0.0%
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	69	0.2%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	1	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	0	
615	Västgöta-Bladet	0	
616	Ölandsbladet	2	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	1	0.0%
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	

# ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	1	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	0	
803	Borlänge Tidning	5	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	3	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	676	2.2%
807	Eesti Päevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	1	0.0%
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	28	0.1%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	5	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	1	0.0%
820	Kungälv-Posten	0	
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	1	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	0	
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	0	
826	Mora tidning	0	
828	Mölnåls-Posten	1	0.0%
831	Sala Allehanda	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	1	0.0%
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning	2	0.0%
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	11	0.0%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	162	0.5%
853	Finnveden Fredag	1	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	0	
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	95	0.3%
901	Aftonbladet.se	436	1.4%
902	Expressen.se	320	1.0%
903	GT.se	24	0.1%
904	Kvallsposten.se	14	0.0%
911	E24	4	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	1	0.0%
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	8	0.0%
914	HelaGotland.se	1	0.0%
915	Jnytt	6	0.0%
916	8 sidor.se	1	0.0%
917	helahalsingland.se	0	
985	Nyhetsidningen Sesam	0	



### # ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	12	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	9	0.0%
994		18	0.1%
997	No response - part of the question	22188	71.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	70	0.2%
999	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
9997		4260	13.8%
996	Question not asked	3303	
Sysmiss		143945	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/996]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17923 /-] [Invalid=160261 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	6	0.0%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	2	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	0	
11	Extra Östergötland	0	
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	0	
14	Världen Idag	0	
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	0	
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
202	Arbetarbladet	2	0.0%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	0	
205	Dala-Demokraten	1	0.0%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	1	0.0%
207	Folket	2	0.0%

# ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
208	Gotlands Tidningar	2	0.0%
209	KarlskogaKuriren	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	1	0.0%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	8	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	1	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	3	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	2	0.0%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	0	
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	0	
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	0	
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	1	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	0	
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	0	
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	2	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	0	
318	Norra Skåne	1	0.0%
319	Norrteje Tidning	2	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	0	
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	2	0.0%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	4	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	0	
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	0	
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	0	
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	5	0.0%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	0	

# ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
403	Bergslags-Posten	0	
405	Bohusläningen	1	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	4	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	0	
411	Gefle Dagblad	2	0.0%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	22	0.1%
415	Hallands-Posten	1	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	0	
417	Katrinholms-Kuriren	0	
418	Kristianstadsbladet	4	0.0%
421	Ljusnan	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	1	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	6	0.0%
425	Norra Västerbotten	4	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	2	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	0	
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	0	
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	2	0.0%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	24	0.1%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	0	
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	6	0.0%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	6	0.0%
445	Ystads Allehanda	1	0.0%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	2	0.0%
501	Barometern/OT	5	0.0%
502	Borås Tidning	5	0.0%
503	Enköpings-Posten	0	
504	Falköpings Tidning	0	
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	0	
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	7	0.0%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	0	
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	2	0.0%
516	Smålandsposten	2	0.0%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	87	0.5%
518	Tranås-Posten	0	
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	0	
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	1	0.0%

# ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	3	0.0%
601	Arvika Nyheter	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1	0.0%
609	Smälänningen	0	
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	0	
611	Norrbottnens-Kuriren	9	0.1%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	0	
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	0	
615	Västgöta-Bladet	0	
616	Ölandsbladet	1	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	0	
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	0	
803	Borlänge Tidning	0	
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	3	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	99	0.6%
807	Eesti Päevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	6	0.0%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	0	
818	Karlskoga Tidning	0	
820	Kungälv-Posten	0	
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	1	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	0	
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	1	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	0	
828	Mölnads-Posten	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Sätters Tidning	0	
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	2	0.0%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	49	0.3%
853	Finnveden Fredag	0	
855	Stenungsundsposten	0	

### # ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	45	0.3%
901	Aftonbladet.se	76	0.4%
902	Expressen.se	62	0.3%
903	GT.se	7	0.0%
904	Kvallsposten.se	2	0.0%
911	E24	1	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	0	
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	3	0.0%
914	HelaGotland.se	0	
915	Jnytt	0	
916	8 sidor.se	0	
917	helahalsingland.se	0	
985	Nyhetsidningen Sesam	0	
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	7	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	4	0.0%
997	No response - part of the question	16765	93.5%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	17	0.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	506	2.8%
996	Question not asked	9713	
Sysmiss		150548	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja29: Do not read any morning papers online [mainly subscribed local daily newspaper]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=147236 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The opt-out alternative to ja20a-c och ja25a-c.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? - Jag läser aldrig någon morgontidning på internet
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	14415	46.6%
1	Ticked	15846	51.2%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	687	2.2%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		143945	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja30a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Before 8.am

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=141579 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals).

<b># ja30a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Before 8.am</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 8.am		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	0 min	3648	10.0%
2	5 min	2120	5.8%
3	10 min	4056	11.1%
4	15 min	4445	12.1%
5	20 min	3401	9.3%
6	25 min	944	2.6%
7	30 min	2552	7.0%
8	35 min	420	1.1%
9	40 min	513	1.4%
10	45 min	426	1.2%
11	50 min	173	0.5%
12	55 min	43	0.1%
13	60 min	431	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	311	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	10814	29.5%
98	Several responses given	211	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	2097	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		126190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja30b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=141579 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	0 min	5732	15.7%
2	5 min	1022	2.8%
3	10 min	1833	5.0%
4	15 min	2083	5.7%
5	20 min	1713	4.7%
6	25 min	516	1.4%
7	30 min	1697	4.6%
8	35 min	245	0.7%
9	40 min	397	1.1%
10	45 min	419	1.1%
11	50 min	194	0.5%

<b># ja30b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
12	55 min	37	0.1%
13	60 min	446	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	351	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	17620	48.1%
98	Several responses given	204	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		126190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja30c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Noon-5.pm</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=141579 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	0 min	6483	17.7%
2	5 min	1077	2.9%
3	10 min	1212	3.3%
4	15 min	1099	3.0%
5	20 min	717	2.0%
6	25 min	194	0.5%
7	30 min	608	1.7%
8	35 min	104	0.3%
9	40 min	115	0.3%
10	45 min	85	0.2%
11	50 min	35	0.1%
12	55 min	18	0.0%
13	60 min	62	0.2%
14	More than 60 min	66	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	22449	61.3%
98	Several responses given	185	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		126190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja30d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): After 5.pm</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=141579 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm		

### # ja30d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): After 5.pm

Notes 1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	3623	9.9%
2	5 min	2702	7.4%
3	10 min	3108	8.5%
4	15 min	2742	7.5%
5	20 min	2171	5.9%
6	25 min	479	1.3%
7	30 min	1714	4.7%
8	35 min	261	0.7%
9	40 min	337	0.9%
10	45 min	239	0.7%
11	50 min	83	0.2%
12	55 min	16	0.0%
13	60 min	151	0.4%
14	More than 60 min	140	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	16555	45.2%
98	Several responses given	188	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		126190	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja31a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]

Definition See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).

Literal question Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 6.am

Notes 2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	310	16.7%
2	5 min	19	1.0%
3	10 min	42	2.3%
4	15 min	30	1.6%
5	20 min	23	1.2%
6	25 min	7	0.4%
7	30 min	19	1.0%
8	35 min	5	0.3%
9	40 min	7	0.4%
10	45 min	9	0.5%
11	50 min	3	0.2%
12	55 min	1	0.1%
13	60 min	5	0.3%
14	More than 60 min	2	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1342	72.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%



<b># ja31a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja31b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 6.am-8.am</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 6.am-8.am		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	121	6.5%
2	5 min	86	4.6%
3	10 min	157	8.4%
4	15 min	190	10.2%
5	20 min	160	8.6%
6	25 min	40	2.2%
7	30 min	111	6.0%
8	35 min	11	0.6%
9	40 min	21	1.1%
10	45 min	20	1.1%
11	50 min	5	0.3%
12	55 min	3	0.2%
13	60 min	23	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	859	46.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja31c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 8.am-noon</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	185	10.0%
2	5 min	55	3.0%
3	10 min	83	4.5%
4	15 min	94	5.1%
5	20 min	93	5.0%

# ja31c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 8.am-noon

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	25 min	29	1.6%
7	30 min	94	5.1%
8	35 min	11	0.6%
9	40 min	21	1.1%
10	45 min	15	0.8%
11	50 min	8	0.4%
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	22	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	15	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1096	59.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja31d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Noon-5.pm

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	228	12.3%
2	5 min	52	2.8%
3	10 min	54	2.9%
4	15 min	49	2.6%
5	20 min	33	1.8%
6	25 min	7	0.4%
7	30 min	25	1.3%
8	35 min	6	0.3%
9	40 min	3	0.2%
10	45 min	3	0.2%
11	50 min	0	
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	2	0.1%
14	More than 60 min	0	
97	No response - part of the question	1363	73.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja31e: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: After 5.pm

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
--------------------	--

<b># ja31e: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: After 5.pm</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	0 min	150	8.1%
2	5 min	111	6.0%
3	10 min	125	6.7%
4	15 min	99	5.3%
5	20 min	77	4.1%
6	25 min	17	0.9%
7	30 min	57	3.1%
8	35 min	4	0.2%
9	40 min	13	0.7%
10	45 min	5	0.3%
11	50 min	4	0.2%
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	12	0.6%
14	More than 60 min	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1147	61.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja39: I never read a printed daily paper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8896 /-] [Invalid=169288 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The opt-out alternative to ja30a-d och ja31a-e. The wording has changed over time. 2002 (National): "Do not read any morning newspaper"; 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "I never read any local morning newspaper"; 2008 (National): "I never read a printed daily paper".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - I never read a printed daily paper		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	0	
1	Ticked	1122	12.6%
97	No response - part of the question	7399	83.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	4.2%
96	Question not asked	8595	
Sysmiss		160693	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja80a: How often do you read: Metro</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		

<b># ja80a: How often do you read: Metro</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=5433 /-] [Invalid=172751 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you read any of the following?"; 2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?".	
<b>Literal question</b>		Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro	
<b>Notes</b>		1998, 2004 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	134	2.5%
2	3-5 days/week	513	9.4%
3	1-2 days/week	356	6.6%
4	More seldom	1027	18.9%
5	Never	2651	48.8%
97	No response - part of the question	693	12.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	59	1.1%
Sysmiss		172751	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja80b: How often do you read: Stockholm City</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3612 /-] [Invalid=174572 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City	
<b>Notes</b>		2004 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	54	1.5%
2	3-5 days/week	177	4.9%
3	1-2 days/week	121	3.3%
4	More seldom	310	8.6%
5	Never	2202	61.0%
97	No response - part of the question	715	19.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33	0.9%
Sysmiss		174572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja80c: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1657 /-] [Invalid=176527 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed	
<b>Notes</b>		2009 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	48	2.9%
2	3-5 days/week	223	13.5%

### # ja80c: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	1-2 days/week	189	11.4%
4	More seldom	482	29.1%
5	Never	625	37.7%
97	No response - part of the question	66	4.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	24	1.4%
Sysmiss		176527	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja81a: How often do you read: Metro

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17971 /-] [Invalid=160213 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?".
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	166	0.9%
2	6 days/week	178	1.0%
3	5 days/week	967	5.4%
4	4 days/week	446	2.5%
5	3 days/week	538	3.0%
6	2 days/week	520	2.9%
7	1 day/week	541	3.0%
8	More seldom	3255	18.1%
9	Never	8854	49.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2276	12.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	220	1.2%
Sysmiss		160213	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja81b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=174509 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
<b>Notes</b>	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	15	0.4%
2	6 days/week	10	0.3%
3	5 days/week	93	2.5%
4	4 days/week	44	1.2%
5	3 days/week	48	1.3%

### # ja81b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	2 days/week	55	1.5%
7	1 day/week	57	1.6%
8	More seldom	289	7.9%
9	Never	2505	68.2%
97	No response - part of the question	528	14.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	31	0.8%
Sysmiss		174509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja82a: How often do you read: Metro

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18451 /-] [Invalid=159733 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	1510	8.2%
2	3-4 days/week	1752	9.5%
3	1-2 days/week	2396	13.0%
4	More seldom	6389	34.6%
5	Never	5889	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	256	1.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	251	1.4%
96	Question not asked	5011	
Sysmiss		154722	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja82b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6835 /-] [Invalid=171349 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	274	4.0%
2	3-4 days/week	249	3.6%
3	1-2 days/week	273	4.0%
4	More seldom	638	9.3%
5	Never	4348	63.6%

### # ja82b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	930	13.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	116	1.7%
Sysmiss		171349	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja82c: How often do you read: City

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11616 /-] [Invalid=166568 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	292	2.5%
2	3-4 days/week	406	3.5%
3	1-2 days/week	655	5.6%
4	More seldom	1578	13.6%
5	Never	7003	60.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1547	13.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	135	1.2%
Sysmiss		166568	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja82d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15115 /-] [Invalid=163069 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	54	0.4%
2	3-4 days/week	75	0.5%
3	1-2 days/week	121	0.8%
4	More seldom	490	3.2%
5	Never	11604	76.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2574	17.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.3%
Sysmiss		163069	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja82e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11493 /-] [Invalid=166691 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.

<b># ja82e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed</b>			
	Note that this question is not asked in the same question battery as ja82a-d (asked as a single question).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2010-2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	At least 5 days/week	718	6.2%
2	3-4 days/week	1049	9.1%
3	1-2 days/week	1496	13.0%
4	More seldom	4118	35.8%
5	Never	3715	32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	89	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	308	2.7%
96	Question not asked	7820	
Sysmiss		158871	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja90a: How often do you read: Metro</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41855 /-] [Invalid=136329 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on ja80a, ja81a and ja82a.</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you read any of the following?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"; 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"</p> <p>Recoding:  1998, 2004 (National), 2009 (National-3):  '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change  1999-2003 (National):  '7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week'  'More seldom' 'Never' = no change  2005-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010-2011 (National):  'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	At least 3 days/week	6204	14.8%
2	1-2 days/week	3813	9.1%
3	More seldom	10671	25.5%
4	Never	17394	41.6%
97	No response - part of the question	3225	7.7%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	530	1.3%
96	Question not asked	5011	
Sysmiss		131318	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># ja90b: How often do you read: Stockholm City</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14122 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on ja80b, ja81b and ja82b.  The question wording has changed. 2003-2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?";  2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006  (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"</p> <p>Recoding:  2003 (National):  '7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week'  'More seldom' 'Never' = no change  2004 (National):  '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change  2005-2006 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010-2011 (National):  'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	At least 3 days/week	964	6.8%
2	1-2 days/week	506	3.6%
3	More seldom	1237	8.8%
4	Never	9055	64.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2173	15.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.3%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164062	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja90c: How often do you read: City</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11616 /-] [Invalid=166568 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on ja82c.</p> <p>Recoding:  2007-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National):  'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week' 'More seldom' 'Never' = no change  2009 (R3):  '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	At least 3 days/week	698	6.0%
2	1-2 days/week	655	5.6%
3	More seldom	1578	13.6%
4	Never	7003	60.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1547	13.3%

<b># ja90c: How often do you read: City</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	135	1.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		166568	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja90d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15115 /-] [Invalid=163069 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on ja80c och ja82e.  Frågan har varierat över tid. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2007-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"; 2009 (National-3), 2010 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"</p> <p>Recoding:  2009 (National-3):  '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change  1999-2003 (National):  '7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week'  '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week'  'More seldom' 'Never' = no change  2005, 2007-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National):  'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter		
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2007-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	129	0.9%
2	1-2 days/week	121	0.8%
3	More seldom	490	3.2%
4	Never	11604	76.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2574	17.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.3%
Sysmiss		163069	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja90e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21707 /-] [Invalid=156477 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Note that this question is not asked in the same question battery as ja82a-d (asked as a single question).</p> <p>Recoding:  2010-2011 (National):  'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week'  '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2011 (National)		

### # ja90e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	2933	13.5%
2	1-2 days/week	2706	12.5%
3	More seldom	8012	36.9%
4	Never	7186	33.1%
97	No response - part of the question	427	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	437	2.0%
96	Question not asked	45836	
Sysmiss		110641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja100a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34365 /-] [Invalid=143819 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1998, 2004-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2175	6.3%
2	3-5 days/week	2783	8.1%
3	1-2 days/week	5185	15.1%
4	More seldom	10726	31.2%
5	Never	9290	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	3897	11.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	0.9%
96	Question not asked	3509	
Sysmiss		140310	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja100b: How often do you read: Expressen

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34365 /-] [Invalid=143819 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1998, 2004-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2124	6.2%
2	3-5 days/week	2507	7.3%
3	1-2 days/week	4532	13.2%
4	More seldom	11983	34.9%
5	Never	8887	25.9%

### # ja100b: How often do you read: Expressen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	4023	11.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	0.9%
96	Question not asked	3509	
Sysmiss		140310	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja100c: How often do you read: GT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17578 /-] [Invalid=160606 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1998, 2004-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	142	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	236	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	581	3.3%
4	More seldom	2091	11.9%
5	Never	10813	61.5%
97	No response - part of the question	3521	20.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	194	1.1%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		158866	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja100d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17578 /-] [Invalid=160606 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1998, 2004-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	130	0.7%
2	3-5 days/week	227	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	556	3.2%
4	More seldom	1872	10.6%
5	Never	11112	63.2%
97	No response - part of the question	3487	19.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	194	1.1%
96	Question not asked	1740	

### # ja100d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		158866	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja100e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16787 /-] [Invalid=161397 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "GT/Kvällsposten"; 1990-1994 (National): "Idag (GT/Kvällsposten)"; 2007 (National): "GT or Kvällsposten". The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1994, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	499	3.0%
2	3-5 days/week	597	3.6%
3	1-2 days/week	1172	7.0%
4	More seldom	2678	16.0%
5	Never	8622	51.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3104	18.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	115	0.7%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		159628	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja110a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=158473 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	987	5.0%
2	6 days/week	302	1.5%
3	5 days/week	720	3.7%
4	4 days/week	675	3.4%
5	3 days/week	1094	5.6%
6	2 days/week	1543	7.8%
7	1 day/week	2327	11.8%
8	More seldom	6352	32.2%
9	Never	4039	20.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1425	7.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%

<b># ja110a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		156652	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja110b: How often do you read: Expressen</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=158473 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	7 days/week	548	2.8%
2	6 days/week	161	0.8%
3	5 days/week	352	1.8%
4	4 days/week	340	1.7%
5	3 days/week	630	3.2%
6	2 days/week	1004	5.1%
7	1 day/week	1640	8.3%
8	More seldom	7567	38.4%
9	Never	5114	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2112	10.7%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		156652	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja110c: How often do you read: GT</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=158473 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	7 days/week	135	0.7%
2	6 days/week	45	0.2%
3	5 days/week	79	0.4%
4	4 days/week	79	0.4%
5	3 days/week	130	0.7%
6	2 days/week	302	1.5%
7	1 day/week	352	1.8%
8	More seldom	2583	13.1%

### # ja110c: How often do you read: GT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Never	12444	63.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3321	16.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		156652	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja110d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=158473 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	123	0.6%
2	6 days/week	35	0.2%
3	5 days/week	75	0.4%
4	4 days/week	67	0.3%
5	3 days/week	128	0.6%
6	2 days/week	303	1.5%
7	1 day/week	368	1.9%
8	More seldom	2323	11.8%
9	Never	12758	64.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3294	16.7%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		156652	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja120a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54076 /-] [Invalid=124108 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	3464	6.4%
2	3-5 days/week	5272	9.7%

### # ja120a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	1-2 days/week	9055	16.7%
4	More seldom	17078	31.6%
5	Never	13329	24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	5322	9.8%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	539	1.0%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		122339	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja120b: How often do you read: Expressen

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54076 /-] [Invalid=124108 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2833	5.2%
2	3-5 days/week	3829	7.1%
3	1-2 days/week	7176	13.3%
4	More seldom	19550	36.2%
5	Never	14001	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	6135	11.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	539	1.0%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		122339	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja120c: How often do you read: GT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=140895 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	322	0.9%
2	3-5 days/week	524	1.4%



### # ja120c: How often do you read: GT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	1-2 days/week	1235	3.3%
4	More seldom	4674	12.5%
5	Never	23257	62.4%
97	No response - part of the question	6842	18.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		140895	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja120d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=140895 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	288	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	497	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1227	3.3%
4	More seldom	4195	11.2%
5	Never	23870	64.0%
97	No response - part of the question	6781	18.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		140895	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja130a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	487	4.9%
2	3-5 days/week	641	6.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1533	15.4%
4	More seldom	3740	37.6%
5	Never	2737	27.5%
97	No response - part of the question	717	7.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%

<b># ja130a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja130b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	1382	13.9%
2	3-5 days/week	1189	12.0%
3	1-2 days/week	1010	10.2%
4	More seldom	1772	17.8%
5	Never	3218	32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1284	12.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja130c: How often do you read: Expressen printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	234	2.4%
2	3-5 days/week	297	3.0%
3	1-2 days/week	837	8.4%
4	More seldom	3669	36.9%
5	Never	3949	39.7%
97	No response - part of the question	869	8.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja130d: How often do you read: Expressen online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)		

### # ja130d: How often do you read: Expressen online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	515	5.2%
2	3-5 days/week	521	5.2%
3	1-2 days/week	544	5.5%
4	More seldom	1934	19.4%
5	Never	4916	49.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1425	14.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja130e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	134	1.3%
2	3-5 days/week	163	1.6%
3	1-2 days/week	482	4.8%
4	More seldom	1484	14.9%
5	Never	6824	68.6%
97	No response - part of the question	768	7.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja130f: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=168235 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten online		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	98	1.0%
2	3-5 days/week	85	0.9%
3	1-2 days/week	140	1.4%
4	More seldom	810	8.1%
5	Never	7334	73.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1388	14.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja140a: How often do you read: Evening tabloid printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=174825 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	250	7.4%
2	3-5 days/week	300	8.9%
3	1-2 days/week	571	17.0%
4	More seldom	1177	35.0%
5	Never	779	23.2%
97	No response - part of the question	230	6.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	52	1.5%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		168251	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja140b: How often do you read: Evening tabloid online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=174825 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) online		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	476	14.2%
2	3-5 days/week	422	12.6%
3	1-2 days/week	291	8.7%

# ja140b: How often do you read: Evening tabloid online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	More seldom	595	17.7%
5	Never	977	29.1%
97	No response - part of the question	546	16.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	52	1.5%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		168251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja150a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	78	4.7%
2	3-5 days/week	90	5.4%
3	1-2 days/week	265	16.0%
4	More seldom	583	35.3%
5	Never	484	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	128	7.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja150b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	230	13.9%
2	3-5 days/week	181	10.9%
3	1-2 days/week	164	9.9%
4	More seldom	293	17.7%
5	Never	528	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	232	14.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># ja150c: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	33	2.0%
2	3-5 days/week	23	1.4%
3	1-2 days/week	39	2.4%
4	More seldom	90	5.4%
5	Never	1108	67.0%
97	No response - part of the question	335	20.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja150d: How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	53	3.2%
2	3-5 days/week	57	3.4%
3	1-2 days/week	195	11.8%
4	More seldom	574	34.7%
5	Never	618	37.4%
97	No response - part of the question	131	7.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja150e: How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online		
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	81	4.9%
2	3-5 days/week	74	4.5%
3	1-2 days/week	91	5.5%
4	More seldom	306	18.5%

<b># ja150e: How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Never	811	49.1%
97	No response - part of the question	264	16.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja150f: How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on mobile phone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	5	0.3%
2	3-5 days/week	4	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	17	1.0%
4	More seldom	74	4.5%
5	Never	1183	71.6%
97	No response - part of the question	345	20.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15987 /-] [Invalid=162197 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	523	3.3%
2	3-5 days/week	696	4.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1610	10.1%
4	More seldom	5528	34.6%
5	Never	5538	34.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1890	11.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1625	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ja160b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11053 /-] [Invalid=167131 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	2062	18.7%
2	3-5 days/week	1378	12.5%
3	1-2 days/week	1071	9.7%
4	More seldom	2048	18.5%
5	Never	2924	26.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1450	13.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	120	1.1%
96	Question not asked	6559	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160c: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	702	14.2%
2	3-5 days/week	553	11.2%
3	1-2 days/week	504	10.2%
4	More seldom	964	19.5%
5	Never	1446	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	687	13.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160d: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	444	9.0%
2	3-5 days/week	246	5.0%
3	1-2 days/week	285	5.8%
4	More seldom	487	9.9%



<b># ja160d: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5	Never	2422	49.1%
97	No response - part of the question	972	19.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160e: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	146	3.0%
2	3-5 days/week	109	2.2%
3	1-2 days/week	116	2.4%
4	More seldom	322	6.5%
5	Never	3088	62.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1076	21.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160f: How often do you read: Expressen printed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15987 /-] [Invalid=162197 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	324	2.0%
2	3-5 days/week	414	2.6%
3	1-2 days/week	985	6.2%
4	More seldom	4959	31.0%
5	Never	7285	45.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1814	11.3%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1625	
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160g: How often do you read: Expressen online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ja160g: How often do you read: Expressen online</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11053 /-] [Invalid=167131 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	754	6.8%
2	3-5 days/week	649	5.9%
3	1-2 days/week	650	5.9%
4	More seldom	2213	20.0%
5	Never	5023	45.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1641	14.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	120	1.1%
96	Question not asked	6559	
Systemmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160h: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	249	5.0%
2	3-5 days/week	223	4.5%
3	1-2 days/week	304	6.2%
4	More seldom	908	18.4%
5	Never	2374	48.1%
97	No response - part of the question	798	16.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Systemmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja160i: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	109	2.2%
2	3-5 days/week	102	2.1%
3	1-2 days/week	136	2.8%
4	More seldom	443	9.0%
5	Never	3047	61.8%

# ja160i: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1019	20.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		160572	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja160j: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	55	1.1%
2	3-5 days/week	57	1.2%
3	1-2 days/week	49	1.0%
4	More seldom	290	5.9%
5	Never	3330	67.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1076	21.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		160572	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja170a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet, printed (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? Aftonbladet printed
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dagligen	1634	1.8%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	574	0.6%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	1291	1.4%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	3242	3.5%
5	Mer sällan	18978	20.7%
6	Aldrig	51210	55.9%
97	Ej svar - del av frågan	13329	14.6%
98	Dubbelkryss	167	0.2%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	1110	1.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja170b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
--------------------	---

<b># ja170b: How often du you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Dagligen	22345	24.4%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	5501	6.0%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	7579	8.3%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	9093	9.9%
5	Mer sällan	18185	19.9%
6	Aldrig	21330	23.3%
97	Ej svar - del av frågan	6150	6.7%
98	Dubbelkruss	242	0.3%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	1110	1.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja170c: How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed (2014-)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Dagligen	1149	1.3%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	505	0.6%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	1065	1.2%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	2760	3.0%
5	Mer sällan	16827	18.4%
6	Aldrig	54059	59.1%
97	Ej svar - del av frågan	13830	15.1%
98	Dubbelkruss	230	0.3%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	1110	1.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja170d: How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online (2014-)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Dagligen	11557	12.6%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	3284	3.6%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	5094	5.6%

### # ja170d: How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online (2014-)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	7567	8.3%
5	Mer sällan	21671	23.7%
6	Aldrig	32921	36.0%
97	Ej svar - del av frågan	8146	8.9%
98	Dubbelkryss	185	0.2%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	1110	1.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja190a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=81665 /-] [Invalid=96519 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100a, (1986-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006, 2007 (National-1)), ja110a (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003), ja130a (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja150a (2010 (National-1)) and ja160a (2011). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-) and ja195a (Aftonbladet online). The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-2007 (National): "Aftonbladet"; 2007- (National): "Aftonbladet printed".
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	4552	5.6%
2	3-5 days/week	6699	8.2%
3	1-2 days/week	12463	15.3%
4	More seldom	26929	33.0%
5	Never	22088	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	8057	9.9%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	855	1.0%
96	Question not asked	3359	
Sysmiss		93160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja190b: How often do you read: Expressen printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=80012 /-] [Invalid=98172 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100b (1986-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006, 2007 (National-1)), ja110b (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003), ja130c (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)) och ja160f (2011). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-) and ja195b (Expressen online). The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-2007 (National): "Expressen"; 2007- (National): "Expressen printed".
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed

### # ja190b: How often do you read: Expressen printed

<b>Notes</b>		1986- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	3391	4.2%
2	3-5 days/week	4540	5.7%
3	1-2 days/week	8998	11.2%
4	More seldom	28178	35.2%
5	Never	25235	31.5%
97	No response - part of the question	8818	11.0%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	830	1.0%
96	Question not asked	5012	
Sysmiss		93160	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja190c: How often do you read: GT printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=140895 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100c (1995-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006), ja110c (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-). The question wording has changed. 1995-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT printed
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2006 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	322	0.9%
2	3-5 days/week	524	1.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1235	3.3%
4	More seldom	4674	12.5%
5	Never	23257	62.4%
97	No response - part of the question	6842	18.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		140895	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja190d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=140895 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja100d (1995-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006), ja110d (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-). The question wording has changed. 1995-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten printed
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2006 (National)

### # ja190d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten printed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	288	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	497	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1227	3.3%
4	More seldom	4195	11.2%
5	Never	23870	64.0%
97	No response - part of the question	6781	18.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		140895	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja190e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26736 /-] [Invalid=151448 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja190c and ja190d where GT and Kvällsposten are separated into two items. Constructed variable based on ja100e, (1986-1994, 2007 (National-1)), ja130e (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2) 2010 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1986-1994 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2007-2010 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "GT/Kvällsposten"; 1990-1994 (National): "Idag (GT/Kvällsposten)"; 2007 (National-1): "GT or Kvällsposten"; 2007 (National-2), 2008-2010 (National): "GT or Kvällsposten printed".
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1994, 2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	633	2.4%
2	3-5 days/week	760	2.8%
3	1-2 days/week	1654	6.2%
4	More seldom	4162	15.6%
5	Never	15446	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	3872	14.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	209	0.8%
96	Question not asked	5012	
Sysmiss		146436	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja194: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=84516 /-] [Invalid=93668 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja140a, ja150d and ja190a-e. The variable show the highest frequency (the evening tabloid which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Note that the number of alternatives given has varied. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	8524	10.1%

<b># ja194: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	3-5 days/week	10936	12.9%
3	1-2 days/week	18364	21.7%
4	More seldom	28464	33.7%
5	Never	15718	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1727	2.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	783	0.9%
96	Question not asked	508	
Sysmiss		93160	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja195a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=42355 /-] [Invalid=135829 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Before 2007 this question was asked in another question battery concerning newspaper readership in general. Constructed variable based on ja130b (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja150b (2010 (National-2)), ja160b (2011 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "How often do you take part of any of the following on the Internet?"; 2003-2005 (National): "How often do you visit any of the following news sites on the Internet?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following papers on the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	4740	11.2%
2	3-5 days/week	4351	10.3%
3	1-2 days/week	3892	9.2%
4	More seldom	7420	17.5%
5	Never	15728	37.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3329	7.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2886	6.8%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		123591	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ja195b: How often do you read: Expressen online</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40702 /-] [Invalid=137482 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Before 2007 this question was asked in another question battery concerning newspaper readership in general. Constructed variable based on ja130d (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja160g (2011 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "How often do you take part of any of the following on the Internet?"; 2003-2005 (National): "How often do you visit any of the following news sites on the Internet?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following papers on the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)



### # ja195b: How often do you read: Expressen online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	1625	4.0%
2	3-5 days/week	1734	4.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1979	4.9%
4	More seldom	7234	17.8%
5	Never	21451	52.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3808	9.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2861	7.0%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		125244	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja196: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2507	2.7%
2	3-5 days/week	940	1.0%
3	1-2 days/week	2013	2.2%
4	More seldom	4827	5.3%
5	Never	21343	23.3%
6		48327	52.8%
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	10436	11.4%
98	Several responses given	32	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1110	1.2%
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja197: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online (2014-)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	24579	26.9%
2	3-5 days/week	6185	6.8%
3	1-2 days/week	8153	8.9%
4	More seldom	9402	10.3%
5	Never	17753	19.4%
6		19479	21.3%
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	4799	5.2%

<b># ja197: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online (2014-)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	75	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1110	1.2%
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja199: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=49153 /-] [Invalid=129031 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on ja130f, ja140b, ja140d, ja150c, ja150e-f, ja160b-e, ja160g-j och ja195a-b. The variable show the highest frequency (the evening tabloid which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Note that the number of alternatives given has varied. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	6404	13.0%
2	3-5 days/week	5607	11.4%
3	1-2 days/week	4860	9.9%
4	More seldom	9023	18.4%
5	Never	17752	36.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2672	5.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2834	5.8%
96	Question not asked	13733	
Sysmiss		115298	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300a: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Dagens Nyheter</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	6813	7.4%
2	5-6 days/week	2199	2.4%
3	3-4 days/week	3889	4.2%
4	1-2 days/week	6653	7.3%
5	More seldom	19421	21.2%
6	Never	33928	37.1%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	7059	7.7%
98	Several responses given	66	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ja300b: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Svenska Dagbladet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	3414	3.7%
2	5-6 days/week	1362	1.5%
3	3-4 days/week	2733	3.0%
4	1-2 days/week	5357	5.9%
5	More seldom	19276	21.1%
6	Never	39291	42.9%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	8564	9.4%
98	Several responses given	31	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300c: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: A local morning paper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	10908	11.9%
2	5-6 days/week	3973	4.3%
3	3-4 days/week	5565	6.1%
4	1-2 days/week	7978	8.7%
5	More seldom	16905	18.5%
6	Never	27452	30.0%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	7091	7.7%
98	Several responses given	156	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300d: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		

# ja300d: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	7518	8.2%
2	5-6 days/week	2749	3.0%
3	3-4 days/week	4704	5.1%
4	1-2 days/week	7274	7.9%
5	More seldom	20640	22.5%
6	Never	28879	31.5%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	8126	8.9%
98	Several responses given	138	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja300e: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Television

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	12350	13.5%
2	5-6 days/week	3857	4.2%
3	3-4 days/week	5899	6.4%
4	1-2 days/week	8912	9.7%
5	More seldom	19722	21.5%
6	Never	22352	24.4%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	6789	7.4%
98	Several responses given	147	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja300f: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Nyheter24

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6876 /-] [Invalid=171308 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
<b>Notes</b>	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	78	1.1%
2	5-6 days/week	39	0.6%
3	3-4 days/week	118	1.7%
4	1-2 days/week	238	3.5%
5	More seldom	1130	16.4%

# ja300f: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Nyheter24

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Never	3275	47.6%
95		1279	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	675	9.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	0.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		171308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja300g: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Social media

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	23248	25.4%
2	5-6 days/week	6102	6.7%
3	3-4 days/week	7329	8.0%
4	1-2 days/week	6502	7.1%
5	More seldom	10808	11.8%
6	Never	19062	20.8%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	6881	7.5%
98	Several responses given	96	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja300h: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Flashback

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6876 /-] [Invalid=171308 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
<b>Notes</b>	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	49	0.7%
2	5-6 days/week	37	0.5%
3	3-4 days/week	80	1.2%
4	1-2 days/week	166	2.4%
5	More seldom	1021	14.8%
6	Never	3509	51.0%
95		1279	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	693	10.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	0.6%

<b># ja300h: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Flashback</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		171308	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300i: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Non-Swedish news provider</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91535 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2014-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	5248	5.7%
2	5-6 days/week	2023	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	3441	3.8%
4	1-2 days/week	5252	5.7%
5	More seldom	15398	16.8%
6	Never	39978	43.7%
95		9991	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	8590	9.4%
98	Several responses given	98	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1516	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300j: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other local news provider</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=84659 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2015-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	3241	3.8%
2	5-6 days/week	1572	1.9%
3	3-4 days/week	2747	3.2%
4	1-2 days/week	4986	5.9%
5	More seldom	16867	19.9%
6	Never	34768	41.1%
95		8712	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	10238	12.1%
98	Several responses given	52	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1476	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		93525	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja300k: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

<b># ja300k: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=84659 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
<b>Notes</b>	2015		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	5093	6.0%
2	5-6 days/week	1792	2.1%
3	3-4 days/week	2604	3.1%
4	1-2 days/week	4020	4.7%
5	More seldom	15552	18.4%
6	Never	35909	42.4%
95		8712	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	9447	11.2%
98	Several responses given	54	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1476	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		93525	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja500a: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=171469 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The item wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Radio/TV pages"; 1996, 2007 (National): "Articles about radio and tv". The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1139	17.0%
2	A great deal	2407	35.8%
3	Not much	1619	24.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	494	7.4%
97	No response - part of the question	551	8.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		165057	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja500b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=171469 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following		

<b># ja500b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news</b>			
	types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	937	14.0%
2	A great deal	2690	40.1%
3	Not much	1669	24.9%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	349	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	565	8.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		165057	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja500c: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=171469 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	509	7.6%
2	A great deal	1501	22.4%
3	Not much	2389	35.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1126	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	684	10.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		165057	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja500d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=171469 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)		



# ja500d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2123	31.6%
2	A great deal	2912	43.4%
3	Not much	567	8.4%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	128	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	561	8.4%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	6.2%
96		4746	
Sysmiss		166723	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ja500e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=171469 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1187	17.7%
2	A great deal	1346	20.0%
3	Not much	1555	23.2%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1575	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	543	8.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		165057	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># ja500f: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=173093 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor		
<b>Notes</b>	1996, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	742	14.6%
2	A great deal	1585	31.1%
3	Not much	1330	26.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	529	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	482	9.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	8.2%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		166681	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja500g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=173093 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news		
<b>Notes</b>	1996, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1033	20.3%
2	A great deal	1449	28.5%
3	Not much	1228	24.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	497	9.8%
97	No response - part of the question	465	9.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	8.2%
96		4746	
Sysmiss		168347	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja501a: Content read in local newspaper: Local news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news		
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)		

### # ja501a: Content read in local newspaper: Local news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	3194	29.4%
2	A great deal	4926	45.4%
3	Not much	809	7.5%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	176	1.6%
5	Do not know	83	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	782	7.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		158629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja501b: Content read in local newspaper: Culture

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1995, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "Cultural articles", 2002 (National): "Culture".
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Culture
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	677	6.2%
2	A great deal	2448	22.6%
3	Not much	3326	30.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	2128	19.6%
5	Do not know	169	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1221	11.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		158629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja501c: Content read in local newspaper: Letters to the editor

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1325	12.2%
2	A great deal	3342	30.8%
3	Not much	2818	26.0%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1385	12.8%
5	Do not know	119	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	989	9.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%

### # ja501c: Content read in local newspaper: Letters to the editor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		158629	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja501d: Content read in local newspaper: Sports

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1681	15.5%
2	A great deal	2257	20.8%
3	Not much	2290	21.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	2631	24.2%
5	Do not know	151	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	955	8.8%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		158629	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja501e: Content read in local newspaper: Family news

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Itemnamnet har varierat. 1995 (Riks): "Familjenyheter"; 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (Riks): "Familjenyheter"; 2002 (Riks): "Familjematerial".
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1948	17.9%
2	A great deal	3151	29.0%
3	Not much	2477	22.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1342	12.4%
5	Do not know	133	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	918	8.5%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	6923	
Sysmiss		160407	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ja501f: Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=167330 /-]

<b># ja501f: Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1512	13.9%
2	A great deal	4069	37.5%
3	Not much	2426	22.4%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	878	8.1%
5	Do not know	101	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	979	9.0%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		158629	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja501g: Content read in local newspaper: Foreign news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9023 /-] [Invalid=169161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news		
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1044	11.6%
2	A great deal	3586	39.7%
3	Not much	2139	23.7%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	569	6.3%
5	Do not know	88	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	772	8.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	818	9.1%
96	Question not asked	6923	
Sysmiss		162238	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja502a: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=162158 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	4859	30.3%

<b># ja502a: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	A great deal	7245	45.2%
3	Not much	1270	7.9%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	277	1.7%
5	Do not know	83	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1327	8.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	5.9%
Sysmiss		162158	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja502b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=162158 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501.</p> <p>The item wording has changed. 1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006-2007 (National): "Cultural articles", 2002 (National): "Culture".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1066	6.7%
2	A great deal	3559	22.2%
3	Not much	5248	32.7%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	3078	19.2%
5	Do not know	169	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1857	11.6%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		160492	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja502c: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14402 /-] [Invalid=163782 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501.</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1876	13.0%

### # ja502c: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	A great deal	4495	31.2%
3	Not much	3764	26.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1751	12.2%
5	Do not know	119	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1442	10.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	6.6%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162116	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja502d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=162158 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2620	16.3%
2	A great deal	3339	20.8%
3	Not much	3499	21.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	3889	24.3%
5	Do not know	151	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1473	9.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		160492	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ja502e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14402 /-] [Invalid=163782 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2723	18.9%

<b># ja502e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	A great deal	4200	29.2%
3	Not much	3345	23.2%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1675	11.6%
5	Do not know	133	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1363	9.5%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	6.6%
Sysmiss		163782	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja502f: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=162158 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	2495	15.6%
2	A great deal	6005	37.5%
3	Not much	3654	22.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1213	7.6%
5	Do not know	101	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1506	9.4%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		160492	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja502g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14195 /-] [Invalid=163989 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everything/practically everything	1757	12.4%



<b># ja502g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	A great deal	5713	40.2%
3	Not much	3491	24.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	853	6.0%
5	Do not know	88	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1305	9.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	981	6.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162323	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ja600: Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=112316 /-] [Invalid=65868 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "Do you or somebody else in your household subscribe to this newspaper?"; 1998- (National): "Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?"</p> <p>West: 1998-2009 (West) there are two versions of this question: in WVG (11) (1998-2008) the question was asked with "Yes"/"No", followed by an open question (which title); in WGR (12) (1998-2008) the question was asked with closed alternatives (different newspaper titles). In the cases where "Yes" was absent as a specific alternative and the respondent has chosen a newspaper, a "Yes" response is coded. Since 2009 the question has been asked with "Yes"/"No", followed by an open question.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1998- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	72266	64.3%
2	No	36859	32.8%
97	No response - part of the question	428	0.4%
98	Several responses given	28	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2735	2.4%
96		65859	
Sysmiss		9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb10: Past 12 months: Used the Internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=42385 /-] [Invalid=135799 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Originally from the so called life style battery (see ma10-ma190). See also jb11 (2008-) and jb12 (constructed variable based on jb10 and jb11).</p> <p>The item wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "Surfed on the Internet", 1998-2008 (National): "Used the Internet". The question wording has changed. 1995-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2008 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used the Internet		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	13655	32.2%

### # jb10: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	About once in the last 12 months	1176	2.8%
3	About once every half year	506	1.2%
4	About once every quarter	749	1.8%
5	About once every month	2733	6.4%
6	About once a week	4800	11.3%
7	Several times a week	16783	39.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1004	2.4%
98	Several responses given	57	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	922	2.2%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		134201	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb11: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=122294 /-] [Invalid=55890 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jb12 (constructed variable based on jb10 and jb11). Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9293	7.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	594	0.5%
3	About once every half year	421	0.3%
4	About once every month	1791	1.5%
5	About once a week	4215	3.4%
6	Several times a week	11175	9.1%
7	Daily	89829	73.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2100	1.7%
98	Several responses given	127	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2749	2.2%
Sysmiss		55890	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb19: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=163018 /-] [Invalid=15166 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jb10 och jb11. The item wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "Surfed on the Internet". 1998-2008 (National): "Used the internet". Since 2008 this has been asked as a single question. The question wording has changed. 1995-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?". 2003-2008 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"; 2008- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?"  Recoding: 3 'About once every half year/quarter/month' includes the corresponding alternatives ('About once every half year', 'About once every quarter' och 'About once every month'); 5 'Several times a week/daily' includes the corresponding alternatives ('Several times a week' and 'Daily'). Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.

<b># jb19: Past 12 months: Used the Internet</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?		
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	22654	13.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1751	1.1%
3	About once every half year/quarter/month	6141	3.8%
4	About once a week	8916	5.5%
5	Several times a week/daily	116650	71.6%
97	No response - part of the question	3078	1.9%
98	Several responses given	181	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3647	2.2%
Sysmiss		15166	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100a: Purpose of personal Internet use: Entertainment/games</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Entertainment/games		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	5553	33.9%
1	Ticked	4263	26.0%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100b: Purpose of personal Internet use: Technical use/making home pages</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Technical use/making home pages		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	8969	54.7%
1	Ticked	847	5.2%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	

<b># jb100b: Purpose of personal Internet use: Technical use/making home pages</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		151286	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100c: Purpose of personal Internet use: Participate in discussion/chat group</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Participate in discussion/chat group		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	8746	53.4%
1	Ticked	1070	6.5%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100d: Purpose of personal Internet use: Hear/read the latest news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Hear/read the latest news		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	6057	37.0%
1	Ticked	3759	22.9%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100e: Purpose of personal Internet use: Fact-finding/information searches</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Fact-finding/information searches		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)		

### # jb100e: Purpose of personal Internet use: Fact-finding/information searches

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2063	12.6%
1	Ticked	7753	47.3%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jb100f: Purpose of personal Internet use: E-mail

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - E-mail
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2937	17.9%
1	Ticked	6879	42.0%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jb100g: Purpose of personal Internet use: Order products or services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Order products or services
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	7252	44.3%
1	Ticked	2564	15.6%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		160097	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jb100h: Purpose of personal Internet use: Download/listen to music

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
--------------------	---

<b># jb100h: Purpose of personal Internet use: Download/listen to music</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11069 /-] [Invalid=167115 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Download/listen to music		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	5986	54.1%
1	Ticked	1500	13.6%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	573	5.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3010	27.2%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		156601	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100i: Purpose of personal Internet use: Bank errands</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11069 /-] [Invalid=167115 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Bank errands		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	4047	36.6%
1	Ticked	3439	31.1%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	573	5.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3010	27.2%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		156601	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb100j: Purpose of personal Internet use: Get forms/information from authorities</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=172656 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Get forms/information from authorities		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	2835	51.3%
1	Ticked	1189	21.5%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	0	
98	Several responses given	0	

### # jb100j: Purpose of personal Internet use: Get forms/information from authorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1504	27.2%
96	Question not asked	5368	
Sysmiss		167288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb100k: Purpose of personal Internet use: Other

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=161800 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
<b>Literal question</b>	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Other
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	9100	55.5%
1	Ticked	716	4.4%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		151286	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb110a: How often done on the Internet: Sent/received e-mails

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=92720 /-] [Invalid=85464 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Sent/received e-mails
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5343	5.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1529	1.6%
3	About once every half year	1271	1.4%
4	About once every month	4706	5.1%
5	About once a week	9039	9.7%
6	Several times a week	17216	18.6%
7	Daily	42554	45.9%
95		1278	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	7626	8.2%
98	Several responses given	75	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2083	2.2%
96	Question not asked	29785	
Sysmiss		55679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# <b>jb110b: How often done on the Internet: Participated in discussion forums</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8546 /-] [Invalid=169638 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Participated in discussion forums
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4950	57.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	485	5.7%
3	About once every half year	247	2.9%
4	About once every month	287	3.4%
5	About once a week	239	2.8%
6	Several times a week	203	2.4%
7	Daily	247	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1173	13.7%
98	Several responses given	37	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	678	7.9%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		167914	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# <b>jb110c: How often done on the Internet: Heard/read the latest news</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=124348 /-] [Invalid=53836 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Heard/read the latest news
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	10233	8.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3473	2.8%
3	About once every half year	2282	1.8%
4	About once every month	6676	5.4%
5	About once a week	14038	11.3%
6	Several times a week	20200	16.2%
7	Daily	53380	42.9%
95		2716	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	8207	6.6%
98	Several responses given	111	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3032	2.4%
96	Question not asked	8227	
Sysmiss		45609	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# <b>jb110d: How often done on the Internet: Fact-finding/information searches</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]



<b># jb110d: How often done on the Internet: Fact-finding/information searches</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=127725 /-] [Invalid=50459 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Fact-finding/information searches		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3724	2.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1582	1.2%
3	About once every half year	1592	1.2%
4	About once every month	7037	5.5%
5	About once a week	15006	11.7%
6	Several times a week	32935	25.8%
7	Daily	51596	40.4%
95		2754	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	8268	6.5%
98	Several responses given	94	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3137	2.5%
96	Question not asked	4863	
Sysmiss		45596	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110e: How often done on the Internet: Commented news articles</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33648 /-] [Invalid=144536 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Commented news articles		
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2007, 2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	23539	70.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2010	6.0%
3	About once every half year	1161	3.5%
4	About once every month	1128	3.4%
5	About once a week	648	1.9%
6	Several times a week	369	1.1%
7	Daily	417	1.2%
95		501	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2705	8.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1141	3.4%
96	Question not asked	80732	
Sysmiss		63804	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110f: How often done on the Internet: Read a blog</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

### # jb110f: How often done on the Internet: Read a blog

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=57042 /-] [Invalid=121142 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Read a blog
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21737	38.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5411	9.5%
3	About once every half year	3922	6.9%
4	About once every month	6594	11.6%
5	About once a week	5002	8.8%
6	Several times a week	2909	5.1%
7	Daily	3512	6.2%
95		958	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	5444	9.5%
98	Several responses given	40	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1513	2.7%
96	Question not asked	70537	
Sysmiss		50605	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jb110g: How often done on the Internet: Bought/ordered products or services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=106237 /-] [Invalid=71947 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/ordered products or services
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2010, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15767	14.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10759	10.1%
3	About once every half year	21384	20.1%
4	About once every month	34407	32.4%
5	About once a week	8256	7.8%
6	Several times a week	2547	2.4%
7	Daily	1261	1.2%
95		2469	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6695	6.3%
98	Several responses given	116	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2576	2.4%
96	Question not asked	21461	
Sysmiss		50486	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jb110h: How often done on the Internet: Made bank errands

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
--------------------	--

<b># jb110h: How often done on the Internet: Made bank errands</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=45486 /-] [Invalid=132698 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made bank errands		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5751	12.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1036	2.3%
3	About once every half year	1067	2.3%
4	About once every month	13347	29.3%
5	About once a week	10722	23.6%
6	Several times a week	6110	13.4%
7	Daily	1475	3.2%
95		729	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	4047	8.9%
98	Several responses given	46	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1156	2.5%
96	Question not asked	87110	
Sysmiss		45588	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110i: How often done on the Internet: Made errands at authority websites</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=72895 /-] [Invalid=105289 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made errands at authority websites		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	15777	21.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10755	14.8%
3	About once every half year	13999	19.2%
4	About once every month	15727	21.6%
5	About once a week	4749	6.5%
6	Several times a week	1840	2.5%
7	Daily	906	1.2%
95		1457	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	5814	8.0%
98	Several responses given	68	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1803	2.5%
96	Question not asked	54655	
Sysmiss		50634	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110j: How often done on the Internet: Downloaded movies or TV series</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># jb110j: How often done on the Internet: Downloaded movies or TV series</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13345 /-] [Invalid=164839 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Downloaded movies or TV series		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2007, 2012- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	8218	61.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	643	4.8%
3	About once every half year	488	3.7%
4	About once every month	785	5.9%
5	About once a week	433	3.2%
6	Several times a week	308	2.3%
7	Daily	264	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1754	13.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	451	3.4%
96	Question not asked	8115	
Sysmiss		156724	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110k: How often done on the Internet: Chatted</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8276 /-] [Invalid=169908 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Chatted		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3775	45.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	397	4.8%
3	About once every half year	250	3.0%
4	About once every month	403	4.9%
5	About once a week	465	5.6%
6	Several times a week	565	6.8%
7	Daily	765	9.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1455	17.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	2.4%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		169908	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110l: How often done on the Internet: Bought/sold wares via personal ads</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10033 /-] [Invalid=168151 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		

<b># jb110l: How often done on the Internet: Bought/sold wares via personal ads</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/sold wares via personal ads		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009, 2011-2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4358	43.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2044	20.4%
3	About once every half year	1412	14.1%
4	About once every month	437	4.4%
5	About once a week	59	0.6%
6	Several times a week	27	0.3%
7	Daily	50	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1431	14.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	204	2.0%
96	Question not asked	19481	
Sysmiss		148670	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110m: How often done on the Internet: Made library errands</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20410 /-] [Invalid=157774 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made library errands		
<b>Notes</b>	2006-2007, 2009-2013 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	12977	63.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1339	6.6%
3	About once every half year	1209	5.9%
4	About once every month	1258	6.2%
5	About once a week	341	1.7%
6	Several times a week	136	0.7%
7	Daily	156	0.8%
95		243	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2196	10.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	536	2.6%
96	Question not asked	60465	
Sysmiss		97309	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110n: How often done on the Internet: Played online games</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=92818 /-] [Invalid=85366 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Played online games		

<b># jb110n: How often done on the Internet: Played online games</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		2007-2013 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	56400	60.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4594	4.9%
3	About once every half year	2931	3.2%
4	About once every month	4258	4.6%
5	About once a week	4328	4.7%
6	Several times a week	4260	4.6%
7	Daily	5479	5.9%
95		1911	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	6529	7.0%
98	Several responses given	117	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2011	2.2%
96	Question not asked	32927	
Sysmiss		52439	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110o: How often done on the Internet: Written own blog</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=34978 /-] [Invalid=143206 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"	
<b>Literal question</b>		And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Written own blog	
<b>Notes</b>		2007- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	27349	78.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	715	2.0%
3	About once every half year	389	1.1%
4	About once every month	512	1.5%
5	About once a week	363	1.0%
6	Several times a week	297	0.8%
7	Daily	509	1.5%
95		360	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	3385	9.7%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1072	3.1%
96	Question not asked	66700	
Sysmiss		76506	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb110p: How often done on the Internet: Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=119040 /-] [Invalid=59144 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"	
<b>Literal question</b>		And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)	

### # jb110p: How often done on the Internet: Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)

<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	26040	21.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2184	1.8%
3	About once every half year	1333	1.1%
4	About once every month	3688	3.1%
5	About once a week	7297	6.1%
6	Several times a week	12502	10.5%
7	Daily	53434	44.9%
95		2751	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	7012	5.9%
98	Several responses given	91	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2708	2.3%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		59144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb110q: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about current research

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3215 /-] [Invalid=174969 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about current research
<b>Notes</b>	2009, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1220	37.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	554	17.2%
3	About once every half year	414	12.9%
4	About once every month	356	11.1%
5	About once a week	150	4.7%
6	Several times a week	71	2.2%
7	Daily	47	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	361	11.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	1.2%
96	Question not asked	9673	
Sysmiss		165296	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jb110r: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about health care

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8165 /-] [Invalid=170019 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about health care
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)

# **jb110r: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about health care**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1634	20.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1462	17.9%
3	About once every half year	1879	23.0%
4	About once every month	1492	18.3%
5	About once a week	337	4.1%
6	Several times a week	142	1.7%
7	Daily	78	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	996	12.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	138	1.7%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Sysmiss		150644	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb110s: How often done on the Internet: Watched video clips**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=178184 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Watched video clips
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2009, 2013- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	0	
2	About once in the last 12 months	0	
3	About once every half year	0	
4	About once every month	0	
5	About once a week	0	
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
96	Question not asked	6603	
Sysmiss		171581	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



# <b>jb110t: How often done on the Internet: Used music service (e.g. Spotify)</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6345 /-] [Invalid=171839 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
<b>Notes</b>	2010, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2517	39.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	287	4.5%
3	About once every half year	245	3.9%
4	About once every month	539	8.5%
5	About once a week	529	8.3%
6	Several times a week	610	9.6%
7	Daily	718	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	750	11.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	146	2.3%
96	Question not asked	11254	
Sysmiss		160585	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# <b>jb110u: How often done on the Internet: Watched eSports</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23878 /-] [Invalid=154306 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
<b>Notes</b>	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15880	66.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1293	5.4%
3	About once every half year	850	3.6%
4	About once every month	1015	4.3%
5	About once a week	807	3.4%
6	Several times a week	530	2.2%
7	Daily	910	3.8%
95		512	2.1%
97		1536	6.4%
98		19	0.1%
99		526	2.2%
96		80519	
Sysmiss		73787	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# <b>jb110v: How often done on the internet: Read article comments</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22169 /-] [Invalid=156015 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)

**# jb110v: How often done on the internet: Read article comments**

<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7050	31.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1807	8.2%
3	About once every half year	1467	6.6%
4	About once every month	2932	13.2%
5	About once a week	3085	13.9%
6	Several times a week	2044	9.2%
7	Daily	1274	5.7%
95		504	2.3%
97		1460	6.6%
98		14	0.1%
99		532	2.4%
96		82236	
Sysmiss		73779	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jb120a: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your municipality**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29902 /-] [Invalid=148282 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	9905	33.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5687	19.0%
3	About once every half year	5010	16.8%
4	About once every month	4700	15.7%
5	About once a week	2341	7.8%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	79	0.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2172	7.3%
96	Question not asked	99208	
Sysmiss		49074	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jb120b: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your county council/regional government**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12889 /-] [Invalid=165295 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county council/regional government
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7611	59.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1764	13.7%
3	About once every half year	1113	8.6%

# **jb120b: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your county council/regional government**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	About once every month	674	5.2%
5	About once a week	316	2.5%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	145	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1264	9.8%
96	Question not asked	18083	
Sysmiss		147212	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb120c: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish Parliament**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16242 /-] [Invalid=161942 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	12136	74.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1345	8.3%
3	About once every half year	646	4.0%
4	About once every month	321	2.0%
5	About once a week	121	0.7%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	175	1.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1494	9.2%
96	Question not asked	28209	
Sysmiss		133733	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb120d: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish government's website**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8182 /-] [Invalid=170002 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's website
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6129	74.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	550	6.7%
3	About once every half year	256	3.1%
4	About once every month	151	1.8%
5	About once a week	78	1.0%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	62	0.8%

# **jb120d: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish government's website**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	954	11.7%
96	Question not asked	6774	
Sysmiss		163228	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb120e: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any political party**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29902 /-] [Invalid=148282 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20839	69.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3430	11.5%
3	About once every half year	1699	5.7%
4	About once every month	1030	3.4%
5	About once a week	414	1.4%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	313	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2172	7.3%
96	Question not asked	99208	
Sysmiss		49074	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb120f: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any member of Parliament**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26722 /-] [Invalid=151462 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any member of Parliament
<b>Notes</b>	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21989	82.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1284	4.8%
3	About once every half year	569	2.1%
4	About once every month	318	1.2%
5	About once a week	152	0.6%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	308	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2097	7.8%
96	Question not asked	94203	
Sysmiss		57259	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># jb120g: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any local politicians</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26722 /-] [Invalid=151462 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any local politicians		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	21871	81.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1350	5.1%
3	About once every half year	597	2.2%
4	About once every month	349	1.3%
5	About once a week	148	0.6%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	307	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2097	7.8%
96	Question not asked	94203	
Sysmiss		57259	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb121a: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your municipality's website</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=161779 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality's website		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	64	0.4%
2	3-5 days/week	192	1.2%
3	1-2 days/week	563	3.4%
4	More seldom	3639	22.2%
5	Never	6329	38.6%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	179	1.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		149541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jb121b: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your county/region home page</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7303 /-] [Invalid=170881 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county/region home page		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	6-7 days/week	16	0.2%
2	3-5 days/week	57	0.8%

# **jb121b: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your county/region home page**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	1-2 days/week	101	1.4%
4	More seldom	1097	15.0%
5	Never	4221	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	63	0.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1748	23.9%
96	Question not asked	7092	
Sysmiss		163789	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **jb121c: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish Parliament's website**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=161779 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament's website
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	11	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	27	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	155	0.9%
4	More seldom	1518	9.3%
5	Never	8994	54.8%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	272	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		149541	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **jb121d: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish government's/Rosenbad's website**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=161779 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's/Rosenbad's website
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	11	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	31	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	137	0.8%
4	More seldom	1349	8.2%
5	Never	9162	55.8%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	283	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%

# **jb121d: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish government's/Rosenbad's website**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		149541	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jb121e: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Any political party's website**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=161779 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party's website
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	13	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	48	0.3%
3	1-2 days/week	129	0.8%
4	More seldom	1407	8.6%
5	Never	9111	55.5%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	268	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		149541	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jc10a: How often do you listen to: P1 Swedish Radio**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=87212 /-] [Invalid=90972 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P1"; 1994- (National): "P1 Swedish Radio".
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P1 Swedish Radio
<b>Notes</b>	1989, 1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	11318	13.0%
2	5-6 days/week	2855	3.3%
3	3-4 days/week	3888	4.5%
4	1-2 days/week	6259	7.2%
5	More seldom	22900	26.3%
6	Never	33864	38.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4376	5.0%
98	Several responses given	96	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1656	1.9%
96	Question not asked	79133	
Sysmiss		11839	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jc10b: How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=77054 /-] [Invalid=101130 /-]

<b># jc10b: How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P2"; 1994- (National): "P2 Swedish Radio".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P2 Swedish Radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1989, 1994- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	1742	2.3%
2	5-6 days/week	856	1.1%
3	3-4 days/week	1785	2.3%
4	1-2 days/week	3703	4.8%
5	More seldom	21918	28.4%
6	Never	40482	52.5%
97	No response - part of the question	5111	6.6%
98	Several responses given	49	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1408	1.8%
96	Question not asked	89291	
Sysmiss		11839	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10c: How often do you listen to: P3 Swedish Radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=83940 /-] [Invalid=94244 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P3"; 1994- (National): "P3 Swedish Radio".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P3 Swedish Radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1989, 1994- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	5571	6.6%
2	5-6 days/week	3574	4.3%
3	3-4 days/week	6635	7.9%
4	1-2 days/week	10689	12.7%
5	More seldom	27149	32.3%
6	Never	23450	27.9%
97	No response - part of the question	5213	6.2%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1596	1.9%
96	Question not asked	82405	
Sysmiss		11839	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10d1: How often do you listen to: P4 Swedish Radio/local radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=85634 /-] [Invalid=92550 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P4 Swedish Radio/local radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1994- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	23711	27.7%
2	5-6 days/week	6874	8.0%



# jc10d1: How often do you listen to: P4 Swedish Radio/local radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3-4 days/week	8439	9.9%
4	1-2 days/week	9936	11.6%
5	More seldom	17244	20.1%
6	Never	15658	18.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1914	2.2%
98	Several responses given	219	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1639	1.9%
96	Question not asked	79133	
Sysmiss		13417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jc10d2: How often do you listen to: Local radio stations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1578 /-] [Invalid=176606 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Local radio stations
<b>Notes</b>	1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	509	32.3%
2	5-6 days/week	166	10.5%
3	3-4 days/week	154	9.8%
4	1-2 days/week	188	11.9%
5	More seldom	324	20.5%
6	Never	164	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	56	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.1%
Sysmiss		176606	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jc10e: How often do you listen to: Rix FM [private radio station]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63491 /-] [Invalid=114693 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1995-2000 (National): "Radio Rix"; 2001- (National): "Rix FM".
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Rix FM [private radio station]
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3438	5.4%
2	5-6 days/week	2671	4.2%
3	3-4 days/week	4715	7.4%
4	1-2 days/week	6433	10.1%
5	More seldom	14394	22.7%
6	Never	27062	42.6%
97	No response - part of the question	3497	5.5%
98	Several responses given	67	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1214	1.9%

<b># jc10e: How often do you listen to: Rix FM [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	99574	
Sysmiss		15119	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10f1: How often do you listen to: Radio City [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21679 /-] [Invalid=156505 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Radio City [private radio station]		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	537	2.5%
2	5-6 days/week	487	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	753	3.5%
4	1-2 days/week	1054	4.9%
5	More seldom	3230	14.9%
6	Never	13424	61.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1740	8.0%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	441	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13978	
Sysmiss		142527	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10f2: How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=56534 /-] [Invalid=121650 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1997 (National): "Radio Megapol"; 1998-2000 (National): "Megapol"; 2001- (National): "Mix Megapol".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol [private radio station]		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2006, 2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	2253	4.0%
2	5-6 days/week	2141	3.8%
3	3-4 days/week	4030	7.1%
4	1-2 days/week	5770	10.2%
5	More seldom	13387	23.7%
6	Never	24773	43.8%
97	No response - part of the question	3034	5.4%
98	Several responses given	41	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1105	2.0%
96	Question not asked	80823	
Sysmiss		40827	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10f3: How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

<b># jc10f3: How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3476 /-] [Invalid=174708 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	172	4.9%
2	5-6 days/week	156	4.5%
3	3-4 days/week	249	7.2%
4	1-2 days/week	337	9.7%
5	More seldom	781	22.5%
6	Never	1535	44.2%
97	No response - part of the question	181	5.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	1.7%
96	Question not asked	3295	
Sysmiss		171413	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10g: How often do you listen to: NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26816 /-] [Invalid=151368 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Item wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "Radio Energy"; 1997-2002 (National): "NRJ/Radio energy"; 2003-2009 (National): "NRJ (Radio Energy)".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	940	3.5%
2	5-6 days/week	724	2.7%
3	3-4 days/week	1156	4.3%
4	1-2 days/week	1606	6.0%
5	More seldom	4397	16.4%
6	Never	15504	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1960	7.3%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	515	1.9%
96	Question not asked	18871	
Sysmiss		132497	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc10i: How often do you listen to: P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3481 /-] [Invalid=174703 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]		
<b>Notes</b>	1994-1995 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	92	2.6%
2	5-6 days/week	48	1.4%

**# jc10i: How often do you listen to: P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3-4 days/week	73	2.1%
4	1-2 days/week	116	3.3%
5	More seldom	329	9.5%
6	Never	2502	71.9%
97	No response - part of the question	271	7.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	50	1.4%
Sysmiss		174703	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jc10j: How often do you listen to: "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15780 /-] [Invalid=162404 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	408	2.6%
2	5-6 days/week	347	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	702	4.4%
4	1-2 days/week	1184	7.5%
5	More seldom	3136	19.9%
6	Never	8565	54.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1203	7.6%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	1.4%
96	Question not asked	18578	
Sysmiss		143826	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jc10k: How often do you listen to: The Voice [private radio station]**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5137 /-] [Invalid=173047 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - The Voice [private radio station]
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	56	1.1%
2	5-6 days/week	59	1.1%
3	3-4 days/week	79	1.5%
4	1-2 days/week	140	2.7%
5	More seldom	587	11.4%
6	Never	3842	74.8%
97	No response - part of the question	299	5.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	74	1.4%
96	Question not asked	4893	

<b># jc10k: How often do you listen to: The Voice [private radio station]</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		168154	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc11a: How often do you listen to: Other private local radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33465 /-] [Invalid=144719 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Depending on in which context the question is asked, the meaning varies (i.e. which items are available).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other private local radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	1131	3.4%
2	5-6 days/week	776	2.3%
3	3-4 days/week	1127	3.4%
4	1-2 days/week	1348	4.0%
5	More seldom	4637	13.9%
6	Never	21337	63.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2486	7.4%
98	Several responses given	15	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	608	1.8%
96	Question not asked	20573	
Sysmiss		124146	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc12a: How often do you listen to: Private local radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20692 /-] [Invalid=157492 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Depending on in which context the question is asked, the meaning varies (i.e. which items are available). The item wording has changed. 1999 (National-1): "Other local radio (Radio Rix, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Megapol)"; 2000 (National-1): "Private local radio (Radio Rix, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Megapol)"; 2001-2002 (National-1): "Private local radio (Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Mix Megapol)"; 2003 (National-1): "Private local radio (Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ, Mix Megapol)"; 2004-2006 (National-1): "Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ, Mix Megapol)"; 2007-2010 (National-1): "Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	3481	16.8%
2	5-6 days/week	1827	8.8%
3	3-4 days/week	2298	11.1%
4	1-2 days/week	1911	9.2%
5	More seldom	3677	17.8%
6	Never	6069	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1033	5.0%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	379	1.8%
96	Question not asked	17981	
Sysmiss		139511	

<b># jc12a: How often do you listen to: Private local radio</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc13a: How often do you listen to: Non-commercial local radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=74047 /-] [Invalid=104137 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1989, 2003- (Riks): "Närradio";1994-2002 (Riks): "Närradiokanal".		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Non-commercial local radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1989, 1994-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	2021	2.7%
2	5-6 days/week	960	1.3%
3	3-4 days/week	1628	2.2%
4	1-2 days/week	2742	3.7%
5	More seldom	13687	18.5%
6	Never	46888	63.3%
97	No response - part of the question	4711	6.4%
98	Several responses given	30	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1380	1.9%
96	Question not asked	90716	
Sysmiss		13421	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc14a: How often do you listen to: Other radio station</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4881 /-] [Invalid=173303 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio station		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	118	2.4%
2	5-6 days/week	69	1.4%
3	3-4 days/week	115	2.4%
4	1-2 days/week	92	1.9%
5	More seldom	217	4.4%
6	Never	1850	37.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2337	47.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	82	1.7%
96	Question not asked	11135	
Sysmiss		162168	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc14b: How often do you listen to: Other commercial radio station</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28395 /-] [Invalid=149789 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other commercial radio station		

### # jc14b: How often do you listen to: Other commercial radio station

Notes 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		778	2.7%
2		656	2.3%
3		1159	4.1%
4		1672	5.9%
5		6320	22.3%
6		15895	56.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1314	4.6%
98	Several responses given	16	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	585	2.1%
96		80752	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jc15a: How often do you listen to: Other radio stations online

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\* /96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=174749 /-]

Literal question How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio stations online

Notes 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	30	0.9%
2	5-6 days/week	27	0.8%
3	3-4 days/week	45	1.3%
4	1-2 days/week	94	2.7%
5	More seldom	521	15.2%
6	Never	2484	72.3%
97	No response - part of the question	189	5.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	45	1.3%
96	Question not asked	3269	
Sysmiss		171480	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jc100a: How often do you listen to the radio via: A radio

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\* /96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]

Literal question How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A radio

Notes 2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5906	31.0%
2	5-6 days/week	981	5.2%
3	3-4 days/week	1193	6.3%
4	1-2 days/week	1364	7.2%
5	More seldom	3141	16.5%
6	Never	5570	29.3%

<b># jc100a: How often do you listen to the radio via: A radio</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97		433	2.3%
98		41	0.2%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc100b: How often do you listen to the radio via: A car radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A car radio		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4266	22.4%
2	5-6 days/week	2402	12.6%
3	3-4 days/week	2822	14.8%
4	1-2 days/week	2947	15.5%
5	More seldom	3242	17.0%
6	Never	2472	13.0%
97		444	2.3%
98		34	0.2%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc100c: How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: live broadcast</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers: live broadcast		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	492	2.6%
2	5-6 days/week	335	1.8%
3	3-4 days/week	545	2.9%
4	1-2 days/week	1024	5.4%
5	More seldom	4591	24.1%
6	Never	10697	56.2%
97		928	4.9%
98		17	0.1%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># jc100d: How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: post-broadcast/podcast</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers:post-broadcast/podcast		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	285	1.5%
2	5-6 days/week	265	1.4%
3	3-4 days/week	538	2.8%
4	1-2 days/week	1084	5.7%
5	More seldom	4303	22.6%
6	Never	10698	56.2%
97		1445	7.6%
98		11	0.1%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc100e: How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: live broadcast</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: live broadcast		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	799	4.2%
2	5-6 days/week	461	2.4%
3	3-4 days/week	709	3.7%
4	1-2 days/week	1145	6.0%
5	More seldom	4172	21.9%
6	Never	10565	55.5%
97		767	4.0%
98		11	0.1%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jc100f: How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	613	3.2%
2	5-6 days/week	532	2.8%

# jc100f: How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3-4 days/week	820	4.3%
4	1-2 days/week	1405	7.4%
5	More seldom	3799	20.0%
6	Never	10281	54.0%
97		1172	6.2%
98		7	0.0%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jc100g: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: live broadcast

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: live broadcast
<b>Notes</b>	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	243	1.3%
2	5-6 days/week	166	0.9%
3	3-4 days/week	287	1.5%
4	1-2 days/week	558	2.9%
5	More seldom	2901	15.2%
6	Never	13645	71.7%
97		823	4.3%
98		6	0.0%
99		394	2.1%
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jc100h: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19023 /-] [Invalid=159161 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast
<b>Notes</b>	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	148	0.8%
2	5-6 days/week	144	0.8%
3	3-4 days/week	271	1.4%
4	1-2 days/week	604	3.2%
5	More seldom	2794	14.7%
6	Never	13583	71.4%
97		1081	5.7%
98		4	0.0%
99		394	2.1%

# jc100h: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96		85404	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jd20a: How often do you watch: SVT1

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63224 /-] [Invalid=114960 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1992-1995 (National): "Kanal 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1- "Ettan"; 2000- (National): "SVT1". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	673	1.1%
2	Daily	29659	46.9%
3	5-6 days/week	9310	14.7%
4	3-4 days/week	8758	13.9%
5	1-2 days/week	7292	11.5%
6	More seldom	4235	6.7%
7	Never	1252	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	849	1.3%
98	Several responses given	181	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1015	1.6%
96	Question not asked	60327	
Sysmiss		54633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jd20b: How often do you watch: SVT2

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63224 /-] [Invalid=114960 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2- "Tvåan"; 2000- (National): "SVT2". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT2
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	673	1.1%
2	Daily	28113	44.5%
3	5-6 days/week	9434	14.9%
4	3-4 days/week	8753	13.8%
5	1-2 days/week	7276	11.5%
6	More seldom	5115	8.1%
7	Never	1704	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	992	1.6%
98	Several responses given	149	0.2%

### # jd20b: How often do you watch: SVT2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1015	1.6%
96	Question not asked	60327	
Sysmiss		54633	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd20c: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17777 /-] [Invalid=160407 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	150	0.8%
2	Daily	9018	50.7%
3	5-6 days/week	2238	12.6%
4	3-4 days/week	2115	11.9%
5	1-2 days/week	1932	10.9%
6	More seldom	1372	7.7%
7	Never	482	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	222	1.2%
98	Several responses given	40	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	208	1.2%
96	Question not asked	15052	
Sysmiss		145355	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd20d: How often do you watch: TV3

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=95610 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	14214	17.2%
2	Daily	13317	16.1%
3	5-6 days/week	7207	8.7%
4	3-4 days/week	11250	13.6%
5	1-2 days/week	12117	14.7%
6	More seldom	11549	14.0%
7	Never	7132	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	4310	5.2%
98	Several responses given	213	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		56175	

### # jd20d: How often do you watch: TV3

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd20e: How often do you watch: TV4

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=95610 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	2216	2.7%
2	Daily	33973	41.1%
3	5-6 days/week	13871	16.8%
4	3-4 days/week	14413	17.5%
5	1-2 days/week	9074	11.0%
6	More seldom	4930	6.0%
7	Never	1580	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1019	1.2%
98	Several responses given	233	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		56175	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd20f: How often do you watch: Kanal 5

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=95610 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1991 (National): "Nordic Channel"; 1992-1993 (National): "TV5 Nordic"; 1994 (National): "Femman (TV5)"; 1995 (National): "Femman"; 1996-1999 (National): "Kanal 5 - Femman"; 2000- (National): "Kanal 5". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	15430	18.7%
2	Daily	10536	12.8%
3	5-6 days/week	6258	7.6%
4	3-4 days/week	9916	12.0%
5	1-2 days/week	11867	14.4%
6	More seldom	13995	16.9%
7	Never	9535	11.5%
97	No response - part of the question	3604	4.4%
98	Several responses given	168	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		56175	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# jd20g: How often do you watch: CNN news channel	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7023 /-] [Invalid=171161 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - CNN news channel
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	4051	57.7%
2	Daily	115	1.6%
3	5-6 days/week	73	1.0%
4	3-4 days/week	128	1.8%
5	1-2 days/week	260	3.7%
6	More seldom	727	10.4%
7	Never	1245	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	328	4.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	96	1.4%
Sysmiss		171161	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# jd20h: How often do you watch: BBC or CNN	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40599 /-] [Invalid=137585 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 2002-2003 (National): "BBC/CNN"; 2004- (National): "BBC or CNN". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	9283	22.9%
2	Daily	703	1.7%
3	5-6 days/week	465	1.1%
4	3-4 days/week	985	2.4%
5	1-2 days/week	2059	5.1%
6	More seldom	8672	21.4%
7	Never	15790	38.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2027	5.0%
98	Several responses given	54	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	561	1.4%
96	Question not asked	8256	
Sysmiss		129329	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# jd20i: How often do you watch: MTV	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32135 /-] [Invalid=146049 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - MTV
<b>Notes</b>	1991-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	9863	30.7%
2	Daily	1173	3.7%
3	5-6 days/week	639	2.0%
4	3-4 days/week	1000	3.1%
5	1-2 days/week	1712	5.3%
6	More seldom	5373	16.7%
7	Never	9782	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2018	6.3%
98	Several responses given	51	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	524	1.6%
96	Question not asked	18871	
Sysmiss		127178	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jd20o: How often do you watch: TV6	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=42919 /-] [Invalid=135265 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV6
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2001, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	7324	17.1%
2	Daily	2630	6.1%
3	5-6 days/week	1657	3.9%
4	3-4 days/week	3182	7.4%
5	1-2 days/week	5619	13.1%
6	More seldom	11513	26.8%
7	Never	8189	19.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2056	4.8%
98	Several responses given	85	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	664	1.5%
96	Question not asked	49526	
Sysmiss		85739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# jd21a: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=174912 /-]

### # jd21a: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

<b>Definition</b>	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1609	49.2%
2	5-6 days/week	374	11.4%
3	3-4 days/week	410	12.5%
4	1-2 days/week	352	10.8%
5	More seldom	274	8.4%
6	Never	135	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.5%
98	Several responses given	9	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		171581	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd21b: How often do you watch: TV3

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=174912 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	363	11.1%
2	5-6 days/week	254	7.8%
3	3-4 days/week	474	14.5%
4	1-2 days/week	586	17.9%
5	More seldom	770	23.5%
6	Never	551	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	213	6.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		171581	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd21c: How often do you watch: TV4

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=174912 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)



### # jd21c: How often do you watch: TV4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1096	33.5%
2	5-6 days/week	483	14.8%
3	3-4 days/week	674	20.6%
4	1-2 days/week	442	13.5%
5	More seldom	325	9.9%
6	Never	122	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	55	1.7%
98	Several responses given	15	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
System		171581	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd21d: How often do you watch: Kanal 5

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=174912 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	307	9.4%
2	5-6 days/week	265	8.1%
3	3-4 days/week	444	13.6%
4	1-2 days/week	576	17.6%
5	More seldom	809	24.7%
6	Never	580	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	227	6.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
System		171581	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jd21e: How often do you watch: BBC or CNN

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=178184 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	0	
2	5-6 days/week	0	
3	3-4 days/week	0	

### # jd21e: How often do you watch: BBC or CNN

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-2 days/week	0	
5	More seldom	0	
6	Never	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		178184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jd31a: How often do you watch: News programs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12125 /-] [Invalid=166059 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - News programs
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	9461	78.0%
2	About once a week	1580	13.0%
3	More seldom	610	5.0%
4	Never	216	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	72	0.6%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	1.5%
96	Question not asked	11963	
Sysmiss		154096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jd31b: How often do you watch: Programs concerning community issues/politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12125 /-] [Invalid=166059 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Programs concerning community issues/politics
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	3137	25.9%
2	About once a week	5022	41.4%
3	More seldom	2901	23.9%
4	Never	531	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	355	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	1.5%
96	Question not asked	8369	
Sysmiss		157690	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jd31c: How often do you watch: Sports programs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
--------------------	---

<b># jd31c: How often do you watch: Sports programs</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Sports programs		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Several times a week	4626	33.3%
2	About once a week	2919	21.0%
3	More seldom	3667	26.4%
4	Never	2194	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		150597	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jd31d: How often do you watch: Cultural programs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Cultural programs		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Several times a week	1581	11.4%
2	About once a week	4756	34.2%
3	More seldom	5354	38.5%
4	Never	1595	11.5%
97	No response - part of the question	410	2.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		150597	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jd31e: How often do you watch: Light entertainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10546 /-] [Invalid=167638 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Light entertainment		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2009 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Several times a week	2941	27.9%
2	About once a week	5147	48.8%
3	More seldom	1721	16.3%
4	Never	307	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	252	2.4%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	166	1.6%

**# jd31e: How often do you watch: Light entertainment**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	8440	
Sysmiss		159198	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jd31f: How often do you watch: Feature films**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Feature films
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	2725	19.6%
2	About once a week	6185	44.5%
3	More seldom	3914	28.2%
4	Never	584	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093	
Sysmiss		154191	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jd31g: How often do you watch: Drama/series**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Drama/series
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	3073	22.1%
2	About once a week	5421	39.0%
3	More seldom	3738	26.9%
4	Never	1061	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	387	2.8%
98	Several responses given	25	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093	
Sysmiss		154191	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jd31h: How often do you watch: Reality shows**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 2002-2005 (Riks): "Dokusåpor". 2008-2010 (Riks): "Dokusåpor/reality-tv".
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Reality shows
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

### # jd31h: How often do you watch: Reality shows

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	1471	10.6%
2	About once a week	2596	18.7%
3	More seldom	4782	34.4%
4	Never	4449	32.0%
97	No response - part of the question	396	2.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093	
Sysmiss		154191	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jd31i: How often do you watch: Talk shows

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=172656 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Talk shows
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	202	3.7%
2	About once a week	874	15.8%
3	More seldom	2345	42.4%
4	Never	1722	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	271	4.9%
98	Several responses given	10	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		170882	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


























### # jd31j: How often do you watch: Game shows

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=172656 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Game shows
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	295	5.3%
2	About once a week	1406	25.4%
3	More seldom	2245	40.6%
4	Never	1223	22.1%
97	No response - part of the question	250	4.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		170882	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># jd31k: How often do you watch: Food program</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=172656 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Food program		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Several times a week	487	8.8%
2	About once a week	1929	34.9%
3	More seldom	2115	38.3%
4	Never	728	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	162	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		170882	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jd31l: How often do you watch: Animal and nature programs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=164284 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Animal and nature programs		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Several times a week	2572	18.5%
2	About once a week	5708	41.1%
3	More seldom	4313	31.0%
4	Never	945	6.8%
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		150597	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	95	1.7%
2	Once/a few times/month	840	15.4%
3	About once/week	782	14.3%
4	A few times/week	1275	23.3%
5	1-3 times/day	1146	20.9%
6	4-8 times/day	505	9.2%

<b># je10a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
7	9-20 times/day	213	 3.9%
8	More than 20 times/day	64	 1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	245	 4.5%
98	Several responses given	4	 0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	 5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		167398	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	168	 3.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	635	 11.6%
3	About once/week	630	 11.5%
4	A few times/week	1235	 22.6%
5	1-3 times/day	1117	 20.4%
6	4-8 times/day	659	 12.0%
7	9-20 times/day	304	 5.6%
8	More than 20 times/day	93	 1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	327	 6.0%
98	Several responses given	1	 0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	 5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		167398	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10a3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	18	 1.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	157	 9.2%
3	About once/week	145	 8.5%
4	A few times/week	345	 20.2%
5	1-3 times/day	453	 26.5%
6	4-8 times/day	279	 16.3%
7	9-20 times/day	118	 6.9%
8	More than 20 times/day	50	 2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	5	 0.3%

# je10a3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je10b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1485	27.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	950	17.4%
3	About once/week	483	8.8%
4	A few times/week	883	16.1%
5	1-3 times/day	592	10.8%
6	4-8 times/day	265	4.8%
7	9-20 times/day	72	1.3%
8	More than 20 times/day	32	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	403	7.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		167398	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je10b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1101	20.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	1162	21.2%
3	About once/week	549	10.0%
4	A few times/week	919	16.8%
5	1-3 times/day	628	11.5%
6	4-8 times/day	290	5.3%
7	9-20 times/day	73	1.3%
8	More than 20 times/day	34	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	410	7.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	



<b># je10b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		167398	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	319	18.7%
2	Once/a few times/month	281	16.5%
3	About once/week	149	8.7%
4	A few times/week	357	20.9%
5	1-3 times/day	259	15.2%
6	4-8 times/day	114	6.7%
7	9-20 times/day	41	2.4%
8	More than 20 times/day	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1459	82.2%
2	Once/a few times/month	47	2.6%
3	About once/week	27	1.5%
4	A few times/week	19	1.1%
5	1-3 times/day	12	0.7%
6	4-8 times/day	10	0.6%
7	9-20 times/day	1	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	6	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	157	8.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	37	2.1%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># je10d: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send pictures with MMS technology</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7179 /-] [Invalid=171005 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send pictures with MMS technology		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5090	70.9%
2	Once/a few times/month	729	10.2%
3	About once/week	151	2.1%
4	A few times/week	162	2.3%
5	1-3 times/day	46	0.6%
6	4-8 times/day	14	0.2%
7	9-20 times/day	4	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	9	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	533	7.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	440	6.1%
96	Question not asked	6943	
Sysmiss		164062	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=174702 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1950	56.0%
2	Once/a few times/month	706	20.3%
3	About once/week	193	5.5%
4	A few times/week	178	5.1%
5	1-3 times/day	62	1.8%
6	4-8 times/day	22	0.6%
7	9-20 times/day	5	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	7	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	183	5.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		171349	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je10f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf/use WAP</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=174618 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf/use WAP		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2006 (National)		

# je10f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf/use WAP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2812	78.9%
2	Once/a few times/month	162	4.5%
3	About once/week	44	1.2%
4	A few times/week	46	1.3%
5	1-3 times/day	13	0.4%
6	4-8 times/day	3	0.1%
7	9-20 times/day	4	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	10	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	107	3.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	363	10.2%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		172989	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je10g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Mobile information services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Mobile information services
<b>Notes</b>	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1335	71.8%
2	Once/a few times/month	123	6.6%
3	About once/week	41	2.2%
4	A few times/week	32	1.7%
5	1-3 times/day	15	0.8%
6	4-8 times/day	5	0.3%
7	9-20 times/day	1	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	5	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	76	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	226	12.2%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je10h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use news services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=174702 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use news services
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2838	81.5%
2	Once/a few times/month	169	4.9%
3	About once/week	55	1.6%

### # je10h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use news services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	A few times/week	33	0.9%
5	1-3 times/day	17	0.5%
6	4-8 times/day	4	0.1%
7	9-20 times/day	1	0.0%
8	More than 20 times/day	4	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	187	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		171349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # je10i: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3634 /-] [Invalid=174550 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2489	68.5%
2	Once/a few times/month	439	12.1%
3	About once/week	100	2.8%
4	A few times/week	68	1.9%
5	1-3 times/day	29	0.8%
6	4-8 times/day	18	0.5%
7	9-20 times/day	5	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	9	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	213	5.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	7.2%
96	Question not asked	3540	
Sysmiss		171010	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # je10j: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1283	75.2%
2	Once/a few times/month	104	6.1%
3	About once/week	39	2.3%
4	A few times/week	52	3.0%
5	1-3 times/day	34	2.0%
6	4-8 times/day	11	0.6%

**# je10j: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	9-20 times/day	7	0.4%
8	More than 20 times/day	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# je20a: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=159731 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	372	2.0%
2	At most a few times/month	1261	6.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	3857	20.9%
4	1-3 times/day	5855	31.7%
5	4-10 times/day	4305	23.3%
6	11-20 times/day	1277	6.9%
7	More than 20 times/day	821	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.3%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		118980	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# je20b: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=159731 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1961	10.6%
2	At most a few times/month	1971	10.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	4113	22.3%
4	1-3 times/day	4549	24.7%
5	4-10 times/day	3240	17.6%
6	11-20 times/day	909	4.9%
7	More than 20 times/day	734	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	331	1.8%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%

<b># je20b: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		118980	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=159731 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	10221	55.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1308	7.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	1901	10.3%
4	1-3 times/day	1851	10.0%
5	4-10 times/day	1184	6.4%
6	11-20 times/day	468	2.5%
7	More than 20 times/day	488	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	379	2.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		118980	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20d1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures		
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	985	55.7%
2	At most a few times/month	457	25.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	144	8.1%
4	1-3 times/day	26	1.5%
5	4-10 times/day	8	0.5%
6	11-20 times/day	2	0.1%
7	More than 20 times/day	1	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	40	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		

<b># je20d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11592 /-] [Invalid=166592 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4178	36.0%
2	At most a few times/month	3902	33.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	2034	17.5%
4	1-3 times/day	514	4.4%
5	4-10 times/day	156	1.3%
6	11-20 times/day	41	0.4%
7	More than 20 times/day	55	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	263	2.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	446	3.8%
96	Question not asked	19212	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos		
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	767	43.4%
2	At most a few times/month	491	27.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	276	15.6%
4	1-3 times/day	56	3.2%
5	4-10 times/day	22	1.2%
6	11-20 times/day	11	0.6%
7	More than 20 times/day	4	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11708 /-] [Invalid=166476 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009, 2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7017	59.9%

<b># je20f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	At most a few times/month	959	8.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	909	7.8%
4	1-3 times/day	885	7.6%
5	4-10 times/day	674	5.8%
6	11-20 times/day	300	2.6%
7	More than 20 times/day	257	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	249	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	452	3.9%
96	Question not asked	15858	
Sysmiss		150618	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Fact-finding/information searches</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11679 /-] [Invalid=166505 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Fact-finding/information searches		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4720	40.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1121	9.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	2239	19.2%
4	1-3 times/day	1706	14.6%
5	4-10 times/day	887	7.6%
6	11-20 times/day	289	2.5%
7	More than 20 times/day	160	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	236	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.7%
96	Question not asked	35905	
Sysmiss		130600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16796 /-] [Invalid=161388 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	9462	56.3%
2	At most a few times/month	1376	8.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	1811	10.8%
4	1-3 times/day	1880	11.2%
5	4-10 times/day	908	5.4%
6	11-20 times/day	237	1.4%



# je20h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	More than 20 times/day	164	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	335	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	622	3.7%
96	Question not asked	42408	
Sysmiss		118980	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je20i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1556	88.0%
2	At most a few times/month	26	1.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	10	0.6%
4	1-3 times/day	14	0.8%
5	4-10 times/day	12	0.7%
6	11-20 times/day	4	0.2%
7	More than 20 times/day	6	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je20i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=174844 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2646	79.2%
2	At most a few times/month	197	5.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	131	3.9%
4	1-3 times/day	53	1.6%
5	4-10 times/day	30	0.9%
6	11-20 times/day	9	0.3%
7	More than 20 times/day	11	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	88	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.2%
96	Question not asked	3354	

<b># je20i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		171490	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20i3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/videos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10026 /-] [Invalid=168158 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5524	55.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1538	15.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	1460	14.6%
4	1-3 times/day	655	6.5%
5	4-10 times/day	265	2.6%
6	11-20 times/day	81	0.8%
7	More than 20 times/day	82	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	187	1.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	216	2.2%
96	Question not asked	32551	
Sysmiss		135607	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1661 /-] [Invalid=176523 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.		
<b>Notes</b>	2008 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1439	86.6%
2	At most a few times/month	31	1.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	14	0.8%
4	1-3 times/day	8	0.5%
5	4-10 times/day	4	0.2%
6	11-20 times/day	3	0.2%
7	More than 20 times/day	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	127	7.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		174925	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11679 /-] [Invalid=166505 /-]		

<b># je20j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	6276	53.7%
2	At most a few times/month	479	4.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	882	7.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1304	11.2%
5	4-10 times/day	1164	10.0%
6	11-20 times/day	553	4.7%
7	More than 20 times/day	471	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	224	1.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.7%
96		35905	
Sysmiss		130600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je20k: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8506 /-] [Invalid=169678 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio		
<b>Notes</b>	2007, 2012- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5364	63.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1235	14.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	825	9.7%
4	1-3 times/day	434	5.1%
5	4-10 times/day	125	1.5%
6	11-20 times/day	34	0.4%
7	More than 20 times/day	73	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	159	1.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	254	3.0%
96	Question not asked	22958	
Sysmiss		146720	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10a1.</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		

<b># je90a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	95	1.7%
2	At most a few times/month	840	15.4%
3	Once/a few times/week	2057	37.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1146	20.9%
5	4-20 times/day	718	13.1%
6	More than 20 times/day	64	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	245	4.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172712	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10a2.</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	168	3.1%
2	At most a few times/month	635	11.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	1865	34.1%
4	1-3 times/day	1117	20.4%
5	4-20 times/day	963	17.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	93	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	327	6.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172712	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

### # je90a3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18418 /-] [Invalid=159766 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je10a3 (2006) and je20a (2007-).  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	353	1.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1325	7.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	4019	21.8%
4	1-3 times/day	5721	31.1%
5	4-20 times/day	5403	29.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	791	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.3%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	740	4.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159766	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # je90b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je10b1.  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1485	27.1%
2	At most a few times/month	950	17.4%
3	Once/a few times/week	1366	25.0%
4	1-3 times/day	592	10.8%
5	4-20 times/day	337	6.2%
6	More than 20 times/day	32	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	403	7.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%













<b># je90b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172712	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=172712 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10a3 (2006) and je20a (2007-).</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1101	20.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1162	21.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	1468	26.8%
4	1-3 times/day	628	11.5%
5	4-20 times/day	363	6.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	34	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	410	7.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172712	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18418 /-] [Invalid=159766 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10b3 (2006) and je20b (2007-).</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2156	11.7%
2	At most a few times/month	2124	11.5%

<b># je90b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Once/a few times/week	4284	23.3%
4	1-3 times/day	4308	23.4%
5	4-20 times/day	3792	20.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	666	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	342	1.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	740	4.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159766	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18486 /-] [Invalid=159698 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10c (2005) and je20c (2007-).</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails		
<b>Notes</b>	2005, 2007- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11112	60.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1211	6.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	1659	9.0%
4	1-3 times/day	1544	8.4%
5	4-20 times/day	1374	7.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	428	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	505	2.7%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	640	3.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159698	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90d1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8948 /-] [Invalid=169236 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10d (2003-2006) and je20d1 (2007).  The item wording has changed. 2003-2006 (National): "Send pictures with MMS technology"; 2007 (National): "Send photos/pictures".</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		

<b># je90d1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures</b>			
	5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures		
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	6075	67.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1186	13.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	457	5.1%
4	1-3 times/day	72	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	28	0.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	10	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	573	6.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	545	6.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		169236	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11592 /-] [Invalid=166592 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je10d2.  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4178	36.0%
2	At most a few times/month	3902	33.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	2034	17.5%
4	1-3 times/day	514	4.4%
5	4-20 times/day	197	1.7%
6	More than 20 times/day	55	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	263	2.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	446	3.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		166592	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5251 /-] [Invalid=172933 /-]		



<b># je90e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je10e (2005-2006) and je20e (2007).  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos		
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2717	51.7%
2	At most a few times/month	1197	22.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	647	12.3%
4	1-3 times/day	118	2.2%
5	4-20 times/day	60	1.1%
6	More than 20 times/day	11	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	220	4.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	279	5.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172933	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15274 /-] [Invalid=162910 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je10f (2003, 2006) and je20f (2007-). The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "Mobile internet"; 2006-2007 (National): "Surf/use WAP"; 2008-2009, 2011- (National): "Surf the internet".  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2006-2009, 2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	9829	64.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1121	7.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	999	6.5%
4	1-3 times/day	898	5.9%
5	4-20 times/day	981	6.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	267	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	356	2.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	815	5.3%

<b># je90f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		162910	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18536 /-] [Invalid=159648 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je20h.</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	11662	 62.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1382	 7.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	1588	 8.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1535	 8.3%
5	4-20 times/day	974	 5.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	138	 0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	495	 2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	 0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	761	 4.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159648	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3634 /-] [Invalid=174550 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je10i.</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games		
<b>Notes</b>	2003, 2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2489	 68.5%
2	At most a few times/month	439	 12.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	168	 4.6%

### # je90h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-3 times/day	29	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	23	0.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	9	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	213	5.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	7.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		174550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # je90i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je20i1.  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1556	88.0%
2	At most a few times/month	26	1.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	10	0.6%
4	1-3 times/day	14	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	16	0.9%
6	More than 20 times/day	6	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176415	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # je90i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=174844 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je20i2.  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.

<b># je90i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2646	79.2%
2	At most a few times/month	197	5.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	131	3.9%
4	1-3 times/day	53	1.6%
5	4-20 times/day	39	1.2%
6	More than 20 times/day	11	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	88	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		174844	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90i3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/videos</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8284 /-] [Invalid=169900 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on je20i3.</p> <p>Recoding:  1 'Never' is unchanged.  2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).  3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).  4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged.  5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20).  6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4589	55.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1284	15.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	1175	14.2%
4	1-3 times/day	536	6.5%
5	4-20 times/day	281	3.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	69	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	154	1.9%
98	Several responses given	15	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	181	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		169900	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># je90j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1661 /-] [Invalid=176523 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je20j1.		

# je90j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.

	Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
<b>Notes</b>	2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1439	86.6%
2	At most a few times/month	31	1.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	14	0.8%
4	1-3 times/day	8	0.5%
5	4-20 times/day	7	0.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	127	7.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176523	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# je90j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9937 /-] [Invalid=168247 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on je20j2.  Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month' (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'='4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5479	55.1%
2	At most a few times/month	410	4.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	735	7.4%
4	1-3 times/day	1033	10.4%
5	4-20 times/day	1394	14.0%
6	More than 20 times/day	399	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	197	2.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	2.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		168247	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># je90k: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8471 /-] [Invalid=169713 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio		
<b>Notes</b>	2006-2007, 2012- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5585	65.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1060	12.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	741	8.7%
4	1-3 times/day	364	4.3%
5	4-20 times/day	137	1.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	61	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	356	4.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		169713	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jf10a: Past 12 months: Read a book</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=163140 /-] [Invalid=15044 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Read a book		
<b>Notes</b>	1988- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	24267	14.9%
2	Maybe once or so in the last year	17159	10.5%
3	About once every quarter	11963	7.3%
4	About once every month	17276	10.6%
5	About once a week	26260	16.1%
6	Several times a week	20624	12.6%
7	Flera gånger i veckan	39408	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2691	1.6%
98	Several responses given	294	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	3198	2.0%
96		11749	
Sysmiss		3295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jf10b: Past 12 months: Listened to an audiobook</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33916 /-] [Invalid=144268 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Listened to an audiobook		
<b>Notes</b>	2006-		

### # jf10b: Past 12 months: Listened to an audiobook

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	22998	67.8%
2	Maybe once or so in the last year	2644	7.8%
3	About once every quarter	1319	3.9%
4	About once every month	1357	4.0%
5	About once a week	1488	4.4%
6	Several times a week	968	2.9%
7		1796	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	667	2.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	650	1.9%
96	Question not asked	85366	
Sysmiss		58902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jh10a: How often listen to/view: Local news on P4 radio station

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "News in local radio"; 1994-1997 (National): "Local radio news"; 1998- (National): "Local news on P4 radio station".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"</p> <p>Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Local news on P4 radio station
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	51456	28.9%
2	5-6 times/week	11250	6.3%
3	3-4 times/week	14501	8.1%
4	1-2 times/week	16036	9.0%
5	More seldom	37606	21.1%
6	Never	32817	18.4%
97	No response - part of the question	12909	7.2%
98	Several responses given	443	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1166	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # jh10b: How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1986-1996 (National): "National radio news 'Ekot'"; 1997- (National): "'Ekonyheterna' on radio".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen</p>

### # jh10b: How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio

	to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Ekonyheterna" on radio
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	33441	18.8%
2	5-6 times/week	11282	6.3%
3	3-4 times/week	14974	8.4%
4	1-2 times/week	16345	9.2%
5	More seldom	40181	22.6%
6	Never	38615	21.7%
97	No response - part of the question	21892	12.3%
98	Several responses given	288	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1166	0.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10c: How often listen to/view: Aktuellt

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=45575 /-] [Invalid=132609 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "'Aktuellt' on TV"; 1991 (National): "'Aktuellt' on TV channel 1"; 1992-1995 (National): "'Aktuellt' on channel 1"; 1996-1998 (National): "'Aktuellt' on SVT1"; 1999-2004 (National): "'Aktuellt' on SVT". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2004 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Aktuellt
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	11244	24.7%
2	5-6 times/week	6994	15.3%
3	3-4 times/week	9898	21.7%
4	1-2 times/week	8457	18.6%
5	More seldom	4877	10.7%
6	Never	1114	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2665	5.8%
98	Several responses given	76	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	250	0.5%
Sysmiss		132609	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10d: How often listen to/view: Rapport

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=45575 /-] [Invalid=132609 /-]



### # jh10d: How often listen to/view: Rapport

<b>Definition</b>	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1986-1990 (National): "Rapport i TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "Rapport i TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "Rapport i SVT2"; 1999-2004 (National): "Rapport i SVT". The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "'Rapport' on TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "'Rapport' on TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "'Rapport' on SVT2"; 1999-2004 (National): "'Rapport' on SVT". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2004 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Rapport
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	12341	27.1%
2	5-6 times/week	7195	15.8%
3	3-4 times/week	9308	20.4%
4	1-2 times/week	8031	17.6%
5	More seldom	5107	11.2%
6	Never	1191	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2058	4.5%
98	Several responses given	94	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	250	0.5%
Sysmiss		132609	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10e: How often listen to/view: Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=132609 /-] [Invalid=45575 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	47026	35.5%
2	5-6 times/week	15966	12.0%
3	3-4 times/week	17108	12.9%
4	1-2 times/week	17787	13.4%
5	More seldom	18479	13.9%
6	Never	8765	6.6%
97	No response - part of the question	6022	4.5%
98	Several responses given	540	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	916	0.7%
Sysmiss		45575	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># jh10f: How often listen to/view: Regional news on SVT</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Regional news on TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "Regional news on TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "Regional news on SVT2"; 1999- (National): "Regional news on SVT".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"</p> <p>Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Regional news on SVT		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	47789	26.8%
2	5-6 times/week	20369	11.4%
3	3-4 times/week	24847	13.9%
4	1-2 times/week	26194	14.7%
5	More seldom	30801	17.3%
6	Never	13913	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	12505	7.0%
98	Several responses given	600	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1166	0.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jh10g: How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=170086 /-] [Invalid=8098 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1991-2008 (National): "'Nyheterna' on TV4"; 2009- (National): "TV4 'Nyheterna'".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1991-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"</p> <p>Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]		
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	35316	20.8%
2	5-6 times/week	17783	10.5%
3	3-4 times/week	28893	17.0%
4	1-2 times/week	29716	17.5%
5	More seldom	30789	18.1%
6	Never	14995	8.8%
97	No response - part of the question	10940	6.4%
98	Several responses given	515	0.3%

# **jh10g: How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1139	0.7%
Sysmiss		8098	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jh10h: How often listen to/view: Update/news on TV3**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44135 /-] [Invalid=134049 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1991-1995 (National): "TV3 news 'Tre minuter'"; 1996-2002 (National): "'TV3 Direkt'"; 2003 (National): "'Metronyheter' on TV3"; 2005-2007 (National): "'Update' on TV3". The question wording has changed. 1991-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003, 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Update/news on TV3
<b>Notes</b>	1991-2003, 2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1069	2.4%
2	5-6 times/week	1061	2.4%
3	3-4 times/week	2560	5.8%
4	1-2 times/week	3682	8.3%
5	More seldom	7874	17.8%
6	Never	22866	51.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4752	10.8%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	242	0.5%
Sysmiss		134049	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jh10i: How often listen to/view: TV4 local news**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=76978 /-] [Invalid=101206 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 local news
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	10117	13.1%
2	5-6 times/week	6230	8.1%
3	3-4 times/week	11254	14.6%
4	1-2 times/week	12868	16.7%

### # jh10i: How often listen to/view: TV4 local news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	More seldom	17850	23.2%
6	Never	11805	15.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6169	8.0%
98	Several responses given	208	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	477	0.6%
Sysmiss		101206	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10j: How often listen to/view: "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=76978 /-] [Invalid=101206 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1992 (National): "Frukost-TV' on TV4"; 1993-2002 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on TV4"; 2003- (National): "'Nyhetsmorgon' on TV4".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"</p> <p>Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	6084	7.9%
2	5-6 times/week	3164	4.1%
3	3-4 times/week	5322	6.9%
4	1-2 times/week	8587	11.2%
5	More seldom	19563	25.4%
6	Never	26722	34.7%
97	No response - part of the question	6963	9.0%
98	Several responses given	96	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	477	0.6%
Sysmiss		101206	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10k: How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=75089 /-] [Invalid=103095 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on TV2"; 1996-2000 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on SVT2"; 2001-2002 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on SVT"; 2003-2004 (National): "'SVT Morgon'"; 2005- (National): "Morning news on SVT".</p> <p>formulerats som angivet ovan.</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"</p>

<b># jh10k: How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT</b>			
	Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Morning news on SVT		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	5657	7.5%
2	5-6 times/week	2710	3.6%
3	3-4 times/week	4420	5.9%
4	1-2 times/week	6997	9.3%
5	More seldom	20221	26.9%
6	Never	26551	35.4%
97	No response - part of the question	7959	10.6%
98	Several responses given	105	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	469	0.6%
Sysmiss		103095	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jh10l: How often listen to/view: News on private local stations</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=48606 /-] [Invalid=129578 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on private local stations		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Daily	3806	7.8%
2	5-6 times/week	1626	3.3%
3	3-4 times/week	2510	5.2%
4	1-2 times/week	3066	6.3%
5	More seldom	8966	18.4%
6	Never	21913	45.1%
97	No response - part of the question	6351	13.1%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	0.6%
Sysmiss		129578	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jh10m: How often listen to/view: News on teletext</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18455 /-] [Invalid=159729 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"		

### # jh10m: How often listen to/view: News on teletext

	Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives referred to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television? - News on teletext
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2931	15.9%
2	5-6 times/week	980	5.3%
3	3-4 times/week	1221	6.6%
4	1-2 times/week	1536	8.3%
5	More seldom	4415	23.9%
6	Never	5924	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1325	7.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	111	0.6%
Sysmiss		159729	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10n: How often listen to/view: News on commercial radio

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17612 /-] [Invalid=160572 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on commercial radio
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1218	6.9%
2	5-6 times/week	762	4.3%
3	3-4 times/week	1402	8.0%
4	1-2 times/week	1772	10.1%
5	More seldom	4382	24.9%
6	Never	5694	32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2253	12.8%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	106	0.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160572	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # jh10p: How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=84659 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on P3 radio station.
<b>Notes</b>	2015-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4476	5.3%
2	5-6 times/week	2354	2.8%
3	3-4 times/week	4155	4.9%
4	1-2 times/week	6739	8.0%

<b># jh10p: How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	More seldom	24087	28.5%
6	Never	26447	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	15645	18.5%
98	Several responses given	119	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	0.8%
Sysmiss		93525	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10a: Access to equipment in household: Tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31539 /-] [Invalid=146645 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005 (National): "TV set"; 2006 (National): "Tv".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005-2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	1117	3.5%
1	Ticked	29892	94.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	530	1.7%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		143292	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10b: Access to equipment in household: Extra tv set</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=173246 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Extra tv set		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988, 2005-2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2971	60.2%
1	Ticked	1879	38.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	88	1.8%
Sysmiss		173246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10c: Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/home theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=174702 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV/home theatre		

<b># jj10c: Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/home theatre</b>			
<b>Notes</b>	2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	2956	84.9%
1	Ticked	482	13.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	44	1.3%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		171349	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10d: Access to equipment in household: Telephone (stationary)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40850 /-] [Invalid=137334 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1993-2000 (National): "Telephone"; 2001-2006 (National): "Telephone (stationary)".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	1433	3.5%
1	Ticked	38756	94.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	661	1.6%
Sysmiss		137334	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10e: Access to equipment in household: Telephone answering machine</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12432 /-] [Invalid=165752 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which type of equipment do you at the moment have at your disposal in your home? - Telephone answering machine		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1998 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	8656	69.6%
1	Ticked	3650	29.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	126	1.0%
Sysmiss		165752	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10f: Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40850 /-] [Invalid=137334 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). Note that two mobile telephone items were given in 2004: "Mobile telephone" and "Mobile phone with 3G".		



<b># jj10f: Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	10894	26.7%
1	Ticked	29296	71.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	660	1.6%
Sysmiss		137334	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10g: Access to equipment in household: Mobile phone with WAP</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7184 /-] [Invalid=171000 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile phone with WAP		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	6646	92.5%
1	Ticked	343	4.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	195	2.7%
Sysmiss		171000	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10h: Access to equipment in household: IP telephony</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	1560	91.4%
1	Ticked	115	6.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	31	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10i: Access to equipment in household: Teletext</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=127403 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		

<b># jj10i: Access to equipment in household: Teletext</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	12885	25.4%
1	Ticked	36976	72.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		125774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10j: Access to equipment in household: Video</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=127403 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	13422	26.4%
1	Ticked	36439	71.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		125774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10k: Access to equipment in household: Cable tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34679 /-] [Invalid=143505 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cable tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	21785	62.8%
1	Ticked	12204	35.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	2.0%
Sysmiss		143505	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10l: Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28643 /-] [Invalid=149541 /-]		

<b># jj10l: Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Digital tv/Set Top Box		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	23342	81.5%
1	Ticked	4805	16.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	496	1.7%
Sysmiss		149541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10m: Access to equipment in household: Central antenna</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=173246 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Central antenna		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	3113	63.0%
1	Ticked	1737	35.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	88	1.8%
Sysmiss		173246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10n: Access to equipment in household: Household satellite dish</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26581 /-] [Invalid=151603 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1991-1999 (National): "TV satellite dish"; 2000-2001 (National): "Household satellite dish".		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Household satellite dish		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	20952	78.8%
1	Ticked	5133	19.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	496	1.9%
Sysmiss		151603	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10o: Access to equipment in household: Personal computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=49074 /-] [Invalid=129110 /-]		

**# jj10o: Access to equipment in household: Personal computer**

**Definition** See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).  
The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Home or personal computer"; 1991-2005 (National): "Personal computer"

**Literal question** What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer

**Notes** 1986-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	25543	52.0%
1	Ticked	22642	46.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	889	1.8%
Sysmiss		129110	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj10p: Access to equipment in household: Desktop computer**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=174848 /-]

**Definition** See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).

**Literal question** What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer

**Notes** 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	1024	30.7%
1	Ticked	2248	67.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	64	1.9%
Sysmiss		174848	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># jj10q: Access to equipment in household: Portable computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=174848 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	2066	61.9%
1	Ticked	1206	36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	64	1.9%
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10r: Access to equipment in household: Fax machine</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25008 /-] [Invalid=153176 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Fax machine		
<b>Notes</b>	1992-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	20935	83.7%
1	Ticked	3624	14.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	449	1.8%
Sysmiss		153176	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10s: Access to equipment in household: Handheld computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9122 /-] [Invalid=169062 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Handheld computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	8046	88.2%
1	Ticked	942	10.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	134	1.5%
96		1774	
Sysmiss		167288	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># jj10t: Access to equipment in household: Printer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10896 /-] [Invalid=167288 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Printer		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	3875	35.6%
1	Ticked	6857	62.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	164	1.5%
Sysmiss		167288	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10u: Access to equipment in household: Broadband</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6974 /-] [Invalid=171210 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband		
<b>Notes</b>	2001, 2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	4276	61.3%
1	Ticked	2523	36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	175	2.5%
Sysmiss		171210	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10v: Access to equipment in household: Cd player</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=52410 /-] [Invalid=125774 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Compact Disc (CD player)". 1991-1993 (National): "Cd player (Compact Disc)"; 1994-2006 (National): "Cd player".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	18080	34.5%
1	Ticked	33377	63.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	953	1.8%
Sysmiss		125774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># jj10x: Access to equipment in household: Freestyle/Walkman</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3314 /-] [Invalid=174870 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Freestyle/Walkman		
<b>Notes</b>	1987-1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	2059	62.1%
1	Ticked	1200	36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	55	1.7%
Sysmiss		174870	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10y: Access to equipment in household: Video camera</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3462 /-] [Invalid=174722 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video camera		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1992 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	2796	80.8%
1	Ticked	568	16.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	98	2.8%
Sysmiss		174722	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10z: Access to equipment in household: DAB radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10687 /-] [Invalid=167497 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - DAB radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	10116	94.7%
1	Ticked	299	2.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	272	2.5%
Sysmiss		167497	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10aa: Access to equipment in household: Dvd player</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		

<b># jj10aa: Access to equipment in household: Dvd player</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28418 /-] [Invalid=149766 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	17830	62.7%
1	Ticked	10053	35.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	535	1.9%
Sysmiss		149766	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj10ab: Access to equipment in household: Mp3 player</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17757 /-] [Invalid=160427 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2001-2003 (National): "Portable mp3 player"; 2005-2006 (National): "Mp3 player".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003, 2005-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	14287	80.5%
1	Ticked	3147	17.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	323	1.8%
Sysmiss		160427	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj20a: Access to equipment in household: Modem</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=172874 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Modem		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	3858	72.7%
1	Ticked	762	14.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	13.0%
Sysmiss		172874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># jj20b: Access to equipment in household: Internet connection</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15920 /-] [Invalid=162264 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Internet connection		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	8441	53.0%
1	Ticked	5353	33.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2126	13.4%
Sysmiss		162264	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj20c: Access to equipment in household: CD-ROM unit</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=172874 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - CD-ROM unit		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	3708	69.8%
1	Ticked	912	17.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	13.0%
Sysmiss		172874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj20d: Access to equipment in household: Personal e-mail address</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15920 /-] [Invalid=162264 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal e-mail address		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	9122	57.3%
1	Ticked	4672	29.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	

<b># jj20d: Access to equipment in household: Personal e-mail address</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2126	13.4%
Sysmiss		162264	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj20e: Access to equipment in household: Personal homepage</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12364 /-] [Invalid=165820 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal homepage		
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2000 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	10041	81.2%
1	Ticked	687	5.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1636	13.2%
Sysmiss		165820	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj21: Access to equipment in household: There is no personal computer in the household</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14143 /-] [Invalid=164041 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - There is no personal computer in the household		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2000 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	7073	50.0%
1	Ticked	4949	35.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2121	15.0%
Sysmiss		164041	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj30a: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at home</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=160168 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at home		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	5566	30.9%
1	Ticked	12024	66.7%

**# jj30a: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at home**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		156815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# jj30b: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at work/in school**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=160168 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). Note that "at work" and "in school" were two separate response alternatives in 2001 (these have been merged for easier comparison).
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at work/in school
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	9162	50.9%
1	Ticked	8428	46.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		156815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# jj30c: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at another place**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=160168 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at another place
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	16298	90.5%
1	Ticked	1292	7.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		156815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# jj30d: Access to the Internet in household: No**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=160168 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	Do you have access to the Internet? - No

<b># jj30d: Access to the Internet in household: No</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		2001-2006 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	13599	75.5%
1	Ticked	3989	22.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		156815	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40a: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=13370 /-] [Invalid=164814 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).	
<b>Literal question</b>		What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)	
<b>Notes</b>		2007- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	11118	83.2%
2	No	1763	13.2%
3	Do not know	57	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	215	1.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	215	1.6%
96	Question not asked	20869	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40b: Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=13370 /-] [Invalid=164814 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).	
<b>Literal question</b>		What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone	
<b>Notes</b>		2007- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	12599	94.2%
2	No	317	2.4%
3	Do not know	32	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	204	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	215	1.6%
96	Question not asked	20869	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# jj40c: Access to media technology in household: IP telephony	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5117 /-] [Invalid=173067 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	557	10.9%
2	No	3459	67.6%
3	Do not know	424	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	606	11.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	70	1.4%
96	Question not asked	6503	
Sysmiss		166564	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# jj40d: Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6783 /-] [Invalid=171401 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3357	49.5%
2	No	2709	39.9%
3	Do not know	95	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	520	7.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	100	1.5%
96	Question not asked	4837	
Sysmiss		166564	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# jj40e: Access to media technology in household: Cd player	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5096 /-] [Invalid=173088 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4375	85.9%
2	No	419	8.2%
3	Do not know	32	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	187	3.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%

**# jj40e: Access to media technology in household: Cd player**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	81	1.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		171490	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj40f: Access to media technology in household: Video**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3430 /-] [Invalid=174754 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2503	73.0%
2	No	684	19.9%
3	Do not know	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	165	4.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	51	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3264	
Sysmiss		171490	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj40g: Access to media technology in household: Dvd player**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5096 /-] [Invalid=173088 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4047	79.4%
2	No	717	14.1%
3	Do not know	31	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	220	4.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	1.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		171490	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj40h: Access to media technology in household: Large screen TV (37" or larger)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV (37" or larger)
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

# **jj40h: Access to media technology in household: Large screen TV (37" or larger)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	358	20.2%
2	No	1267	71.6%
3	Do not know	25	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	94	5.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.4%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40i: Access to media technology in household: Home cinema system**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Home cinema system
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	346	19.6%
2	No	1280	72.4%
3	Do not know	14	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	104	5.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.4%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40j: Access to media technology in household: Desktop computer**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=174749 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2330	67.8%
2	No	860	25.0%
3	Do not know	20	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	170	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	55	1.6%
Sysmiss		174749	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40k: Access to media technology in household: Portable computer**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
--------------------	---

<b># jj40k: Access to media technology in household: Portable computer</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=17479 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	1483	43.2%
2	No	1656	48.2%
3	Do not know	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	217	6.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	55	1.6%
Sysmiss		17479	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40l: Access to media technology in household: Personal computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14817 /-] [Invalid=163367 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	12103	81.7%
2	No	1865	12.6%
3	Do not know	121	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	497	3.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	1.5%
96	Question not asked	15987	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40m: Access to media technology in household: Internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18252 /-] [Invalid=159932 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	15035	82.4%
2	No	2271	12.4%
3	Do not know	90	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	570	3.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	284	1.6%
96	Question not asked	15987	



<b># jj40m: Access to media technology in household: Internet</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40n: Access to media technology in household: Broadband</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13318 /-] [Invalid=164866 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	9833	73.8%
2	No	2660	20.0%
3	Do not know	147	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	476	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	202	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3309	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40o: Access to media technology in household: GPS</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=169955 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	2895	35.2%
2	No	4126	50.1%
3	Do not know	177	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	899	10.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.6%
96	Question not asked	15972	
Sysmiss		153983	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40p: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=169955 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	912	11.1%

# **jj40p: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	5843	71.0%
3	Do not know	330	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1011	12.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12733	
Sysmiss		157222	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40q: Access to media technology in household: Video game console**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6568 /-] [Invalid=171616 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2072	31.5%
2	No	3472	52.9%
3	Do not know	134	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	783	11.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	1.6%
96	Question not asked	14374	
Sysmiss		157242	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40r: Access to media technology in household: Tablet**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2010 (National): "Electronic pad for e-books"; 2011- (National): "Tablet".
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1093	16.6%
2	No	4580	69.5%
3	Do not know	128	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	669	10.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	115	1.7%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# **jj40s: Access to media technology in household: Tv set**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
--------------------	---

<b># jj40s: Access to media technology in household: Tv set</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=174847 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	3114	93.3%
2	No	135	4.0%
3	Do not know	14	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	42	1.3%
96	Question not asked	9555	
Sysmiss		165292	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj40t: Access to media technology in household: Radio set</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=174847 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Radio set		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	2877	86.2%
2	No	350	10.5%
3	Do not know	11	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	57	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	42	1.3%
96	Question not asked	9555	
Sysmiss		165292	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100a: Access to media technology in household: Teletext</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=127403 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10i.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	36976	72.8%
2	No/do not know	12885	25.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		125774	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># jj100b: Access to media technology in household: Personal computer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=89454 /-] [Invalid=88730 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10o (1986-2005), jj10p and jj10q (2006), jj40j and jj40k (2007) and jj40l (2008-). The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Home or personal computer"; 1991-2005 (National): "Personal computer"; 2006-2007 (National): "Desktop computer" och "Portable compute"; 2008- (National): "Personal computer". Note that a distinction was made between desktop and portable computer 2006-2007. These have been merged here. Note also that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	56874	63.6%
2	No/do not know	30620	34.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1955	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	37849	
Sysmiss		50881	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100c: Access to media technology in household: Video</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54211 /-] [Invalid=123973 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10j (1986-2006) and jj40f (2007-2008). Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	38942	71.8%
2	No/do not know	14295	26.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	971	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		122344	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100d: Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54220 /-] [Invalid=123964 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10f (1993-2006) and jj40b (2007-). The question wording has changed. 1993-2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)). Note also that two mobile telephone items were given in 2004: "Mobile telephone" and "Mobile phone with 3G" (here they are merged).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	41895	77.3%

<b># jj100d: Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	No/do not know	11374	21.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		123964	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100e: Access to media technology in household: Internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=72876 /-] [Invalid=105308 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on jj20b (1995-2000), jj30a (2001-2006) and jj40m (2007-).  The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"; 2001-2006 (National): "Do you have access to the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?"  Note also that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet		
<b>Notes</b>	1995- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	51039	70.0%
2	No/do not know	18039	24.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3793	5.2%
96	Question not asked	50433	
Sysmiss		54875	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100f: Access to media technology in household: Broadband</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=32963 /-] [Invalid=145221 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on jj10u (2001, 2006), jj40n (2007-). The responses from 2002-2005 are based on a separate question regarding types of Internet connection (a follow-up question to jj30a-d).  The question wording has changed. 2001, 2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2002-2005 (National): "Do you have access to the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?"  In 2002-2005, affirmative responses to the following response alternatives are considered as access to broadband: 2002 (National): "Yes, at home where I have access with fixed rate monthly fee"; 2003 (National): "Yes, at home where I have access with a fixed subscription fee"; 2004-2005 (National): "Yes, at home where I have access to broadband".  Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband		
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	16362	49.6%
2	No/do not know	15801	47.9%
98	Several responses given	173	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	627	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		143497	

**# jj100f: Access to media technology in household: Broadband**

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj100g: Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24540 /-] [Invalid=153644 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10ab (2001-2003, 2005-2006) and jj40d (2007-2009). The question wording has changed. 2001-2003, 2005-2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2003, 2005-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6504	26.5%
2	No/do not know	17611	71.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	423	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		153644	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj100h: Access to media technology in household: IP telephony**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6824 /-] [Invalid=171360 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10h (2006) and jj40c (2007-2009). The question wording has changed. 2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007-2009 (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
<b>Notes</b>	2006-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	672	9.8%
2	No/do not know	6049	88.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	101	1.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		171360	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# jj100i: Access to media technology in household: GPS**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=169955 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj40o. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

**# jj100i: Access to media technology in household: GPS**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2895	35.2%
2	No/do not know	4777	58.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	556	6.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		169955	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># jj100j: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=169955 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj40p. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	912	11.1%
2	No/do not know	6684	81.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	631	7.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		169955	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100k: Access to media technology in household: Video game console</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6568 /-] [Invalid=171616 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj40q. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	2072	31.5%
2	No/do not know	3927	59.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	567	8.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		171616	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100l: Access to media technology in household: Tablet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27275 /-] [Invalid=150909 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj40r. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	13051	47.8%
2	No/do not know	12263	45.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1957	7.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	47080	



<b># jj100l: Access to media technology in household: Tablet</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		103829	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100m: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=54220 /-] [Invalid=123964 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10d (1986-2006) och jj40a (2007-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	49874	92.0%
2	No/do not know	3350	6.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	994	1.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		123964	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># jj100n: Access to media technology in household: Tv set</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34876 /-] [Invalid=143308 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on jj10a (1986-2006) och jj40s (2007-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005-2006, 2012- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	33006	94.6%
2	No/do not know	1266	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	604	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	3353	
Sysmiss		139955	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10a: Importance of content in newspapers: Sports</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Sport		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1071	10.2%
2	Rather unimportant	1059	10.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	800	7.7%

<b># ka10a: Importance of content in newspapers: Sports</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	733	7.0%
5	Somewhat important	1770	16.9%
6	Rather important	2334	22.3%
7	Very important	1982	19.0%
97	No response - part of the question	347	3.3%
98	Several responses given	22	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10b: Importance of content in newspapers: Family news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Family news		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	463	4.4%
2	Rather unimportant	771	7.4%
3	Somewhat unimportant	665	6.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1013	9.7%
5	Somewhat important	2257	21.6%
6	Rather important	2858	27.4%
7	Very important	1806	17.3%
97	No response - part of the question	267	2.6%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10c: Importance of content in newspapers: Letters to the editor</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Letters to the editor		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	350	3.3%
2	Rather unimportant	595	5.7%

### # ka10c: Importance of content in newspapers: Letters to the editor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	664	6.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1154	11.0%
5	Somewhat important	2560	24.5%
6	Rather important	2933	28.1%
7	Very important	1491	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	350	3.3%
98	Several responses given	21	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10d: Importance of content in newspapers: National news

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - National news
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	214	2.0%
2	Rather unimportant	208	2.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	148	1.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	320	3.1%
5	Somewhat important	1041	10.0%
6	Rather important	3208	30.7%
7	Very important	4627	44.3%
97	No response - part of the question	339	3.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10e: Importance of content in newspapers: Economy

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Economy and trade"; 2007 (National): "Economy". The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Economy
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

### # ka10e: Importance of content in newspapers: Economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	280	2.7%
2	Rather unimportant	433	4.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	582	5.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1062	10.2%
5	Somewhat important	2306	22.1%
6	Rather important	3093	29.6%
7	Very important	1948	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	394	3.8%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10f: Importance of content in newspapers: Parliament and government

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Parliament and government
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	323	3.1%
2	Rather unimportant	399	3.8%
3	Somewhat unimportant	550	5.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1049	10.0%
5	Somewhat important	2268	21.7%
6	Rather important	3095	29.6%
7	Very important	2075	19.9%
97	No response - part of the question	342	3.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10g: Importance of content in newspapers: Union issues

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Union issues
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

### # ka10g: Importance of content in newspapers: Union issues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	521	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	661	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	835	9.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1420	16.4%
5	Somewhat important	2180	25.1%
6	Rather important	1715	19.8%
7	Very important	694	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	353	4.1%
98	Several responses given	21	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10h: Importance of content in newspapers: Accidents and crime

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Accidents and crime
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1995-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	213	2.0%
2	Rather unimportant	388	3.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	482	4.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	784	7.5%
5	Somewhat important	2627	25.1%
6	Rather important	3620	34.6%
7	Very important	1697	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	288	2.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10i: Importance of content in newspapers: Editorials and comments

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Editorials and comments

<b># ka10i: Importance of content in newspapers: Editorials and comments</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	457	4.4%
2	Rather unimportant	589	5.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	685	6.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1504	14.4%
5	Somewhat important	2439	23.3%
6	Rather important	2537	24.3%
7	Very important	1492	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	399	3.8%
98	Several responses given	16	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10j: Importance of content in newspapers: International news</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"	
<b>Literal question</b>		How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - International news	
<b>Notes</b>		1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	229	2.2%
2	Rather unimportant	320	3.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	360	3.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	602	5.8%
5	Somewhat important	1819	17.4%
6	Rather important	3269	31.3%
7	Very important	3159	30.2%
97	No response - part of the question	334	3.2%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10k: Importance of content in newspapers: Culture</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Cultural articles"; 2007 (National): "Culture". The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"	

<b># ka10k: Importance of content in newspapers: Culture</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Culture		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	595	5.7%
2	Rather unimportant	755	7.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	918	8.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1504	14.4%
5	Somewhat important	2423	23.2%
6	Rather important	2217	21.2%
7	Very important	1264	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	427	4.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10l: Importance of content in newspapers: Op-ed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Op-ed articles"; 2007 (National): "Op-ed". The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Op-ed		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	449	4.3%
2	Rather unimportant	597	5.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	756	7.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1512	14.5%
5	Somewhat important	2493	23.9%
6	Rather important	2527	24.2%
7	Very important	1349	12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	427	4.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10m: Importance of content in newspapers: Environmental issues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		

<b># ka10m: Importance of content in newspapers: Environmental issues</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Environmental issues		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	215	2.5%
2	Rather unimportant	251	2.9%
3	Somewhat unimportant	346	4.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	742	8.5%
5	Somewhat important	1724	19.9%
6	Rather important	2600	30.0%
7	Very important	2257	26.0%
97	No response - part of the question	258	3.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Systemmiss		165985	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10n: Importance of content in newspapers: Local news</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local news		
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	29	1.6%
2	Rather unimportant	17	1.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	18	1.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	54	3.1%
5	Somewhat important	169	9.6%
6	Rather important	539	30.5%
7	Very important	850	48.0%
97	No response - part of the question	39	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Systemmiss		174749	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10o: Importance of content in newspapers: Local labour market</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local labour market		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)		



### # ka10o: Importance of content in newspapers: Local labour market

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	286	3.3%
2	Rather unimportant	318	3.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	357	4.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	853	9.8%
5	Somewhat important	1410	16.2%
6	Rather important	2455	28.3%
7	Very important	2413	27.8%
97	No response - part of the question	303	3.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10p: Importance of content in newspapers: Organisations and associations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Organisations and associations
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	314	3.6%
2	Rather unimportant	480	5.5%
3	Somewhat unimportant	699	8.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1427	16.4%
5	Somewhat important	2410	27.8%
6	Rather important	2013	23.2%
7	Very important	685	7.9%
97	No response - part of the question	366	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10q: Importance of content in newspapers: Famous locals

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Famous locals
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

### # ka10q: Importance of content in newspapers: Famous locals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	839	9.7%
2	Rather unimportant	863	9.9%
3	Somewhat unimportant	918	10.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1365	15.7%
5	Somewhat important	2090	24.1%
6	Rather important	1462	16.8%
7	Very important	580	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	276	3.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10r: Importance of content in newspapers: Local politics

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local politics
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	273	3.1%
2	Rather unimportant	347	4.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	419	4.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	905	10.4%
5	Somewhat important	1882	21.7%
6	Rather important	2607	30.0%
7	Very important	1666	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	291	3.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10s: Importance of content in newspapers: Local workplaces

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local workplaces
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

### # ka10s: Importance of content in newspapers: Local workplaces

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	251	2.9%
2	Rather unimportant	359	4.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	458	5.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1138	13.1%
5	Somewhat important	2058	23.7%
6	Rather important	2397	27.6%
7	Very important	1324	15.3%
97	No response - part of the question	406	4.7%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10t: Importance of content in newspapers: School issues

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - School issues
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	250	2.9%
2	Rather unimportant	289	3.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	360	4.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	830	9.6%
5	Somewhat important	1660	19.1%
6	Rather important	2481	28.6%
7	Very important	2158	24.9%
97	No response - part of the question	366	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10u: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment and local events

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Entertainment and local events
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

### # ka10u: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment and local events

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	244	2.8%
2	Rather unimportant	280	3.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	361	4.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	666	7.7%
5	Somewhat important	2100	24.2%
6	Rather important	2729	31.4%
7	Very important	1714	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	303	3.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10v: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	41	2.3%
2	Rather unimportant	76	4.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	112	6.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	212	12.0%
5	Somewhat important	471	26.6%
6	Rather important	513	29.0%
7	Very important	221	12.5%
97	No response - part of the question	65	3.7%
98	Several responses given	7	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10w: Importance of content in newspapers: Cartoons

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cartoons
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1429	16.5%
2	Rather unimportant	954	11.0%

### # ka10w: Importance of content in newspapers: Cartoons

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	894	10.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1497	17.2%
5	Somewhat important	1491	17.2%
6	Rather important	911	10.5%
7	Very important	822	9.5%
97	No response - part of the question	394	4.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10x: Importance of content in newspapers: Short stories, serials

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=171363 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Short stories, serials
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	2168	31.8%
2	Rather unimportant	1110	16.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	741	10.9%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1107	16.2%
5	Somewhat important	712	10.4%
6	Rather important	260	3.8%
7	Very important	136	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	349	5.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		169660	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10y: Importance of content in newspapers: Music and theater

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=173163 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music and theater
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		301	6.0%
2		372	7.4%

### # ka10y: Importance of content in newspapers: Music and theater

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		515	10.3%
4		635	12.6%
5		1279	25.5%
6		986	19.6%
7		517	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	246	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		173163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10z: Importance of content in newspapers: Music

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5436 /-] [Invalid=172748 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music
<b>Notes</b>	1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	328	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	415	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	564	10.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1028	18.9%
5	Somewhat important	1335	24.6%
6	Rather important	936	17.2%
7	Very important	412	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	240	4.4%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	172	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		169229	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10aa: Importance of content in newspapers: Relational issues

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Relational issues
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	524	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	662	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	908	10.5%

<b># ka10aa: Importance of content in newspapers: Relational issues</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1769	20.4%
5	Somewhat important	2210	25.5%
6	Rather important	1430	16.5%
7	Very important	508	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	384	4.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ab: Importance of content in newspapers: About radio and tv programs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - About radio and tv programs		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	275	2.6%
2	Rather unimportant	299	2.9%
3	Somewhat unimportant	315	3.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	685	6.6%
5	Somewhat important	1948	18.6%
6	Rather important	3202	30.6%
7	Very important	3066	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	313	3.0%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ac: Importance of content in newspapers: Interior design</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=171363 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Interior design		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	901	13.2%
2	Rather unimportant	794	11.6%

<b># ka10ac: Importance of content in newspapers: Interior design</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Somewhat unimportant	745	10.9%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1124	16.5%
5	Somewhat important	1419	20.8%
6	Rather important	922	13.5%
7	Very important	362	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	315	4.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		169660	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ad: Importance of content in newspapers: Cooking</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=171363 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cooking		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	718	10.5%
2	Rather unimportant	703	10.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	724	10.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	957	14.0%
5	Somewhat important	1511	22.2%
6	Rather important	1156	16.9%
7	Very important	550	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	263	3.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		169660	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ae: Importance of content in newspapers: Fashion</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=171363 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Fashion		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	1013	14.9%
2	Rather unimportant	774	11.3%



### # ka10ae: Importance of content in newspapers: Fashion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	738	10.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1035	15.2%
5	Somewhat important	1355	19.9%
6	Rather important	951	13.9%
7	Very important	427	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	293	4.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		169660	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10af: Importance of content in newspapers: Consumer information

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Consumer information
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	264	3.0%
2	Rather unimportant	283	3.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	343	4.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	850	9.8%
5	Somewhat important	1958	22.6%
6	Rather important	2744	31.6%
7	Very important	1618	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	330	3.8%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10ag: Importance of content in newspapers: Crossword puzzles and competitions

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Crossword puzzles and competitions
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1107	12.8%
2	Rather unimportant	911	10.5%

### # ka10ag: Importance of content in newspapers: Crossword puzzles and competitions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	897	10.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1445	16.6%
5	Somewhat important	1748	20.1%
6	Rather important	1220	14.1%
7	Very important	743	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	321	3.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10ah: Importance of content in newspapers: Popular science

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Popular science
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	433	5.0%
2	Rather unimportant	468	5.4%
3	Somewhat unimportant	619	7.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1758	20.3%
5	Somewhat important	2073	23.9%
6	Rather important	1929	22.2%
7	Very important	720	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	397	4.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10ai: Importance of content in newspapers: Computers/IT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3659 /-] [Invalid=174525 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Computers/IT
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	341	9.3%
2	Rather unimportant	259	7.1%

<b># ka10ai: Importance of content in newspapers: Computers/IT</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Somewhat unimportant	308	8.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	944	25.8%
5	Somewhat important	822	22.5%
6	Rather important	552	15.1%
7	Very important	167	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	153	4.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	110	3.0%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		171006	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10aj: Importance of content in newspapers: Local business advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=167735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local business advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	440	4.2%
2	Rather unimportant	455	4.4%
3	Somewhat unimportant	530	5.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1187	11.4%
5	Somewhat important	2341	22.4%
6	Rather important	2899	27.7%
7	Very important	1937	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	323	3.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		162550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ak: Importance of content in newspapers: Weekly advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=173163 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Weekly advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		361	7.2%

<b># ka10ak: Importance of content in newspapers: Weekly advertisements</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2		246	4.9%
3		294	5.9%
4		612	12.2%
5		1102	21.9%
6		1198	23.9%
7		811	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	227	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		173163	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10al: Importance of content in newspapers: Advertisements by chain/department stores</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=171363 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Advertisements by chain stores/department stores		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	454	6.7%
2	Rather unimportant	424	6.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	420	6.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	708	10.4%
5	Somewhat important	1495	21.9%
6	Rather important	1665	24.4%
7	Very important	1202	17.6%
97	No response - part of the question	219	3.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		169660	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10am: Importance of content in newspapers: National and local government advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=173163 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - National and local government advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		275	5.5%
2		315	6.3%

<b># ka10am: Importance of content in newspapers: National and local government advertisements</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3		395	7.9%
4		858	17.1%
5		1215	24.2%
6		1027	20.5%
7		540	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	226	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		173163	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10an: Importance of content in newspapers: Job advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Job advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	616	7.1%
2	Rather unimportant	345	4.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	385	4.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	990	11.4%
5	Somewhat important	1527	17.6%
6	Rather important	2128	24.5%
7	Very important	2028	23.4%
97	No response - part of the question	376	4.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ao: Importance of content in newspapers: Real estate advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=173163 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Real estate advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		361	7.2%
2		246	4.9%
3		294	5.9%

<b># ka10ao: Importance of content in newspapers: Real estate advertisements</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4		612	12.2%
5		1102	21.9%
6		1198	23.9%
7		811	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	227	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		173163	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10ap: Importance of content in newspapers: Housing advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5428 /-] [Invalid=172756 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Housing advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2003, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	281	5.2%
2	Rather unimportant	284	5.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	281	5.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	749	13.8%
5	Somewhat important	1190	21.9%
6	Rather important	1436	26.5%
7	Very important	848	15.6%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	161	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		167571	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka10aq: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5428 /-] [Invalid=172756 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1999, 2003, 2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very unimportant	203	3.7%
2	Rather unimportant	256	4.7%

### # ka10aq: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment advertisements

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	293	5.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	690	12.7%
5	Somewhat important	1416	26.1%
6	Rather important	1462	26.9%
7	Very important	753	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	185	3.4%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	161	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		167571	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10ar: Importance of content in newspapers: Car and motor advertisements

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=176325 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Car and motor advertisements
<b>Notes</b>	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	110	5.9%
2	Rather unimportant	104	5.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	124	6.7%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	393	21.1%
5	Somewhat important	437	23.5%
6	Rather important	410	22.1%
7	Very important	181	9.7%
97	No response - part of the question	51	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	48	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		174509	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka10as: Importance of content in newspapers: Classified advertisements

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=169504 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Classified advertisements
<b>Notes</b>	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	443	5.1%
2	Rather unimportant	459	5.3%

**# ka10as: Importance of content in newspapers: Classified advertisements**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	522	6.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1224	14.1%
5	Somewhat important	2123	24.5%
6	Rather important	2197	25.3%
7	Very important	1103	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	328	3.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		165985	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



# ka10at: Importance of content in newspapers: Private small advertisements	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Private small advertisements
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	96	5.4%
2	Rather unimportant	127	7.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	136	7.7%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	356	20.1%
5	Somewhat important	439	24.8%
6	Rather important	347	19.6%
7	Very important	159	9.0%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka100: Have you considered cancelling newspaper subscription?	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28447 /-] [Invalid=149737 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Have you considered cancelling one or several of your daily newspaper subscriptions, or has this been discussed within the family during the last 6 months?
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2006, 2008 (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	14748	51.8%
2	Yes, once or so	3771	13.3%
3	Yes, several times	2090	7.3%
4	Unsure, do not know	413	1.5%
5	Dont subscribe to a morning newspaper	5378	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	87	0.3%
98	Several responses given	52	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1908	6.7%
96	Question not asked	21951	
Sysmiss		127786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka101a: Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it's not affordable	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"

<b># ka101a: Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it's not affordable</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Everything has become so expensive that it is not affordable		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	21084	84.9%
1	Ticked	1890	7.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		134894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101b: Cancelling subscription: Increase in the cost of the subscription</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Increase in the cost of the subscription		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	21325	85.8%
1	Ticked	1649	6.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		134894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101c: Cancelling subscription: I get local information through radio and tv</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get local information through radio and tv		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	22129	89.1%
1	Ticked	845	3.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		134894	

<b># ka101c: Cancelling subscription: I get local information through radio and tv</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101d: Cancelling subscription: Badly organized newspaper distribution</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Badly organized newspaper distribution		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	22513	90.6%
1	Ticked	461	1.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		134894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101e: Cancelling subscription: I do not have the time to read it</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not have the time to read it		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	21776	87.7%
1	Ticked	1198	4.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		134894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101f: Cancelling subscription: I have access to the newspaper at work/school</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23298 /-] [Invalid=154886 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I have access to the newspaper at work/school		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	20715	88.9%

<b># ka101f: Cancelling subscription: I have access to the newspaper at work/school</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ticked	841	3.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1742	7.5%
96		13703	
Sysmiss		141183	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101g: Cancelling subscription: I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=161951 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	15237	93.9%
1	Ticked	114	0.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		155065	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101h: Cancelling subscription: I do not like the politics of the newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18092 /-] [Invalid=160092 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not like the politics of the newspaper		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	16975	93.8%
1	Ticked	177	1.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	940	5.2%
96		8702	
Sysmiss		151390	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101i: Cancelling subscription: Poor content in the newspaper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=153343 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"		

<b># ka101i: Cancelling subscription: Poor content in the newspaper</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor content in the newspaper		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	21947	88.3%
1	Ticked	1027	4.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Systemmiss		134894	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101j: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too few advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14334 /-] [Invalid=163850 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too few advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	13479	94.0%
1	Ticked	54	0.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	801	5.6%
96		5147	
Systemmiss		158703	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101k: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too many advertisements</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10895 /-] [Invalid=167289 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too many advertisements		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	10176	93.4%
1	Ticked	163	1.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	556	5.1%
96		6886	
Systemmiss		160403	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101l: Cancelling subscription: I moved to another community</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ka101l: Cancelling subscription: I moved to another community</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14334 /-] [Invalid=163850 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I moved to another community		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2000 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	13505	94.2%
1	Ticked	28	0.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	801	5.6%
96		5147	
Sysmiss		158703	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101m: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has changed drastically</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=161951 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has changed drastically		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	15186	93.6%
1	Ticked	165	1.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		155065	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101n: Cancelling subscription: Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=161951 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	15047	92.7%
1	Ticked	304	1.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		155065	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# ka101o: Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper on the Internet	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17724 /-] [Invalid=160460 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1997-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper on the Internet
<b>Notes</b>	1997-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	15832	89.3%
1	Ticked	507	2.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1385	7.8%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		142011	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ka101p: Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper when travelling by PT	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5463 /-] [Invalid=172721 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper when travelling by public transport (bus, train etc.)
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	5186	94.9%
1	Ticked	76	1.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	201	3.7%
96		5147	
Sysmiss		167574	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ka101q: Cancelling subscription: I get the news I need from a free paper	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12349 /-] [Invalid=165835 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 2000-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
<b>Literal question</b>	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get the news I need from a free paper
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	10991	89.0%
1	Ticked	204	1.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	

<b># ka101q: Cancelling subscription: I get the news I need from a free paper</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1154	9.3%
96	Question not asked	13302	
Sysmiss		152533	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101r: Cancelling subscription: The paper has adopted a format that doesn't suit me</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3545 /-] [Invalid=174639 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The paper has adopted a format that doesn't suit me		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	3214	90.7%
1	Ticked	22	0.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	8.7%
96		3403	
Sysmiss		171236	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka101v: Cancelling subscription: Other reason</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=172874 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Follow-up question to ka100.		
<b>Literal question</b>	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Other reason		
<b>Notes</b>	1995-1997 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	4769	89.8%
1	Ticked	148	2.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	393	7.4%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		172874	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka200a: General impression of TV channels: SVT1</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=136244 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000- (National): "SVT1".		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT1		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		



### # ka200a: General impression of TV channels: SVT1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	8425	20.1%
2	Rather positive	20121	48.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8160	19.5%
4	Rather negative	1676	4.0%
5	Very negative	631	1.5%
6	No opinion	1495	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	371	0.9%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		61940	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200b: General impression of TV channels: SVT2

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=136244 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000- (National): "SVT2".
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT2
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	7308	17.4%
2	Rather positive	19682	46.9%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8999	21.5%
4	Rather negative	1909	4.6%
5	Very negative	735	1.8%
6	No opinion	1740	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	502	1.2%
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		61940	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200c: General impression of TV channels: TV3

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=136244 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV3
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	2381	5.7%
2	Rather positive	9463	22.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	10755	25.6%
4	Rather negative	5326	12.7%
5	Very negative	1890	4.5%

### # ka200c: General impression of TV channels: TV3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	8828	21.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2234	5.3%
98	Several responses given	28	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		61940	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200d: General impression of TV channels: TV4

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=136244 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	7118	17.0%
2	Rather positive	19851	47.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8705	20.8%
4	Rather negative	2378	5.7%
5	Very negative	750	1.8%
6	No opinion	1576	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	489	1.2%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		61940	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200e: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=136244 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 1993 (National): "TV5 Nordic"; 1994 (National): "'Femman' (TV5)"; 1995 (National): "'Femman'"; 1996-1999 (National): "Kanal 5 - 'Femman'"; 2000- (National): "Kanal 5".
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 5
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	2696	6.4%
2	Rather positive	9042	21.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	10354	24.7%
4	Rather negative	3477	8.3%
5	Very negative	1327	3.2%
6	No opinion	11827	28.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2145	5.1%
98	Several responses given	37	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	

### # ka200e: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		61940	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200f: General impression of TV channels: ZTV

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16093 /-] [Invalid=162091 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - ZTV
<b>Notes</b>	1994-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	361	2.2%
2	Rather positive	1180	7.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	2514	15.6%
4	Rather negative	994	6.2%
5	Very negative	486	3.0%
6	No opinion	8698	54.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1388	8.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	468	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8553	
Sysmiss		153538	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200g: General impression of TV channels: TV6

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40109 /-] [Invalid=138075 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV6
<b>Notes</b>	1994-2001, 2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	1269	3.2%
2	Rather positive	4811	12.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	9960	24.8%
4	Rather negative	3010	7.5%
5	Very negative	1205	3.0%
6	No opinion	16599	41.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2228	5.6%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	993	2.5%
96	Question not asked	72526	
Sysmiss		65549	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200h: General impression of TV channels: TV21

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7014 /-] [Invalid=171170 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV21

### # ka200h: General impression of TV channels: TV21

Notes		1994-1997 (National)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	25	0.4%
2	Rather positive	80	1.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	489	7.0%
4	Rather negative	232	3.3%
5	Very negative	337	4.8%
6	No opinion	5075	72.4%
97	No response - part of the question	606	8.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	2.4%
Sysmiss		171170	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka200i: General impression of TV channels: TV8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7372 /-] [Invalid=170812 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV8
Notes	1998-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	67	0.9%
2	Rather positive	263	3.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	773	10.5%
4	Rather negative	149	2.0%
5	Very negative	136	1.8%
6	No opinion	4991	67.7%
97	No response - part of the question	722	9.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	266	3.6%
96	Question not asked	6924	
Sysmiss		163888	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka200j: General impression of TV channels: Viasat Nature/Action

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7303 /-] [Invalid=170881 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Viasat Nature/Action
Notes	2002-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	232	3.2%
2	Rather positive	682	9.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	787	10.8%
4	Rather negative	127	1.7%
5	Very negative	51	0.7%
6	No opinion	4707	64.5%
97	No response - part of the question	528	7.2%

### # ka200j: General impression of TV channels: Viasat Nature/Action

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	2.5%
96	Question not asked	7092	
Sysmiss		163789	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200k: General impression of TV channels: SVT B Barnkanalen

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25893 /-] [Invalid=152291 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "Barnkanalen"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT B Barnkanalen
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	1836	7.1%
2	Rather positive	3928	15.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4065	15.7%
4	Rather negative	360	1.4%
5	Very negative	216	0.8%
6	No opinion	13815	53.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1080	4.2%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	567	2.2%
96	Question not asked	65782	
Sysmiss		86509	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200l: General impression of TV channels: SVT24

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18883 /-] [Invalid=159301 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "24"; 2004- (National): "SVT24".
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT24
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	859	4.5%
2	Rather positive	3998	21.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4641	24.6%
4	Rather negative	445	2.4%
5	Very negative	195	1.0%
6	No opinion	7462	39.5%
97	No response - part of the question	788	4.2%
98	Several responses given	36	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	459	2.4%
96	Question not asked	29478	
Sysmiss		129823	

### # ka200l: General impression of TV channels: SVT24

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200m: General impression of TV channels: TV4 Plus

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=15546 /-] [Invalid=162638 /-]

**Literal question** What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4 Plus

**Notes** 2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	742	4.8%
2	Rather positive	3554	22.9%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3888	25.0%
4	Rather negative	579	3.7%
5	Very negative	182	1.2%
6	No opinion	5584	35.9%
97	No response - part of the question	636	4.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.4%
96	Question not asked	19923	
Sysmiss		142715	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200n: General impression of TV channels: Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=25695 /-] [Invalid=152489 /-]

**Definition** The item wording has changed. 2004-2006, 2008-2010 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2006 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2011- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)".

**Literal question** What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)

**Notes** 2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	3449	13.4%
2	Rather positive	6498	25.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4742	18.5%
4	Rather negative	313	1.2%
5	Very negative	206	0.8%
6	No opinion	9100	35.4%
97	No response - part of the question	813	3.2%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	552	2.1%
96	Question not asked	65564	
Sysmiss		86925	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka200o: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 9

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=11704 /-] [Invalid=166480 /-]

**Literal question** What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 9

**Notes** 2007- (National)

### # ka200o: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 9

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	296	2.5%
2	Rather positive	1412	12.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3414	29.2%
4	Rather negative	565	4.8%
5	Very negative	213	1.8%
6	No opinion	5068	43.3%
97	No response - part of the question	441	3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	287	2.5%
96	Question not asked	22535	
Sysmiss		143945	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka250a: TV channel with the best programs: Children's programs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Children's programs
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	7658	19.7%
2	SVT2	959	2.5%
3	SVT1/SVT2	918	2.4%
4	TV3	919	2.4%
5	TV4	1068	2.8%
6	Kanal 5	119	0.3%
7	TV6	5	0.0%
8	ZTV	11	0.0%
9	TV8	2	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	8	0.0%
11	SVT24	4	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	97	0.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	2905	7.5%
30	Other channel	1184	3.0%
31	No opinion	19892	51.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1875	4.8%
98	Several responses given	152	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%

### # ka250a: TV channel with the best programs: Children´s programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka250b: TV channel with the best programs: News

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]

**Definition**  
 The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".  
 "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.  
 Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".

**Literal question**  
 In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - News

**Notes**  
 1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	9621	24.8%
2	SVT2	9249	23.8%
3	SVT1/SVT2	2058	5.3%
4	TV3	273	0.7%
5	TV4	12073	31.1%
6	Kanal 5	8	0.0%
7	TV6	3	0.0%
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	1	0.0%
11	SVT24	84	0.2%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	9	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	8	0.0%
30	Other channel	237	0.6%
31	No opinion	2485	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1079	2.8%
98	Several responses given	586	1.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka250c: TV channel with the best programs: Sports

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]

**Definition**  
 The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".



# ka250c: TV channel with the best programs: Sports			
	"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Sports		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	4462	11.5%
2	SVT2	4370	11.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	861	2.2%
4	TV3	946	2.4%
5	TV4	6953	17.9%
6	Kanal 5	55	0.1%
7	TV6	19	0.0%
8	ZTV	5	0.0%
9	TV8	16	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	4	0.0%
11	SVT24	54	0.1%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	11	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	3	0.0%
30	Other channel	4386	11.3%
31	No opinion	13651	35.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1664	4.3%
98	Several responses given	316	0.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ka250d: TV channel with the best programs: Light entertainment			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=33902 /-] [Invalid=144282 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Light entertainment		
<b>Notes</b>	1992-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	2648	7.8%
2	SVT2	3492	10.3%

### # ka250d: TV channel with the best programs: Light entertainment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	SVT1/SVT2	745	2.2%
4	TV3	2580	7.6%
5	TV4	11705	34.5%
6	Kanal 5	1879	5.5%
7	TV6	10	0.0%
8	ZTV	48	0.1%
9	TV8	6	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	6	0.0%
11	SVT24	14	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	13	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	4	0.0%
30	Other channel	697	2.1%
31	No opinion	7056	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1800	5.3%
98	Several responses given	253	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	946	2.8%
96	Question not asked	25464	
Sysmiss		118818	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka250e: TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=173250 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Entertainment programs
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1008	20.4%
2	SVT2	199	4.0%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	239	4.8%
5	TV4	1503	30.5%
6	Kanal 5	362	7.3%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	0	
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	16	0.3%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	2	0.0%
30	Other channel	279	5.7%
31	No opinion	960	19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	161	3.3%
98	Several responses given	91	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	114	2.3%
96	Question not asked	12678	

<b># ka250e: TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		160572	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250f: TV channel with the best programs: Nature programs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Nature programs		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	8966	23.1%
2	SVT2	6170	15.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1374	3.5%
4	TV3	289	0.7%
5	TV4	3096	8.0%
6	Kanal 5	274	0.7%
7	TV6	371	1.0%
8	ZTV	9	0.0%
9	TV8	47	0.1%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	368	0.9%
11	SVT24	14	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	705	1.8%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	12	0.0%
30	Other channel	5286	13.6%
31	No opinion	8841	22.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1629	4.2%
98	Several responses given	325	0.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250g: TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p>		

<b># ka250g: TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs</b>			
		Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".	
<b>Literal question</b>		In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Public affairs	
<b>Notes</b>		1992- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	7968	20.5%
2	SVT2	8281	21.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1802	4.6%
4	TV3	589	1.5%
5	TV4	3657	9.4%
6	Kanal 5	69	0.2%
7	TV6	6	0.0%
8	ZTV	6	0.0%
9	TV8	19	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	63	0.2%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	470	1.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	9	0.0%
30	Other channel	653	1.7%
31	No opinion	11527	29.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2364	6.1%
98	Several responses given	291	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250h: TV channel with the best programs: Theatre, opera, concert</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".	
<b>Literal question</b>		In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Theatre, opera, concert	
<b>Notes</b>		1992- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	4699	12.1%
2	SVT2	3604	9.3%

# ka250h: TV channel with the best programs: Theatre, opera, concert

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	SVT1/SVT2	1016	2.6%
4	TV3	124	0.3%
5	TV4	841	2.2%
6	Kanal 5	35	0.1%
7	TV6	4	0.0%
8	ZTV	76	0.2%
9	TV8	9	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	9	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	60	0.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	4	0.0%
30	Other channel	1024	2.6%
31	No opinion	23822	61.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2282	5.9%
98	Several responses given	167	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Systemmiss		101206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka250i: TV channel with the best programs: TV series

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12581 /-] [Invalid=165603 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - TV series
<b>Notes</b>	1992-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1269	10.1%
2	SVT2	1290	10.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	1023	8.1%
5	TV4	3595	28.6%
6	Kanal 5	134	1.1%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	2	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	

### # ka250i: TV channel with the best programs: TV series

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	375	3.0%
31	No opinion	3816	30.3%
97	No response - part of the question	762	6.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	313	2.5%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		163863	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka250j: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=172643 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	954	17.2%
2	SVT2	667	12.0%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	178	3.2%
5	TV4	1195	21.6%
6	Kanal 5	60	1.1%
7	TV6	3	0.1%
8	ZTV	0	
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	9	0.2%
31	No opinion	1842	33.2%
97	No response - part of the question	311	5.6%
98	Several responses given	62	1.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	260	4.7%
96	Question not asked	5146	
Sysmiss		167497	

<b># ka250j: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250k: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series/drama/comedy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20714 /-] [Invalid=157470 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series/drama/comedy		
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	4511	21.8%
2	SVT2	1252	6.0%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1660	8.0%
4	TV3	444	2.1%
5	TV4	4190	20.2%
6	Kanal 5	329	1.6%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	7	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	8	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	8	0.0%
30	Other channel	239	1.2%
31	No opinion	6398	30.9%
97	No response - part of the question	866	4.2%
98	Several responses given	312	1.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	2.4%
96	Question not asked	31256	
Sysmiss		126214	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250l: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=172643 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		

<b># ka250l: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series</b>			
	(National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	202	3.6%
2	SVT2	314	5.7%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	568	10.3%
5	TV4	1278	23.1%
6	Kanal 5	504	9.1%
7	TV6	6	0.1%
8	ZTV	7	0.1%
9	TV8	3	0.1%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	63	1.1%
31	No opinion	1929	34.8%
97	No response - part of the question	357	6.4%
98	Several responses given	50	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	260	4.7%
96	Question not asked	5146	
Sysmiss		167497	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250m: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series/drama/comedy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20714 /-] [Invalid=157470 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series/drama/comedy		
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	1333	6.4%
2	SVT2	583	2.8%
3	SVT1/SVT2	533	2.6%
4	TV3	1676	8.1%
5	TV4	3513	17.0%



# ka250m: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series/drama/comedy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Kanal 5	3236	15.6%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	13	0.1%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	8	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	15	0.1%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	6	0.0%
30	Other channel	1299	6.3%
31	No opinion	6773	32.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1024	4.9%
98	Several responses given	212	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	2.4%
96	Question not asked	31256	
Sysmiss		126214	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka250n: TV channel with the best programs: Feature films

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - Ettan"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - Tvåan"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Feature films
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	2360	6.1%
2	SVT2	1908	4.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	559	1.4%
4	TV3	3937	10.1%
5	TV4	8557	22.0%
6	Kanal 5	2047	5.3%
7	TV6	22	0.1%
8	ZTV	73	0.2%
9	TV8	3	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	14	0.0%
11	SVT24	12	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	8	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	12	0.0%
30	Other channel	4982	12.8%

**# ka250n: TV channel with the best programs: Feature films**

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
31	No opinion	11105	28.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1838	4.7%
98	Several responses given	339	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		101206	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ka250o: TV channel with the best programs: Popular music</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=139348 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Popular music		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	1729	4.5%
2	SVT2	1725	4.4%
3	SVT1/SVT2	351	0.9%
4	TV3	316	0.8%
5	TV4	2629	6.8%
6	Kanal 5	120	0.3%
7	TV6	8	0.0%
8	ZTV	1415	3.6%
9	TV8	15	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	7	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	16	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	5	0.0%
30	Other channel	6331	16.3%
31	No opinion	20813	53.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2204	5.7%
98	Several responses given	90	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Systemmiss		101206	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka250p: TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36947 /-] [Invalid=141237 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		

# ka250p: TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs

<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Youth/teen programs		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	2507	6.8%
2	SVT2	1372	3.7%
3	SVT1/SVT2	466	1.3%
4	TV3	1627	4.4%
5	TV4	2864	7.8%
6	Kanal 5	1225	3.3%
7	TV6	4	0.0%
8	ZTV	352	1.0%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	1	0.0%
11	SVT24	7	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	144	0.4%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	254	0.7%
30	Other channel	894	2.4%
31	No opinion	21794	59.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2291	6.2%
98	Several responses given	113	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1031	2.8%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		103095	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka250q: TV channel with the best programs: Arts and culture programs

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*#96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36947 /-] [Invalid=141237 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".</p> <p>"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed.</p> <p>Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Arts and culture programs		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	SVT1	5587	15.1%
2	SVT2	5881	15.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1382	3.7%
4	TV3	149	0.4%
5	TV4	1098	3.0%
6	Kanal 5	43	0.1%
7	TV6	8	0.0%

# ka250q: TV channel with the best programs: Arts and culture programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	ZTV	14	0.0%
9	TV8	15	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	4	0.0%
11	SVT24	27	0.1%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	483	1.3%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	11	0.0%
30	Other channel	617	1.7%
31	No opinion	17869	48.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2524	6.8%
98	Several responses given	204	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	1031	2.8%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Systemmiss		103095	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ka250r: TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31609 /-] [Invalid=146575 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Documentaries
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	4795	15.2%
2	SVT2	4459	14.1%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1293	4.1%
4	TV3	566	1.8%
5	TV4	3495	11.1%
6	Kanal 5	397	1.3%
7	TV6	46	0.1%
8	ZTV	4	0.0%
9	TV8	94	0.3%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	17	0.1%
11	SVT24	13	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	879	2.8%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	15	0.0%
30	Other channel	3353	10.6%
31	No opinion	9371	29.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1573	5.0%

<b># ka250r: TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	329	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	910	2.9%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		108433	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka300: Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1889 /-] [Invalid=176295 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Includes a "do not know" response.		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?		
<b>Notes</b>	1992 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	194	10.3%
2	Generally worth the price	791	41.9%
3	Not really worth the price	520	27.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	223	11.8%
5	Unsure, do not know	150	7.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	11	0.6%
Sysmiss		176295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka301: Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=48955 /-] [Invalid=129229 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	6165	12.6%
2	Generally worth the price	19392	39.6%
3	Not really worth the price	13814	28.2%
4	Not at all worth the price	8089	16.5%
98	Several responses given	21	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1474	3.0%
96	Question not asked	112251	
Sysmiss		16978	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka500a: Trust in media: The local morning press</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=172924 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The local morning press		

### # ka500a: Trust in media: The local morning press

Notes 1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	417	7.9%
2	Quite high trust	2496	47.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1644	31.3%
4	Quite low trust	241	4.6%
5	Very low trust	108	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	267	5.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		171184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka500b: Trust in media: The morning press in Stockholm

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\* /96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=172924 /-]

Definition See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-).  
The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"

Literal question To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The morning press in Stockholm

Notes 1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	184	3.5%
2	Quite high trust	1110	21.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2247	42.7%
4	Quite low trust	326	6.2%
5	Very low trust	329	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	977	18.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		171184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka500c: Trust in media: The evening press

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\* /96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=172924 /-]

Definition See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-).  
The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"

Literal question To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The evening press

Notes 1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	74	1.4%
2	Quite high trust	659	12.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1738	33.0%

<b># ka500c: Trust in media: The evening press</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Quite low trust	1306	24.8%
5	Very low trust	926	17.6%
97	No response - part of the question	470	8.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		171184	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka500d: Trust in media: Swedish Television (SVT1, SVT2)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=172924 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The item wording has changed. 1990 (National): "Swedish Television"; 1993 (National): "Swedish Television (Channel 1/ TV2)"; 1998 (National): "Swedish Television (SVT1, SVT2)". The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Swedish Television (SVT1, SVT2)		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1993, 1998 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	670	12.7%
2	Quite high trust	2872	54.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1294	24.6%
4	Quite low trust	149	2.8%
5	Very low trust	66	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	122	2.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		171184	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka500e: Trust in media: TV4</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3678 /-] [Invalid=174506 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - TV4		
<b>Notes</b>	1993, 1998 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	349	9.5%
2	Quite high trust	1765	48.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1102	30.0%
4	Quite low trust	160	4.4%
5	Very low trust	64	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	176	4.8%
98	Several responses given	0	



<b># ka500e: Trust in media: TV4</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	62	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		172766	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka500f: Trust in media: National Radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3439 /-] [Invalid=174745 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - National Radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	568	16.5%
2	Quite high trust	1800	52.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	801	23.3%
4	Quite low trust	86	2.5%
5	Very low trust	41	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	94	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	49	1.4%
Sysmiss		174745	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka500g: Trust in media: Radio channel P4 (former local radio)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3439 /-] [Invalid=174745 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The item wording has changed. 1990 (National): "Local radio"; 1994 (National): "Radio channel P4 (former local radio)".		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Radio channel P4 (former local radio)		
<b>Notes</b>	1990, 1993 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	359	10.4%
2	Quite high trust	1346	39.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1231	35.8%
4	Quite low trust	210	6.1%
5	Very low trust	113	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	131	3.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	49	1.4%
Sysmiss		174745	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ka501a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=161972 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	2984	18.4%
2	Quite high trust	8171	50.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3169	19.5%
4	Quite low trust	298	1.8%
5	Very low trust	155	1.0%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	468	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	265	1.6%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		146507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501b: Trust in media content: TV4</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=161972 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	1878	11.6%
2	Quite high trust	7807	48.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4236	26.1%
4	Quite low trust	640	3.9%
5	Very low trust	192	1.2%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	381	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	382	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		146507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501c: Trust in media content: Other private tv channels</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=161972 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Other private tv channels		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		

### # ka501c: Trust in media content: Other private tv channels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	271	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	2035	12.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5820	35.9%
4	Quite low trust	1808	11.2%
5	Very low trust	809	5.0%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	3752	23.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1020	6.3%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		146507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka501d: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden's national channels (P1, P2, P3)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=160429 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden's national channels (P1, P2, P3)
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3524	19.8%
2	Quite high trust	6293	35.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3397	19.1%
4	Quite low trust	517	2.9%
5	Very low trust	232	1.3%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2291	12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	742	4.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		140218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka501e: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden's local channels (P4)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=160429 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden's local channels (P4)
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2879	16.2%
2	Quite high trust	6700	37.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3996	22.5%
4	Quite low trust	573	3.2%
5	Very low trust	209	1.2%

<b># ka501e: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden's local channels (P4)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2009	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	629	3.5%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		140218	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501f: Trust in media content: Private local radio</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=160429 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Private local radio		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	1114	6.3%
2	Quite high trust	2216	12.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4837	27.2%
4	Quite low trust	1653	9.3%
5	Very low trust	841	4.7%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5395	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	941	5.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		140218	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501g: Trust in media content: The local morning paper in your area</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=160429 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The local morning paper in your area		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	3216	18.1%
2	Quite high trust	7294	41.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3562	20.1%
4	Quite low trust	675	3.8%
5	Very low trust	205	1.2%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	1527	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	511	2.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	

<b># ka501g: Trust in media content: The local morning paper in your area</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		140218	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501h: Trust in media content: Stockholm's daily papers</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14381 /-] [Invalid=163803 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Stockholm's daily papers (Dagens Nyheter, Svenska Dagbladet)		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2001, 2003-2006, 2008 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1556	10.8%
2	Quite high trust	2777	19.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2178	15.1%
4	Quite low trust	260	1.8%
5	Very low trust	399	2.8%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5959	41.4%
97	No response - part of the question	632	4.4%
98	Several responses given	22	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	598	4.2%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		150116	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501i: Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1831 /-] [Invalid=176353 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	175	9.6%
2	Quite high trust	389	21.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	342	18.7%
4	Quite low trust	43	2.3%
5	Very low trust	35	1.9%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	642	35.1%
97	No response - part of the question	116	6.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	4.8%
96	Question not asked	1778	
Sysmiss		174575	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501j: Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ka501j: Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1831 /-] [Invalid=176353 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Svenska Dagbladet		
<b>Notes</b>	2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	128	7.0%
2	Quite high trust	302	16.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	356	19.4%
4	Quite low trust	49	2.7%
5	Very low trust	51	2.8%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	742	40.5%
97	No response - part of the question	114	6.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	4.8%
96	Question not asked	1778	
Sysmiss		174575	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501k: Trust in media content: Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=161972 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	673	4.2%
2	Quite high trust	2762	17.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4612	28.4%
4	Quite low trust	3169	19.5%
5	Very low trust	1728	10.7%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2058	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	510	3.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		146507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka501l: Trust in media content: News services on the internet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=161972 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - News services on the internet		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2006, 2008 (National)		

### # ka501i: Trust in media content: News services on the internet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	548	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	2876	17.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4495	27.7%
4	Quite low trust	744	4.6%
5	Very low trust	505	3.1%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5489	33.9%
97	No response - part of the question	855	5.3%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		146507	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka501m: Trust in media content: The daily free newspapers in large cities

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8840 /-] [Invalid=169344 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1999-2000 (Riks): "Hur stort förtroende har du för innehållet i följande massmedier?" 2001-2003, 2006 och 2008 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The daily free newspapers in large cities (Metro, Stockholm City)
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	298	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	1370	15.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2396	27.1%
4	Quite low trust	564	6.4%
5	Very low trust	297	3.4%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	3232	36.6%
97	No response - part of the question	351	4.0%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	323	3.7%
96	Question not asked	8541	
Sysmiss		160803	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television (SVT)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22273 /-] [Invalid=155911 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television (SVT)
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6996	31.4%
2	Quite high trust	9234	41.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2914	13.1%

### # ka502a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television (SVT)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Quite low trust	647	2.9%
5	Very low trust	560	2.5%
6	No opinion	1065	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	400	1.8%
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	427	1.9%
96	Question not asked	91881	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka502b: Trust in media content: TV3

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20531 /-] [Invalid=157653 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV3
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	479	2.3%
2	Quite high trust	2577	12.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	6261	30.5%
4	Quite low trust	3111	15.2%
5	Very low trust	1774	8.6%
6	No opinion	4761	23.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1118	5.4%
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.0%
96	Question not asked	86747	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ka502c: Trust in media content: TV4

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22273 /-] [Invalid=155911 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2733	12.3%
2	Quite high trust	8767	39.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5676	25.5%
4	Quite low trust	1402	6.3%
5	Very low trust	805	3.6%
6	No opinion	1705	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	695	3.1%
98	Several responses given	63	0.3%



### # ka502c: Trust in media content: TV4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	427	1.9%
96	Question not asked	91881	
Sysmiss		64030	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502d: Trust in media content: Kanal 5

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20531 /-] [Invalid=157653 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Kanal 5
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	375	1.8%
2	Quite high trust	2017	9.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	6054	29.5%
4	Quite low trust	2898	14.1%
5	Very low trust	1699	8.3%
6	No opinion	5613	27.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1434	7.0%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.0%
96	Question not asked	86747	
Sysmiss		70906	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502e: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden (SR)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20531 /-] [Invalid=157653 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden (SR)
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6800	33.1%
2	Quite high trust	7020	34.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2468	12.0%
4	Quite low trust	525	2.6%
5	Very low trust	480	2.3%
6	No opinion	1935	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	745	3.6%
98	Several responses given	138	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.0%
96	Question not asked	86747	
Sysmiss		70906	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ka502f: Trust in media content: Mix Megapol [private local radio]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20531 /-] [Invalid=157653 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Mix Megapol [private local radio]		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	305	1.5%
2	Quite high trust	1547	7.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5013	24.4%
4	Quite low trust	2498	12.2%
5	Very low trust	2127	10.4%
6	No opinion	7377	35.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1229	6.0%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.0%
96	Question not asked	86747	
Sysmiss		70906	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka502g: Trust in media content: Rix FM [private local radio]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20531 /-] [Invalid=157653 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Rix FM [private local radio]		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	327	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	1641	8.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5060	24.6%
4	Quite low trust	2502	12.2%
5	Very low trust	2124	10.3%
6	No opinion	7253	35.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1183	5.8%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.0%
96	Question not asked	86747	
Sysmiss		70906	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka502h: Trust in media content: Aftonbladet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22273 /-] [Invalid=155911 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Aftonbladet		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		

### # ka502h: Trust in media content: Aftonbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	782	3.5%
2	Quite high trust	3936	17.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	6436	28.9%
4	Quite low trust	3814	17.1%
5	Very low trust	2825	12.7%
6	No opinion	3141	14.1%
97	No response - part of the question	891	4.0%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	427	1.9%
96	Question not asked	91881	
Sysmiss		64030	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502i: Trust in media content: Expressen

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22273 /-] [Invalid=155911 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Expressen
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	515	2.3%
2	Quite high trust	3053	13.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	6449	29.0%
4	Quite low trust	3901	17.5%
5	Very low trust	2902	13.0%
6	No opinion	3955	17.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1038	4.7%
98	Several responses given	33	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	427	1.9%
96	Question not asked	91881	
Sysmiss		64030	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502j: Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22273 /-] [Invalid=155911 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2872	12.9%
2	Quite high trust	6256	28.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3909	17.6%
4	Quite low trust	868	3.9%
5	Very low trust	669	3.0%

### # ka502j: Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	6120	27.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1119	5.0%
98	Several responses given	33	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	427	1.9%
96	Question not asked	91881	
Sysmiss		64030	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502k: Trust in media content: Metro

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Metro
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	122	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	906	13.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2045	31.0%
4	Quite low trust	618	9.4%
5	Very low trust	334	5.1%
6	No opinion	2174	33.0%
97	No response - part of the question	157	2.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502l: Trust in media content: aftonbladet.se

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - aftonbladet.se
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	161	2.4%
2	Quite high trust	874	13.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1702	25.8%
4	Quite low trust	844	12.8%
5	Very low trust	601	9.1%
6	No opinion	2005	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	175	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	

**# ka502l: Trust in media content: aftonbladet.se**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ka502m: Trust in media content: expressen.se**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - expressen.se
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	86	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	616	9.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1689	25.6%
4	Quite low trust	845	12.8%
5	Very low trust	621	9.4%
6	No opinion	2323	35.3%
97	No response - part of the question	177	2.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ka502n: Trust in media content: svt.se**

















<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - svt.se
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	928	14.1%
2	Quite high trust	1766	26.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1025	15.6%
4	Quite low trust	122	1.9%
5	Very low trust	71	1.1%
6	No opinion	2249	34.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ka502o: Trust in media content: dn.se**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
--------------------	--

<b># ka502o: Trust in media content: dn.se</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - dn.se		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	456	 6.9%
2	Quite high trust	1394	 21.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1242	 18.9%
4	Quite low trust	203	 3.1%
5	Very low trust	105	 1.6%
6	No opinion	2767	 42.0%
97	No response - part of the question	194	 2.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	 3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka502p: Trust in media content: sverigesradio.se</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - sverigesradio.se		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very high trust	909	 13.8%
2	Quite high trust	1569	 23.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	982	 14.9%
4	Quite low trust	86	 1.3%
5	Very low trust	72	 1.1%
6	No opinion	2551	 38.7%
97	No response - part of the question	183	 2.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	 3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka502q: Trust in media content: tv4.se</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=171597 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - tv4.se		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		

### # ka502q: Trust in media content: tv4.se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	259	3.9%
2	Quite high trust	1378	20.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1586	24.1%
4	Quite low trust	296	4.5%
5	Very low trust	154	2.3%
6	No opinion	2483	37.7%
97	No response - part of the question	203	3.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		155565	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502r: Trust in media content: newsmill.se

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=176531 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - newsmill.se
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3	0.2%
2	Quite high trust	34	2.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	150	9.1%
4	Quite low trust	21	1.3%
5	Very low trust	23	1.4%
6	No opinion	1299	78.6%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	64	3.9%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		173177	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ka502t: Trust in media content: Local morningpaper

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=15686 /-] [Invalid=162498 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Local morningpaper
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2125	13.5%
2	Quite high trust	5559	35.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3057	19.5%
4	Quite low trust	611	3.9%
5	Very low trust	383	2.4%
6	No opinion	2948	18.8%

<b># ka502t: Trust in media content: Local morningpaper</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	763	4.9%
98	Several responses given	37	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	203	1.3%
96	Question not asked	75849	
Sysmiss		86649	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ka901a: Proposal: Prohibit all forms of pornography</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6517 /-] [Invalid=171667 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prohibit all forms of pornography		
<b>Notes</b>	2011-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	1337	20.5%
2	Rather good proposal	840	12.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1376	21.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	1021	15.7%
5	Very bad proposal	1118	17.2%
6	No opinion	510	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	89	1.4%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	217	3.3%
96	Question not asked	24176	
Sysmiss		147491	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># kb10: Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=42041 /-] [Invalid=136143 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very positive	736	1.8%
2	Rather positive	5321	12.7%
3	Neither positive nor negative	9986	23.8%
4	Rather negative	14226	33.8%
5	Very negative	10922	26.0%
98	Several responses given	16	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	834	2.0%
96	Question not asked	65296	
Sysmiss		70847	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># la10: Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		



<b># la10: Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=115996 /-] [Invalid=62188 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the cinema	
<b>Notes</b>		1988- (National); 1993- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	41755	36.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	23813	20.5%
3	About once every half year	16134	13.9%
4	About once every quarter	18432	15.9%
5	About once every month	10173	8.8%
6	About once a week	1016	0.9%
7	Several times a week	211	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2114	1.8%
98	Several responses given	81	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2267	2.0%
96		58893	
Sysmiss		3295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb10a: Past 12 months: Gone to the theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=105300 /-] [Invalid=72884 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the theatre	
<b>Notes</b>		1989- (National); 1993-2006, 2008- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	59885	56.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	21991	20.9%
3	About once every half year	9897	9.4%
4	About once every quarter	6946	6.6%
5	About once every month	2164	2.1%
6	About once a week	198	0.2%
7	Several times a week	168	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1975	1.9%
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2015	1.9%

<b># lb10a: Past 12 months: Gone to the theatre</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96		67946	
Sysmiss		4938	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb10b: Past 12 months: Gone to a rock/pop concert</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=62690 /-] [Invalid=115494 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a rock/pop concert		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National); 1999- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	41531	66.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10645	17.0%
3	About once every half year	4420	7.1%
4	About once every quarter	2560	4.1%
5	About once every month	770	1.2%
6	About once a week	81	0.1%
7	Several times a week	67	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1215	1.9%
98	Several responses given	57	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1344	2.1%
96		81674	
Sysmiss		33820	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># lb10c: Past 12 months: Gone to a musical</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14940 /-] [Invalid=163244 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a musical		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	10147	67.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2667	17.9%
3	About once every half year	980	6.6%
4	About once every quarter	472	3.2%
5	About once every month	93	0.6%
6	About once a week	15	0.1%
7	Several times a week	16	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	255	1.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	293	2.0%
96		19299	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb10d: Past 12 months: Gone to the ballet, dance performance</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27275 /-] [Invalid=150909 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the ballet, dance performance		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	23480	86.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1750	6.4%
3	About once every half year	576	2.1%
4	About once every quarter	308	1.1%
5	About once every month	113	0.4%
6	About once a week	29	0.1%
7	Several times a week	26	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	462	1.7%
98	Several responses given	16	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	515	1.9%
96		75779	
Sysmiss		75130	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb10e: Past 12 months: Gone to a classical concert, opera</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44971 /-] [Invalid=133213 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a classical concert, opera		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		

### # lb10e: Past 12 months: Gone to a classical concert, opera

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	36208	80.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3815	8.5%
3	About once every half year	1526	3.4%
4	About once every quarter	1103	2.5%
5	About once every month	561	1.2%
6	About once a week	74	0.2%
7	Several times a week	47	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	683	1.5%
98	Several responses given	43	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	911	2.0%
96		70975	
Sysmiss		62238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # lb1010a: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	215	12.6%
2	Enjoy it some	372	21.8%
3	Do not enjoy it much	287	16.8%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	321	18.8%
5	No opinion	423	24.8%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # lb1010b: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	131	7.7%
2	Enjoy it some	348	20.4%
3	Do not enjoy it much	373	21.9%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	324	19.0%
5	No opinion	434	25.4%
97	No response - part of the question	41	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># lb1010b: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010c: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated / political theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	105	6.2%
2	Enjoy it some	308	18.0%
3	Do not enjoy it much	384	22.5%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	373	21.9%
5	No opinion	434	25.4%
97	No response - part of the question	47	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010d: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	433	25.4%
2	Enjoy it some	618	36.2%
3	Do not enjoy it much	174	10.2%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	124	7.3%
5	No opinion	270	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	31	1.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010e: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Farce</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce		

### # lb1010e: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Farce

<b>Notes</b>		2006 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	296	17.3%
2	Enjoy it some	486	28.5%
3	Do not enjoy it much	304	17.8%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	222	13.0%
5	No opinion	303	17.8%
97	No response - part of the question	40	2.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # lb1010f: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Musical

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	471	27.6%
2	Enjoy it some	505	29.6%
3	Do not enjoy it much	217	12.7%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	166	9.7%
5	No opinion	258	15.1%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # lb1010g: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Opera

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	149	8.7%
2	Enjoy it some	225	13.2%
3	Do not enjoy it much	330	19.3%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	515	30.2%
5	No opinion	386	22.6%
97	No response - part of the question	46	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%

<b># lb1010g: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Opera</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010h: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	187	11.0%
2	Enjoy it some	287	16.8%
3	Do not enjoy it much	296	17.3%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	458	26.8%
5	No opinion	377	22.1%
97	No response - part of the question	45	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010i: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	89	5.2%
2	Enjoy it some	181	10.6%
3	Do not enjoy it much	339	19.9%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	592	34.7%
5	No opinion	395	23.1%
97	No response - part of the question	54	3.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1010j: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National)		

<b># lb1010j: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Enjoy it a great deal	133	7.8%
2	Enjoy it some	343	20.1%
3	Do not enjoy it much	310	18.2%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	384	22.5%
5	No opinion	420	24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	60	3.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011a: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	636	9.4%
2	Rather interested	1616	23.8%
3	Not particularly interested	2038	30.0%
4	Not at all interested	2240	33.0%
97	No response - part of the question	105	1.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011b: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	451	6.6%
2	Rather interested	1650	24.3%
3	Not particularly interested	2294	33.8%
4	Not at all interested	2108	31.1%
97	No response - part of the question	131	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># lb1011c: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated/polit theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	374	5.5%
2	Rather interested	1453	21.4%
3	Not particularly interested	2439	35.9%
4	Not at all interested	2199	32.4%
97	No response - part of the question	165	2.4%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011d: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	1285	18.9%
2	Rather interested	3092	45.5%
3	Not particularly interested	1290	19.0%
4	Not at all interested	879	12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	83	1.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011e: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Farce</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	859	12.7%
2	Rather interested	2128	31.3%
3	Not particularly interested	1945	28.6%
4	Not at all interested	1561	23.0%
97	No response - part of the question	140	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%

<b># lb1011e: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Farce</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011f: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Musical</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	1487	21.9%
2	Rather interested	2572	37.9%
3	Not particularly interested	1319	19.4%
4	Not at all interested	1166	17.2%
97	No response - part of the question	87	1.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011g: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Opera</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very interested	474	7.0%
2	Rather interested	1068	15.7%
3	Not particularly interested	2109	31.1%
4	Not at all interested	2857	42.1%
97	No response - part of the question	123	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lb1011h: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)		

### # lb1011h: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	686	10.1%
2	Rather interested	1472	21.7%
3	Not particularly interested	1932	28.5%
4	Not at all interested	2428	35.8%
97	No response - part of the question	112	1.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # lb1011i: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	319	4.7%
2	Rather interested	727	10.7%
3	Not particularly interested	2001	29.5%
4	Not at all interested	3454	50.9%
97	No response - part of the question	132	1.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # lb1011j: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=171395 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	626	9.2%
2	Rather interested	1810	26.7%
3	Not particularly interested	1888	27.8%
4	Not at all interested	2179	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	131	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		161557	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># lc10a: Past 12 months: Gone to see football/ice hockey</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26277 /-] [Invalid=151907 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"  West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005-2006, 2008 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to see football/ice hockey
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National); 1999-2001, 2005-2006, 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15939	60.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2477	9.4%
3	About once every half year	1314	5.0%
4	About once every quarter	1566	6.0%
5	About once every month	1826	6.9%
6	About once a week	1164	4.4%
7	Several times a week	493	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	889	3.4%
98	Several responses given	48	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	561	2.1%
96		23488	
Sysmiss		128419	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># lc20a: Senaste 12 mÅ¥n: BesÅ¥kt idrottsevenemang</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28985 /-] [Invalid=149199 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ingen gÅ¥ng	12830	44.3%
2	NÅ¥gon gÅ¥ng	4147	14.3%
3	NÅ¥gon gÅ¥ng i halvÅ¥ret	2580	8.9%
4	NÅ¥gon gÅ¥ng i kvartalet	3116	10.8%
5	NÅ¥gon gÅ¥ng i mÅ¥naden	3141	10.8%
6	NÅ¥gon gÅ¥ng i veckan	1477	5.1%
7	Flera gÅ¥nger i veckan	504	1.7%
97	Ej svar - del av frÅ¥ga	640	2.2%
98	Dubbelkruss	35	0.1%
99	Ej svar - hela frÅ¥gan	515	1.8%
96	FrÅ¥gan ej stÅ¥lld	69153	
Sysmiss		80046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># ld10a: Past 12 months: Gone to a museum</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30500 /-] [Invalid=147684 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a museum

### # Id10a: Past 12 months: Gone to a museum

<b>Notes</b>		2007- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	14952	49.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	6449	21.1%
3	About once every half year	3612	11.8%
4	About once every quarter	2917	9.6%
5	About once every month	1212	4.0%
6	About once a week	145	0.5%
7	Several times a week	55	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	544	1.8%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	592	1.9%
96		78570	
Sysmiss		69114	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Id10b: Past 12 months: Gone to an art exhibition

<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=30494 /-] [Invalid=147690 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to an art exhibition	
<b>Notes</b>		2007- (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	18099	59.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5417	17.8%
3	About once every half year	2636	8.6%
4	About once every quarter	2060	6.8%
5	About once every month	969	3.2%
6	About once a week	135	0.4%
7	Several times a week	45	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	517	1.7%
98	Several responses given	36	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	580	1.9%
96		85452	
Sysmiss		62238	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # Id10c: Past 12 months: Visited historical monument/building

<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=22205 /-] [Invalid=155979 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited historical monument/building	
<b>Notes</b>		2008-2010 (National)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7949	35.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5123	23.1%
3	About once every half year	3728	16.8%
4	About once every quarter	3009	13.6%

### # ld10c: Past 12 months: Visited historical monument/building

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	About once every month	1297	5.8%
6	About once a week	199	0.9%
7	Several times a week	63	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	405	1.8%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	1.9%
96		65818	
Sysmiss		90161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ld10d: Past 12 months: Visited ancient monument

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22205 /-] [Invalid=155979 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited ancient monument
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11946	53.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4889	22.0%
3	About once every half year	2291	10.3%
4	About once every quarter	1522	6.9%
5	About once every month	532	2.4%
6	About once a week	92	0.4%
7	Several times a week	41	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	455	2.0%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	1.9%
96		65818	
Sysmiss		90161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ld10e: Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22206 /-] [Invalid=155978 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited nature reserve/national park
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8996	40.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5185	23.3%
3	About once every half year	2823	12.7%
4	About once every quarter	2263	10.2%
5	About once every month	1445	6.5%
6	About once a week	433	1.9%
7	Several times a week	229	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	394	1.8%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%

<b># ld10e: Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	420	1.9%
96		65817	
Sysmiss		90161	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># le10a: Past 12 months: Sang in a choir</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16794 /-] [Invalid=161390 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Sang in a choir		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	15020	89.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	275	1.6%
3	About once every half year	84	0.5%
4	About once every quarter	95	0.6%
5	About once every month	151	0.9%
6	About once a week	416	2.5%
7	Several times a week	101	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	294	1.8%
98	Several responses given	37	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	321	1.9%
96		24280	
Sysmiss		137110	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># le10b: Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16537 /-] [Invalid=161647 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played a musical instrument		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	12585	76.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	831	5.0%
3	About once every half year	376	2.3%
4	About once every quarter	399	2.4%
5	About once every month	566	3.4%
6	About once a week	489	3.0%
7	Several times a week	639	3.9%
97	No response - part of the question	266	1.6%
98	Several responses given	42	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	344	2.1%
96		17702	
Sysmiss		143945	

### # le10b: Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # le10c: Past 12 months: Drawn, painted

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=56907 /-] [Invalid=121277 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Drawn, painted
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	30893	54.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4425	7.8%
3	About once every half year	2260	4.0%
4	About once every quarter	2106	3.7%
5	About once every month	2599	4.6%
6	About once a week	1505	2.6%
7	Several times a week	911	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	11277	19.8%
98	Several responses given	33	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	898	1.6%
96		55604	
Sysmiss		65673	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # le10d: Past 12 months: Written in diary/written poetry

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=46358 /-] [Invalid=131826 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Written in diary/written poetry
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	34779	75.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2108	4.5%
3	About once every half year	1023	2.2%
4	About once every quarter	973	2.1%
5	About once every month	1457	3.1%
6	About once a week	1372	3.0%
7	Several times a week	2951	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	732	1.6%
98	Several responses given	68	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	895	1.9%
96		66153	
Sysmiss		65673	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # le10e: Past 12 months: Played theater, participated in LARP etc.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28872 /-] [Invalid=149312 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played theater, participated in LARP etc.
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)



**# le10e: Past 12 months: Played theater, participated in LARP etc.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	26697	92.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	480	1.7%
3	About once every half year	154	0.5%
4	About once every quarter	164	0.6%
5	About once every month	135	0.5%
6	About once a week	80	0.3%
7	Several times a week	79	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	504	1.7%
98	Several responses given	28	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	551	1.9%
96		74182	
Sysmiss		75130	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# le10f: Past 12 months: Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=53417 /-] [Invalid=124767 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)
<b>Notes</b>	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	41384	77.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2132	4.0%
3	About once every half year	914	1.7%
4	About once every quarter	944	1.8%
5	About once every month	1555	2.9%
6	About once a week	2450	4.6%
7	Several times a week	2179	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	764	1.4%
98	Several responses given	134	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	961	1.8%
96	Question not asked	38118	
Sysmiss		86649	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ma10a: Past 12 months: Socialized with friends**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=161286 /-] [Invalid=16898 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West: The question wording has changed. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with friends
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

# ma10a: Past 12 months: Socialized with friends

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2359	1.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3018	1.9%
3	About once every half year	3027	1.9%
4	About once every quarter	8491	5.3%
5	About once every month	42400	26.3%
6	About once a week	54942	34.1%
7	Several times a week	41172	25.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2213	1.4%
98	Several responses given	230	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3434	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ma10b: Past 12 months: Socialized with neighbors

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=66338 /-] [Invalid=111846 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West: The question wording has changed. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with neighbors
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	17148	25.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	6311	9.5%
3	About once every half year	4523	6.8%
4	About once every quarter	6947	10.5%
5	About once every month	12906	19.5%
6	About once a week	10375	15.6%
7	Several times a week	5343	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1255	1.9%
98	Several responses given	55	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1475	2.2%
96		3413	
Sysmiss		108433	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ma10c: Past 12 months: Helped/rieved help from neighbor

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16372 /-] [Invalid=161812 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2006 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West:

<b># ma10c: Past 12 months: Helped/recieved help from neighbor</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007, 2009-2010 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Helped/recieved help from neighbor		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2006 (National); 1998-2007, 2009-2010 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4266	26.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2236	13.7%
3	About once every half year	1617	9.9%
4	About once every quarter	2214	13.5%
5	About once every month	3243	19.8%
6	About once a week	1363	8.3%
7	Several times a week	578	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	477	2.9%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	365	2.2%
96		15607	
Sysmiss		146205	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma20a: Past 12 months: Done garden work</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31744 /-] [Invalid=146440 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done garden work		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1995, 1998-2007 (National); 1994-1995, 1999-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5882	18.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2615	8.2%
3	About once every half year	1920	6.0%
4	About once every quarter	2203	6.9%
5	About once every month	5822	18.3%
6	About once a week	7452	23.5%
7	Several times a week	4623	14.6%
97	No response - part of the question	702	2.2%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	502	1.6%
96		17273	
Sysmiss		129167	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma20b: Past 12 months: Gardening/balcony farming</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ma20b: Past 12 months: Gardening/balcony farming</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8156 /-] [Invalid=170028 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gardening/balcony farming		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National); 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1623	19.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	538	6.6%
3	About once every half year	560	6.9%
4	About once every quarter	688	8.4%
5	About once every month	1485	18.2%
6	About once a week	1792	22.0%
7	Several times a week	1133	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	142	1.7%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	177	2.2%
96		19389	
Sysmiss		150639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma20c: Past 12 months: Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=46750 /-] [Invalid=131434 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2002 (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle"; 2003- (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped". The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2009 (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle"; 2010- (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped". The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	21855	46.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3777	8.1%
3	About once every half year	3217	6.9%
4	About once every quarter	4657	10.0%
5	About once every month	7316	15.6%
6	About once a week	2731	5.8%
7	Several times a week	1185	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	951	2.0%
98	Several responses given	98	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	963	2.1%
96		73784	
Sysmiss		57650	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ma20d: Past 12 months: Baked/pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25449 /-] [Invalid=152735 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Baked/pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7864	30.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2300	9.0%
3	About once every half year	2097	8.2%
4	About once every quarter	3052	12.0%
5	About once every month	5751	22.6%
6	About once a week	2530	9.9%
7	Several times a week	922	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	396	1.6%
98	Several responses given	35	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	502	2.0%
96		75360	
Sysmiss		77375	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30a: Past 12 months: Done individual exercises or practised athletics</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13603 /-] [Invalid=164581 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-1995 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"</p> <p>West: Question wording has not changed.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Done individual exercises or practised athletics		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1995 (National); 1993-1995 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2002	14.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1182	8.7%
3	About once every half year	500	3.7%
4	About once every quarter	760	5.6%
5	About once every month	1895	13.9%
6	About once a week	3548	26.1%
7	Several times a week	3094	22.7%
97	No response - part of the question	491	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164581	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30b: Past 12 months: Exercised or practised athletics</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># ma30b: Past 12 months: Exercised or practised athletics</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=174651 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Exercised or practised athletics	
<b>Notes</b>		1996-1997 (National); 1996-1997 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	372	10.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	252	7.1%
3	About once every half year	133	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	194	5.5%
5	About once every month	490	13.9%
6	About once a week	936	26.5%
7	Several times a week	945	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	122	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		174651	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30c: Past 12 months: Practised sports/athletics</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=51655 /-] [Invalid=126529 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 1998 (West): "Practised athletics/sports"; 1999-2001, 2005- (National): "Practised sports/athletics". The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Practised sports/athletics	
<b>Notes</b>		1998- (National); 1998-2001, 2005- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	18534	35.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3616	7.0%
3	About once every half year	1759	3.4%
4	About once every quarter	1974	3.8%
5	About once every month	4478	8.7%
6	About once a week	8194	15.9%
7	Several times a week	10094	19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1831	3.5%
98	Several responses given	96	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1079	2.1%
96		14563	
Sysmiss		111966	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30d: Past 12 months: Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	

# ma30d: Past 12 months: Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=29626 /-] [Invalid=148558 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities	
<b>Notes</b>		1998-2005, 2007- (National); 1998-2005, 2007 (West)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2878	9.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1827	6.2%
3	About once every half year	987	3.3%
4	About once every quarter	1521	5.1%
5	About once every month	4428	14.9%
6	About once a week	7748	26.2%
7	Several times a week	8525	28.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1100	3.7%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	2.0%
96		20364	
Sysmiss		128194	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# ma30e: Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=25113 /-] [Invalid=153071 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998 (National): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning/ workout etc)"; 2005- (National): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning, aerobics etc.)". The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2004 (West): "Tränat på gym (aerobics/workout etc.)"; 2005 (West): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning/aerobics etc.)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning, aerobics etc.)". The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?" Sedan 2004 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)	
<b>Notes</b>		1998, 2005- (National); 1999-2007 (West)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	13963	55.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1440	5.7%
3	About once every half year	673	2.7%
4	About once every quarter	926	3.7%
5	About once every month	1558	6.2%
6	About once a week	2605	10.4%
7	Several times a week	2559	10.2%
97	No response - part of the question	836	3.3%

<b># ma30e: Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	108	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	445	1.8%
96		6630	
Sysmiss		146441	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30f: Past 12 months: Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11703 /-] [Invalid=166481 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1978	16.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	662	5.7%
3	About once every half year	472	4.0%
4	About once every quarter	600	5.1%
5	About once every month	1652	14.1%
6	About once a week	2814	24.0%
7	Several times a week	2934	25.1%
97	No response - part of the question	343	2.9%
98	Several responses given	19	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	2.0%
96		12980	
Sysmiss		153501	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30g1: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17136 /-] [Invalid=161048 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-1997 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1997 (National); 1993-1997 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	980	5.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1831	10.7%
3	About once every half year	1104	6.4%
4	About once every quarter	1738	10.1%
5	About once every month	4250	24.8%
6	About once a week	3938	23.0%
7	Several times a week	2538	14.8%
97	No response - part of the question	537	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	



**# ma30g1: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	220	1.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		161048	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ma30g: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=101311 /-] [Invalid=76873 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Engaged in outdoor activities		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National); 2006, 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3427	3.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3288	3.2%
3	About once every half year	2957	2.9%
4	About once every quarter	5850	5.8%
5	About once every month	19389	19.1%
6	About once a week	28666	28.3%
7	Several times a week	33377	32.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2108	2.1%
98	Several responses given	131	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2118	2.1%
96	Question not asked	20923	
Sysmiss		55950	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30h: Past 12 months: Exercised/practised athletics/physical training</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4890 /-] [Invalid=173294 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/practised athletics/physical training		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	921	18.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	268	5.5%
3	About once every half year	137	2.8%
4	About once every quarter	187	3.8%
5	About once every month	504	10.3%
6	About once a week	1205	24.6%
7	Several times a week	1471	30.1%
97	No response - part of the question	114	2.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	79	1.6%
96		9763	
Sysmiss		163531	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30i: Past 12 months: Gone out for a long walk</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=170014 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone out for a long walk		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		

### # ma30i: Past 12 months: Gone out for a long walk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	364	4.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	288	3.5%
3	About once every half year	208	2.5%
4	About once every quarter	468	5.7%
5	About once every month	1691	20.7%
6	About once a week	2395	29.3%
7	Several times a week	2448	30.0%
97	No response - part of the question	125	1.5%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	172	2.1%
96		19375	
Sysmiss		150639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma30j: Past 12 months: Gone hunting

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16179 /-] [Invalid=162005 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone hunting
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	14485	89.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	260	1.6%
3	About once every half year	119	0.7%
4	About once every quarter	116	0.7%
5	About once every month	223	1.4%
6	About once a week	177	1.1%
7	Several times a week	146	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	299	1.8%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	328	2.0%
96		16476	
Sysmiss		145529	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma30k: Past 12 months: Gone fishing

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9707 /-] [Invalid=168477 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	-
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone fishing
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6527	67.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1054	10.9%
3	About once every half year	635	6.5%

<b># ma30k: Past 12 months: Gone fishing</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	About once every quarter	535	5.5%
5	About once every month	441	4.5%
6	About once a week	130	1.3%
7	Several times a week	63	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	134	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	184	1.9%
96		19612	
Systemmiss		148865	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma30l: Past 12 months: Exercised/worked out</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=104387 /-] [Invalid=73797 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/worked out		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (Riks)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7743	7.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3856	3.7%
3	About once every half year	2240	2.1%
4	About once every quarter	3454	3.3%
5	About once every month	11322	10.8%
6	About once a week	26469	25.4%
7	Several times a week	45337	43.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	1633	1.6%
98	Dubbelkryss	133	0.1%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	2200	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	40	
Systemmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma40a: Past 12 months: Smoked/used snuff</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35904 /-] [Invalid=142280 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2004 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1993-1997, 1999, 2004, 2010- (West): "Smoked/used snuff"; 1998, 2007 (West): "Smoked or used snuff". The question wording has changed. 1993-1999, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked/used snuff		
<b>Notes</b>	1992-2004 (National); 1993-1999, 2004, 2007, 2010- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	21120	58.8%

### # ma40a: Past 12 months: Smoked/used snuff

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	About once in the last 12 months	1012	2.8%
3	About once every half year	322	0.9%
4	About once every quarter	446	1.2%
5	About once every month	841	2.3%
6	About once a week	966	2.7%
7	Several times a week	9682	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	730	2.0%
98	Several responses given	54	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	731	2.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		142280	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma40b: Past 12 months: Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=39600 /-] [Invalid=138584 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West: The question has not changed.
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National); 2005-2006, 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	28732	72.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1416	3.6%
3	About once every half year	559	1.4%
4	About once every quarter	777	2.0%
5	About once every month	1114	2.8%
6	About once a week	999	2.5%
7	Several times a week	4585	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	633	1.6%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	758	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	8350	
Sysmiss		130234	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma40c: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=127552 /-] [Invalid=50632 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West:

<b># ma40c: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer</b>			
	The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer		
<b>Notes</b>	1992- (National); 1993-2001, 2004- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	16975	13.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	7545	5.9%
3	About once every half year	4880	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	9592	7.5%
5	About once every month	33284	26.1%
6	About once a week	36466	28.6%
7	Several times a week	10761	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	5396	4.2%
98	Several responses given	131	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2522	2.0%
96		34358	
Sysmiss		16274	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma40d: Past 12 months: Eaten fast food</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29817 /-] [Invalid=148367 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2008 (National): "Eaten fast food (hamburgers/pizza/kebab)"; 2009- (National): "Eaten fast food". The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: Item wording has not changed over time. 1999-2001 (National): "Eaten fast food (hamburgers/pizza/kebab)". Question wording has not changed over time. 1998-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten fast food		
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National); 1999-2001 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3622	12.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2702	9.1%
3	About once every half year	2344	7.9%
4	About once every quarter	4508	15.1%
5	About once every month	10438	35.0%
6	About once a week	4177	14.0%
7	Several times a week	717	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	656	2.2%
98	Several responses given	51	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	602	2.0%
96		23509	
Sysmiss		124858	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# ma40e: Past 12 months: Eaten vegan food	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17697 /-] [Invalid=160487 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten vegan food
<b>Notes</b>	2001-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11856	67.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1360	7.7%
3	About once every half year	647	3.7%
4	About once every quarter	736	4.2%
5	About once every month	1103	6.2%
6	About once a week	620	3.5%
7	Several times a week	405	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	467	2.6%
98	Several responses given	123	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	380	2.1%
96		7107	
Sysmiss		153380	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ma40f: Past 12 months: Eaten meat	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38415 /-] [Invalid=139769 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten meat
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	981	2.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	425	1.1%
3	About once every half year	193	0.5%
4	About once every quarter	300	0.8%
5	About once every month	1941	5.1%
6	About once a week	12295	32.0%
7	Several times a week	20721	53.9%
97	No response - part of the question	717	1.9%
98	Several responses given	61	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	781	2.0%
96		13555	
Sysmiss		126214	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ma40g: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

### # ma40g: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2547	26.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1222	12.5%
3	About once every half year	908	9.3%
4	About once every quarter	1338	13.7%
5	About once every month	2250	23.0%
6	About once a week	989	10.1%
7	Several times a week	176	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	140	1.4%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	1.8%
96		21036	
Sysmiss		147380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma40h: Past 12 months: Used Swedish snuff (snus)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=53490 /-] [Invalid=124694 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used Swedish snuff (snus)
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National); 2005-2006 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	42822	80.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	931	1.7%
3	About once every half year	339	0.6%
4	About once every quarter	395	0.7%
5	About once every month	546	1.0%
6	About once a week	507	0.9%
7	Several times a week	5982	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	850	1.6%
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1057	2.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	79119	
Sysmiss		45575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma40i: Past 12 months: Consumed wine

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6513 /-] [Invalid=171671 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed wine
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1255	19.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	529	8.1%
3	About once every half year	351	5.4%
4	About once every quarter	567	8.7%
5	About once every month	1557	23.9%



<b># ma40i: Past 12 months: Consumed wine</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
6	About once a week	1572	24.1%
7	Several times a week	452	6.9%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.3%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	139	2.1%
96		16106	
Sysmiss		155565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma40j: Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6513 /-] [Invalid=171671 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer		
<b>Notes</b>	2010- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2119	32.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	538	8.3%
3	About once every half year	383	5.9%
4	About once every quarter	617	9.5%
5	About once every month	1432	22.0%
6	About once a week	1014	15.6%
7	Several times a week	186	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	139	2.1%
96		16106	
Sysmiss		155565	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma40k: Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=22089 /-] [Invalid=156095 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Intoxicated yourself		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	11981	54.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2990	13.5%
3	About once every half year	1584	7.2%
4	About once every quarter	1888	8.5%
5	About once every month	2164	9.8%
6	About once a week	659	3.0%
7	Several times a week	102	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	261	1.2%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	440	2.0%

<b># ma40k: Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96		90115	
Sysmiss		65980	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma40l: Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6499 /-] [Invalid=171685 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits		
<b>Notes</b>	2012 (Riks)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	860	13.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	336	5.2%
3	About once every half year	250	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	433	6.7%
5	About once every month	1631	25.1%
6	About once a week	2050	31.5%
7	Several times a week	680	10.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	70	1.1%
98	Dubbelkryss	9	0.1%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	180	2.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	6393	
Sysmiss		165292	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma50a: Past 12 months: Eaten breakfast outside your home</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30732 /-] [Invalid=147452 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2001-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten breakfast outside your home		
<b>Notes</b>	2001- (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8447	27.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4282	13.9%
3	About once every half year	3625	11.8%
4	About once every quarter	4198	13.7%
5	About once every month	4239	13.8%
6	About once a week	2231	7.3%
7	Several times a week	2381	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	620	2.0%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	684	2.2%
96		24876	
Sysmiss		122576	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ma50b: Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant at night</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=176541 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant at night		
<b>Notes</b>	1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	447	27.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	301	18.3%
3	About once every half year	247	15.0%
4	About once every quarter	281	17.1%
5	About once every month	228	13.9%
6	About once a week	28	1.7%
7	Several times a week	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	88	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma50c: Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=156438 /-] [Invalid=21746 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night		
<b>Notes</b>	1991- (National); 1993- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	28339	18.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	19777	12.6%
3	About once every half year	18141	11.6%
4	About once every quarter	29854	19.1%
5	About once every month	39150	25.0%
6	About once a week	12288	7.9%
7	Several times a week	2848	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2615	1.7%
98	Several responses given	285	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	3141	2.0%
96		13648	
Sysmiss		8098	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ma50d: Past 12 months: Danced</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28694 /-] [Invalid=149490 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Danced		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	16735	58.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3687	12.8%
3	About once every half year	2089	7.3%
4	About once every quarter	1732	6.0%
5	About once every month	1769	6.2%
6	About once a week	1079	3.8%
7	Several times a week	505	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	499	1.7%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	567	2.0%
96		83817	
Sysmiss		65673	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma60a: Past 12 months: Played cards/tabletop games</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=16306 /-] [Invalid=161878 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2000-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2004 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played cards/tabletop games		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2004 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4006	24.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2290	14.0%
3	About once every half year	1719	10.5%
4	About once every quarter	2536	15.6%
5	About once every month	3088	18.9%
6	About once a week	1213	7.4%
7	Several times a week	545	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	441	2.7%
98	Several responses given	32	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	436	2.7%
96		1774	
Sysmiss		160104	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma60b: Past 12 months: Solved crosswords/sudoku</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29535 /-] [Invalid=148649 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2007 (National): "Solved crosswords"; 2007-2011 (National): "Solved crosswords/sudoku".		

# ma60b: Past 12 months: Solved crosswords/sudoku

	<p>The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2011 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2001 (National): "Solved crosswords"; 2008 (National): "Solved crosswords/sudoku". The question wording has changed. 1999-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2008 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Solved crosswords/sudoku
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2011 (National); 1999-2001, 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9324	31.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2858	9.7%
3	About once every half year	1746	5.9%
4	About once every quarter	2052	6.9%
5	About once every month	3377	11.4%
6	About once a week	3552	12.0%
7	Several times a week	5480	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	482	1.6%
98	Several responses given	47	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	617	2.1%
96		9716	
Sysmiss		138933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ma60c: Past 12 months: Handcrafted

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=43822 /-] [Invalid=134362 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The item and question wording has not changed over time.</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 2005 (West): "Handcrafted (e.g. knitted, carved or thrown pottery)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Handcrafted".</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Handcrafted
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National); 2005-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21705	49.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4224	9.6%
3	About once every half year	2640	6.0%
4	About once every quarter	2797	6.4%
5	About once every month	4267	9.7%
6	About once a week	3044	6.9%
7	Several times a week	3566	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	711	1.6%
98	Several responses given	62	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	806	1.8%
96		81952	
Sysmiss		52410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# ma60d: Past 12 months: Participated in study circles/courses	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=55147 /-] [Invalid=123037 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1988 (National): "Participated in study circles or courses"; 2007- (National): "Participated in study circles/courses" The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 2007- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West: The item and question wording has not changed over time.
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in study circles/courses
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 2007- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	38939	70.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3801	6.9%
3	About once every half year	1818	3.3%
4	About once every quarter	1646	3.0%
5	About once every month	2270	4.1%
6	About once a week	2999	5.4%
7	Several times a week	1333	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1270	2.3%
98	Several responses given	82	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	989	1.8%
96		72270	
Sysmiss		50767	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ma60f: Past 12 months: Photographed/filmed	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30322 /-] [Invalid=147862 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Photographed/filmed
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8638	28.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1865	6.2%
3	About once every half year	1567	5.2%
4	About once every quarter	2506	8.3%
5	About once every month	6705	22.1%
6	About once a week	5013	16.5%
7	Several times a week	2803	9.2%
97	No response - part of the question	605	2.0%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	588	1.9%
96		82189	
Sysmiss		65673	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># ma60g: Past 12 months: Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11470 /-] [Invalid=166714 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5673	49.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1064	9.3%
3	About once every half year	735	6.4%
4	About once every quarter	912	8.0%
5	About once every month	1597	13.9%
6	About once a week	694	6.1%
7	Several times a week	301	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	252	2.2%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	2.0%
96		3183	
Sysmiss		163531	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma70a: Past 12 months: Travelled abroad</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=46580 /-] [Invalid=131604 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question has not changed: 1994-1998 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled abroad		
<b>Notes</b>	1991-1999, 2005- (National); 1994-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	17814	38.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	15182	32.6%
3	About once every half year	7073	15.2%
4	About once every quarter	3732	8.0%
5	About once every month	881	1.9%
6	About once a week	145	0.3%
7	Several times a week	126	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	751	1.6%
98	Several responses given	55	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	821	1.8%
96		80461	
Sysmiss		51143	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># ma70b: Past 12 months: Driven a car</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=121645 /-] [Invalid=56539 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Driven a car		
<b>Notes</b>	2000- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	18407	15.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2091	1.7%
3	About once every half year	1371	1.1%
4	About once every quarter	2258	1.9%
5	About once every month	5581	4.6%
6	About once a week	13296	10.9%
7	Several times a week	74485	61.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1497	1.2%
98	Several responses given	299	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2360	1.9%
96		19216	
Sysmiss		37323	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma70c: Past 12 months: Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=28350 /-] [Invalid=149834 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 2000-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2007 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The item wording has changed over time. 2000-2001 (West): "Used public transportation"; 2002 (West): "Used public transportation (buses, trams, trains)"; 2003-2007 (West): "Used public transportation (buses/trams/trains)". The question wording has changed. 2000-2003, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2007 (National); 2000-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	6131	21.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3970	14.0%
3	About once every half year	2289	8.1%
4	About once every quarter	2897	10.2%
5	About once every month	4004	14.1%
6	About once a week	2355	8.3%
7	Several times a week	5293	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	681	2.4%
98	Several responses given	72	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	658	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		149834	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



<b># ma70d: Past 12 months: Used public transportation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=88063 /-] [Invalid=90121 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	22013	25.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10772	12.2%
3	About once every half year	7078	8.0%
4	About once every quarter	9002	10.2%
5	About once every month	12511	14.2%
6	About once a week	7252	8.2%
7	Several times a week	16315	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1273	1.4%
98	Several responses given	185	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1662	1.9%
96		24448	
Sysmiss		65673	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma70e: Past 12 months: Travelled by plane</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=171821 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by plane		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2576	40.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1722	27.1%
3	About once every half year	926	14.6%
4	About once every quarter	616	9.7%
5	About once every month	274	4.3%
6	About once a week	35	0.6%
7	Several times a week	38	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.4%
96		11549	
Sysmiss		160272	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma70f: Past 12 months: Travelled by train</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=171821 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by train		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2010- (West)		

<b># ma70f: Past 12 months: Travelled by train</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2511	39.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1311	20.6%
3	About once every half year	792	12.4%
4	About once every quarter	701	11.0%
5	About once every month	504	7.9%
6	About once a week	135	2.1%
7	Several times a week	231	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.4%
96		11549	
Sysmiss		160272	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma80a: Past 12 months: Worked overtime</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50196 /-] [Invalid=127988 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"</p> <p>West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked overtime		
<b>Notes</b>	1988, 1996- (National); 1996-2003 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	20007	39.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2933	5.8%
3	About once every half year	1964	3.9%
4	About once every quarter	3247	6.5%
5	About once every month	7743	15.4%
6	About once a week	6207	12.4%
7	Several times a week	5383	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1602	3.2%
98	Several responses given	37	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1073	2.1%
96		21198	
Sysmiss		106790	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ma80b: Past 12 months: Travelling on official business</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25993 /-] [Invalid=152191 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelling on official business		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		

### # ma80b: Past 12 months: Travelling on official business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	17056	65.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2311	8.9%
3	About once every half year	1554	6.0%
4	About once every quarter	1746	6.7%
5	About once every month	1506	5.8%
6	About once a week	538	2.1%
7	Several times a week	308	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	429	1.7%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	525	2.0%
96		4811	
Sysmiss		147380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma190a: Past 12 months: Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19673 /-] [Invalid=158511 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"  West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2003 (National); 1999-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5859	29.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5025	25.5%
3	About once every half year	2458	12.5%
4	About once every quarter	2400	12.2%
5	About once every month	2594	13.2%
6	About once a week	344	1.7%
7	Several times a week	95	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	432	2.2%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	451	2.3%
96		1859	
Sysmiss		156652	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma190b: Past 12 months: Donated money to an aid organization

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=90535 /-] [Invalid=87649 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to an aid organization
<b>Notes</b>	2004- (National)

### # ma190b: Past 12 months: Donated money to an aid organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	27241	30.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	17800	19.7%
3	About once every half year	9144	10.1%
4	About once every quarter	9458	10.4%
5	About once every month	20984	23.2%
6	About once a week	1963	2.2%
7	Several times a week	767	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1351	1.5%
98	Several responses given	99	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1728	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	27597	
Sysmiss		60052	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # ma190c: Past 12 months: Been busy w. activities/errands outside your home in the evening

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10609 /-] [Invalid=167575 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Been busy with activities/errands outside the home in the evening
<b>Notes</b>	2003-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1715	16.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	525	4.9%
3	About once every half year	400	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	715	6.7%
5	About once every month	2115	19.9%
6	About once a week	2641	24.9%
7	Several times a week	2035	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	240	2.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	210	2.0%
96	Question not asked	10207	
Sysmiss		157368	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # mb40a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=171668 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Sports or outdoor organisation
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	1526	23.4%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	290	4.5%

<b># mb40a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Member and have some type of assignment	502	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3063	47.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1135	17.4%
Sysmiss		171668	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40b: Membership/active in: Labor union</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=173246 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Labor union		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	2035	41.2%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	357	7.2%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	277	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1596	32.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	673	13.6%
Sysmiss		173246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40c: Membership/active in: HSB or any other homeowners' association [condos only]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=174889 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - HSB or any other homeowners' association [condominiums only]		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1987 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	333	10.1%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	77	2.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	38	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2415	73.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	432	13.1%
Sysmiss		174889	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40d: Membership/active in: Tenants' or house-owners' association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=174889 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Tenants' or house-owners' association		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1987 (National)		

<b># mb40d: Membership/active in: Tenants' or house-owners' association</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	424	12.9%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	55	1.7%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	33	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2351	71.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	432	13.1%
Sysmiss		174889	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40e: Membership/active in: Trade/business or farmers' association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=173246 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Trade/business or farmers' association		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	220	4.5%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	29	0.6%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	22	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3995	80.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	672	13.6%
Sysmiss		173246	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40f: Membership/active in: Political party (also women's or youth organisation)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=171668 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Political party (also women's or youth organisation)		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1989 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	497	7.6%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	123	1.9%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	189	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	4572	70.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1135	17.4%
Sysmiss		171668	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb40g: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3221 /-] [Invalid=174963 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).		

<b># mb40g: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Environmental organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1989 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Member	268	8.3%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	11	0.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2215	68.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	703	21.8%
Sysmiss		174963	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50927 /-] [Invalid=127257 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Sports or outdoor organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	29773	58.5%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	8839	17.4%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	4032	7.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	4489	8.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2144	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1650	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		125596	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50927 /-] [Invalid=127257 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National:		

**# mb50b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation**

See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).  
 The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.  
 Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions

West:  
 See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable).  
 The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.

**Literal question** Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Environmental organisation

**Notes** 1990-2008 (National); 1996-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	43569	85.6%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2409	4.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	270	0.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	171	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2858	5.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1650	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		125596	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# mb50e: Membership/active in: Political party (also women's or youth organisation)**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=49148 /-] [Invalid=129036 /-]

**Definition**  
 National:  
 See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).  
 The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.  
 Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.

West:  
 See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable).  
 The item wording has changed over time. 1996, 1998-2005 (West): "Political party (also women's or youth organisation)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Political party (including women's or youth organisation)".  
 The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.

**Literal question** Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Political party (also women's or youth organisation)

**Notes** 1990-1995, 1997-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)



# mb50e: Membership/active in: Political party (also women's or youth organisation)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	40639	82.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2279	4.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	885	1.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	930	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2832	5.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1583	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		127375	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mb50f: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=40545 /-] [Invalid=137639 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).            The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.            Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West:            See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable).            The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Labor union/organization
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	17779	43.9%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	14136	34.9%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	3709	9.1%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	1734	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1847	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1340	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		135978	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># mb50g: Membership/active in: Tenants' association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=174651 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Tenants' association		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	2672	75.6%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	448	12.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	99	2.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	38	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	140	4.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	136	3.8%
Sysmiss		174651	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50h: Membership/active in: Tenants' association, home or house-owners' association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=25144 /-] [Invalid=153040 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).  West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2004 (National); 1996-2005 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	14265	56.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	5454	21.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	2243	8.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	935	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1367	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	880	3.5%
Sysmiss		153040	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># mb50i: Membership/active in: Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=36936 /-] [Invalid=141248 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The item wording has changed over time. 1996-1997, 2003-2004 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance etc.)"; 1998-2002, 2005-2007 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)". The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2001, 2003-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	28576	77.4%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1781	4.8%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	2098	5.7%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	1231	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2068	5.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1182	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		139587	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50j: Membership/active in: Pensioners association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=35449 /-] [Invalid=142735 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Pensioners association		

<b># mb50j: Membership/active in: Pensioners association</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		1996-2007 (National); 1996-2007 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	28830	81.3%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1578	4.5%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	1709	4.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	534	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1625	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1173	3.3%
96	Question not asked	3498	
Sysmiss		139237	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50k: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=26402 /-] [Invalid=151782 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Humanitarian aid organization	
<b>Notes</b>		2001-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	20894	79.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2642	10.0%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	494	1.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	401	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1094	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	877	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		150121	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50l: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=16147 /-] [Invalid=162037 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).	
<b>Literal question</b>		Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization	
<b>Notes</b>		2006-2007 (National); 2003 (West)	

<b># mb50l: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	7265	45.0%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	5522	34.2%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	1629	10.1%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	645	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	563	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	523	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3295	
Sysmiss		158742	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50p: Membership/active in: Consumer cooperative</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=174651 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Consumer cooperative		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-1997 (National); 1996-2003 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	2052	58.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1082	30.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	87	2.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	27	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	149	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	136	3.8%
Sysmiss		174651	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50s: Membership/active in: Temperance organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=13642 /-] [Invalid=164542 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Temperance organization		

<b># mb50s: Membership/active in: Temperance organization</b>			
<b>Notes</b>		2004-2008 (National); 2004-2007 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	12487	91.5%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	149	1.1%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	68	0.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	61	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	479	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	398	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3499	
Sysmiss		161043	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50t: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=11868 /-] [Invalid=166316 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Immigrant organization	
<b>Notes</b>		2005-2008 (National); 1996, 1998-2007 (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	11006	92.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	67	0.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	39	0.3%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	38	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	394	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	324	2.7%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		164655	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50v: Membership/active in: Disability organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=8369 /-] [Invalid=169815 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Disability organization	
<b>Notes</b>		2006-2008 (National)	

<b># mb50v: Membership/active in: Disability organization</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	7452	89.0%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	223	2.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	126	1.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	74	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	258	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	236	2.8%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		168154	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50w: Membership/active in: Hunting organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=176477 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Hunting organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2006 (National); 2006 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	1516	88.8%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	56	3.3%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	24	1.4%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	13	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	62	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	36	2.1%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		174848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50x: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=173151 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Local heritage/history association		
<b>Notes</b>	2007-2008 (National); 1998-2003, 2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	4133	82.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	331	6.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	190	3.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	81	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	146	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.0%

<b># mb50x: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		171490	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb50z: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1598 /-] [Invalid=176586 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: Question has not changed.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Community association (byalag)		
<b>Notes</b>	2008 (National); 2002-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member	1297	81.2%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	94	5.9%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	85	5.3%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	40	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	38	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	44	2.8%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		174925	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2008 (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2009- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation". The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2008-2010 (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2011- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	59367	61.4%
2	Yes	23004	23.8%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	6184	6.4%
4	...and I participate actively	1988	2.1%



<b># mb60a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	3919	4.1%
98	Several responses given	62	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%
96	Question not asked	25623	
Sysmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2009 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	83275	86.1%
2	Yes	5112	5.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	417	0.4%
4	...and I participate actively	86	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5591	5.8%
98	Several responses given	43	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%
96	Question not asked	25623	
Sysmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2011- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	83034	85.9%
2	Yes	4576	4.7%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1286	1.3%

<b># mb60c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	...and I participate actively	125	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5461	5.6%
98	Several responses given	42	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%
96	Question not asked	25623	
Systemmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	52185	54.0%
2	Yes	35063	36.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	2339	2.4%
4	...and I participate actively	178	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	4690	4.8%
98	Several responses given	69	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%
96	Question not asked	25623	
Systemmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60f: Membership/active in: Cultural society</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).</p> <p>National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	76453	79.0%
2	Yes	9969	10.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	2101	2.2%
4	...and I participate actively	561	0.6%

<b># mb60f: Membership/active in: Cultural society</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	No response - part of the question	5380	5.6%
98	Several responses given	60	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%
96	Question not asked	25623	
Sysmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60g: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=49243 /-] [Invalid=128941 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).  National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Community association (byalag)		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	37769	76.7%
2	Yes	6261	12.7%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1408	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2571	5.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1217	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6526	
Sysmiss		122415	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60h: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=96716 /-] [Invalid=81468 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).  National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	72972	75.4%
2	Yes	14492	15.0%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1056	1.1%
4	...and I participate actively	238	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	5702	5.9%
98	Several responses given	64	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2192	2.3%

<b># mb60h: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	25623	
Sysmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60i: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=50874 /-] [Invalid=127310 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National); 2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	26576	52.2%
2	Yes	19231	37.8%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1069	2.1%
4	...and I participate actively	447	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2378	4.7%
98	Several responses given	75	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1098	2.2%
96	Question not asked	28888	
Sysmiss		98422	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60j: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=174950 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	2655	82.1%
2	Yes	330	10.2%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	34	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	133	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6699	
Sysmiss		168251	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># mb60k: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=27658 /-] [Invalid=150526 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National); 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	25014	90.4%
2	Yes	397	1.4%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	84	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1437	5.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	712	2.6%
96	Question not asked	24852	
Sysmiss		125674	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60l: Membership/active in: Temperance organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=174825 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	3072	91.5%
2	Yes	43	1.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	16	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	160	4.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	68	2.0%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		168251	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60m: Membership/active in: Organization for patients and caregivers</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11553 /-] [Invalid=166631 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Organization for patients and caregivers		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National); 2009- (West)		

**# mb60m: Membership/active in: Organization for patients and caregivers**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	10360	89.7%
2	Yes	330	2.9%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	43	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	545	4.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	274	2.4%
96	Question not asked	31129	
Sysmiss		135502	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# mb60n: Membership/active in: Disability organization**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9925 /-] [Invalid=168259 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	8801	88.7%
2	Yes	342	3.4%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	48	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	494	5.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	238	2.4%
96	Question not asked	26154	
Sysmiss		142105	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# mb60o: Membership/active in: Hunting organization**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=174950 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	2854	88.2%
2	Yes	123	3.8%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	32	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	143	4.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6699	
Sysmiss		168251	

<b># mb60o: Membership/active in: Hunting organization</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb60p: Membership/active in: Pensioners association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=83531 /-] [Invalid=94653 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 ...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>West: Question has not changed.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National); 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	67483	80.8%
2	Yes	9217	11.0%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	931	1.1%
4	...and I participate actively	331	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3637	4.4%
98	Several responses given	65	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	2.2%
96	Question not asked	25616	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=152581 /-] [Invalid=25603 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: Constructed variable based on mb40a (1986-1988), mb50a (1990-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60a (2008 (National-2), 2009-).</p> <p>Item wording has changed. 1986-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2009- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation".</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"</p> <p>The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding: 1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1). 1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p>		

<b># mb99a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation</b>			
	<p>West:            Constructed variable based on mb50a (1996-2007) and mb60a (2008-).            The item wording has changed. 1996-2010 (West): "Sports or outdoor organisation". 2011- (West) "Sports/outdoor organisation".            The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"            The response alternatives have changed:            1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';            2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:            1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.            2008- (West): no change.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1988, 1990- (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	91642	60.1%
2	Yes	37267	24.4%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	11045	7.2%
4	...and I participate actively	1988	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6063	4.0%
98	Several responses given	62	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4514	3.0%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		6553	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=149286 /-] [Invalid=28898 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Constructed variable based on mb40g (1986-1988), mb50b (1990-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60b (2008 (National-2), 2009-).            The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"            The response alternatives have changed:            1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment';            1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';            2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';            2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:            1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1).</p>		



# mb99b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation			
		<p>1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West: Constructed variable based on mb50b (1996-2001) and mb60b (2009-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2001 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2001 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding: 1996-2001 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009- (West): no change.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation	
<b>Notes</b>		1988, 1990- (National); 1996-2001, 2009- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	128110	85.8%
2	Yes	7921	5.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	595	0.4%
4	...and I participate actively	86	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	8449	5.7%
98	Several responses given	43	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4082	2.7%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		9848	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mb99c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=150802 /-] [Invalid=27382 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National: Constructed variable based on mb40f (1986-1988), mb50e (1990-1995, 19987-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60b (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The item wording has changed. 1986-1988, 1990-1995, 1997-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Political party (also women's or youth organisation)"; 2008- (National): "Political party/organisation". The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';</p>	

# mb99c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation

2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:

1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1).

1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.

2008 (R2), 2009-: no change.

Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate.

Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.

West:

Constructed variable based on mb50a (1996-2007) and mb60a (2008-).

The item wording has changed. 1996-2005 (West): "Political party (also women's or youth organisation)"; 2006-2007, 2010

(West): "Political party (including women's or youth organisation)"; 2008-2009, 2011- (West): "Political party/organisation".

The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?"

The response alternatives have changed:

1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';

2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:

1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.

2008- (West): no change.

Literal question

Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation

Notes

1986-1988, 1990-1995, 1997- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	127317	84.4%
2	Yes	8221	5.5%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	2357	1.6%
4	...and I participate actively	125	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	8293	5.5%
98	Several responses given	42	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4447	2.9%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		8332	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mb99d: Membership/active in: Cultural society

Information

[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\* /96]

Statistics [NW/ W]

[Valid=133652 /-] [Invalid=44532 /-]

Definition

National:

Constructed variable based on mb50i (1996-2001, 2003-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60f (2008 (National-2), 2009-).

The item wording has changed. 1996-2001, 2003-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)."; 2008- (National-2): "Cultural society".

The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"

The response alternatives have changed:

1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

**# mb99d: Membership/active in: Cultural society**

2008 (R2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 1996-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change.  
 Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.

West:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50i (1996-2007) and mb60f (2008-).  
 The item wording has changed. 1996-1997, 2003-2004 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance etc.)"; 1998-2002, 2005-2007 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance, art etc.)"; 2008- (West): "Cultural society".  
 The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2008- (West): no change.

**Literal question** Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society

**Notes** 1996-2001, 2003- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	105029	78.6%
2	Yes	13848	10.4%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	3332	2.5%
4	...and I participate actively	561	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	7448	5.6%
98	Several responses given	60	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3374	2.5%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		25482	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# mb99e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=142199 /-] [Invalid=35985 /-]

**Definition**  
 National:  
 Constructed variable based on mb40b (1986-1988), mb50f (1996-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60e (2008 (National-2), 2009-).  
 Item wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Labor union"; 1996-: "Labor union/organization".  
 The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

<b># mb99e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization</b>			
		<p>1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1).  1996-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change.  Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate.  Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on mb50f (1996-2007) and mb60e (2008-).  The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2008- (West): no change.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization	
<b>Notes</b>		1986-1988, 1996- (National); 1996- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	71560	50.3%
2	Yes	55300	38.9%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	4350	3.1%
4	...and I participate actively	178	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	6537	4.6%
98	Several responses given	69	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4205	3.0%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		16935	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99f: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=50841 /-] [Invalid=127343 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National:  Constructed variable based on mb50z (2008 (National-1)) and mb60e (2008 (National-2), 2009-).  The response alternatives have changed:  2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  2008 (R2), 2009-: no change.  Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West:</p>	

<b># mb99f: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
		<p>Constructed variable based on mb50z (2002-2007) and mb60g (2008-).  The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  2008- (West): no change.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association	
<b>Notes</b>		2008- (National); 2002- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	39066	76.8%
2	Member/Yes	6440	12.7%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	1448	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2609	5.1%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1261	2.5%
Sysmiss		127343	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99g: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=123118 /-] [Invalid=55066 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National:  Constructed variable based on mb50k (2001-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60h (2008 (National-2), 2009-).  The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  2001-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  2001-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2008 (R2), 2009-: no change.  Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on mb50k (2002-2007) and mb60h (2008-).  The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2008- (West): no change.</p>	

<b># mb99g: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2001- (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No	93866	76.2%
2	Yes	17628	14.3%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1457	1.2%
4	...and I participate actively	238	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	6796	5.5%
98	Several responses given	64	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3069	2.5%
96	Question not asked	19050	
Sysmiss		36016	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99h: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21148 /-] [Invalid=157036 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on mb50l (2002-2007) and mb60f (2008-2010).  The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  2002-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  2002-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change.  Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2002-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	9767	46.2%
2	Member/Yes	9158	43.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	788	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	785	3.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	646	3.1%
Sysmiss		157036	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99i: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8267 /-] [Invalid=169917 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on mb50x (2007, 2008) and mb60j (2009-).  The question wording has changed. 2007-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"</p>		

# mb99i: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

The response alternatives have changed:  
 2007-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 2007-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2009-: no change.  
 Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.

West:  
 Byggd variabel baserad på mb50z (2002-2007) och mb60g (2008-).  
 Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 2002-2007 (West): "Byalag, samhällsförening"; 2008- (West): "Lokal samhällsförening, byalag".

**Literal question** Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association

**Notes** 2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	6788	82.1%
2	Member/Yes	851	10.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	115	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	279	3.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	234	2.8%
Sysmiss		169917	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# mb99j: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=39526 /-] [Invalid=138658 /-]

**Definition**

National:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50t (2005-2008) and mb60k (2009-).  
 The question wording has changed. 2005-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?"  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 2005-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 2005-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2009-: no change.

West:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50t (1996, 1998-2007) and mb60g (2008-).  
 The question wording has changed. 1996, 1998-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 1996, 1998-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.

<b># mb99j: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization</b>			
	2008- (West): no change.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National); 1996, 1998-2007, 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	36020	91.1%
2	Member/Yes	503	1.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	122	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1831	4.6%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1036	2.6%
Sysmiss		138658	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99k: Membership/active in: Temperance organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17001 /-] [Invalid=161183 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on mb50s (2004-2008) and mb60l (2009-2010).  The question wording has changed. 2004-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-2010: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  2004-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  2004-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2009-2010: no change.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	15559	91.5%
2	Member/Yes	260	1.5%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	77	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	639	3.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	2.7%
Sysmiss		161183	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99l: Membership/active in: Disability organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=18294 /-] [Invalid=159890 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on mb50v (2006-2008) and mb60n (2009-).  The question wording has changed. 2006-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?"  The response alternatives have changed:  2006-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p>		



<b># mb99l: Membership/active in: Disability organization</b>			
	Recoding: 2006-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-: no change.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2006- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	16253	88.8%
2	Member/Yes	691	3.8%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	122	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	752	4.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	474	2.6%
Sysmiss		159890	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99m: Membership/active in: Hunting organization</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4941 /-] [Invalid=173243 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on mb50w (2006) and mb60o (2009-2010). The question wording has changed. 2006 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-2010: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2006 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment'; 2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding: 2004-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-2010: no change.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization		
<b>Notes</b>	2006, 2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	4370	88.4%
2	Member/Yes	203	4.1%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	45	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	205	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	118	2.4%
Sysmiss		173243	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mb99n: Membership/active in: Pensioners association</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=118980 /-] [Invalid=59204 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: Constructed variable based on mb50j (1996-2007) and mb60p (2011). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007: "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2011: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed:</p>		

**# mb99n: Membership/active in: Pensioners association**

1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1998-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 1996-2007 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2011: no change.

West:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50j (1996-2007) and mb60p (2009-).  
 The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  
 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.

Recoding:  
 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 2009- (West): no change.

**Literal question** Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association

**Notes** 1996-2007, 2011 (National); 1996-2007, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	96313	80.9%
2	Yes	12504	10.5%
3	...and I have some type of assignment	1465	1.2%
4	...and I participate actively	331	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	5262	4.4%
98	Several responses given	65	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3040	2.6%
96	Question not asked	22548	
Sysmiss		36656	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# mb99o: Membership/active in: Residents'/house-owners' association, housing cooperative**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=25144 /-] [Invalid=153040 /-]

**Definition**  
 National:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50h (1998-2004).  
 The response alternatives have changed:  
 1998-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  
 Recoding:  
 1998-2004 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  
 West:  
 Constructed variable based on mb50h (1996-2005) and mb60p (2009-).  
 The question wording has changed. 1996-2005 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?"

<b># mb99o: Membership/active in: Residents'/house-owners' association, housing cooperative</b>			
	<p>The response alternatives have changed:  1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  1998-2005 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';  2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...with some type of assignment';  2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '...and I have some type of assignment'.</p> <p>Recoding:  1996-2005 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.  2009- (West): no change.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2004 (National); 1996-2005, 2009- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not member/No	14265	56.7%
2	Member/Yes	7697	30.6%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	935	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1367	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	880	3.5%
Sysmiss		153040	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc10a: Past 12 months: Bought or sold stocks/fund shares</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=176541 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mc10f (1996-1998) and mc10k (1999-) for similar items.		
<b>Literal question</b>	In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities? - Bought or sold stocks/fund shares		
<b>Notes</b>	1988 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1183	72.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	187	11.4%
3	About once every half year	57	3.5%
4	About once every quarter	43	2.6%
5	About once every month	48	2.9%
6	About once a week	2	0.1%
7	Several times a week	7	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	99	6.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		176541	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc10c: Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=158810 /-] [Invalid=19374 /-]		

<b># mc10c: Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.</b>			
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.		
<b>Notes</b>	1988- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	63324	39.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	16280	10.3%
3	About once every half year	8161	5.1%
4	About once every quarter	11402	7.2%
5	About once every month	21402	13.5%
6	About once a week	26225	16.5%
7	Several times a week	5947	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2653	1.7%
98	Several responses given	197	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3219	2.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16079	
Sysmiss		3295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc10f: Past 12 months: Traded securities/stocks/funds</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=7094 /-] [Invalid=171090 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mc10a (1988) and mc10k (1999-) for similar items.		
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Traded securities/stocks/funds		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-1998 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4000	56.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1349	19.0%
3	About once every half year	441	6.2%
4	About once every quarter	387	5.5%
5	About once every month	475	6.7%
6	About once a week	64	0.9%
7	Several times a week	33	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	236	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	109	1.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		171090	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc10k: Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=73370 /-] [Invalid=104814 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also mc10a (1988) and mc10f (1996-1998) for similar items. The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"		

<b># mc10k: Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Traded with shares/stocks		
<b>Notes</b>	1999- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	51226	69.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	7938	10.8%
3	About once every half year	3130	4.3%
4	About once every quarter	3307	4.5%
5	About once every month	3351	4.6%
6	About once a week	781	1.1%
7	Several times a week	542	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1509	2.1%
98	Several responses given	91	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1495	2.0%
96		75489	
Sysmiss		29325	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100a: Priceworthy: A paperback</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A paperback		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	551	31.0%
2	Generally worth the price	773	43.5%
3	Not really worth the price	231	13.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	107	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	64	3.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100b: Priceworthy: A weekly magazine</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A weekly magazine		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	89	5.0%
2	Generally worth the price	628	35.4%
3	Not really worth the price	736	41.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	243	13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># mc100b: Priceworthy: A weekly magazine</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100c: Priceworthy: A cd</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cd		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	86	4.8%
2	Generally worth the price	622	35.0%
3	Not really worth the price	648	36.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	329	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	42	2.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># mc100d: Priceworthy: A theater ticket</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A theater ticket		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	168	9.5%
2	Generally worth the price	884	49.8%
3	Not really worth the price	420	23.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	169	9.5%
97	No response - part of the question	87	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100e: Priceworthy: A cinema ticket</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cinema ticket		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	131	7.4%
2	Generally worth the price	887	50.0%
3	Not really worth the price	491	27.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	155	8.7%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100f: Priceworthy: A ticket to a football/hockey game</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a football/hockey game		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	115	6.5%
2	Generally worth the price	622	35.0%
3	Not really worth the price	513	28.9%
4	Not at all worth the price	370	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	108	6.1%
98	Several responses given	0	

<b># mc100f: Priceworthy: A ticket to a fotball/hockey game</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100g: Priceworthy: A restaurant visit</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A restaurant visit		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	168	9.5%
2	Generally worth the price	948	53.4%
3	Not really worth the price	447	25.2%
4	Not at all worth the price	128	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc100h: Priceworthy: A ticket to a music concert</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=176409 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a music concert		
<b>Notes</b>	2005 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	221	12.5%
2	Generally worth the price	825	46.5%
3	Not really worth the price	433	24.4%
4	Not at all worth the price	167	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	82	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		174685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101a: Priceworthy: Paperback</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11600 /-] [Invalid=166584 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Paperback		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		



<b># mc101a: Priceworthy: Paperback</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	3157	27.2%
2	Generally worth the price	4019	34.6%
3	Not really worth the price	823	7.1%
4	Not at all worth the price	261	2.2%
5	No opinion	2843	24.5%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	327	2.8%
96	Question not asked	22639	
Sysmiss		143945	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101b: Priceworthy: Cd</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8381 /-] [Invalid=169803 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cd		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	388	4.6%
2	Generally worth the price	2556	30.5%
3	Not really worth the price	2385	28.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	1283	15.3%
5	No opinion	1387	16.5%
97	No response - part of the question	131	1.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	247	2.9%
96	Question not asked	12966	
Sysmiss		156837	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101c: Priceworthy: Cinema visit</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=168212 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cinema visit		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	670	6.7%
2	Generally worth the price	4263	42.7%
3	Not really worth the price	2323	23.3%
4	Not at all worth the price	658	6.6%
5	No opinion	1625	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	135	1.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	

<b># mc101c: Priceworthy: Cinema visit</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		150548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101d: Priceworthy: Theatre</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=168212 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Theatre		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	754	7.6%
2	Generally worth the price	3600	36.1%
3	Not really worth the price	1362	13.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	529	5.3%
5	No opinion	3263	32.7%
97	No response - part of the question	169	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	
Sysmiss		150548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101e: Priceworthy: Subscription to the daily paper</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=168212 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Subscription to the daily paper		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	1021	10.2%
2	Generally worth the price	3526	35.4%
3	Not really worth the price	2297	23.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	1311	13.1%
5	No opinion	1410	14.1%
97	No response - part of the question	96	1.0%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	
Sysmiss		150548	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101f: Priceworthy: Broadband subscriptions</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8381 /-] [Invalid=169803 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Broadband subscriptions		
<b>Notes</b>	2007- (National)		

<b># mc101f: Priceworthy: Broadband subscriptions</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	883	10.5%
2	Generally worth the price	3096	36.9%
3	Not really worth the price	1673	20.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	563	6.7%
5	No opinion	1767	21.1%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	247	2.9%
96	Question not asked	12966	
Systemmiss		156837	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101o: Priceworthy: Pay-TV channels</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6612 /-] [Invalid=171572 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Pay-TV channels		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	208	3.1%
2	Generally worth the price	1244	18.8%
3	Not really worth the price	1851	28.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	1388	21.0%
5	No opinion	1625	24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	90	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	203	3.1%
96	Question not asked	11300	
Systemmiss		160272	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101p: Priceworthy: Designer clothes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9831 /-] [Invalid=168353 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Designer clothes		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	254	2.6%
2	Generally worth the price	1827	18.6%
3	Not really worth the price	3106	31.6%
4	Not at all worth the price	2959	30.1%
5	No opinion	1302	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	93	0.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	283	2.9%
96	Question not asked	20973	

<b># mc101p: Priceworthy: Designer clothes</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101q: Priceworthy: KRAV-certified food [produced in an env friendly/ethical manner]</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - KRAV-certified food [food produced in an environmental friendly and ethical manner]		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	418	8.3%
2	Generally worth the price	2083	41.5%
3	Not really worth the price	1161	23.1%
4	Not at all worth the price	466	9.3%
5	No opinion	681	13.6%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	144	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101t: Priceworthy: Design furniture</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=170014 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Design furniture		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Definitely worth the price	188	2.3%
2	Generally worth the price	1096	13.4%
3	Not really worth the price	2165	26.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	2632	32.2%
5	No opinion	1763	21.6%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	239	2.9%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Sysmiss		150639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mc101u: Priceworthy: Body care treatment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=170014 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Body care treatment		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National)		

### # mc101u: Priceworthy: Body care treatment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	341	4.2%
2	Generally worth the price	2297	28.1%
3	Not really worth the price	2105	25.8%
4	Not at all worth the price	1167	14.3%
5	No opinion	1957	24.0%
97	No response - part of the question	60	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	239	2.9%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Systemmiss		150639	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # mc101v: Priceworthy: Fairtrade labelled products

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1702 /-] [Invalid=176482 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Fairtrade labelled products
<b>Notes</b>	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	155	9.1%
2	Generally worth the price	664	39.0%
3	Not really worth the price	341	20.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	122	7.2%
5	No opinion	334	19.6%
97	No response - part of the question	20	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	66	3.9%
96	Question not asked	3305	
Systemmiss		173177	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # md10: As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=154765 /-] [Invalid=23419 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also md11 (11 point scale) and md12 (other 4 point scale). Note that in 2007, md10 (National-1) and md11 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions. Note also that in 2011, md10 (National-1, National-3) and md12 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.
<b>Literal question</b>	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	54045	34.9%
2	Rather satisfied	84300	54.5%
3	Not particularly satisfied	10083	6.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	1701	1.1%
98	Several responses given	99	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4537	2.9%

<b># md10: As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	6521	
Sysmiss		16898	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md11: As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=176415 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also md10 (4 point scale) and md12 (other 4 point scale). Note that in 2007, md10 (National-1) and md11 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	As a whole, how satisfied are you with the life you are living?		
<b>Notes</b>	2007 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not at all satisfied (1)	16	0.9%
1	(1)	10	0.6%
2	(2)	21	1.2%
3	(3)	37	2.1%
4	(4)	36	2.0%
5	(5)	112	6.3%
6	(6)	108	6.1%
7	(7)	255	14.4%
8	(8)	480	27.1%
9	(9)	324	18.3%
10	Completely satisfied (10)	334	18.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	35	2.0%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		174749	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md12: Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1597 /-] [Invalid=176587 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also md10 (other 4 point scale) and md11 (11 point scale). Note that in 2011, md10 (National-1, National-3) and md12 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?		
<b>Notes</b>	2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	553	34.6%
2	Rather satisfied	930	58.2%
3	Rather dissatisfied	59	3.7%
4	Very dissatisfied	12	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	43	2.7%
96	Question not asked	3123	
Sysmiss		173464	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># md30: Overall, how happy would you say you are?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6971 /-] [Invalid=171213 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Overall, how happy would you say you are?		
<b>Notes</b>	2004, 2009-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very satisfied	1738	24.9%
2	Rather satisfied	4437	63.6%
3	Not particularly satisfied	509	7.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	60	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		164639	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60a: Important for well-being: Good health</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good health		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	2417	48.1%
2	Very important	2206	43.9%
3	Rather important	269	5.4%
4	Not particularly important	20	0.4%
5	Not at all important	2	0.0%
97	No response - part of the question	12	0.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60b: Important for well-being: Good private economy</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good private economy		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	983	19.6%
2	Very important	2815	56.1%
3	Rather important	1013	20.2%
4	Not particularly important	60	1.2%
5	Not at all important	6	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.1%

<b># md60b: Important for well-being: Good private economy</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60c: Important for well-being: Good friends</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good friends		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	1308	26.1%
2	Very important	2638	52.5%
3	Rather important	834	16.6%
4	Not particularly important	91	1.8%
5	Not at all important	14	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	47	0.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60d: Important for well-being: Family</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	2835	56.5%
2	Very important	1728	34.4%
3	Rather important	255	5.1%
4	Not particularly important	44	0.9%
5	Not at all important	21	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	46	0.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60e: Important for well-being: An enriched leisure time</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An enriched leisure time		



### # md60e: Important for well-being: An enriched leisure time

Notes 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	623	12.4%
2	Very important	2351	46.8%
3	Rather important	1612	32.1%
4	Not particularly important	238	4.7%
5	Not at all important	21	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	84	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60f: Important for well-being: Nature experiences

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]

Literal question How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Nature experiences

Notes 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	510	10.2%
2	Very important	1805	36.0%
3	Rather important	1842	36.7%
4	Not particularly important	597	11.9%
5	Not at all important	74	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60g: Important for well-being: Excitement in life

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*/96]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]

Literal question How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Excitement in life

Notes 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	268	5.3%
2	Very important	876	17.5%
3	Rather important	1776	35.4%
4	Not particularly important	1645	32.8%
5	Not at all important	234	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	128	2.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%

<b># md60g: Important for well-being: Excitement in life</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60h: Important for well-being: A meaningful job</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A meaningful job		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	848	16.9%
2	Very important	2334	46.5%
3	Rather important	1154	23.0%
4	Not particularly important	197	3.9%
5	Not at all important	204	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60i: Important for well-being: General knowledge</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - General knowledge		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Completely crucial	509	10.1%
2	Very important	2390	47.6%
3	Rather important	1635	32.6%
4	Not particularly important	244	4.9%
5	Not at all important	37	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	99	2.0%
98	Several responses given	19	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60j: Important for well-being: Political involvement</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Political involvement		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		

### # md60j: Important for well-being: Political involvement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	86	1.7%
2	Very important	407	8.1%
3	Rather important	1389	27.7%
4	Not particularly important	2248	44.8%
5	Not at all important	667	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	123	2.5%
98	Several responses given	13	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60k: Important for well-being: Exercise/training

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Exercise/training
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	569	11.3%
2	Very important	1842	36.7%
3	Rather important	1803	35.9%
4	Not particularly important	533	10.6%
5	Not at all important	78	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	105	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60l: Important for well-being: A safe living environment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A safe living environment
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1194	23.8%
2	Very important	2799	55.8%
3	Rather important	802	16.0%
4	Not particularly important	57	1.1%
5	Not at all important	11	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	68	1.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	

<b># md60l: Important for well-being: A safe living environment</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60m: Important for well-being: A loving relationship</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A loving relationship		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1320	26.3%
2	Very important	2071	41.3%
3	Rather important	952	19.0%
4	Not particularly important	360	7.2%
5	Not at all important	122	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	104	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60n: Important for well-being: Good housing</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good housing		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1148	22.9%
2	Very important	2871	57.2%
3	Rather important	790	15.7%
4	Not particularly important	50	1.0%
5	Not at all important	10	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	55	1.1%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60o: Important for well-being: To be well dressed</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To be well dressed		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		

### # md60o: Important for well-being: To be well dressed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	230	4.6%
2	Very important	1047	20.9%
3	Rather important	2301	45.8%
4	Not particularly important	1128	22.5%
5	Not at all important	161	3.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Systemmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60p: Important for well-being: To look good

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To look good
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	192	3.8%
2	Very important	857	17.1%
3	Rather important	2283	45.5%
4	Not particularly important	1327	26.4%
5	Not at all important	191	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Systemmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60q: Important for well-being: A religious faith

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A religious faith
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	193	3.8%
2	Very important	315	6.3%
3	Rather important	643	12.8%
4	Not particularly important	1648	32.8%
5	Not at all important	2024	40.3%
97	No response - part of the question	104	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	

<b># md60q: Important for well-being: A religious faith</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60r: Important for well-being: Social reputation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	163	3.2%
2	Very important	1108	22.1%
3	Rather important	2182	43.5%
4	Not particularly important	1101	21.9%
5	Not at all important	249	5.0%
97	No response - part of the question	119	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60s: Important for well-being: Inner harmony</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1202	23.9%
2	Very important	2359	47.0%
3	Rather important	1029	20.5%
4	Not particularly important	166	3.3%
5	Not at all important	64	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	105	2.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># md60t: Important for well-being: To help others</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To help others		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)		

### # md60t: Important for well-being: To help others

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	509	10.1%
2	Very important	2266	45.1%
3	Rather important	1836	36.6%
4	Not particularly important	198	3.9%
5	Not at all important	46	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Systemmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # md60u: Important for well-being: Success in work/studies

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=173164 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Success in work/studies
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	417	8.3%
2	Very important	1779	35.4%
3	Rather important	1914	38.1%
4	Not particularly important	448	8.9%
5	Not at all important	218	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	157	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Systemmiss		164992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # mf10: State of health (personal assessment)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=138641 /-] [Invalid=39543 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 2002-2007 (National): "How do you rate your general state of health? Respond using the scale below, where 0 is 'very bad' and 10 is 'very bad'"; 2008- (National): "How do you rate your general health state?"
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you rate your general health state?
<b>Notes</b>	2002- (National); 2004-2011 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	807	0.6%
1	(1)	750	0.5%
2	(2)	2161	1.6%
3	(3)	4567	3.3%
4	(4)	5033	3.6%
5	(5)	11304	8.2%
6	(6)	9737	7.0%

# mf10: State of health (personal assessment)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	(7)	21643	15.6%
8	(8)	34948	25.2%
9	(9)	23812	17.2%
10	Very good	19838	14.3%
98	Several responses given	115	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3926	2.8%
96		4864	
Sysmiss		34679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mf30: State of health (personal assessment)	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10805 /-] [Invalid=167379 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How do you rate your general health state?
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	My worst possible state (0)	80	0.7%
1	(1)	75	0.7%
2	(2)	198	1.8%
3	(3)	430	4.0%
4	(4)	497	4.6%
5	(5)	1039	9.6%
6	(6)	798	7.4%
7	(7)	1684	15.6%
8	(8)	2850	26.4%
9	(9)	1768	16.4%
10	My best possible state (10)	1046	9.7%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	328	3.0%
96		3443	
Sysmiss		163936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mf50a: Recurring health issues: Headache or dizziness	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Headache or dizziness
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1770	18.1%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	4005	41.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	2466	25.2%
4	Once/a few times/week	970	9.9%
5	Daily	240	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	133	1.4%



<b># mf50a: Recurring health issues: Headache or dizziness</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50b: Recurring health issues: Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	1745	17.9%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2899	29.7%
3	Once/a few times/month	1961	20.1%
4	Once/a few times/week	1371	14.0%
5	Daily	1466	15.0%
97	No response - part of the question	141	1.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50c: Recurring health issues: Heart problems</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Heart problems		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	7675	78.6%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1021	10.5%
3	Once/a few times/month	311	3.2%
4	Once/a few times/week	181	1.9%
5	Daily	183	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	190	1.9%
98	Several responses given	27	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	17731	
Sysmiss		150685	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50d: Recurring health issues: Stomach problems</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		

<b># mf50d: Recurring health issues: Stomach problems</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Stomach problems		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	3453	35.4%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	3318	34.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	1595	16.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	742	7.6%
5	Daily	307	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	157	1.6%
98	Several responses given	16	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50e: Recurring health issues: Sleep difficulties</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Sleep difficulties		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2752	28.2%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2829	29.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	2026	20.7%
4	Once/a few times/week	1336	13.7%
5	Daily	523	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	117	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50f: Recurring health issues: Anxiety/depression</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Anxiety/depression		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	2527	25.9%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	3871	39.6%
3	Once/a few times/month	1881	19.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	831	8.5%
5	Daily	318	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	155	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%

**# mf50f: Recurring health issues: Anxiety/depression**

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># mf50g: Recurring health issues: Reduced physical mobility</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Reduced physical mobility		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	4864	49.8%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2423	24.8%
3	Once/a few times/month	713	7.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	495	5.1%
5	Daily	888	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	2.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50h: Recurring health issues: Allergies</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Allergies		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	6315	64.6%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1919	19.6%
3	Once/a few times/month	627	6.4%
4	Once/a few times/week	307	3.1%
5	Daily	265	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	151	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf50i: Recurring health issues: Other type of health problem</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=168416 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of recurring health issues? - Other type of health problem		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never	5485	56.2%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1970	20.2%
3	Once/a few times/month	628	6.4%

<b># mf50i: Recurring health issues: Other type of health problem</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Once/a few times/week	355	3.6%
5	Daily	643	6.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	503	5.1%
98	Dubbelkryss	4	0.0%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	180	1.8%
96		21036	
Sysmiss		147380	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf100a: Height and weight: Length (in cm)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-9999] [Missing=*/9996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26258 /-] [Invalid=151926 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Length (in cm)		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
9997	Ej svar - del av fråga	1648	73.9%
9998	Dubbelkryss	0	
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	583	26.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf100b: Height and weight: Weight (in kg)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-9999] [Missing=*/9996]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26258 /-] [Invalid=151926 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Weight (in kg)		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
9997	Ej svar - del av fråga	1963	77.1%
9998	Dubbelkryss	0	
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	583	22.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mf100c: Length and weight: Do not want to answer</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=24489 /-] [Invalid=153695 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Do not want to answer		
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Not ticked	22208	90.7%
1	Ticked	1699	6.9%
99	Information missing	582	2.4%
96		16585	
Sysmiss		137110	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mg900a: Proposal: Lower the alcohol tax</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># mg900a: Proposal: Lower the alcohol tax</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=32305 /-] [Invalid=145879 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Lower the alcohol tax	
<b>Notes</b>		2004-	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	2988	9.2%
2	Rather good proposal	4625	14.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	9065	28.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	7423	23.0%
5	Very bad proposal	6915	21.4%
97	No response - part of the question	538	1.7%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	722	2.2%
96	Question not asked	100417	
Sysmiss		45462	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mg900b: Proposal: Raise the alcohol tax</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=28562 /-] [Invalid=149622 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Raise the alcohol tax	
<b>Notes</b>		2010-	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	4062	14.2%
2	Rather good proposal	5638	19.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	9198	32.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	5091	17.8%
5	Very bad proposal	3406	11.9%
97	No response - part of the question	488	1.7%
98	Several responses given	31	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	648	2.3%
96	Question not asked	85592	
Sysmiss		64030	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mg901a: Proposal: Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=39406 /-] [Invalid=138778 /-]	
<b>Literal question</b>		Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores	
<b>Notes</b>		2001-	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very good proposal	4951	12.6%
2	Rather good proposal	7964	20.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6308	16.0%

<b># mg901a: Proposal: Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Rather bad proposal	7092	18.0%
5	Very bad proposal	10875	27.6%
6	No opinion	926	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	236	0.6%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1036	2.6%
96	Question not asked	107737	
Sysmiss		31041	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ng10: Which weekday was it yesterday?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=10701 /-] [Invalid=167483 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which weekday was it yesterday?		
<b>Notes</b>	2000-2006 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Monday	1010	9.4%
2	Tuesday	1154	10.8%
3	Wednesday	2380	22.2%
4	Thursday	2194	20.5%
5	Friday	1489	13.9%
6	Saturday	1427	13.3%
7	Sunday	1047	9.8%
96	Question not asked	7092	
97	No response - part of the question	63	
98	Several responses given	5	
99	No response - entire question battery	275	
Sysmiss		160048	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># lmsit1986: Labour market situation</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=19799 /-] [Invalid=158385 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also lmsit1998 (1998-) and lmsit (constructed variable). Some codes include different response alternatives over time: 2 "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")": 1986-1993, 1995 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1994, 1996-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young".</p> <p>West: See also lmsit1998 (1998-) and lmsit (constructed variable). Some codes include different response alternatives over time: 2 "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")": 1992-1993 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1994-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Gainfully employed	11739	59.3%

<b># lmsit1986: Labour market situation</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")	181	0.9%
3	Participating in labour market training	168	0.8%
5	Unemployed	884	4.5%
6	Old age pensioner	3187	16.1%
7	Disability pensioner	872	4.4%
8	Homeworker	527	2.7%
9	Student	2241	11.3%
98	Several responses given	53	
99	No response - entire question battery	579	
Sysmiss		157753	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># lmsit1998: Labour market situation</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=148019 /-] [Invalid=30165 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 "Gainfully employed": 1998-2001 (National): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (National): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)". 2 "Participating in labour market policy measures": 1998-2007 (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 2008- (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". 3 "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)": 1998-2003 (National): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS"; 2004-2007 (National): "Participating in vocational training courses". As of 2008, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2). 4 "Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiative": No change. As of 2002, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2 and 3). 5 "Unemployed": no change. 6 "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1998-2002 (National): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (National): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner". 7 "Have sickness/activity compensation": 1998-2007 (National): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (National): "Have sickness/activity compensation". 8 "Homeworker": no change. As of 2003 this alternative is no longer available. 9 "Student": no change. 10 "Other": no change.</p> <p>West: See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time. 1 "Gainfully employed": 1998-2001 (West): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (West): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)". 2 "Participating in labour market policy measures": 1998-2007 (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 2008- (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". 3 "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)": 1998-2003 (West): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS"; 2004-2007 (West): "Participating in vocational training courses". As of 2008, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2). 4 "Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiative": No change. As of 2002, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2 and 3). 5 "Unemployed": no change. 6 "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1998-2002 (West): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (West): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner". 7 "Have sickness/activity compensation": 1998-2007 (West): "Disablement pensioner"; 2008- (West): "Have sickness/activity compensation". 8 "Homeworker": no change. As of 2003 this alternative is no longer available. 9 "Student": no change. 10 "Other": no change.</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
<b>Notes</b>	1998- (National); 1998- (West)



## # lmsit1998: Labour market situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	80123	54.1%
2	Participating in labour market policy measures	2125	1.4%
3	Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the	85	0.1%
4	Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiati	88	0.1%
5	Unemployed	4338	2.9%
6	Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner	42676	28.8%
7	Have sickness/activity compensation	4198	2.8%
8	Homeworker	193	0.1%
9	Student	12481	8.4%
10	Other	1712	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4894	
99	No response - entire question battery	4840	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # lmsit: Labour market situation

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=167818 /-] [Invalid=10366 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Constructed variable. See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit1998 (1998-).            Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:            1 "Gainfully employed": 1986-2001 (National): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (National): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)".            2 "Labour market policy measures/labour market training": 1986-1993, 1995 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1986-1997, 2004-2007 (National): "Participating in vocational training courses"; 1994, 1996-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young"; 1998-2007 (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 1998-2003 (National): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)"; 2008- (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". (Includes code 2 and 3 from lmsit1986 and lmsit1998.)            3 "Unemployed": no change.            4 "Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1986-2002 (National): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (National): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner".            5 "Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical reasons)": 1986-2007 (National): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (National): "Have sickness/activity compensation".            6 "Student": no change.            7 "Other/homeworker": 1986-2002 (National): "Homeworker"; 2003- (National): "Other".</p> <p>West:            Constructed variable. See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit1998 (1998-).            Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:            1 "Gainfully employed": 1992-2001 (West): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (West): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)".            2 "Labour market policy measures/labour market training": 1992-1993 (West): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1992-1997, 2004-2007 (West): "Participating in vocational training courses"; 1994-1997 (West): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young"; 1998-2007 (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 1998-2003 (West): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)"; 2008- (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". (Includes code 2 and 3 from lmsit1986 and lmsit1998.)            3 "Unemployed": no change.            4 "Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1992-2002 (West): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (West): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner".            5 "Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical reasons)": 1992-2007 (West): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (West): "Have sickness/activity compensation".            6 "Student": no change.            7 "Other/homeworker": 1992-2002 (West): "Homeworker"; 2003- (West): "Other".</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

**# lmsit: Labour market situation**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	91862	54.7%
2	Labour market policy measures/labour market training	2647	1.6%
3	Unemployed	5222	3.1%
4	Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner	45863	27.3%
5	Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical rea	5070	3.0%
6	Student	14722	8.8%
7	Other/homeworker	2432	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4947	
99	No response - entire question battery	5419	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# working: Gainfully employed**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on lmsit. Indicates whether the respondent is gainfully employed or not (lmsit code 1).
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not gainfully employed	75956	42.6%
1	Gainfully employed	91862	51.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	4947	2.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	5419	3.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# unemployed: Unemployed/in labour market program/training**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on lmsit. Indicates whether the respondent participates in labour market policy measures/labour market training or is unemployed (lmsit code 2 and 3).
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not unemployed/in labour market program/training	159949	89.8%
1	Unemployed/in labour market program/training	7869	4.4%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	4947	2.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	5419	3.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# occgr: Current or previous occupational group**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# occgr: Current or previous occupational group			
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992-2003 (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	White-collar worker	47313	26.6%
2	White-collar worker with supervisory status	17970	10.1%
3	White-collar worker in a senior leadership position	6466	3.6%
4	Blue-collar worker	57541	32.3%
5	Blue-collar worker with supervisory status	9238	5.2%
6	Self-employed blue-collar worker	319	0.2%
7	Farmer: no employees	1235	0.7%
8	Farmer: one/several employees	306	0.2%
9	Self-employed: no employees	6253	3.5%
10	Self-employed: 1-9 employees	5075	2.8%
11	Self-employed: 10 or more employees	1848	1.0%
95	Never had paid work	3583	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6372	3.6%
99	No response	14665	8.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=49069 /-] [Invalid=129115 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Open question. Coded using the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme. The triple digit code has the following logic: the two first digits shows the line of business and the third shows the "rank". If the stated occupation is ambiguous, the response is compared with certain background variables (e.g. education, occupational group and labor union). Note that the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme and the Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (ssyk) both were used in 2005. Since 2006, only the latter has been used.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is/was your occupation?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2005 (National)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Svar saknas	1726	3.5%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		4	0.0%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
10		1	0.0%
11	Företagare/företagsledare - tekn, kem, fysik arb	200	0.4%
12		1	0.0%
14	Chef för arkitektkontor, laboratorium, forskning, prod enhet	337	0.7%
15	Övrigt arkitekt-, 'egentligt ingenjörs-', forskningsarbete	1228	2.5%
16	Närmast under ing - förman, verkmästare, arbetsstudieman	1684	3.4%
17	Biträdespersonal åt ing - rit-, mät-, laboratoriebiträde	368	0.7%
18		1	0.0%
20		2	0.0%
21		3	0.0%

**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Sjukgymnast m egen praktik, vårdhemsinnehavare, homeopat	65	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25	Farmakologiskt arb - apotekare (anställd)	60	0.1%
26	Närmast under läkare, tandläkare, apotekare	1365	2.8%
27	Biträdespersonal m rutinmässigt 'sjukvårdstekniskt' arb	1603	3.3%
28	Biträdespersonal vid sjukvårdsanstalt	1588	3.2%
32	Innehavare av bilskola, övriga konsulter och frilans inom	45	0.1%
34	Pedagogiskt arbete m chefskap - rektor, professor	167	0.3%
35	Ämneslärare m akademisk utb i postgymnasial utbildning	1101	2.2%
36	Klasslärare (grundskolan) och övriga lärare	1414	2.9%
37		3	0.0%
42	Företagare i konstnärlig verksamhet	89	0.2%
43	Målare, konstnär, skulptör, författare	83	0.2%
44	Litterär/konstnärlig chef/avd chef/konstnärlig ledare	33	0.1%
45	Övriga m litterär/konstnärlig yrkesutövning	183	0.4%
47	Rutinmässigt arb inom litt/konst - textare, affärsdekoratör	84	0.2%
48		2	0.0%
51		6	0.0%
52	Kval biologiskt arb forskning, rådgivn , vård: veterinär,	53	0.1%
55		1	0.0%
56	Biologiskt arb, närmast under företagare/befattningshavare	30	0.1%
61	Läkare/tandläkare/psykolog m egen praktik	56	0.1%
64	Kval medicinskt/psykologiskt arb såsom chef för enhet eller	49	0.1%
65	Läkare, tandläkare klinisk/industri-/skolpsykolog	298	0.6%
66	Socialvårdstjänstemän - assistent, kurator	347	0.7%
68		1	0.0%
70		1	0.0%
71		5	0.0%
74		5	0.0%
75	Personalman - personalchef, arbetsförmedl föreståndare m fl	119	0.2%
76	Personalman som är assistent el kurator i företag/myndighet	208	0.4%
80		1	0.0%
81	Aukt revisor/ företagsekonom m egen byrå/praktik	91	0.2%
82		2	0.0%
84	Kvalificerat arb inom revisions-, ekon, jur arb	120	0.2%
85	Revisor, systemman, taxeringstj m, ekon /jur utredare	578	1.2%
86	Programmerare	274	0.6%
87		5	0.0%
91	Advokat m egen byrå	26	0.1%
92		2	0.0%
94	Kval arb - ledande bef - länsåkl, länspolischef, kronofog	19	0.0%
95	Domstolsjur, åklagare, polismästare/-intendent m fl	73	0.1%
98		3	0.0%
99		13	0.0%
114		5	0.0%

**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
115	Präst i statskyrkan	38	0.1%
116	Pastorer/predikanter i frikyrkosamfund/officer i frälsningsa	51	0.1%
117		1	0.0%
121	Företagare inom journalistik - företagsled chefredaktör	25	0.1%
123		1	0.0%
124	Journalistisk yrkesutöv som avd chef - redaktionschef	18	0.0%
125	Journalist, redaktör, korrekturläsare	223	0.5%
128		1	0.0%
132		1	0.0%
133	Översättare ej anställd	18	0.0%
134		24	0.0%
135	Arb inom forskning/arkiv-/beräkningsarb - bibliotekarie	267	0.5%
136	Biträde-/assistentarb inom forskning/arkiv-/beräkningsarb	80	0.2%
137	Biträdesarb (utan utbildning) inom yrkesgruppen	25	0.1%
154	Chefarb i stat/komm, inom hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	43	0.1%
155	Arb under chef i stat/komm - hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	213	0.4%
156	Rutinarb i stat/kommun inom hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	167	0.3%
161		1	0.0%
164	Adm arb som chef för förvalt mynd el avdelningsbyrå	106	0.2%
165	Adm arb närmast under avdelnings-/byråchef	302	0.6%
166	Rutinmässigt adm arbete i stat /komm förvaltning	447	0.9%
170		1	0.0%
171	Adm företagare i näringsliv - VD, disponent, bankdirektör	354	0.7%
172		1	0.0%
174	Adm arb, enhetschef i större företag	315	0.6%
175	Kamrer, även ospec högutb projektledare i företag/org	280	0.6%
176	Ospec projektledare (lägre utb) i företag/org	8	0.0%
178		3	0.0%
180		1	0.0%
212		6	0.0%
215		1	0.0%
216	Kvalificerat kontorsarb inom bokförings/kassaarb	236	0.5%
217	Allmänt kontorsarb inom bokförings/kassaarb	204	0.4%
218		4	0.0%
222		3	0.0%
226	Kvalificerat kontorsarb inom stenografi/maskinskrivning	101	0.2%
227	Allmänt kontorsarb inom stenografi/maskinskrivning	1132	2.3%
228		13	0.0%
232	Egen företagare inom kontor (ospec inriktning)	28	0.1%
234		2	0.0%
235		2	0.0%
236	Kvalificerat arb inom kontorsområdet	1076	2.2%
237	Allmänt arb inom kontorsområdet	708	1.4%
238		14	0.0%
244		2	0.0%

**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
246		2	0.0%
262		1	0.0%
276		1	0.0%
311	Företagare m större handelsföretag (> 10 anst)	32	0.1%
312	Företagare inom Parti- detaljhandel	250	0.5%
313		1	0.0%
314		16	0.0%
315		11	0.0%
316	Affärsföreståndare, arb ledare inom detaljhandel	42	0.1%
317	Säljare av kapitalvaror i detaljhandel	34	0.1%
318	Biträde, kassörska inom detaljhandel	46	0.1%
320		1	0.0%
322	Affärsbiträde m eget företag	36	0.1%
324	Försäljn/inköpsarb - chef - större enhet avd (affär)	14	0.0%
325	Försäljn/inköpsarb - nivå under chef - större avd - affär	3	0.0%
326	Arbetsledning som förman ex affärsföreståndare	82	0.2%
327	Detaljhandelsförsäljare	78	0.2%
328	Biträdesarbete i affär	1225	2.5%
331		1	0.0%
332		3	0.0%
334		4	0.0%
335		5	0.0%
336	Arbetsledning inom partiförsäljning	29	0.1%
337	Säljare genom kundbesök, agenturarbete	20	0.0%
338		9	0.0%
341	Företagare inom övrig försäljning	40	0.1%
342	Företagare, mindre företag inom övrig försäljning	134	0.3%
343		6	0.0%
344	Enhetschef inom försäljning/inköp	206	0.4%
345	Försäljning-/inköp/marknadsföringsarb under chef	242	0.5%
346	Arb ledare inom övrig försäljning - affärsföreståndare	559	1.1%
347	Detaljhandelsförsäljare inom övrig försäljning	318	0.6%
348	Biträdesarb inom övrig försäljning	252	0.5%
411		3	0.0%
412	Företagare inom lantbruk/skogs-/trädgårdsarb	653	1.3%
415	Kval lantbruks/skogsbefälsarb ex agronom	23	0.0%
416	Arbetsledare inom lantbruk/skogs-/trädgårdsarb	68	0.1%
418	Manuellt arbete inom lantbruk	91	0.2%
421		1	0.0%
422	Företagare inom jordbruk/trädgårdsarb/djurskötsel	217	0.4%
425	Kval jord/skogsbruksarb jägmästare, agronom	4	0.0%
426	Arb ledare, tekniker inom jordbruk, skogsvaktare	44	0.1%
427		1	0.0%
428	Manuellt arb inom jordbruk/trädgårdsarb/djurskötsel	228	0.5%
429		1	0.0%

**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
432		1	0.0%
435		6	0.0%
436		2	0.0%
438		1	0.0%
441		1	0.0%
442	Företagsledare inom skogsarb	21	0.0%
445		5	0.0%
446	Arb ledare/tekniker inom skogsarb	36	0.1%
448	Manuellt skogsarb	149	0.3%
449		1	0.0%
452	Fiskare m egen verksamhet/båt	21	0.0%
456		3	0.0%
458	Fiskeriarbete (manuellt)	8	0.0%
468		3	0.0%
478		2	0.0%
488		1	0.0%
502	Företagare inom gruv-/stenbrytning - brunnsborrhare	5	0.0%
506	Förman inom gruv- och stenbrytning	4	0.0%
508	Manuellt arb inom gruv/stenbrytn , grubarbetare	42	0.1%
512		1	0.0%
599		1	0.0%
612	Motorfordonsförare m eget mindre företag	189	0.4%
616	Förman inom åkeri/spårvägen/taxirörelse	26	0.1%
618	Motorfordonsförare utan eget företag el förmansfunktion	785	1.6%
632	Företagare m mindre fartyg t ex skeppare m skuta	8	0.0%
635	Sjöbefäl m kval arb uppg sjökaptan, styrman, maskinchef	52	0.1%
636	Övrigt sjöbefäl el arb ledare/tekniker inom sjöbefälsarb	26	0.1%
637		1	0.0%
638	Däcksmanskap inom sjöfart	52	0.1%
640		1	0.0%
644	Postmästare, stins (högre befattn förs till Adm arb)	43	0.1%
645		1	0.0%
646	Förman/arb ledare inom transport/kommunikation	155	0.3%
647	Telefonist, konduktör, brevbärare, lokförare	615	1.3%
648	Manuellt arb inom transport/kommunikation	143	0.3%
652	Företagare m mindre föret inom transport/kommunikationer	10	0.0%
656	Förman/arb ledare inom övrig transport/kommunikation	49	0.1%
658	Manuellt arb inom övrig transport/kommunikation	133	0.3%
660		1	0.0%
674		1	0.0%
684		1	0.0%
699		1	0.0%
712	Företagare m mindre företag inom textil/sömnadsarb	56	0.1%
713		2	0.0%
716	Förman/arb ledare inom textil/sömnadsarb	28	0.1%

**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
717		4	0.0%
718	Manuellt arb inom textil/sömnadsarb	297	0.6%
722		6	0.0%
726		1	0.0%
728		13	0.0%
732	Företagare m mindre företag inom järnbruk/metall-/smide	15	0.0%
736	Förman inom järnbruk/metallverk/smides och gjuteriarbete	22	0.0%
738	Manuellt arb inom järnbruk/metall-/smide	297	0.6%
739		1	0.0%
740		1	0.0%
742	F tagare m mindre företag - verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	98	0.2%
746	Förman/arb ledare inom verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	148	0.3%
748	Manuellt arb inom verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	2127	4.3%
751		1	0.0%
752	Föret m mindre företag inom elektrobranschen	45	0.1%
756	Förman/arb ledare inom elektroarb	59	0.1%
758	Manuellt arb inom elektroarb	475	1.0%
759		1	0.0%
761		1	0.0%
762	Företagare m mindre företag inom träarb	77	0.2%
766		52	0.1%
767		1	0.0%
768	Manuellt arb inom träarb	573	1.2%
772	Föret m mindre företag inom målning/lackning	46	0.1%
776	Förman inom målning och lackeringsarbete	8	0.0%
778	Manuellt arb inom målning-/lackeringsarb	216	0.4%
782	Företagare m mindre företag inom mureri-/betongarb mm	47	0.1%
786	Förman/arb led inom bygg, mureri-/betongbranschen	24	0.0%
787		1	0.0%
788	Manuellt arb inom mureri-/betongarb mm	470	1.0%
802	Företagare m mindre företag inom livsmedelsarb	18	0.0%
806	Förman/arb ledare inom livsmedelsarb	38	0.1%
808	Manuellt arb inom livsmedelsarb	296	0.6%
812	Företagare m mindre företag inom kem/cellulosearb	2	0.0%
816		14	0.0%
817		4	0.0%
818	Manuellt arb inom kemisk och cellulosearb	150	0.3%
822	Företagare m mindre företag inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	26	0.1%
823		1	0.0%
826	Förman/arb ledare inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	53	0.1%
828	Manuellt arb inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	377	0.8%
832		3	0.0%
836		18	0.0%
838	Manuellt arb inom grov- och diversearb	259	0.5%
842	Företagare inom maskin-/motorskötsel exv egen grävmaskin	50	0.1%



**# occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
846	Förman/arb ledare inom maskin-/motorskötsel	49	0.1%
847		3	0.0%
848	Manuellt arb inom inom maskin-/motorskötsel	612	1.2%
852	Företagare m mindre företag inom finmek arbete	20	0.0%
856	Förman inom finmekaniskt arbete	16	0.0%
858	Manuellt arb inom inom finmekaniskt arb	48	0.1%
862	Företagare m mindre företag inom grafiskt arb	21	0.0%
866	Förman inom grafiskt arbete	36	0.1%
868	Manuellt arb inom inom grafiskt arb	187	0.4%
870		1	0.0%
872	Företagare m mindre föret inom packetering/emballage/lage	3	0.0%
873		1	0.0%
876	Arb ledare inom paketering/emballage/lager/förråd/stuveri	74	0.2%
878	Manuellt arb inom paketering/emballage/lager/förråd/stuveri	427	0.9%
888		128	0.3%
889		5	0.0%
912		1	0.0%
914		4	0.0%
915		4	0.0%
916	Förman/arb ledare inom bevaknings/skyddsarb	32	0.1%
917	Brandman	56	0.1%
918	Ordningsvakt/väktare i enskild tjänst	61	0.1%
919		1	0.0%
922	Företagare m mindre företag inom husligt/portierarb	56	0.1%
924		1	0.0%
926	Förman/arb ledare inom husligt/portierarb	159	0.3%
927	Portier, trafik-/flygvärdinna, barnsköterska	162	0.3%
928	Hem-/köksbiträde, kallskänka, kock, diskare	964	2.0%
932	Företagare m mindre företag inom fastighetssköt/städn	11	0.0%
935		1	0.0%
936	Förman/arb ledare inom fastighetsskötsel/städning	43	0.1%
938	Fastighetsskötare, städare/erska, städhjälp	1013	2.1%
942	Företagare m mindre företag inom övrigt servicearb	169	0.3%
944		5	0.0%
945	Sportledare som är gymnastikdir	9	0.0%
946	Förman/arb ledare inom övrigt servicearb, även sportledare	41	0.1%
947	Fotograf	122	0.2%
948	Övrigt manuellt servicearb	398	0.8%
951		1	0.0%
954		1	0.0%
955	Kvalificerat polisbefälsarb - poliskommissarie	31	0.1%
956	Övrigt polis-/tullbevakningsbefäl, förman fängvårdsanstalt	61	0.1%
957	Tulluppsyningsman, polis-/vaktkonstapel	170	0.3%
958	Vaktpersonal i allmän tjänst (ej polis), tulltjänstemän	45	0.1%
966	Föreståndare för hem systrar	43	0.1%

### # occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
967	Hemsyster, utbildad barnskötare vid dag-/barnhem	322	0.7%
968	Hemsyster, åldringsvårdare	931	1.9%
974		1	0.0%
976	Förman/arb ledare inom serveringsarb - hovmästare	29	0.1%
978	Serveringspersonal, även barbiträde	208	0.4%
984	Officerare m bef som överste eller högre befattning	4	0.0%
985	Officerare m lägre befattn än överste	160	0.3%
986	Underofficerare (kompaniofficerare)	20	0.0%
987	Underbefäl (plutonofficerare)	9	0.0%
988	Övrig militär personal	26	0.1%
991		7	0.0%
992		149	0.3%
993		4	0.0%
994	Mindre företagare, ospec inriktning, färre än 10 anställda	628	1.3%
995	Never had paid work	406	0.8%
996	Student	455	0.9%
997	Non-classifiable occupation	391	0.8%
998	Never had paid work	1540	3.1%
999	No response	1934	3.9%
Sysmiss		129115	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=132609 /-] [Invalid=45575 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Open question. Coded using Statistics Sweden's Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (SSYK). The coding scheme organise occupations hierarchically using a four-digit code. It is based on two main concepts: the concept of kind of work performed - defined as a set of tasks or duties designed to be executed by one person - and the concept of skill, defined as the skill level - the degree of complexity of constituent tasks - and skill specialisation - the field of knowledge required for competent performance of the constituent tasks. The first digit shows the major branch of occupation (e.g. 4=Clerks), the second digit main subcategory (e.g. 42=Customer services clerks), the third show occupational group (e.g. 421=Cashiers, tellers and related clerks) and the fourth concerns occupational subgroup (e.g. 4212=Tellers and other counter clerks). If the stated occupation is ambiguous, the response is compared with certain background variables (e.g. education, occupational group and labor union).</p> <p>The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "What is/was your occupation?"; 2006- (National): "What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)".</p> <p>Note that the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme and the Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (ssyk) both were used in 2005. Since 2006, only the latter has been used.</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
<b>Notes</b>	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No response - part of the question	0	
99	No response - entire question	0	
100		3	0.0%
110		364	0.3%
112		1	0.0%
122		1	0.0%
192		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
210		8	0.0%
212		1	0.0%
222		2	0.0%
300		1	0.0%
310		93	0.1%
327		1	0.0%
522		1	0.0%
800		1	0.0%
831		1	0.0%
944		6	0.0%
994		1427	1.1%
998		70	0.1%
1000		159	0.1%
1110		29	0.0%
1111		23	0.0%
1112		102	0.1%
1113		34	0.0%
1120		926	0.7%
1121		4	0.0%
1122		2	0.0%
1150		1	0.0%
1151		1	0.0%
1210		167	0.1%
1211		149	0.1%
1212		147	0.1%
1220		21	0.0%
1221		129	0.1%
1222		203	0.2%
1223		44	0.0%
1224		82	0.1%
1225		15	0.0%
1226		112	0.1%
1227		103	0.1%
1228		96	0.1%
1229		38	0.0%
1230		141	0.1%
1231		102	0.1%
1232		26	0.0%
1233		96	0.1%
1234		17	0.0%
1235		21	0.0%
1236		16	0.0%
1237		32	0.0%
1239		47	0.0%
1241		35	0.0%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1242		39	0.0%
1250		11	0.0%
1251		289	0.2%
1252		207	0.2%
1255		1	0.0%
1262		1	0.0%
1271		2	0.0%
1291		171	0.1%
1292		174	0.1%
1311		91	0.1%
1312		132	0.1%
1313		101	0.1%
1314		253	0.2%
1315		64	0.0%
1316		7	0.0%
1317		54	0.0%
1318		32	0.0%
1319		200	0.2%
1321		112	0.1%
1322		151	0.1%
1331		54	0.0%
1332		42	0.0%
1341		17	0.0%
1342		13	0.0%
1351		15	0.0%
1352		38	0.0%
1361		87	0.1%
1362		126	0.1%
1371		141	0.1%
1372		169	0.1%
1380		16	0.0%
1390		1	0.0%
1411		50	0.0%
1412		187	0.1%
1419		2	0.0%
1421		42	0.0%
1422		74	0.1%
1452		1	0.0%
1471		1	0.0%
1491		3	0.0%
1492		3	0.0%
1511		40	0.0%
1512		246	0.2%
1521		25	0.0%
1522		80	0.1%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1531		15	0.0%
1532		29	0.0%
1540		7	0.0%
1552		3	0.0%
1591		91	0.1%
1592		111	0.1%
1611		23	0.0%
1612		38	0.0%
1621		1	0.0%
1711		14	0.0%
1712		19	0.0%
1719		1	0.0%
1721		49	0.0%
1722		104	0.1%
1723		1	0.0%
1731		40	0.0%
1732		147	0.1%
1735		1	0.0%
1736		1	0.0%
1741		15	0.0%
1742		16	0.0%
1752		1	0.0%
1772		1	0.0%
1791		10	0.0%
1792		11	0.0%
1992		1	0.0%
2000		774	0.6%
2110		1	0.0%
2111		27	0.0%
2112		7	0.0%
2113		86	0.1%
2114		27	0.0%
2115		1	0.0%
2121		19	0.0%
2122		48	0.0%
2123		2	0.0%
2124		1	0.0%
2131		639	0.5%
2132		18	0.0%
2133		56	0.0%
2134		46	0.0%
2135		75	0.1%
2139		90	0.1%
2140		1375	1.0%
2141		209	0.2%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2142		317	0.2%
2143		221	0.2%
2144		222	0.2%
2145		125	0.1%
2146		49	0.0%
2147		33	0.0%
2148		20	0.0%
2149		113	0.1%
2150		1	0.0%
2152		1	0.0%
2154		1	0.0%
2161		189	0.1%
2162		33	0.0%
2163		47	0.0%
2164		36	0.0%
2171		32	0.0%
2172		165	0.1%
2173		48	0.0%
2179		55	0.0%
2180		1	0.0%
2181		64	0.0%
2182		40	0.0%
2183		148	0.1%
2191		3	0.0%
2210		1	0.0%
2211		853	0.6%
2212		38	0.0%
2213		29	0.0%
2214		10	0.0%
2219		11	0.0%
2221		1909	1.4%
2222		232	0.2%
2223		80	0.1%
2224		286	0.2%
2225		44	0.0%
2226		68	0.1%
2227		28	0.0%
2228		52	0.0%
2229		2	0.0%
2230		4	0.0%
2231		114	0.1%
2232		82	0.1%
2233		112	0.1%
2234		68	0.1%
2235		144	0.1%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2236		21	0.0%
2239		54	0.0%
2241		251	0.2%
2242		70	0.1%
2243		1	0.0%
2246		1	0.0%
2250		61	0.0%
2260		214	0.2%
2261		2	0.0%
2262		2	0.0%
2271		21	0.0%
2272		306	0.2%
2273		179	0.1%
2281		112	0.1%
2282		19	0.0%
2283		50	0.0%
2284		47	0.0%
2289		2	0.0%
2300		3084	2.3%
2310		282	0.2%
2311		112	0.1%
2312		191	0.1%
2313		8	0.0%
2314		109	0.1%
2319		116	0.1%
2320		426	0.3%
2321		192	0.1%
2322		78	0.1%
2323		175	0.1%
2324		1	0.0%
2330		791	0.6%
2331		4	0.0%
2339		1	0.0%
2340		112	0.1%
2341		886	0.7%
2342		237	0.2%
2343		1311	1.0%
2351		472	0.4%
2352		87	0.1%
2353		2	0.0%
2354		1	0.0%
2359		206	0.2%
2400		274	0.2%
2410		3	0.0%
2411		613	0.5%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2412		510	0.4%
2413		361	0.3%
2414		152	0.1%
2415		28	0.0%
2416		1	0.0%
2417		1	0.0%
2419		1014	0.8%
2421		490	0.4%
2422		1476	1.1%
2423		530	0.4%
2429		52	0.0%
2431		401	0.3%
2432		402	0.3%
2433		2	0.0%
2434		1	0.0%
2440		1	0.0%
2441		16	0.0%
2442		17	0.0%
2443		23	0.0%
2444		61	0.0%
2450		1	0.0%
2451		290	0.2%
2452		48	0.0%
2453		40	0.0%
2454		1	0.0%
2455		30	0.0%
2456		80	0.1%
2459		1	0.0%
2460		42	0.0%
2470		355	0.3%
2473		1	0.0%
2480		79	0.1%
2490		3	0.0%
2491		114	0.1%
2492		314	0.2%
2511		159	0.1%
2512		1405	1.1%
2513		22	0.0%
2514		40	0.0%
2515		151	0.1%
2516		53	0.0%
2519		94	0.1%
2522		1	0.0%
2523		1	0.0%
2539		1	0.0%



# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2542		1	0.0%
2550		2	0.0%
2552		1	0.0%
2600		1	0.0%
2610		1	0.0%
2611		90	0.1%
2612		60	0.0%
2613		23	0.0%
2614		115	0.1%
2615		44	0.0%
2619		195	0.1%
2621		61	0.0%
2622		224	0.2%
2623		32	0.0%
2641		87	0.1%
2642		383	0.3%
2643		131	0.1%
2651		173	0.1%
2652		203	0.2%
2653		14	0.0%
2654		78	0.1%
2655		55	0.0%
2660		3	0.0%
2661		618	0.5%
2662		200	0.2%
2663		86	0.1%
2669		19	0.0%
2671		75	0.1%
2672		49	0.0%
2673		1	0.0%
2675		1	0.0%
2721		1	0.0%
2939		1	0.0%
3000		563	0.4%
3100		2	0.0%
3110		6	0.0%
3111		77	0.1%
3112		993	0.7%
3113		537	0.4%
3114		495	0.4%
3115		252	0.2%
3116		21	0.0%
3117		102	0.1%
3118		48	0.0%
3119		417	0.3%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3121		687	0.5%
3122		193	0.1%
3125		1	0.0%
3131		54	0.0%
3132		33	0.0%
3133		25	0.0%
3134		39	0.0%
3141		18	0.0%
3142		38	0.0%
3143		17	0.0%
3144		10	0.0%
3145		23	0.0%
3148		1	0.0%
3151		65	0.0%
3152		145	0.1%
3153		66	0.0%
3154		26	0.0%
3155		24	0.0%
3170		1	0.0%
3171		1	0.0%
3172		1	0.0%
3200		1	0.0%
3211		49	0.0%
3212		292	0.2%
3213		76	0.1%
3214		49	0.0%
3215		96	0.1%
3220		1	0.0%
3221		81	0.1%
3222		54	0.0%
3223		12	0.0%
3224		9	0.0%
3225		37	0.0%
3226		133	0.1%
3227		14	0.0%
3228		48	0.0%
3229		19	0.0%
3230		21	0.0%
3231		19	0.0%
3232		29	0.0%
3233		13	0.0%
3234		17	0.0%
3235		22	0.0%
3236		1	0.0%
3239		669	0.5%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3240		122	0.1%
3250		91	0.1%
3252		1	0.0%
3310		663	0.5%
3311		20	0.0%
3312		708	0.5%
3313		711	0.5%
3314		97	0.1%
3315		1	0.0%
3317		1	0.0%
3319		4	0.0%
3320		55	0.0%
3321		205	0.2%
3322		875	0.7%
3323		372	0.3%
3324		32	0.0%
3329		1	0.0%
3331		117	0.1%
3332		50	0.0%
3333		207	0.2%
3334		98	0.1%
3335		191	0.1%
3339		81	0.1%
3341		95	0.1%
3342		66	0.0%
3343		96	0.1%
3349		2	0.0%
3351		55	0.0%
3352		54	0.0%
3353		246	0.2%
3354		90	0.1%
3355		89	0.1%
3359		265	0.2%
3360		370	0.3%
3411		309	0.2%
3412		138	0.1%
3413		144	0.1%
3414		23	0.0%
3415		507	0.4%
3416		194	0.1%
3417		38	0.0%
3418		355	0.3%
3419		102	0.1%
3421		30	0.0%
3422		274	0.2%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3423		325	0.2%
3424		51	0.0%
3429		36	0.0%
3431		596	0.4%
3432		92	0.1%
3433		299	0.2%
3434		1	0.0%
3439		46	0.0%
3440		1	0.0%
3441		54	0.0%
3442		15	0.0%
3443		101	0.1%
3449		137	0.1%
3450		176	0.1%
3451		44	0.0%
3452		60	0.0%
3461		98	0.1%
3462		141	0.1%
3471		120	0.1%
3472		12	0.0%
3473		29	0.0%
3474		1	0.0%
3475		50	0.0%
3476		11	0.0%
3480		30	0.0%
3499		1	0.0%
3511		145	0.1%
3512		289	0.2%
3513		75	0.1%
3514		107	0.1%
3515		28	0.0%
3521		31	0.0%
3522		72	0.1%
3531		1	0.0%
3542		1	0.0%
3551		1	0.0%
3660		1	0.0%
4000		354	0.3%
4111		673	0.5%
4112		713	0.5%
4113		6	0.0%
4114		96	0.1%
4115		69	0.1%
4116		49	0.0%
4117		437	0.3%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4118		1	0.0%
4119		2426	1.8%
4120		517	0.4%
4121		1	0.0%
4122		4	0.0%
4123		1	0.0%
4130		1	0.0%
4131		390	0.3%
4132		125	0.1%
4140		69	0.1%
4149		1	0.0%
4150		196	0.1%
4190		1012	0.8%
4192		1	0.0%
4199		1	0.0%
4211		245	0.2%
4212		121	0.1%
4213		15	0.0%
4215		21	0.0%
4220		9	0.0%
4221		141	0.1%
4222		478	0.4%
4223		268	0.2%
4224		183	0.1%
4225		188	0.1%
4226		19	0.0%
4231		1	0.0%
4233		2	0.0%
4241		1	0.0%
4242		1	0.0%
4280		1	0.0%
4290		1	0.0%
4292		2	0.0%
4312		1	0.0%
4320		1	0.0%
4321		44	0.0%
4322		564	0.4%
4323		210	0.2%
4330		1	0.0%
4332		4	0.0%
4339		1	0.0%
4371		1	0.0%
4410		118	0.1%
4411		1	0.0%
4415		2	0.0%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4419		1	0.0%
4420		384	0.3%
4423		1	0.0%
4430		49	0.0%
4431		1	0.0%
4990		2	0.0%
5111		91	0.1%
5112		84	0.1%
5113		80	0.1%
5117		1	0.0%
5119		1	0.0%
5120		787	0.6%
5121		61	0.0%
5122		373	0.3%
5123		165	0.1%
5125		1	0.0%
5130		1	0.0%
5131		1017	0.8%
5132		1299	1.0%
5133		1497	1.1%
5134		363	0.3%
5135		103	0.1%
5139		66	0.0%
5140		2	0.0%
5141		489	0.4%
5142		41	0.0%
5143		48	0.0%
5144		44	0.0%
5149		36	0.0%
5150		1	0.0%
5151		111	0.1%
5152		794	0.6%
5153		46	0.0%
5159		13	0.0%
5161		41	0.0%
5169		8	0.0%
5200		1	0.0%
5210		1	0.0%
5211		2	0.0%
5212		1	0.0%
5215		1	0.0%
5220		1870	1.4%
5221		932	0.7%
5222		1355	1.0%
5223		1079	0.8%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5224		38	0.0%
5225		66	0.0%
5226		46	0.0%
5227		174	0.1%
5230		394	0.3%
5232		1	0.0%
5241		24	0.0%
5242		52	0.0%
5300		6	0.0%
5311		1284	1.0%
5312		349	0.3%
5320		2427	1.8%
5321		642	0.5%
5322		54	0.0%
5323		229	0.2%
5324		46	0.0%
5325		36	0.0%
5326		30	0.0%
5330		1422	1.1%
5331		12	0.0%
5332		1	0.0%
5333		1	0.0%
5335		1	0.0%
5341		256	0.2%
5342		635	0.5%
5343		583	0.4%
5349		108	0.1%
5350		263	0.2%
5351		3	0.0%
5354		1	0.0%
5411		125	0.1%
5412		123	0.1%
5413		207	0.2%
5414		37	0.0%
5417		1	0.0%
5419		57	0.0%
5520		3	0.0%
5521		1	0.0%
5522		36	0.0%
5530		1	0.0%
6111		43	0.0%
6112		208	0.2%
6113		360	0.3%
6120		5	0.0%
6121		252	0.2%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6122		33	0.0%
6126		1	0.0%
6129		80	0.1%
6130		908	0.7%
6131		2	0.0%
6140		92	0.1%
6151		1	0.0%
6152		24	0.0%
6153		2	0.0%
6210		181	0.1%
6221		3	0.0%
6222		26	0.0%
6310		1	0.0%
6412		1	0.0%
6461		1	0.0%
7111		448	0.3%
7112		172	0.1%
7113		79	0.1%
7114		236	0.2%
7115		7	0.0%
7116		26	0.0%
7118		1	0.0%
7119		338	0.3%
7121		98	0.1%
7122		79	0.1%
7123		367	0.3%
7124		139	0.1%
7125		278	0.2%
7126		38	0.0%
7129		209	0.2%
7131		300	0.2%
7132		110	0.1%
7133		36	0.0%
7134		38	0.0%
7135		131	0.1%
7136		112	0.1%
7137		294	0.2%
7139		12	0.0%
7141		144	0.1%
7142		19	0.0%
7143		20	0.0%
7144		2	0.0%
7152		1	0.0%
7191		1	0.0%
7200		174	0.1%



# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7211		13	0.0%
7212		380	0.3%
7213		179	0.1%
7214		63	0.0%
7215		30	0.0%
7216		2	0.0%
7221		67	0.1%
7222		86	0.1%
7223		448	0.3%
7224		53	0.0%
7230		1	0.0%
7231		656	0.5%
7232		17	0.0%
7233		368	0.3%
7236		4	0.0%
7240		83	0.1%
7241		143	0.1%
7242		181	0.1%
7243		51	0.0%
7300		422	0.3%
7311		95	0.1%
7312		24	0.0%
7313		11	0.0%
7319		51	0.0%
7321		78	0.1%
7322		106	0.1%
7323		20	0.0%
7324		8	0.0%
7330		18	0.0%
7332		3	0.0%
7341		43	0.0%
7342		3	0.0%
7343		2	0.0%
7344		4	0.0%
7410		1	0.0%
7411		215	0.2%
7412		626	0.5%
7413		51	0.0%
7414		1	0.0%
7420		338	0.3%
7421		26	0.0%
7422		1	0.0%
7431		37	0.0%
7432		3	0.0%
7433		4	0.0%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7434		4	0.0%
7435		10	0.0%
7441		2	0.0%
7442		7	0.0%
7512		4	0.0%
7519		1	0.0%
7521		3	0.0%
7522		479	0.4%
7523		67	0.1%
7531		71	0.1%
7532		9	0.0%
7533		17	0.0%
7534		15	0.0%
7611		46	0.0%
7612		107	0.1%
7613		5	0.0%
7619		1	0.0%
8000		667	0.5%
8001		12	0.0%
8111		46	0.0%
8112		10	0.0%
8113		18	0.0%
8114		29	0.0%
8115		13	0.0%
8116		14	0.0%
8120		8	0.0%
8121		48	0.0%
8122		16	0.0%
8123		8	0.0%
8124		6	0.0%
8125		14	0.0%
8129		29	0.0%
8130		13	0.0%
8131		14	0.0%
8132		11	0.0%
8139		1	0.0%
8140		1	0.0%
8141		68	0.1%
8142		65	0.0%
8143		27	0.0%
8144		42	0.0%
8150		18	0.0%
8151		49	0.0%
8159		60	0.0%
8160		78	0.1%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8161		39	0.0%
8162		17	0.0%
8163		33	0.0%
8169		31	0.0%
8170		6	0.0%
8171		9	0.0%
8172		68	0.1%
8173		98	0.1%
8174		1	0.0%
8181		19	0.0%
8189		9	0.0%
8190		1	0.0%
8191		147	0.1%
8192		29	0.0%
8193		50	0.0%
8199		7	0.0%
8200		5	0.0%
8210		948	0.7%
8211		612	0.5%
8212		84	0.1%
8213		251	0.2%
8214		18	0.0%
8219		2	0.0%
8221		9	0.0%
8222		5	0.0%
8223		32	0.0%
8224		7	0.0%
8230		1	0.0%
8231		8	0.0%
8232		27	0.0%
8233		1	0.0%
8240		54	0.0%
8241		1	0.0%
8251		57	0.0%
8252		17	0.0%
8253		27	0.0%
8261		2	0.0%
8262		9	0.0%
8263		46	0.0%
8264		27	0.0%
8265		4	0.0%
8269		5	0.0%
8270		7	0.0%
8271		32	0.0%
8272		30	0.0%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8273		7	0.0%
8274		24	0.0%
8276		1	0.0%
8278		5	0.0%
8279		2	0.0%
8281		95	0.1%
8282		45	0.0%
8283		41	0.0%
8284		10	0.0%
8285		3	0.0%
8290		307	0.2%
8292		1	0.0%
8311		155	0.1%
8312		30	0.0%
8320		308	0.2%
8321		483	0.4%
8322		195	0.1%
8323		341	0.3%
8329		112	0.1%
8330		2	0.0%
8331		487	0.4%
8332		865	0.7%
8333		39	0.0%
8334		216	0.2%
8340		33	0.0%
8341		108	0.1%
8342		284	0.2%
8343		49	0.0%
8344		566	0.4%
8350		43	0.0%
8382		1	0.0%
8433		1	0.0%
8463		1	0.0%
8510		1	0.0%
8831		1	0.0%
9110		3	0.0%
9111		1110	0.8%
9112		2	0.0%
9114		1	0.0%
9115		2	0.0%
9119		26	0.0%
9120		32	0.0%
9121		15	0.0%
9122		626	0.5%
9123		5	0.0%

### # ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9130		321	0.2%
9132		1	0.0%
9140		2	0.0%
9141		58	0.0%
9142		93	0.1%
9143		6	0.0%
9150		48	0.0%
9190		14	0.0%
9201		1	0.0%
9210		60	0.0%
9211		1	0.0%
9230		1	0.0%
9310		31	0.0%
9320		138	0.1%
9322		1	0.0%
9330		62	0.0%
9331		23	0.0%
9332		79	0.1%
9411		84	0.1%
9412		626	0.5%
9413		133	0.1%
9414		1	0.0%
9421		2	0.0%
9520		13	0.0%
9529		1	0.0%
9610		59	0.0%
9612		2	0.0%
9621		80	0.1%
9622		153	0.1%
9629		35	0.0%
9888		1	0.0%
9991		1	0.0%
9993		9	0.0%
9994		20	0.0%
9995		41	0.0%
9996		251	0.2%
9997		5389	4.1%
9998		2477	1.9%
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	6030	4.5%
Sysmiss		45575	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # eurosec: Occupation (Esec)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/998/999]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=106201 /-] [Invalid=71983 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Open question based ssyk and occgr.

<b># eurosec: Occupation (Esec)</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Higher white-collar workers and leading position	18634	17.5%
2	Qualified white-collar workers	28643	27.0%
3	Other white-collar workers	12060	11.4%
4	Small-scale entrepreneurs excluding farmers	5662	5.3%
5	Farmers and others	1241	1.2%
6	Supervisors and technicians	6162	5.8%
7	Vocational training in trade/service/caring professions	15010	14.1%
8	Blue-collar worker with vocational training	7295	6.9%
9	Other blue-collar workers	11494	10.8%
998	No response	3579	
999	No SSYK code available/no response	12559	
Sysmiss		55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sector1986: Employment sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20431 /-] [Invalid=157753 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	State	2670	13.1%
2	Local/regional government	4972	24.3%
3	Private	9894	48.4%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	56	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	2839	13.9%
Sysmiss		157753	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sector1998: Employment sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=38673 /-] [Invalid=139511 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2008 (National); 1998-2008 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	State	3814	9.9%
2	Local government	7400	19.1%
3	Regional government	2310	6.0%
4	Private	19458	50.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	

<b># sector1998: Employment sector</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	688	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	5003	12.9%
Sysmiss		139511	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sector2009: Employment sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=119080 /-] [Invalid=59104 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	-		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?		
<b>Notes</b>	2009- (National); 2009 (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	10705	9.0%
2	Local government	22627	19.0%
3	Regional government	7668	6.4%
4	Private	54960	46.2%
5	Non-profit organisation/foundation	2455	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	6915	5.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2455	2.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	11295	9.5%
Sysmiss		59104	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># sector: Employment sector</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176571 /-] [Invalid=1613 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on sector1986, sector1998 and sector2009.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:  1 "State": no change.  2 "Local/regional government": 1986-1997 (National): "Local/regional government"; 1998- (National): "Local government"; 1998- (National): "Regional government".  3 "Private": 1986-2008 (National): "Private"; 2009- (National): "Non-profit organisation/foundation".</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on sector1986, sector1998 and sector2009.  The question wording has changed. 1992-2009 (Väst): "Do/did you work in the public or private sector?"; 2010- (Väst): "Which of the following categories do you belong to? - I am/was...".  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:  1 "State": 1992-2009 (West): "State"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the state sector".  2 "Local/regional government": 1992-1997 (West): "Local/regional government"; 1998-2009 (West): "Local government"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the in local government sector"; 1998-2009 (West): "Regional government"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the regional government sector".  3 "Private": 1992-2009 (West): "Private"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the private sector"; 2009 (West): "Non-profit organisation/foundation"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the non-profit sector"; 2010- (West): "Self-employed".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do/did you work in the public or private sector?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	17189	9.7%

<b># sector: Employment sector</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
2	Local/regional government	44977	25.5%
3	Private	86767	49.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	5302	3.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	3199	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	19137	10.8%
Sysmiss		1613	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># empfp1986: Do/did you work full time or part time</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=17854 /-] [Invalid=160330 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do/did you work full time or part time?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Full time	13789	77.2%
2	Part time	4065	22.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2577	
Sysmiss		157753	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># empfp1998: Do/did you work full time or part time</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30798 /-] [Invalid=147386 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do/did you work full time or part time?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2007 (National); 1998-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Full time	24361	79.1%
2	Part time, at least 15 hours/week	5680	18.4%
3	Part time, less than 15 hours/week	757	2.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	116	
99	No response - entire question battery	4500	
Sysmiss		142770	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># empfp2011: Do/did you work full time or part time?</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=29733 /-] [Invalid=148451 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Do/did you work full time or part time?		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National); 2011- (West)		



### # empfp2011: Do/did you work full time or part time?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time	19477	65.5%
2	Part time	3695	12.4%
3	Varies	1803	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	95	0.3%
98	Several responses given	82	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	4581	15.4%
96		79414	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # workhour: Average weekly working hours

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=63162 /-] [Invalid=115022 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What are/were your normal weekly working hours?
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1-19 hours	1281	2.0%
2	20-34 hours	4679	7.4%
3	35-40 hours	18713	29.6%
4	41-50 hours	8996	14.2%
5	51 hours or more	2194	3.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	27299	43.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	102	
99	No response - entire question battery	3317	
Sysmiss		111603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # unionm1986: Union membership

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23992 /-] [Invalid=154192 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a union member?
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, a union within the LO	7808	32.5%
2	Yes, a union within the TCO	4529	18.9%
3	Yes, a union within the SACO	1707	7.1%
4	No	7369	30.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	2579	10.7%
Sysmiss		154192	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # unionm1999: Union membership

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

<b># unionm1999: Union membership</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=152845 /-] [Invalid=25339 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a union member?		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2011 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	LO (Landsorganisationen)	27363	17.9%
2	TCO (Tjänstemännens Centralorganisation)	27659	18.1%
3	Saco (Sveriges akademikers centralorganisation)	14334	9.4%
4	Other labor/trade union	4602	3.0%
5	No	68159	44.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	2865	1.9%
98	Several responses given	148	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	7715	5.0%
Sysmiss		25339	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># unionm2012: Union membership</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=104427 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a union member?		
<b>Notes</b>	2012- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	50374	48.2%
2	No	48227	46.2%
97	No response - part of the question	883	0.8%
98	Several responses given	68	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4875	4.7%
Sysmiss		73757	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># unionm: Union membership</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178184 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	unionm is a constructed variable based on unionm1986, unionm1999 and unionm2012. The codes includes the following response alternatives: 1 "Yes": 1986-1998 (National): "Yes, a union within the LO", "Yes, a union within the TCO", "Yes, a union within the SACO"; 1999-2011 (National): "LO (Landsorganisationen)", "TCO (Tjänstemännens Centralorganisation)", "Saco (Sveriges akademikers centralorganisation)", "Other labor/trade union", 2012- (National): "Yes". 2 "No": response alternatives has not changed.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a union member?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	91029	51.1%
2	No	75302	42.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1343	0.8%
98	Several responses given	216	0.1%

# unionm: Union membership			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	10294	5.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# unionm_open: Union membership (open question)			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=104427 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a union member?		
<b>Notes</b>	2012-		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ej svar â€” del av frÃ¥gan	0	
81	Svenska PilotfÃ¶reningen	21	0.0%
82	Ledarna (tidigare TCO)	1533	1.5%
84	MedarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	8	0.0%
85	Svenska fÃ¶rfattarfÃ¶rbundet/ Sveriges fÃ¶rfattarfÃ¶rbund	18	0.0%
86	SÃ¶ljarnas	86	0.1%
87	BrandmÃ©nnens RiksfÃ¶rbund (tillagd 2010)	25	0.0%
88	Kyrkomusikernas riksfÃ¶rbund	8	0.0%
89	Svensk lokfÃ¶rÃ¶rening	3	0.0%
90	Tingvalla Bro fackfÃ¶rening (TBF) (tillagd 2014)	7	0.0%
91	AlarmfÃ¶rbundet	7	0.0%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¶lld	0	
100	LO utan uppgift om fÃ¶rbund (Ã¶ven FCO) (Obs! AnvÃ¶nds enbar	117	0.1%
103	Svenska ByggnadsarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	1040	1.0%
104	Svenska ElektrikerfÃ¶rbundet	232	0.2%
106	FastighetsanstÃ¶lidas fÃ¶rbund	270	0.3%
109	HandelsanstÃ¶lidas fÃ¶rbund	1580	1.5%
110	Hotell och Restaurang Facket	296	0.3%
111	Svenska KommunalarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	6475	6.2%
113	Svenska LivsmedelsarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	272	0.3%
115	Svenska MusikerfÃ¶rbundet	28	0.0%
116	Svenska MÃ¶larenfÃ¶rbundet	120	0.1%
117	Svenska PappersindustriarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	244	0.2%
118	GS â€” facket fÃ¶r skogs-, trÃ©- och grafisk bransch	512	0.5%
120	SEKO - Facket fÃ¶r Service och Kommunikation (statsanstÃ¶lld	1371	1.3%
121	Svenska TransportarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	663	0.6%
122	Industrifacket Metall	3030	2.9%
126		5	0.0%
198	Svenska HamnarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	13	0.0%
199	Sveriges Arbetares Centralorganisation (SAC), Ã¶ven syndikal	39	0.0%
200	TCO, utan uppgift om organisation (Obs! AnvÃ¶nds enbart om I	58	0.1%
202	FinansfÃ¶rbundet (bankmannafÃ¶rbundet)	484	0.5%
203	FarmacifÃ¶rbundet (apotekstjÃ¶nstemannafÃ¶rbundet)	30	0.0%
205	Svenska FolkhÃ¶gskolans lÃ¶rarfÃ¶rbund	17	0.0%
206	FÃ¶rsvarsfÃ¶rbundet (FÃ¶rsvarets civila tjÃ¶nstemannafÃ¶rbun	48	0.0%
207	FÃ¶rsÃ¶kringsstjÃ¶nstemannafÃ¶rbundet	177	0.2%

# unionm\_open: Union membership (open question)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	Vårdarebundet (Svenska hälso- och sjukvårdens tjänstemana	1785	1.7%
211	(Svenska) Journalistförbundet	240	0.2%
212	Vision (tidigare Sveriges kommunaltjänstemannaförbund)	2813	2.7%
213	Lärarförbundet (fackläraryrket)	3266	3.1%
214	Polisförbundet	299	0.3%
215	Skogs- och Lantbrukstjänstemannaförbundet	17	0.0%
216	Statstjänstemannaförbundet (Posttjänstemännens förening	1236	1.2%
217	(Svenska) Teaterförbundet	121	0.1%
218	Tull-Kust	24	0.0%
219	Sveriges Yrkesmusikerförbund	22	0.0%
222	Unionen	8503	8.1%
223	Tria	0	
300	Saco, utan uppgift om organisation (Obs! Används enbart om	599	0.6%
301		4	0.0%
302	Sveriges Arkitekter (Arkitektförbundet (AF))	191	0.2%
303	Civilekonomerna, TLI	279	0.3%
304	DIK-förbundet (Akademiker inom dokumentation, information o	355	0.3%
305	Naturvetarna	382	0.4%
306	Jusek (Jurist- och samhällsvetarförbundet)	718	0.7%
307	Legitimerade sjukgymnasters riksförbund	210	0.2%
308	Lärarnas Riksförbund	1323	1.3%
309	Sveriges Reservofficersförbund (reservofficerarnas centralo	4	0.0%
310	SACO:s Tjänstemannaförbund SRAT	206	0.2%
311	Sveriges Skolledarförbund	125	0.1%
312	Kyrkans Akademikerförbund	89	0.1%
314	Sveriges Farmaceutförbund	101	0.1%
316	SACO-förbundet Trafik och Järnväg	52	0.0%
317	Sveriges Läkarförbund	695	0.7%
318		119	0.1%
319	Sveriges Psykologförbund	210	0.2%
320	Akademikerförbundet SSR (Sveriges Socionomers Riksförbund)	1035	1.0%
321	Sveriges Tandläkareförbund	75	0.1%
322	Sveriges Universitetsläraryrket	232	0.2%
323	Sveriges Veterinärförbund	40	0.0%
324	Förbundet Sveriges Arbetsterapeuter	130	0.1%
325	Sjukvårdsförbundet	51	0.0%
326	Officersförbundet	268	0.3%
327	Sveriges Ingenjörer	2211	2.1%
328	Svensk flygteknikerförening	7	0.0%
329		519	0.5%
331		9	0.0%
400	Förretagare- eller arbetsgivarorganisationer (med eller utan	13	0.0%
402	Förretagarna (ny 2008)	24	0.0%
403	KFO (ny 2008)	2	0.0%
404	Svenskt näringsliv (ny 2008)	4	0.0%

### # unionm\_open: Union membership (open question)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
405	Biltrafikens Arbetsgivarförbundet (ny 2008)	2	0.0%
406	Förretagarförbundet (ny 2008)	2	0.0%
407	ALMEGA (ny 2008)	4	0.0%
408	Sveriges Hotell och Restaurangförtagare (ny 2008)	8	0.0%
409	Svensk Byggindustri (ny 2008)	1	0.0%
410	SLA-Skogs och lantarbetsgivarförbundet (ny2008)	3	0.0%
411	Frisörförretagarna (ny 2008)	16	0.0%
412	Huvudmannaförbundet (ny 2009)	0	
413	Trä- och maskinindustriförbundet - TMF (ny 2009)	5	0.0%
414	Bussarbetsgivarna - BuA (Ny 2010)	1	0.0%
415	Motorbranschens Arbetsgivarförbund - MAF (ny 2010)	0	
416	Småföretagarna	187	0.2%
417	Svensk Handel	11	0.0%
418	Plåtslagarnas riksförbund	0	
419	Mållarmästarna	5	0.0%
420	Medie- och Informationsarbetsgivarna (MIA) (ny 2010)	0	
421	SVEMEK (Svetsmekaniska arbetsgivarförbundet) (ny 2010)	0	
422	Svensk Bensinhandel	1	0.0%
424		25	0.0%
425		1	0.0%
499	Foreign employers organization	2	0.0%
500	Icke-fackliga organisationer för fria yrkesutövare och / e	26	0.0%
610	Lantarbetarnas Riksförbund. Även Jordbrukareungdomens för	132	0.1%
620	Organisationer inom jordbrukets ekonomiska förreningsrel	7	0.0%
776	Independent workers organization	80	0.1%
777	Other non-union organization	640	0.6%
778	Independent unemployment fund	202	0.2%
800	Danish/Norwegian union/organization	95	0.1%
994	Several responses given	52	0.0%
997	No response - part of the question	50147	48.0%
998	Response that can not be coded	451	0.4%
999	No response	4876	4.7%
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # edu1986: Educational attainment

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=* /97]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=176560 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	See also edu2 and edu3.
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
<b>Notes</b>	1986 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	405	24.9%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	10	0.6%
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	136	8.4%

<b># edu1986: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	157	9.7%
5	2-year upper secondary (SW: Fackskola)	46	2.8%
6	2-year upper secondary school	197	12.1%
7	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	54	3.3%
8	Girls school/junior secondary school (SW: Flickskola/realsko)	91	5.6%
9	3- or 4- year upper-secondary school	224	13.8%
10	University/university college	214	13.2%
99	No response	90	5.5%
Sysmiss		176560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu1988: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8265 /-] [Invalid=169919 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also edu2 and edu3.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	1988-1992 (National); 1992 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	1827	22.1%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	43	0.5%
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	728	8.8%
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	772	9.3%
5	2-year upper secondary school	1011	12.2%
6	2-year upper secondary (SW: Fackskola)	370	4.5%
7	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	796	9.6%
8	Girls school/junior secondary school (SW: Flickskola/realsko)	452	5.5%
9	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	285	3.4%
10	Upper-secondary degree (SW: Studentexamen)	361	4.4%
11	University/university college	1490	18.0%
99	No response	130	1.6%
Sysmiss		169919	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu1993: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5338 /-] [Invalid=172846 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also edu2 and edu3.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1995 (National); 1993-1995 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	1057	19.8%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	32	0.6%
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	476	8.9%
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	427	8.0%
5	2-year upper-secondary school (SW: Tvåårigt gymnasium/facksk)	635	11.9%

<b># edu1993: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
6	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	691	12.9%
7	Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (SW: Flicks	282	5.3%
8	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	171	3.2%
9	Upper-secondary degree (SW: Studentexamen)	206	3.9%
10	University/university college	1111	20.8%
99	No response	250	4.7%
Sysmiss		172846	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu1996: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=21390 /-] [Invalid=156794 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 5 "At least 3-year upper-secondary school": 1996 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1996-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school". The other alternatives have remained unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	1996-2002 (National); 1996-2002 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Primary school/comprehensive school (grades 1-9) (SW: Folksk	5975	27.9%
2	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	679	3.2%
3	2-year upper-secondary school (SW: Tvåårigt gymnasium/facksk	3270	15.3%
4	Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (SW: Flicks	984	4.6%
5	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	4037	18.9%
6	Studies at university/university college	1881	8.8%
7	Degree from university/university college	3869	18.1%
8	Third cycle degree	40	0.2%
99	No response	655	3.1%
Sysmiss		156794	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu2003: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=174509 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	National and West: See also edu2 and edu3. Note that the response alternative "Third cycle degree" was added in 2002.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	2003 (National); 2003 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	78	2.1%
2	Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	910	24.8%
3	Upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW: folkhögskola)	1105	30.1%
4	Post upper-secondary education, not university or university	466	12.7%
5	Studies at university/university college	360	9.8%

<b># edu2003: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
6	Degree from university/university college	654	17.8%
7	Third cycle degree	35	1.0%
99	No response	67	1.8%
Sysmiss		174509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu2004: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=101327 /-] [Invalid=76857 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 8 "Third cycle degree": 2004-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree". The other alternatives have remained unchanged.</p> <p>West: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 8 "Third cycle degree": 2004-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree". The other alternatives have remained unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	2004-2019		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	1219	1.2%
2	Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	16821	16.6%
3	Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW:	11930	11.8%
4	Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW: fo	18636	18.4%
5	Post upper-secondary education, not university or university	11919	11.8%
6	Studies at university/university college	10013	9.9%
7	Degree from university/university college	26361	26.0%
8	Third cycle degree	1793	1.8%
99	No response	2635	2.6%
Sysmiss		76857	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu2020: Educational attainment</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=34894 /-] [Invalid=143290 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your highest attained level of education?		
<b>Notes</b>	2020-		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Primary/lower secondary education or equivalent, less than 9	2446	7.0%
2	Primary/lower secondary education or equivalent, 9 years or	2514	7.2%
3	Upper secondary education or equivalent, less than 3 years	4014	11.5%
4	Upper secondary education or equivalent, 3 years or more	5741	16.5%
5	Post-upper secondary education (other than university), less	3420	9.8%
6	Post-upper secondary education (other than university), 3 ye	855	2.5%
7	University, less than 3 years	3175	9.1%



# edu2020: Educational attainment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	University, 3 years or more but less than 4 years	4357	12.5%
9	University, 4 years or more	6098	17.5%
10	Postgraduate education	740	2.1%
99	No response	1534	4.4%
Sysmiss		143290	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# edu3: Educational attainment (3 point scale)	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=171152 /-] [Invalid=7032 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term):  1 "Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)":  1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (National): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (National): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (National): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)".  2 "Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not university/university college)":  1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1986 (National): "3- or 4- year upper-secondary school (Tre- eller fyraårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (National): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (National): "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (National): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (National): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent".  3 "High (studies at/degree from university/university college)":  1986, 1988-1995 (National): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (National): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (National): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (National): "Studies at university/university college".</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term):  1 "Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)":  1992-1995 (West): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (West): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (West): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (West): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)".  2 "Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not university/university college)":  1992-1995 (West): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1992 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1992-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (West): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (West): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (West): "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (West): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (West): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent".  3 "High (studies at/degree from university/university college)":  1992-1995 (West): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (West): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (West): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (West): "Studies at university/university college".</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

<b># edu3: Educational attainment (3 point scale)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)	34677	20.3%
2	Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not univer	74284	43.4%
3	High (studies at/degree from university/university college)	62191	36.3%
99	No response	1782	
Sysmiss		5250	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># edu2: Educational attainment (2 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=171152 /-] [Invalid=7032 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term):  1 "Low/medium":  (Low): 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Comprehensive school (Enhetskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (National): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (National): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (National): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)".  (Medium): 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1986 (National): "3- or 4- year upper-secondary school (Tre- eller fyraårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (National): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (National) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (National): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (National): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent".  2 "High":  1986, 1988-1995 (National): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (National): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (National): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (National): "Studies at university/university college".</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term):  1 "Low/medium":  (Low): 1992-1995 (West): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Comprehensive school (Enhetskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (West): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (West): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (West): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)".  (Medium): 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1992 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1992-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (West): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (West): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (West) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (West): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (West): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent".  2 "High":  1992-1995 (West): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (West): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (West): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (West): "Studies at university/university college".</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your education? If you haven't completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		

### # edu2: Educational attainment (2 point scale)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low/medium	108961	63.7%
2	High	62191	36.3%
99	No response	0	
Sysmiss		7032	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pinc2009: Monthly personal income

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3389 /-] [Invalid=174795 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your your monthly net personal income?
<b>Notes</b>	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10 000 or less	587	17.3%
2	10 000-14 999	540	15.9%
3	15 000-19 999	398	11.7%
4	20 000-24 999	592	17.5%
5	25 000-29 999	455	13.4%
6	30 000-34 999	263	7.8%
7	35 000-39 999	123	3.6%
8	40 000-44 999	90	2.7%
9	45 000-49 999	52	1.5%
10	More than 50 000	99	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	187	5.5%
96		6544	
Sysmiss		168251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # pinc2011: Monthly personal income

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=55979 /-] [Invalid=122205 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is normally your your monthly net personal income?
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10 000 or less	5597	10.0%
2	10 000-14 999	7657	13.7%
3	15 000-19 999	6132	11.0%
4	20 000-24 999	5912	10.6%
5	25 000-29 999	6749	12.1%
6	30 000-34 999	6173	11.0%
7	35 000-39 999	4466	8.0%
8	40 000-44 999	3093	5.5%
9	45 000-49 999	2061	3.7%
10	50 000-54 999	1392	2.5%
11	55 000-59 999	896	1.6%

### # pinc2011: Monthly personal income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	More than 60 000	2025	3.6%
13		128	0.2%
14		109	0.2%
15		380	0.7%
98	Several responses given	108	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	3101	5.5%
96		53168	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hinc1986: Household income

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=171668 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	60 000 or less	459	7.0%
2	61 000-90 000	557	8.5%
3	91 000-120 000	861	13.2%
4	121 000-150 000	771	11.8%
5	151 000-180 000	683	10.5%
6	181 000-210 000	764	11.7%
7	211 000-240 000	623	9.6%
8	241 000-270 000	516	7.9%
9	More than 270 000	918	14.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	364	5.6%
Sysmiss		171668	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # hinc1990: Household income

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5044 /-] [Invalid=173140 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
<b>Notes</b>	1990-1992 (National); 1992 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	120 000 or less	811	16.1%
2	121 000-150 000	545	10.8%
3	151 000-180 000	445	8.8%
4	181 000-210 000	434	8.6%
5	211 000-240 000	393	7.8%
6	241 000-270 000	443	8.8%
7	271 000-300 000	415	8.2%
8	301 000-330 000	353	7.0%
9	More than 330 000	904	17.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	301	6.0%

<b># hinc1990: Household income</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		173140	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hinc1993: Household income</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=12432 /-] [Invalid=165752 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-1998 (National); 1993-1998 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	100 000 or less.	1120	9.0%
2	101 000-150 000	1391	11.2%
3	151 000-200 000	1605	12.9%
4	201 000-250 000	1521	12.2%
5	251 000-300 000	1371	11.0%
6	301 000-350 000	1343	10.8%
7	351 000-400 000	1079	8.7%
8	401 000-450 000	750	6.0%
9	451 000-500 000	485	3.9%
10	More than 500 000	874	7.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	893	7.2%
Sysmiss		165752	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hinc1999: Household income</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=31853 /-] [Invalid=146331 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).		
<b>Notes</b>	1999-2007 (National); 1999-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	100 000 or less.	2032	6.4%
2	101 000-200 000	4742	14.9%
3	201 000-300 000	5982	18.8%
4	301 000-400 000	5470	17.2%
5	401 000-500 000	4709	14.8%
6	501 000-600 000	2897	9.1%
7	601 000-700 000	1700	5.3%
8	More than 700 000	1998	6.3%
98	Several responses given	175	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2148	6.7%
Sysmiss		146331	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hinc2008: Gross household income</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		

<b># hinc2008: Gross household income</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=11490 /-] [Invalid=166694 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).		
<b>Notes</b>	2008-2010 (National); 2008-2010 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	100 000 or less.	544	4.7%
2	101 000 – 200 000	1200	10.4%
3	201 000 – 300 000	1734	15.1%
4	301 000 – 400 000	1762	15.3%
5	401 000 – 500 000	1418	12.3%
6	501 000 – 600 000	1432	12.5%
7	601 000 – 700 000	1007	8.8%
8	701 000 – 800 000	637	5.5%
9	More than 800 000	951	8.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	788	6.9%
96	Question not asked	1702	
Sysmiss		164992	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hinc2011: Gross household income</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=109042 /-] [Invalid=69142 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National); 2011- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	100 000 or less.	4180	3.8%
2	101 000 – 200 000	9439	8.7%
3	201 000 – 300 000	11706	10.7%
4	301 000 – 400 000	13614	12.5%
5	401 000 – 500 000	11433	10.5%
6	501 000 – 600 000	9620	8.8%
7	601 000 – 700 000	9133	8.4%
8	701 000 – 800 000	8588	7.9%
9	801 000 - 900 000	6738	6.2%
10	901 000 - 1 000 000	4841	4.4%
11	1 001 000 - 1 100 000	3264	3.0%
12	More than 1 100 000	7185	6.6%
98	Several responses given	201	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	9100	8.3%
96		105	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hinc3rel: Gross household income (3 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/96/98/99]		

# hinc3rel: Gross household income (3 point scale)

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=162390 /-] [Invalid=15794 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            Constructed variable based on hinc1986-hinc2011. The goal is to create three equally large categories (low, medium and high).            The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax."; 1996- (National): "What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included)."            Recoding:            1986-1987: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-9 = high.            1988-1989: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-7 = medium; code 8-9 = high (codes 1986-1989: 1 '60 000 or less' 2 '61 000-90 000' 3 '91 000-120 000' 4 '121 000-150 000' 5 '151 000-180 000' 6 '181 000-210 000' 7 '211 000-240 000' 8 '241 000-270 000' 9 'More than 270 000').            1990-1992: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-7 = medium; code 8-9 = high (codes 1990-1992: 1 '120 000 or less' 2 '121 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-180 000' 4 '181 000-210 000' 5 '211 000-240 000' 6 '241 000-270 000' 7 '271 000-300 000' 8 '301 000-330 000' 9 'More than 330 000').            1993-1995: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-10 = high.            1996-1998: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-10 = high (codes 1993-1998: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-200 000' 4 '201 000-250 000' 5 '251 000-300 000' 6 '301 000-350 000' 7 '351 000-400 000' 8 '401 000-450 000' 9 '451 000-500 000' 10 'More than 500 000').            1999-2001: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-4 = medium; code 5-8 = high.            2002-2006: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high.            2007: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high (codes 1999-2007: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-200 000' 3 '201 000-300 000' 4 '301 000-400 000' 5 '401 000-500 000' 6 '501 000-600 000' 7 '601 000-700 000' 8 'More than 700 000').            2008-2010: Kod 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-9 = high (codes 2008-2010: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 'More than 800 000').            2011-: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-12 = high (codes 2011-: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 '801 000 - 900 000' 10 '901 000 - 1 000 000' 11 '1 001 000 - 1 100 000' 12 'More than 1 100 000').</p> <p>West:            See notes above. The question has been identical in National-SOM and West-SOM.</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low	42704	26.3%
2	Medium	66461	40.9%
3	High	53225	32.8%
96	Question not asked	1807	
98	Several responses given	393	
99	No response - entire question battery	13594	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># hinc5rel: Gross household income (5 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/96/98/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=162390 /-] [Invalid=15794 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National:  Constructed variable based on hinc1986-hinc2011. The goal is to create five equally large categories (very low, low, medium, high and very high).  The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax."; 1996- (National): "What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included)."  Recoding:  1986-1988: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-9 = very high.  1989: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3-4 = low; code 5-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9 = very high (codes 1986-1989: 1 '60 000 or less' 2 '61 000-90 000' 3 '91 000-120 000' 4 '121 000-150 000' 5 '151 000-180 000' 6 '181 000-210 000' 7 '211 000-240 000' 8 '241 000-270 000' 9 'More than 270 000').  1990: Code 1 = very low; code 2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high; code 9 = very high .  1991-1992: Code 1 = very low; code 2-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9 = very high (codes 1990-1992: 1 '120 000 or less' 2 '121 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-180 000' 4 '181 000-210 000' 5 '211 000-240 000' 6 '241 000-270 000' 7 '271 000-300 000' 8 '301 000-330 000' 9 'More than 330 000').  1993-1995: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-10 = very high .  1996-1998: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3-4 = low; code 5-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9-10 = very high (codes 1993-1998: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-200 000' 4 '201 000-250 000' 5 '251 000-300 000' 6 '301 000-350 000' 7 '351 000-400 000' 8 '401 000-450 000' 9 '451 000-500 000' 10 'More than 500 000').  1999-2005: Code 1 = very low; code 2 = low; code 3-4 = medium; code 5-6 = high; code 7-8 = very high .  2006-2007: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8 = very high (codes 1999-2007: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-200 000' 3 '201 000-300 000' 4 '301 000-400 000' 5 '401 000-500 000' 6 '501 000-600 000' 7 '601 000-700 000' 8 'More than 700 000').  2008: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high; code 9 = very high .  2009-2010: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-9 = very high (codes 2008-2010: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 'More than 800 000').  2011-: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-12 = very high (codes 2011-: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 '801 000 - 900 000' 10 '901 000 - 1 000 000' 11 '1 001 000 - 1 100 000' 12 'More than 1 100 000').</p> <p>West:  See notes above. The question has been identical in National-SOM and West-SOM.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).	
<b>Notes</b>		1986- (National); 1992- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very low	22561	13.9%
2	Low	22856	14.1%
3	Medium	44972	27.7%
4	High	34792	21.4%
5	Very high	37209	22.9%
96	Question not asked	1807	
98	Several responses given	393	
99	No response - entire question battery	13594	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># oinc4rel: Monthly personal income (4 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=23767 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Low	4864	20.5%
2	Medium low	4785	20.1%



<b># oinc4rel: Monthly personal income (4 point scale)</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3	Medium high	8779	36.9%
4	High	3751	15.8%
96	Question not asked	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response	1588	6.7%
Sysmiss		154417	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># oinc5rel: Monthly personal income (5 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23767 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Low	4864	20.5%
2	Medium low	4785	20.1%
3	Medium	4974	20.9%
4	Medium high	3805	16.0%
5	High	3751	15.8%
96	Question not asked	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response	1588	6.7%
Sysmiss		154417	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cityrur1986: Present residential area</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/98/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=20102 /-] [Invalid=158082 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also cityrur (constructed variable).		
<b>Literal question</b>	How would you characterize the area where you live?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986-1997 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Rural area	3853	19.2%
2	Village	7213	35.9%
3	City/town	9036	45.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	329	
Sysmiss		157753	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cityrur1998: Present residential area</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/98/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=47378 /-] [Invalid=130806 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>See also cityrur (constructed variable).</p> <p>The response alternatives have changed:</p> <p>1998 (National): 'Rural area, far away from cities/larger towns', 'Rural area, fairly close to cities/larger towns', 'Village', 'City/larger town, outer areas', 'City/larger town, central area', 'Large city, outer areas/suburbs', 'Large city: central area';</p> <p>1999-2010 (National): 'Rural area', 'Village', 'City/larger town, outer areas', 'City/larger town, central area', 'Large city, outer areas/suburbs', 'Large city, central area';</p> <p>Recoding:</p>		

<b># cityrur1998: Present residential area</b>			
	1998: 'Rural area, far away from cities/larger towns' and 'Rural area, fairly close to cities/larger towns' = 6 'Rural area'. The other alternatives have remained unchanged.		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what type of area do you live?		
<b>Notes</b>	1998-2010 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Large city: central area	4733	10.0%
2	Large city: outer areas/suburb	8405	17.7%
3	City/town: central area	7694	16.2%
4	City/town: outer areas	8027	16.9%
5	Village	10873	22.9%
6	Rural area	7646	16.1%
98	Several responses given	167	
99	No response - entire question battery	1061	
Sysmiss		129578	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cityrur2011: Present residential area</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=109147 /-] [Invalid=69037 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	See also cityrur (constructed variable).		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what type of area do you live?		
<b>Notes</b>	2011- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Large city: central area	11682	10.7%
2	Large city: outer areas/suburb	19246	17.6%
3	City: central area	15638	14.3%
4	City: outer areas	16509	15.1%
5	Town	6770	6.2%
6	Village	20085	18.4%
7	Rural area	15330	14.0%
98	Several responses given	950	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	2937	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		69037	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cityrur: Present residential area</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=173639 /-] [Invalid=4545 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>Constructed variable based on cityrur1986 (1986-1997), cityrur1998 (1998-2010) and cityrur2011 (2011-), as well as register data. Respondents who live in Stockholm, Gothenburg or Malmö have been recoded to the "Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö" category.</p> <p>Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:</p> <p>1986-1997: no change.</p> <p>1998-2010: 1 'Large city: central area', 2 'Large city: outer areas/suburb', 3 'City/town: central area' and 4 'City/town: outer areas' = 3 'City/town'. The other alternatives are unchanged.</p> <p>2011-: 1 'Large city: central area', 2 'Large city: outer areas/suburb', 3 'City: central area', 4 'City: outer areas' och 5 'Town' = 3 'City/town'. The other alternatives are unchanged.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	In what type of area do you live?		

<b># cityrur: Present residential area</b>			
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Rural area	26829	15.5%
2	Village	38171	22.0%
3	City/town	80017	46.1%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	28622	16.5%
98	Several responses given	968	
99	No response - entire question battery	3577	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># natgeo: Region in Sweden Data from national population register</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177725 /-] [Invalid=459 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on lanskod2010 (county code).		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Stockholm	35868	20.2%
2	East Mid-Sweden	30274	17.0%
3	Småland province and islands	16127	9.1%
4	South Sweden	25532	14.4%
5	West Sweden	36549	20.6%
6	North Mid-Sweden	15879	8.9%
7	Mid-North Sweden	7385	4.2%
8	Upper-North Sweden	10111	5.7%
99	No response	459	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># subclh1986: Subjective family class: at present</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=52638 /-] [Invalid=125546 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also subclh (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer's home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Farmer's family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Farmer's home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'White-collar home'. 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1986-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2008 (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?" Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).</p> <p>West: See also subclh (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer's home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer's family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Farmer's home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'White-collar home'.</p>		

# subclh1986: Subjective family class: at present	
	4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2007 (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
<b>Notes</b>	1986-2008 (National); 1992-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	24059	45.7%
2	Farmer's home	1810	3.4%
3	White-collar home	14509	27.6%
4	Higher white-collar/academic home	7454	14.2%
5	Entrepreneurial home	4806	9.1%
96	Question not asked	1598	
97	No response - part of the question	1685	
98	Several responses given	658	
99	No response - entire question battery	2525	
Sysmiss		119080	






*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# subclh2008: Subjective family class: at present	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=111016 /-] [Invalid=67168 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: See also subclh (constructed variable). Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	46307	41.7%
2	Farmer's home	3412	3.1%
3	White-collar home	40777	36.7%
4	Higher white-collar home	11253	10.1%
5	Entrepreneurial home	9077	8.2%
95		190	0.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
97	No response - part of the question	2462	
98	Several responses given	1855	
99	No response - entire question battery	5345	
Sysmiss		55845	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# subclg1990: Subjective family class: during childhood	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=47424 /-] [Invalid=130760 /-]

# subclg1990: Subjective family class: during childhood			
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also subclg (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer's home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Farmer's family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Farmer's home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1990-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'White-collar home'. 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1990-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1990-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2008 (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?" Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).</p> <p>West: See also subclg (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer's home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer's family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Farmer's home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'White-collar home'. 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2007 (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood		
<b>Notes</b>	1990-2008 (National); 1992-2007 (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Blue-collar home	25628	 54.0%
2	Farmer's home	6471	 13.6%
3	White-collar home	7631	 16.1%
4	Higher white-collar/academic home	3325	 7.0%
5	Entrepreneurial home	4369	 9.2%
96	Question not asked	1598	
97	No response - part of the question	960	
98	Several responses given	605	
99	No response - entire question battery	2001	
Sysmiss		125596	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# subclg2008: Subjective family class: during childhood			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=111174 /-] [Invalid=67010 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National: See also subclg (constructed variable). Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood		
<b>Notes</b>	2008- (National); 2008- (West)		

# subclg2008: Subjective family class: during childhood			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	60378	54.3%
2	Farmer's home	11655	10.5%
3	White-collar home	23366	21.0%
4	Higher white-collar home	6849	6.2%
5	Entrepreneurial home	8736	7.9%
95		190	0.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
97	No response - part of the question	2557	
98	Several responses given	1602	
99	No response - entire question battery	5345	
	Systemmiss	55845	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# subclh: Subjective family class: at present			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=163654 /-] [Invalid=14530 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on subclh1986 and subclh2008.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:  1 'Blue-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002- (National): 'Blue-collar home'.  2 'Farmer's home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Farmer's family'; 2002- (National): 'Farmer's home'.  3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (National): 'White-collar home'; 1986-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (National), 2008 (National-2): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008 (National-1); 2009- (National): 'Higher white-collar home'.  4 "Företagarhem": 1986-2000 (National): "Egen företagare"; 2001 (National): "Företagarfamilj"; 2002- (National): "Företagarhem".  4 'Entrepreneurial home': 1986-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'.  The question wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"</p> <p>West:  Constructed variable based on subclh1986 and subclh2008.  Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:  1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-(West): 'Blue-collar home'.  2 'Farmer's home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer's family'; 2002- (West): 'Farmer's home'.  3 "Tjänstemannahem/Högre tjänstemannahem": 1992-2001 (West): "Tjänstemannafamilj"; 2002- (West): "Tjänstemannahem"; 1992-2001 (West): "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerfamilj"; 2002-2007 (West) "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerhem"; 2008- (West): "Högre tjänstemannahem". (Notera att denna kod är en sammanslagning av två kategorier i subclh1986 och subclh2008).  3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (West): 'White-collar home'; 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008- (West): 'Higher white-collar home'.  4 'Entrepreneurial home': 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'.  The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	70366	43.0%

<b># subclh: Subjective family class: at present</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Farmer's home	5222	3.2%
3	White-collar home/Higher white-collar home	73993	45.2%
4	Entrepreneurial home	13883	8.5%
95		190	0.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	No response - part of the question	4147	
98	Several responses given	2513	
99	No response - entire question battery	7870	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># subclg: Subjective family class: during childhood</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=158598 /-] [Invalid=19586 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: Constructed variable based on subclg1986 and subclg2008. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-(West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer's home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer's family'; 2002- (West): 'Farmer's home'. 3 "Tjänstemannahem/Högre tjänstemannahem": 1992-2001 (West): "Tjänstemannafamilj"; 2002- (West): "Tjänstemannahem"; 1992-2001 (West): "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerfamilj"; 2002-2007 (West) "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerhem"; 2008- (West): "Högre tjänstemannahem". (Notera att denna kod är en sammanslagning av två kategorier i subclh1986 och subclh2008). 3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (West): 'White-collar home'; 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008- (West): 'Higher white-collar home' 4 'Entrepreneurial home": 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"
<b>Literal question</b>	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
<b>Notes</b>	1990- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	86006	54.2%
2	Farmer's home	18126	11.4%
3	White-collar home/Higher white-collar home	41171	26.0%
4	Entrepreneurial home	13105	8.3%
95		190	0.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	No response - part of the question	3517	
98	Several responses given	2207	
99	No response - entire question battery	7346	
Sysmiss		6516	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># growupp: Area/country of upbringing: Yourself</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=156371 /-] [Invalid=21813 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	National: A single question 1993-1995. Since 1996 asked in a question battery with growupf and growupm.

### # growupp: Area/country of upbringing: Yourself

The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Where have you primarily grown up?"; 1996- (National): "Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up?"  
Note that the response alternatives 6 'Other European country' and 7 'Non-European country' were added in 1996. 1993-1995 the following alternative was given "Non-Nordic country" (code 8).

West:

The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (West): "Where have you primarily grown up?"; 1996- (National): "Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up?"  
Note that the response alternatives 6 'Other European country' and 7 'Non-European country' were added in 1996. 1993-1995 the following alternative was given "Non-Nordic country" (code 8).

**Literal question** Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Yourself

**Notes** 1993- (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	36810	23.5%
2	Village in Sweden	43586	27.9%
3	City/town in Sweden	37331	23.9%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	25531	16.3%
5	Other Nordic country	3643	2.3%
6	Other European country	4893	3.1%
7	Non-European country	4430	2.8%
8	Non-Nordic country	147	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2257	
98	Several responses given	3163	
99	No response - entire question battery	4833	
Sysmiss		11560	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # growupf: Area/country of upbringing: Your father

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=\*/97/98/99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=151389 /-] [Invalid=26795 /-]

**Literal question** Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your father

**Notes** 1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	52667	34.8%
2	Village in Sweden	34154	22.6%
3	City/town in Sweden	25852	17.1%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	17528	11.6%
5	Other Nordic country	6540	4.3%
6	Other European country	8021	5.3%
7	Non-European country	6627	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3028	
98	Several responses given	2152	
99	No response - entire question battery	4717	
Sysmiss		16898	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # growupm: Area/country of upbringing: Your mother

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=\*/97/98/99]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=151870 /-] [Invalid=26314 /-]



<b># growupm: Area/country of upbringing: Your mother</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your mother		
<b>Notes</b>	1996- (National); 1996- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Ren landsbygd i Sverige	51995	34.2%
2	Mindre tätort i Sverige	35084	23.1%
3	Stad/större tätort i Sverige	26303	17.3%
4	Sthlm/Gbg/Malmö	17009	11.2%
5	Annat land i Norden	7571	5.0%
6	Annat land i Europa	7581	5.0%
7	Land utanför Europa	6327	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2650	
98	Several responses given	2049	
99	No response - entire question battery	4717	
Sysmiss		16898	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># citizen1993: Swedish citizen</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=26726 /-] [Invalid=151458 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a citizen of Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993-2002 (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	25206	94.3%
2	No	1026	3.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	494	1.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
98	Several responses given	2	
Sysmiss		151456	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># citizen2003: Citizenship</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=139885 /-] [Invalid=38299 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you...:		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Swedish citizen	126572	90.5%
2	Citizen of other country	4781	3.4%
3	Citizen of both Sweden and other country	5333	3.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	3199	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
98	Several responses given	11	
Sysmiss		38288	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># citizen: Swedish citizen</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=166611 /-] [Invalid=11573 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Note that between 1993 and 2002, the "Citizen of both Sweden and other country" alternative was not available.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a citizen of Sweden?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes/Swedish citizen	151778	91.1%
2	No/Citizen of other country	5807	3.5%
3	Citizen of both Sweden and other country	5333	3.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	3693	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
98	Several responses given	13	
Systemmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hhtypela: Type of household: Living by myself</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176491 /-] [Invalid=1693 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:</p> <p>Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypela as living by her/himself: 1986: if the respondent states living in a household with one person; 1988-1999: the same as in 1986, but adjusted for whether children are living in the household or not; 2000-2002: if the respondent states that the household is neither shared with adults nor children; 2003-: if the respondent states that they live by themselves.</p> <p>Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003.</p> <p>The following questions are used:</p> <p>1986, 1988-1992 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?"</p> <p>1993 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages in your household?";</p> <p>1994-1995 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages?";</p> <p>1996 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of these persons are children in the following ages?";</p> <p>1997 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children living in your household"</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?";</p> <p>2000-2001 (National): "Do you share your household with one or more adults (people 20 years or older)?"/"How many children in the ages 0-19 live in your current household?";</p> <p>2002 (National): "Do you share your household with:";</p> <p>2003- (National): "What does your household look like?"</p> <p>Response alternatives:</p> <p>1986, 1988-1992 (National): Open question/Open question</p> <p>1993-1994 (National): Open question/'1-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household';</p> <p>1995 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household';</p> <p>1996 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-15 years', '16-20 years';</p> <p>1997 (National): Open question/'Yes', 'No';</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question);</p> <p>2000-2001 (National): 'Yes', 'No'/'Number of children who live in your current household:', 'No children in the ages 0-19 live in the household';</p> <p>2002 (National): 'Adult/adults (persons who are 20 years or older)', 'Children';</p> <p>2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'.</p> <p>West:</p> <p>Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.</p>		

<b># hhtypela: Type of household: Living by myself</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	What does your household look like?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988- (National); 1992-1998, 2000- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes/ticked	33540	19.0%
2	I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No	105241	59.6%
97	No response - part of the question	32450	18.4%
98	Several responses given	43	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	5217	3.0%
Sysmiss		1693	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hhtypeg: Type of household: Household shared with an adult</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=148584 /-] [Invalid=29600 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypeg as sharing the household with an adult: 1986: if the respondent states that the number of persons living in the household is two more than the number of children in the household (the respondent plus another adult); 1998-1999, 2003-: if the respondent states that two adults live in the household; 1988-1997, 2000-2002: not possible to ascertain the number of adults or number of children living in the household.  Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003.  The following questions are used:  1986 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?"  1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"  2003- (National): "What does your household look like?"</p> <p>Response alternatives:  1986 (National): Open question/Open question  1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question);  2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'.</p> <p>West:  Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.</p>		
<b>Literal question</b>	What does your household look like?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1998-1999, 2003- (National); 2003- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes/ticked	96383	64.9%
2	No	3258	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	32125	21.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	16813	11.3%
Sysmiss		29600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># hhtypegg: Type of household: Household shared with several adults</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=148584 /-] [Invalid=29600 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:  Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypegg as sharing the household with several adults: 1986: if the respondent states that the number of persons living in the household are three (or above) more than the number of children living in the household</p>		

# hhtypegg: Type of household: Household shared with several adults			
		<p>(the respondent plus two or more adults); 1998-1999, 2003-: if the respondent states that the household is shared with several adults. 1988-1997, 2000-2002: not possible to ascertain the number of adults or number of children living in the household. Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used:</p> <p>1986 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?"</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"</p> <p>2003- (National): "What does your household look like?"</p> <p>Response alternatives:</p> <p>1986 (National): Open question/Open question</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question);</p> <p>2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'.</p> <p>West:</p> <p>Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.</p>	
<b>Literal question</b>		What does your household look like?	
<b>Notes</b>		1986, 1998-1999, 2003- (National); 2003- (West)	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes/ticked	14953	10.1%
2	No	23838	16.0%
97	No response - part of the question	92975	62.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	16813	11.3%
Sysmiss		29600	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# hhtypec: Type of household: Household shared with one or several children			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=176513 /-] [Invalid=1671 /-]	
<b>Definition</b>		<p>National:</p> <p>Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypec as sharing the household with one or several children: 1986, 1988-: if the respondent states that one or more children are living in the household (16-20 year olds are no included). Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used:</p> <p>1986, 1988-1992 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?"</p> <p>1993 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages in your household?";</p> <p>1994-1995 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages?";</p> <p>1996 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of these persons are children in the following ages?";</p> <p>1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household?"</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"</p> <p>2000-2001 (National): "Do you share your household with one or more adults (people 20 years or older)?"/"How many children in the ages 0-19 live in your current household?";</p> <p>2002 (National): "Do you share your household with:";</p> <p>2003- (National): "What does your household look like?"</p> <p>Response alternatives:</p> <p>1986, 1988-1992 (National): Open question/Open question</p> <p>1993-1994 (National): Open question/'1-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household';</p> <p>1995 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household';</p> <p>1996 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-15 years', '16-20 years';</p> <p>1997 (National): 'Yes', 'No';</p> <p>1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question);</p>	

<b># hhtypec: Type of household: Household shared with one or several children</b>			
	2000-2001 (National): 'Yes', 'No'/'Number of children who live in your current household:', 'No children in the ages 0-19 live in the household'; 2002 (National): 'Adult/adults (persons who are 20 years or older)', 'Children'; 2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'.  West: Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What does your household look like?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986, 1988- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes/ticked	58251	33.0%
2	No	15155	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	85384	48.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	17718	10.0%
Sysmiss		1671	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># childha03: Number and age of children in household: 0-3 years</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=166624 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?" Note that between 1993 and 1995. the age category was 1-3 years (rather than 0-3 years).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Do not share household with	135273	81.2%
1	Share household with	13096	7.9%
99	No response	18255	11.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># childha46: Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=166624 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?"		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		

<b># childha46: Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Do not share household with	136580	82.0%
1	Share household with	11789	7.1%
99	No response	18255	11.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># childha715: Number and age of children in household: 7-15 years</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=166624 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?" Note that between 1993 and 1995. the age category was 7-16 years (rather than 7-15 years).		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?		
<b>Notes</b>	1993- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Do not share household with	118555	71.2%
1	Share household with	29814	17.9%
99	No response	18255	11.0%
Sysmiss		11560	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># childhao16: Number and age of children in household: 16 years or older</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=139896 /-] [Invalid=38288 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Early variants of this question (prior to 2003) has varied too much to be comparable.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?		
<b>Notes</b>	2003- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	Do not share household with	104113	74.4%
1	Share household with	18948	13.5%
99	No response	16835	12.0%
Sysmiss		38288	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mstatus: Marital status</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=176513 /-] [Invalid=1671 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The question wording has varied over time;  1986-1992: Are you single or cohabitated/married? 1993-2004: Are you single, cohabitated or married? 2005-2018: What is your marital status? 2019-: Are you?		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you?		

<b># mstatus: Marital status</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Single	44473	25.2%
2	In a relationship	3023	1.7%
3	Cohabitation	32171	18.2%
4	Married/partnership	86137	48.8%
5	Widow/widower	5663	3.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	223	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4823	2.7%
Sysmiss		1671	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mstatus2: Marital status 2-cat</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9889 /-] [Invalid=168295 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you single or cohabitated/married?		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Single	2960	29.9%
2	Cohabitation/Married	6642	67.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	287	2.9%
Sysmiss		168295	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mstatus3: Marital status 3-cat</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=30403 /-] [Invalid=147781 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you single, cohabitated or married?		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Single	9247	30.4%
2	Cohabitation	5598	18.4%
3	Married	14753	48.5%
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	791	2.6%
Sysmiss		147781	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># mstatus4: Marital status 4-cat</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=91259 /-] [Invalid=86925 /-]		
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your marital status?		

### # mstatus4: Marital status 4-cat

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Single	22408	24.6%
2	Cohabitation	18292	20.0%
3	Married/partnership	44262	48.5%
4	Widow/widower	3914	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	146	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2237	2.5%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86925	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # mstatus5: Marital status 5-cat

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=44962 /-] [Invalid=133222 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Single	9858	21.9%
2	In a relationship	3023	6.7%
3	Cohabitation	8281	18.4%
4	Married/partnership	20480	45.5%
5	Widow/widower	1749	3.9%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1508	3.4%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		133222	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # sex: Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178062 /-] [Invalid=122 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	<p>National:            In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses. In 1986 and 1987 only register data was used (there was no question in the questionnaire).            The question wording has changed. 1988-2002 (National): "Are you a man or a woman?". 2003- (National): "Are you a woman or a man?"</p> <p>West:            Frågan är kompletterad med registerdata där svar saknas.            The question wording has changed. 1988-2002 (West): "Are you a man or a woman?". 2003- (West): "Are you a woman or a man?"</p>
<b>Literal question</b>	Are you a woman or a man?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Woman	92463	51.9%
2	Man	85315	47.9%
3		284	0.2%
99	No response	122	



<b># sex: Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)</b>			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># yearofbirth: Year of birth (response, supplemented with data from the population register)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1911-1997] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178060 /-] [Invalid=124 /-] [Mean=1960.617 /-] [StdDev=19.366 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Subjective age. In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response	124	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># yearofbirthreg: Year of birth (data from the population register)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1911-1997] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=122010 /-] [Invalid=56174 /-] [Mean=1957.565 /-] [StdDev=18.872 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Population register data.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response	389	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># age: Age (based on yearofbirth, supplemented with data from the population register)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-85] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=178061 /-] [Invalid=123 /-] [Mean=50.344 /-] [StdDev=38.109 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	The respondent state year of birth (yearofbirth) which is the recoded to age. In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response	123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># agereg: Age (data from the population register)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-85] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=122010 /-] [Invalid=56174 /-] [Mean=49.097 /-] [StdDev=18.241 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Population register data.		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
99	No response	416	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># age1675: Age (only those between 16 and 75)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-75] [Missing=*/95/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=162319 /-] [Invalid=15865 /-] [Mean=47.822 /-] [StdDev=38.745 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth. Excludes respondents who are younger than 16 and older than 75 (which corresponds to the lowest common denominator in terms of age sample frame: 1986-1991: 15-75 years; 1992-1997: 15-80 years; 1998: 16-80 years; 1999: 15-80 years; 2000-2008: 15-85 years; 2009-: 16-85 years).		

# age1675: Age (only those between 16 and 75)

Literal question	What year were you born?		
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		2140	1.3%
17		2210	1.4%
18		2268	1.4%
19		2025	1.2%
20		2007	1.2%
21		1866	1.1%
22		1927	1.2%
23		1984	1.2%
24		1993	1.2%
25		2032	1.3%
26		2155	1.3%
27		2262	1.4%
28		2313	1.4%
29		2370	1.5%
30		2334	1.4%
31		2405	1.5%
32		2494	1.5%
33		2477	1.5%
34		2624	1.6%
35		2577	1.6%
36		2536	1.6%
37		2601	1.6%
38		2574	1.6%
39		2613	1.6%
40		2684	1.7%
41		2632	1.6%
42		2681	1.7%
43		2839	1.7%
44		2867	1.8%
45		2868	1.8%
46		2896	1.8%
47		2883	1.8%
48		3040	1.9%
49		3099	1.9%
50		2978	1.8%
51		3105	1.9%
52		2983	1.8%
53		3066	1.9%
54		3038	1.9%
55		3123	1.9%
56		3105	1.9%
57		3119	1.9%
58		3097	1.9%

# age1675: Age (only those between 16 and 75)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59		3062	1.9%
60		3084	1.9%
61		3052	1.9%
62		3124	1.9%
63		3139	1.9%
64		3210	2.0%
65		3202	2.0%
66		3221	2.0%
67		3059	1.9%
68		3106	1.9%
69		3060	1.9%
70		2998	1.8%
71		2991	1.8%
72		2922	1.8%
73		2744	1.7%
74		2722	1.7%
75		2547	1.6%
87		64	0.0%
88		43	0.0%
89		39	0.0%
90		38	0.0%
9999		2	0.0%
95	Younger/older than 16-75	15742	
99	No response/not available	118	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-80] [Missing=*/95/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=171930 /-] [Invalid=6254 /-] [Mean=49.499 /-] [StdDev=38.273 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth. Excludes respondents who are younger than 16 and older than 80.
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		2140	1.2%
17		2210	1.3%
18		2268	1.3%
19		2025	1.2%
20		2007	1.2%
21		1866	1.1%
22		1927	1.1%
23		1984	1.2%
24		1993	1.2%
25		2032	1.2%

# age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26		2155	1.3%
27		2262	1.3%
28		2313	1.3%
29		2370	1.4%
30		2334	1.4%
31		2405	1.4%
32		2494	1.5%
33		2477	1.4%
34		2624	1.5%
35		2577	1.5%
36		2536	1.5%
37		2601	1.5%
38		2574	1.5%
39		2613	1.5%
40		2684	1.6%
41		2632	1.5%
42		2681	1.6%
43		2839	1.7%
44		2867	1.7%
45		2868	1.7%
46		2896	1.7%
47		2883	1.7%
48		3040	1.8%
49		3099	1.8%
50		2978	1.7%
51		3105	1.8%
52		2983	1.7%
53		3066	1.8%
54		3038	1.8%
55		3123	1.8%
56		3105	1.8%
57		3119	1.8%
58		3097	1.8%
59		3062	1.8%
60		3084	1.8%
61		3052	1.8%
62		3124	1.8%
63		3139	1.8%
64		3210	1.9%
65		3202	1.9%
66		3221	1.9%
67		3059	1.8%
68		3106	1.8%
69		3060	1.8%
70		2998	1.7%

**# age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		2991	1.7%
72		2922	1.7%
73		2744	1.6%
74		2722	1.6%
75		2547	1.5%
76		2268	1.3%
77		2101	1.2%
78		1996	1.2%
79		1713	1.0%
80		1533	0.9%
87		64	0.0%
88		43	0.0%
89		39	0.0%
90		38	0.0%
9999		2	0.0%
95	Younger/older than 16-80	6131	
99	No response/not available	118	
Sysmiss		5	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# age4a: Age category: (4 point scale)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177267 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-29 years old	29552	16.7%
2	30-49 years old	53724	30.3%
3	50-64 years old	46285	26.1%
4	65--	47706	26.9%
99	No response	917	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# age4b: Age category: (4 point scale)**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177267 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-29 years old	29552	16.7%
2	30-49 years old	53724	30.3%
3	50-75 years old	78857	44.5%
4	76--	15134	8.5%

<b># age4b: Age category: (4 point scale)</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response	917	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># age8a: Age category: (8 point scale, ten year intervals)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177267 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8643	4.9%
2	20-29 years old	20909	11.8%
3	30-39 years old	25235	14.2%
4	40-49 years old	28489	16.1%
5	50-59 years old	30676	17.3%
6	60-69 years old	31257	17.6%
7	70--	25002	14.1%
8	80--	7056	4.0%
99	No response	917	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># age8b: Age category: (8 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177267 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8643	4.9%
2	20-24 years old	9777	5.5%
3	25-29 years old	11132	6.3%
4	30-39 years old	25235	14.2%
5	40-49 years old	28489	16.1%
6	50-59 years old	30676	17.3%
7	60-75 years old	48181	27.2%
8	76---	15134	8.5%
99	No response	917	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># age9: Age category: (9 point scale)</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/99]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177267 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]		
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		

### # age9: Age category: (9 point scale)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8643	4.9%
2	20-24 years old	9777	5.5%
3	25-29 years old	11132	6.3%
4	30-39 years old	25235	14.2%
5	40-49 years old	28489	16.1%
6	50-59 years old	30676	17.3%
7	60-65 years old	18811	10.6%
8	66-75 years old	29370	16.6%
9	76--	15134	8.5%
99	No response	917	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # gen10t: Generation: 10-year cohorts

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-2000] [Missing=* /99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=177812 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirthreg.
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2547	1.4%
10	1910-1919	1529	0.9%
20	1920-1929	6662	3.7%
30	1930-1939	15997	9.0%
40	1940-1949	33128	18.6%
50	1950-1959	31360	17.6%
60	1960-1969	30323	17.1%
70	1970-1979	24700	13.9%
80	1980-1989	18985	10.7%
90	1990-1999	11913	6.7%
1930		1	0.0%
1940		1	0.0%
1950		2	0.0%
1960		9	0.0%
1980		2	0.0%
2000	2000-	651	0.4%
9999		2	0.0%
99	Uppgift saknas	0	
Sysmiss		372	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # genzokin: Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=* /99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=173604 /-] [Invalid=4580 /-]
<b>Definition</b>	Constructed variable based on yearofbirthreg. Divided into four categories in line with Zukin et al (2006) - Ketter, S., Zukin, C., Andolina, M., and Jenkins, K. (2002) "The Civic and Political Health of a Nation: A Generational Portrait." CIRCLE and The Pew Charitable Trusts.

<b># genzukin: Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)</b>			
	Respondents who are born before 1926 are excluded.		
<b>Literal question</b>	What year were you born?		
<b>Notes</b>	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1926-1945	38652	22.3%
2	1946-1964	60849	35.1%
3	1965-1976	33424	19.3%
4	1977-1995	33945	19.6%
5	1996-	6732	3.9%
9999		2	0.0%
Sysmiss		4580	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># cc11aw: Like/dislike: Märta Stenevi</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=23767 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	600	2.5%
-4	(-4)	170	0.7%
-3	(-3)	143	0.6%
-2	(-2)	121	0.5%
-1	(-1)	121	0.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	739	3.1%
1	(+1)	142	0.6%
2	(+2)	115	0.5%
3	(+3)	113	0.5%
4	(+4)	72	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	42	0.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	525	2.2%
96	Question not asked	20479	86.2%
97	No response - part of the question	112	0.5%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	255	1.1%
Sysmiss		154417	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># ab200f: To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=178184 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not at all (1)	0	
2	(2)	0	
3	(3)	0	
4	(4)	0	
5	(5)	0	
6	(6)	0	



**# ab200f: To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	To a very large extent (7)	0	
8	No opinion	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		178184	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# ab200g: To what extent involved in corruption: The arms industry**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=178184 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	0	
2	(2)	0	
3	(3)	0	
4	(4)	0	
5	(5)	0	
6	(6)	0	
7	To a very large extent (7)	0	
8	No opinion	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		178184	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# ce900c			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=178184 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	0	
2	Rather good proposal	0	
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	0	
4	Rather bad proposal	0	
5	Very bad proposal	0	
Sysmiss		178184	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*