SND Svensk Nationell Datatjänst

VALU 2003 - SVT exit poll survey EMU-election 2003

Holmberg, Sören
University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science

Thedéen, Torbjörn
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research

Hernborn, Hans
Sveriges Television

Näsman, Per
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	September 9, 2014
Identification	SND0806-001

Table of Contents

VALU 2003 - SVT exit poll survey EMU-election 2003(VALU03)
VALU 2003 - SVT:s vallokalsundersökning EMU-valet 2003

Overview

Type	SVT exit poll surveys
Identification	SND0806-001
Version	Production Date: 2014-09-09 2.0
Series	In Valu - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it´s Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. VALU has been carried out by the public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) in connection with all national elections since 1991; parliamentary elections, referendums, and elections to the European Parliament. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out by SVT in collaboration with Stockholm University and University of Gothenburg. Since 1994, SVT has carried out the studies in collaboration with University of Gothenburg and the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm (KTH). SVT´s main aim with VALU is to obtain an analytical basis for SVT´s election night broadcast and for SVT´s and other professional analysts´ post-election analyses. Another aim is to be able to forecast the result of the election at an early stage during election night. VALU is carried out in geographical regions centred around the largest university cities. A university lecturer is appointed in each of the regions as regional survey leader. Together, these survey leaders are responsible for the field workers who carry out the survey where voting takes place before election day and outside polling stations during election day. Survey leaders and field workers are recruited from departments of statistics at the respective university. Thus, all survey leaders and field workers are familiar with statistical methods.

Abstract

September 14, 2003 was the date for the referendum on Sweden´s possible participation in the third stage of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). The question that all those entitled to vote had to answer was: ´Do you think Sweden should introduce the euro as its currency?´ In connection with the referendum an exit poll survey was carried out. In VALU - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it´s Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out at the parliamentary election in 1991. Since then similar studies have been carried out in connection with all Parliamentary elections, referendums and elections to the European Parliament. Voters leaving the polling stations were asked to fill in a questionnaire including questions on: altenative voted for in the referendum; time for decision how to vote; opinion on alternative most likely to get the majority of votes; party voted for in the general election 2002; party voted for if a general election should have been held at the same day; placement on a political left-right scale; confidence in Swedish politicians. The respondent also had to give the opinion on: the fairness of the referendum; the Swedish EU membership; EU developing into a federal state; and on the need for referenda in the future. Furthermore the respondents had to indicate how important a number of items were for the decision how to vote. The respondent was also asked if she/he had visited any country with euro as currency during the last year. Demographic questions include gender, age, citizenship, occupational group, union membership and church attendance. Earlier exit poll surveys has been carried out in connection with the parliamentary elections in 1991, 1994, 1998 and 2002, the referendum on Swedish membership of the European Union in 1994 and the Swedish elections to the European Parliament in 1995 and 1999.

Kind of Data	Voting data: Exit polls
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	emu, european union, european integration, single european currency, elections, election data, electoral issues, exit polls, electors, referendums
Topics	international politics and organisations, mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion, elections
Time Period(s)	2003
Countries	Sweden

Universe
Swedish electorate

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science Thedéen, Torbjörn, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research Hernborn, Hans, Sveriges Television Näsman, Per, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research
Other Producer(s)	Sveriges Television

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
In VALU a selection is made of a number of electoral districts and in this way their respective polling station. The number of electoral districts to be selected for VALU is allocated among the different regions according to the size of the electorate. Within each region, the electoral districts are then selected to be included in VALU. This selection is based on the size and geographical location of the electoral district.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2003-09-01 end 2003-09-14
Time Period(s)	
Data Collection Mode	Fixed form self-administred questionnaire: paper

Data Collection Notes
Andelen som vägrade fylla i enkäten vid poströstningsställena var cirka 30%. Siffran baserar sig helt på bedömningar av fältombuden då ingen kontroll av antalet vägrare eller kartläggning av deras bakgrundsvariabler eller motiv för vägran gjordes. Spontant uppgav huvuddelen av vägrarna tidsbriust som motivet för vägran. Andelen vägrare under valdagen bedöms av fältombuden som genomgående lägre än vid poströstningsställena.

Questionnaires
Structured

Data Collector(s)	Sveriges Television

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Swedish National Data Service

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

VALU 2003 EMU	
Cases	10732
Variable(s)	43

Variables List

Dataset contains 43 variable(s)

VALU 2003 EMU			
#			
1	SND_studie	SND study 0806	-
2	SND_dataset ..	SND dataset 0806-001	-
3	SND_version ..	SND version 2.0	-
4	ort	Central location	-
5	typ	Vote at polling station or postal vote	-
6	f1	Q.1 Alternative voted for in the 2003 referendum on Sweden introducing the euro as its currency	Which alternative did you vote for today in the referendum on Sweden introducing the euro as its currency?
7	f2	Q.2 Time for alternative choice	When did you decide how to vote in the referendum?
8	f3	Q.3 Alternative getting most votes in the referendum	Do you believe the yes-alternative or the no-alternative will get most votes in the referendum?
9	f4	Q.4 Spokesman's influence	Is there any spokesman for the yes-alternative or the no-alternative who influenced your voting today?
10	f5	Q.5 Referendum carried out in a fair way or was any of the alternatives favoured	Are you of the opinion that the referendum was carried out in a fair way or was any of the alternatives favoured?
11	f6	Q.6 Opinion on the Swedish EU membership	Do you think that Sweden should leave EU or remain a member of EU?
12	f7	Q.7 Opinion on EU developing into a federal state	Do you think it would be positive or negative if the EU developed into a federal state, a kind of United States of Europe?
13	f8	Q.8 Opinion on referendums in the future	What is your opinion on referendums in the future?
14	f9	Q.9 Party voted for if parliamentary election today	What party would you vote for if it was a parliamentary election today?
15	f10	Q.10 Party voted for in the 2002 parliamentary election	What party did you vote for in the 2002 Parliamentary election?
16	f11	Q.11 Gender	Are you a woman or a man?
17	f12	Q.12 Year of birth	What year were you born in?
18	f13	Q.13 Swedish citizen	Are you a Swedish citizen?
19	f14	Q.14 Union membership	Are you a member of a trade union?
20	f15	Q.15 Visited euro-country during the last 12 months	Did you, during the last 12 months, visit any country where they use euro as currency?
21	f16	Q.16 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale	Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?
22	f17	Q.17 Trust in Swedish politicians	Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians?
23	f18	Q.18 Labour market situation	Which of these groups do you belong to?
24	f19	Q.19 Current or previous occupational group	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
25	f20	Q.20 Employment sector	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
26	f21	Q.21 Church/communion attendance	How often do you usually attend a service or a meeting in a church/communion?

VALU 2003 EMU

#			
27	f22	Q.22 Important issue for choice of alternative: Swedish economy	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Swedish economy
28	f23	Q.23 Important issue for choice of alternative: Employment	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Employment
29	f24	Q.24 Important issue for choice of alternative: Social welfare	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Social welfare
30	f25	Q.25 Important issue for choice of alternative: Conditions for businesses/companies	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Conditions for businesses/companies
31	f26	Q.26 Important issue for choice of alternative: Refugees/immigration	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Refugees/immigration
32	f27	Q.27 Important issue for choice of alternative: Democracy	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Democracy
33	f28	Q.28 Important issue for choice of alternative: Influence EU	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Possibility to influence within the EU
34	f29	Q.29 Important issue for choice of alternative: The possibility to decide in Sweden the rate of interest	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - The possibility to decide Sweden's rate of interest
35	f30	Q.30 Important issue for choice of alternative: Prices	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Prices
36	f31	Q.31 Important issue for choice of alternative: Peace in Europe	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Peace in Europe
37	f32	Q.32 Important issue for choice of alternative: National independence	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - National independence
38	f33	Q.33 Important issue for choice of alternative: Gender equality	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Equality of opportunity between women and men
39	f34	Q.34 Important issue for choice of alternative: Private economy	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Your own economy
40	f35	Q.35 Campaign influence	How did your opinion on introducing the euro as currency in Sweden change during the referendum campaign (since 1 August)?
41	f36	Q.36 Area/country of upbringing: Yourself	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Yourself
42	f37	Q.37 Area/country of upbringing: Your father	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your father
43	f38	Q.38 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your mother

Variables Description

Dataset contains 43 variable(s)

File : VALU 2003 EMU

SND_studie: SND study 0806

	SND study 0806: VALU 2003 - SVT exit poll EMU-election 2003		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
806	SND 0806	10732	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 806- 806] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10732 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

SND_dataset: SND dataset 0806-001

	SND dataset 0806-001: VALU 2003 - SVT exit poll EMU-election 2003		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SND 0806-001		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 1] [Missing: *]

SND_version: SND version 2.0

	SND version 2.0, September 2014		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	SND 2.0	10732	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10732 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

ort: Central location

	Central location		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm	3680	34.3%
2	Lund	2227	20.8%
3	Göteborg	2678	25.0%
4	Sundsvall	2147	20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10732 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

typ: Vote at polling station or postal vote

	Vote at polling station or postal vote		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Postal vote	3622	33.7%
2	Vote at polling station	7110	66.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]

File : VALU 2003 EMU

typ: Vote at polling station or postal vote

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10732 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

f1: Q.1 Alternative voted for in the 2003 referendum on Sweden introducing the euro as its currency

Pre-question	At Sveriges Television, we want the election night broadcast on TV to reflect the opinions of the voters. We are therefore asking you to answer this questionnaire by crossing the appropriate boxes. You should not put your name on the questionnaire since you are to be anonymous to us. The results will only be reported in figures. No one can find out how you have answered. If you find it difficult to answer any question, leave it and go on to the next question. Thank you for helping us produce a good election broadcast on TV!
Literal question	Which alternative did you vote for today in the referendum on Sweden introducing the euro as its currency?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I voted yes	4892	46.2%
2	I voted no	5491	51.8%
3	I voted blank	210	2.0%
999	NA	139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10593 /-] [Invalid: 139 /-]

f2: Q.2 Time for alternative choice

Literal question	When did you decide how to vote in the referendum?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Today	874	8.2%
2	During the past week	1412	13.3%
3	Earlier during the election campaign (after the parliamentary election September 18)	1578	14.8%
4	Earlier during 2003	2226	20.9%
5	Have known for a long time how I was going to vote	4539	42.7%
999	NA	103	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10629 /-] [Invalid: 103 /-]

f3: Q.3 Alternative getting most votes in the referendum

Literal question	Do you believe the yes-alternative or the no-alternative will get most votes in the referendum?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes-alternative gets most votes	3926	37.9%
2	No-alternative gets most votes	6428	62.1%
999	NA	378	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10354 /-] [Invalid: 378 /-]

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f4: Q.4 Spokesman's influence

Literal question	Is there any spokesman for the yes-alternative or the no-alternative who influenced your voting today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1780	17.6%
2	No, no specific spokesman influenced my voting today	8319	82.4%
999	NA	633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10099 /-] [Invalid: 633 /-]

f5: Q.5 Referendum carried out in a fair way or was any of the alternatives favoured

Literal question	Are you of the opinion that the referendum was carried out in a fair way or was any of the alternatives favoured?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The referendum was carried out in a fair way	6379	62.5%
2	The yes-alternative was favoured	3516	34.4%
3	The no-alternative was favoured	317	3.1%
999	NA	520	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10212 /-] [Invalid: 520 /-]

f6: Q.6 Opinion on the Swedish EU membership

Literal question	Do you think that Sweden should leave EU or remain a member of EU?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sweden should leave EU	2499	23.6%
2	Sweden should remain a member of EU	6375	60.3%
3	No opinion	1693	16.0%
999	NA	165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10567 /-] [Invalid: 165 /-]

f7: Q.7 Opinion on EU developing into a federal state

Literal question	Do you think it would be positive or negative if the EU developed into a federal state, a kind of United States of Europe?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	1094	10.5%
2	Rather positive	1769	17.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	2600	24.9%
4	Rather negative	2157	20.7%
5	Very negative	2811	26.9%
999	NA	301	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f7: Q.7 Opinion on EU developing into a federal state

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10431 /-] [Invalid: 301 /-]

f8: Q.8 Opinion on referendums in the future

Literal question	What is your opinion on referendums in the future?		
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	We should have more referendums on different issues	4601	44.7%
2	We should be economical with the use of referendums	4622	44.9%
3	We should not have any referendums, but let the parliament make the decisions	1079	10.5%
999	NA	430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10302 /-] [Invalid: 430 /-]

f9: Q.9 Party voted for if parliamentary election today

Literal question	What party would you vote for if it was a parliamentary election today?		
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Moderate Party	1585	16.3%
2	Centre Party	661	6.8%
3	Liberal Party	1305	13.4%
4	Christian Democrats	501	5.1%
5	Social Democrats	3327	34.2%
6	Left party	1053	10.8%
7	Green Party	621	6.4%
81	Other party	296	3.0%
82	Not entitled to vote	387	4.0%
999	NA	996	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 82] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9736 /-] [Invalid: 996 /-]

f10: Q.10 Party voted for in the 2002 parliamentary election

Literal question	What party did you vote for in the 2002 Parliamentary election?		
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Moderate Party	1407	14.3%
2	Center Party	559	5.7%
3	Liberal Party	1166	11.9%
4	Christian Democrats	565	5.8%
5	Social Democrats	3230	32.9%
6	Left Party	1024	10.4%
7	Green Party	526	5.4%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f10: Q.10 Party voted for in the 2002 parliamentary election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Other party	147	1.5%
82	Not entitled to vote	451	4.6%
83	Voted blank	317	3.2%
84	Did not vote	416	4.2%
999	NA	924	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 84] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9808 /-] [Invalid: 924 /-]

f11: Q.11 Gender

Literal question	Are you a woman or a man?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Woman	5320	50.9%
2	Man	5126	49.1%
999	NA	286	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10446 /-] [Invalid: 286 /-]

f12: Q.12 Year of birth

Literal question	What year were you born in?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1911	1911	2	0.0%
1912	1912	3	0.0%
1913	1913	1	0.0%
1914	1914	4	0.0%
1915	1915	9	0.1%
1916	1916	7	0.1%
1917	1917	2	0.0%
1918	1918	11	0.1%
1919	1919	21	0.2%
1920	1920	27	0.3%
1921	1921	22	0.2%
1922	1922	35	0.3%
1923	1923	24	0.2%
1924	1924	38	0.4%
1925	1925	44	0.4%
1926	1926	49	0.5%
1927	1927	50	0.5%
1928	1928	53	0.5%
1929	1929	48	0.5%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f12: Q.12 Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1930	1930	77	0.8%
1931	1931	69	0.7%
1932	1932	79	0.8%
1933	1933	59	0.6%
1934	1934	85	0.8%
1935	1935	95	0.9%
1936	1936	82	0.8%
1937	1937	93	0.9%
1938	1938	123	1.2%
1939	1939	124	1.2%
1940	1940	118	1.2%
1941	1941	146	1.4%
1942	1942	163	1.6%
1943	1943	153	1.5%
1944	1944	174	1.7%
1945	1945	194	1.9%
1946	1946	186	1.8%
1947	1947	172	1.7%
1948	1948	206	2.0%
1949	1949	218	2.1%
1950	1950	189	1.8%
1951	1951	178	1.7%
1952	1952	164	1.6%
1953	1953	191	1.9%
1954	1954	187	1.8%
1955	1955	176	1.7%
1956	1956	193	1.9%
1957	1957	198	1.9%
1958	1958	162	1.6%
1959	1959	170	1.7%
1960	1960	196	1.9%
1961	1961	177	1.7%
1962	1962	172	1.7%
1963	1963	190	1.9%
1964	1964	204	2.0%
1965	1965	199	1.9%
1966	1966	237	2.3%
1967	1967	205	2.0%
1968	1968	203	2.0%
1969	1969	168	1.6%
1970	1970	212	2.1%
1971	1971	228	2.2%
1972	1972	236	2.3%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f12: Q.12 Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1973	1973	233	2.3%
1974	1974	252	2.5%
1975	1975	227	2.2%
1976	1976	217	2.1%
1977	1977	211	2.1%
1978	1978	234	2.3%
1979	1979	216	2.1%
1980	1980	233	2.3%
1981	1981	242	2.4%
1982	1982	205	2.0%
1983	1983	167	1.6%
1984	1984	171	1.7%
1985	1985	139	1.4%
999	NA	484	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1911- 1985] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10248 /-] [Invalid: 484 /-]

f13: Q.13 Swedish citizen

Literal question	Are you a Swedish citizen?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	10195	96.4%
2	No	377	3.6%
999	NA	160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10572 /-] [Invalid: 160 /-]

f14: Q.14 Union membership

Literal question	Are you a member of a trade union?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, a LO union	2641	25.8%
2	Yes, a TCO union	1873	18.3%
3	Yes, a SACO union	1342	13.1%
4	No	4392	42.9%
999	NA	484	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10248 /-] [Invalid: 484 /-]

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f15: Q.15 Visited euro-country during the last 12 months

Literal question	Did you, during the last 12 months, visit any country where they use euro as currency?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	2735	25.8%
2	Yes, sometimes	3092	29.2%
3	No	4774	45.0%
999	NA	131	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10601 /-] [Invalid: 131 /-]

f16: Q.16 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale

Literal question	Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clearly to the left	1373	13.3%
2	Somewhat to the left	2438	23.6%
3	Neither left nor right	3335	32.3%
4	Somewhat to the left	2314	22.4%
5	Clearly to the right	873	8.4%
999	NA	399	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10333 /-] [Invalid: 399 /-]

f17: Q.17 Trust in Swedish politicians

Literal question	Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	607	5.8%
2	Quite high	5312	50.4%
3	Quite low	3563	33.8%
4	Very low	1061	10.1%
999	NA	189	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10543 /-] [Invalid: 189 /-]

f18: Q.18 Labour market situation

Literal question	Which of these groups do you belong to?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	6531	63.5%
2	Participating in labour market policy measures	159	1.5%
3	Undergoing training organised by AMS	42	0.4%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f18: Q.18 Labour market situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Unemployed	420	4.1%
5	Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner	1332	13.0%
6	Disability pensioner	386	3.8%
7	Homeworker	83	0.8%
81	Student	1331	12.9%
999	NA	448	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 81] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10284 /-] [Invalid: 448 /-]

f19: Q.19 Current or previous occupational group

Literal question	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	White-collar worker	3193	31.6%
2	White-collar worker with supervisory status	1290	12.8%
3	White-collar employee with senior executive responsibility	321	3.2%
4	Blue collar worker	3441	34.0%
5	Blue collar worker with supervisory status	465	4.6%
6	Farmer: no employee	75	0.7%
7	Farmer: one or more employees	29	0.3%
81	Self-employed: no employee	403	4.0%
82	Self-employed: 1-9 employees	344	3.4%
83	Self-employed: 10 or more employees	97	1.0%
84	Never had paid work	448	4.4%
999	NA	626	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 84] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10106 /-] [Invalid: 626 /-]

f20: Q.20 Employment sector

Literal question	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	1350	13.4%
2	Local/regional government	3080	30.7%
3	Private	5158	51.4%
4	Never had paid work	450	4.5%
999	NA	694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10038 /-] [Invalid: 694 /-]

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f21: Q.21 Church/communion attendance

Literal question	How often do you usually attend a service or a meeting in a church/communion?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least once a month	739	7.1%
2	A couple of times a year	1880	18.0%
3	Less frequently	3797	36.4%
4	Never	4022	38.5%
999	NA	294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10438 /-] [Invalid: 294 /-]

f22: Q.22 Important issue for choice of alternative: Swedish economy

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Swedish economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	5390	54.6%
2	Fairly great importance	3262	33.1%
3	Neither great nor small importance	914	9.3%
4	Fairly small importance	173	1.8%
5	Very small importance	127	1.3%
999	NA	866	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9866 /-] [Invalid: 866 /-]

f23: Q.23 Important issue for choice of alternative: Employment

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Employment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	4182	43.3%
2	Fairly great importance	3451	35.7%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1588	16.4%
4	Fairly small importance	268	2.8%
5	Very small importance	169	1.7%
999	NA	1074	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9658 /-] [Invalid: 1074 /-]

f24: Q.24 Important issue for choice of alternative: Social welfare

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Social welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	4579	47.5%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f24: Q.24 Important issue for choice of alternative: Social welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Fairly great importance	3049	31.6%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1589	16.5%
4	Fairly small importance	274	2.8%
5	Very small importance	157	1.6%
999	NA	1084	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9648 /-] [Invalid: 1084 /-]

f25: Q.25 Important issue for choice of alternative: Conditions for businesses/companies

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Conditions for businesses/companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3006	31.9%
2	Fairly great importance	3128	33.2%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2358	25.0%
4	Fairly small importance	563	6.0%
5	Very small importance	363	3.9%
999	NA	1314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9418 /-] [Invalid: 1314 /-]

f26: Q.26 Important issue for choice of alternative: Refugees/immigration

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Refugees/immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	1580	16.9%
2	Fairly great importance	1917	20.5%
3	Neither great nor small importance	3592	38.4%
4	Fairly small importance	1110	11.9%
5	Very small importance	1155	12.3%
999	NA	1378	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9354 /-] [Invalid: 1378 /-]

f27: Q.27 Important issue for choice of alternative: Democracy

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Democracy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	5544	57.8%
2	Fairly great importance	2215	23.1%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f27: Q.27 Important issue for choice of alternative: Democracy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither great nor small importance	1423	14.8%
4	Fairly small importance	213	2.2%
5	Very small importance	191	2.0%
999	NA	1146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9586 /-] [Invalid: 1146 /-]

f28: Q.28 Important issue for choice of alternative: Influence EU

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Possibility to influence within the EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3934	41.8%
2	Fairly great importance	2372	25.2%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2000	21.3%
4	Fairly small importance	548	5.8%
5	Very small importance	550	5.8%
999	NA	1328	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9404 /-] [Invalid: 1328 /-]

f29: Q.29 Important issue for choice of alternative: The possibility to decide in Sweden the rate of interest

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - The possibility to decide Sweden's rate of interest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3757	39.8%
2	Fairly great importance	2094	22.2%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2151	22.8%
4	Fairly small importance	782	8.3%
5	Very small importance	656	6.9%
999	NA	1292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9440 /-] [Invalid: 1292 /-]

f30: Q.30 Important issue for choice of alternative: Prices

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Prices

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3890	40.4%
2	Fairly great importance	2756	28.6%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2243	23.3%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f30: Q.30 Important issue for choice of alternative: Prices

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Fairly small importance	452	4.7%
5	Very small importance	291	3.0%
999	NA	1100	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9632 /-] [Invalid: 1100 /-]

f31: Q.31 Important issue for choice of alternative: Peace in Europe

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Peace in Europe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	4543	47.5%
2	Fairly great importance	1956	20.4%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1984	20.7%
4	Fairly small importance	500	5.2%
5	Very small importance	582	6.1%
999	NA	1167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9565 /-] [Invalid: 1167 /-]

f32: Q.32 Important issue for choice of alternative: National independence

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - National independence

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	4065	43.0%
2	Fairly great importance	2135	22.6%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2274	24.1%
4	Fairly small importance	557	5.9%
5	Very small importance	418	4.4%
999	NA	1283	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9449 /-] [Invalid: 1283 /-]

f33: Q.33 Important issue for choice of alternative: Gender equality

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Equality of opportunity between women and men

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3464	36.4%
2	Fairly great importance	1871	19.6%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2820	29.6%
4	Fairly small importance	564	5.9%

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f33: Q.33 Important issue for choice of alternative: Gender equality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very small importance	807	8.5%
999	NA	1206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9526 /-] [Invalid: 1206 /-]

f34: Q.34 Important issue for choice of alternative: Private economy

Literal question	How important are the following issues for how you voted today? - Your own economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	3887	40.2%
2	Fairly great importance	2383	24.6%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2426	25.1%
4	Fairly small importance	476	4.9%
5	Very small importance	502	5.2%
999	NA	1058	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9674 /-] [Invalid: 1058 /-]

f35: Q.35 Campaign influence

Literal question	How did your opinion on introducing the euro as currency in Sweden change during the referendum campaign (since 1 August)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All the time positive to introducing the euro as currency in Sweden	2912	29.5%
2	All the time negative to introducing the euro as currency in Sweden	3391	34.3%
3	All the time uncertain if the euro should be introduced in Sweden	1433	14.5%
4	Was positive - but became negative	252	2.6%
5	Was positive - but became unceratin	220	2.2%
6	Was negative - but became positive	323	3.3%
7	Was negative - but became uncertain	213	2.2%
81	Was uncertain - but became positive	622	6.3%
82	Was uncertain - but became negative	514	5.2%
999	NA	852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 82] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9880 /-] [Invalid: 852 /-]

f36: Q.36 Area/country of upbringing: Yourself

Literal question	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Yourself

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f36: Q.36 Area/country of upbringing: Yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	2360	23.2%
2	Village in Sweden	3130	30.7%
3	City/town in Sweden	2438	23.9%
4	Stockholm, Gothenburg, Malmö	1586	15.6%
5	Other Nordic country	238	2.3%
6	Other European country	226	2.2%
7	Non-European country	211	2.1%
999	NA	543	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 7] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10189 /-] [Invalid: 543 /-]

f37: Q.37 Area/country of upbringing: Your father

Literal question	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your father

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	3336	33.6%
2	Village in Sweden	2582	26.0%
3	City/town in Sweden	1745	17.6%
4	Stockholm, Gothenburg, Malmö	1145	11.5%
5	Other Nordic country	414	4.2%
6	Other European country	416	4.2%
7	Non-European country	280	2.8%
999	NA	814	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 7] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9918 /-] [Invalid: 814 /-]

f38: Q.38 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother

Literal question	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your mother
Post-question	Thank you for taking part in this survey! Please put your questionnaire in the sealed box!

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	3370	33.9%
2	Village in Sweden	2587	26.0%
3	City/town in Sweden	1736	17.4%
4	Stockholm, Gothenburg, Malmö	1173	11.8%
5	Other Nordic country	455	4.6%
6	Other European country	372	3.7%
7	Non-European country	257	2.6%
999	NA	782	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 7] [Missing: */999]

File : VALU 2003 EMU

f38: Q.38 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 9950 /-] [Invalid: 782 /-]